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# ON THE FACE-VECTOR OF A 5-VALENT CONVEX 3-POLYTOPE 

MARIÁN TRENKLER

Introduction: Let $M$ be a convex polytope in $E_{3}$. The symbol $p_{k}(M)$ denotes the number of faces of $M$ having exactly $k$ edges. The vector $\left(p_{3}(M), p_{4}(M), \ldots\right)$ is called the face-vector of $M$. The graph formed from vertices and edges of $M$ is called the graph of the polytope $M$.

In the present paper we attempt to solve the problem of characterization of the face-vector of all convex polytopes $M$ each vertex of which incides with 5 edges. This problem has not been solved yet completely.

The analogous problem for polytopes having each vertex of degree 3 or 4 has been treated in many papers in the last ten years. (See [1, 4, 5].)

We shall say that a finite sequence $\left(p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k\right)$ of non-negative integers is 5 -realizable, provided there exists a polytope $M$ with a 5 -valent regular graph such that $p_{k}(M)=p_{k}$ for all $k$; every such $M$ is a 5 -realization of the sequence.

From Euler's formula the following necessary condition for the 5-realizability of a sequence ( $p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ) follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{3}=20+\sum_{t \leq k}(3 k-10) p_{k} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this condition is not sufficient. E.g. the sequence (22, $1,0, \ldots$ ) satisfies (1) but is not 5 -realizable.

Fisher [2] proved: A sequence $\left(p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k\right)$ of non-negative integers satisfying (1) and $p_{4} \geqslant 6$ is 5-realizable.

In this paper we shall improve on this result.
In the proof we shall construct planar maps instead of convex polytopes. This is possible by the well-known Steinitz theorem [3, p. 235]: A graph G is a graph of a convex 3-polytope if and only if $G$ is planar and 3-connected.

First, one lemma used in the construction will be proved. In a planar map $N$ whose graph is connected let $p_{k}(N)\left(v_{k}(N)\right)$ denote the number of $k$-gons or $k$-valent vertices, respectively.

Lemma. If there exists a planar map with a 2-connected graph without loops, multiple edges and vertices of second degree such that $p_{k}\left(N^{\prime}\right)+v_{k}(N)=p_{k}^{\prime}$ for each $k \geqslant 4$, then the sequence ( $p_{k}^{\prime} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ) satisfying (1) is 5-realizable.

Proof. We shall describe a transformation of the planar map $N$ into a 5 -valent planar map with a 3 -connected graph and $p_{k}^{\prime} k$-gons for each $k$. This transformation is called transformation $\mu$ and it consists of two steps.

In the first step each $k$-gon of $N$ is replaced by a $k$-gon, each $k$-valent vertex is replaced by a $k$-gon and each edge by a quadrangle which in the second step will be divided into two triangles. (In Fig. 1 the map $N$ is depicted by dashed lines.)


Fig. 1


Fig. 2

We select such a quadrangle $\mathbf{Q}$ and by adding one new edge we divide $\boldsymbol{Q}$ into two triangles. Each of the remaining 4 -valent vertices of $\boldsymbol{Q}$ belongs also to another quadrangle. By adding an edge beginning in this vertex we divide this quadrangle into two triangles as well. In this way $\sum_{4 \leq k} k \cdot p_{k}(N)$ couples of triangles are formed. (In Fig. 2 the added edges are shown as dot--and dashed lines.)

Thus we get a 5 -valent planar map with a 3 -connected graph and $p_{k}^{\prime} k$-gons for all $k$.

Our results are contained in the following theorems.
Theorem 1. A sequence ( $p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ) of non-negative integers satisfying (1) and one of the following conditions
a) $p_{4} \geqslant 4$,
$\beta$ ) $p_{4}=3$ and $p_{5} \geqslant 1$,
ү) $p_{4}=3$ and $p_{i} \geqslant 1, \quad p_{i+1} \geqslant 1$ or $p_{i} \geqslant 1, \quad p_{i+2} \geqslant 1$ for $i \geqslant 5$,
ס) $p_{4}=2$ and $p_{5} \geqslant 2$,

ع) $p_{4}=2$ and $p_{i} \geqslant 2, \quad p_{i+1} \geqslant 2 \quad$ or $\quad p_{i} \geqslant 2, \quad p_{i+2} \geqslant 2$
is 5-realizable.
The proof consists of constructing, for every sequence ( $p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ) satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1 its 5 -realization $M$.
a) $p_{4} \geqslant 4$

1. If $p_{i} \leqslant 1$ for all $i \geqslant 5, p_{4}=4$.
a) $\sum_{5 \leq i} p_{i}=0$

The map $M$ can be obtained from the map depicted by full lines in Fig. 3 by performing the transformation $\mu$.
b) $\sum_{5 \leq i} p_{i}=1$

Let $p_{5}=1$; in this case we obtain $M$ from the map depicted in Fig. 3 by performing the transformation $\mu$.


Fig. 3


Fig. 4

Let $p_{6}=1$ or $p_{7}=1$. The $\operatorname{map} M$ is obtained by joining two submaps $R^{1}$ (Fig. 4) or the submap $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ (Fig. 5), respectively. The path which consists of three edges indicated in heavy lines is called the path $v$. In the sequel, when speaking of joining two submaps, we mean that we identify the edges of the path $v$ in such a way that all vertices of the resulting map have degree 5.

Let $p_{i}=1$ for $i=6+2 k$ or $i=7+2 k$. The map $M$ will be the union of two submaps $R^{1}$ or the submaps $R^{1}$ and $R^{2}$ and $k$ configurations $T$ consisting of 6 triangles each. The configuration $\boldsymbol{T}$ is shown in Fig. 6.
c) $\sum_{5 \leq i} p_{i}=2$

From these conditions it follows that there exist numbers $m, n$ such that $5 \leqslant m<n$ and $p_{m}=p_{n}=1$. Three subcases must be considered.
$\left.\mathrm{c}_{1}\right) m \equiv 0(\bmod 3)$


Fig. 5


Fig. 6

The starting map of the construction is $M_{i}, i=m+n-3$. The construction $M_{i}$ is described in case a). It consists of one $i$-gon $A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{i} B_{i} \ldots B_{1}$ or $A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{i-\frac{i+1}{2}} B_{1}$, four 4 -gons and $18+3 i$ triangles. The common edges of a $i$-gon and two 4 -gons are indicated by $A_{1} B_{1}$ and $A_{2} B_{2}$ or $A_{i}{ }_{2} B_{i}{ }_{2}{ }_{2}$, respectively.

In the starting map edges $A_{1} A_{2}, A_{4} A_{5}, \ldots, A_{m-2} A_{m-1}$ are omitted and edges $A_{2} A_{4}, A_{5} A_{7}, \ldots, A_{m-4} A_{m-2}, A_{m-1} A_{1}$ are added.

From an $i$-gon and $\frac{m}{3}$ triangles we obtain an $m$-gon and an $n$-gon and $\frac{m-3}{3}$ triangles $A_{2} A_{3} A_{4}, A_{5} A_{6} A_{7}, \ldots, A_{m-4} A_{m-3} A_{m-2}$.
$\left.\mathrm{c}_{2}\right) m \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$
In the starting map $M_{i}, i=m+n-3$, the edges $B_{1} A_{1}, A_{4} A_{5}, \ldots$, $A_{m-3} A_{m-2}$ (dashed lines in Fig. 7) are omitted and the edges $A_{1} A_{4}, A_{5} A_{7}, \ldots$, $A_{m-5} A_{m-3}, A_{m-2} B_{1}$ (dot-and-dashed lines in Fig. 7) are added.
c3) $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$
If $p_{5}=1$, the starting map is $M_{n}$. A part of this map is shown in Fig. 8. We cut it along the path $v$ (heavy lines in Fig. 8) and before the rejoining-


Fig. 7


Fig. 8
we insert one configuration $\boldsymbol{T}$. From a triangle and a 4 -gon (in Fig. 8 indicated by the numbers 4 and 5 ) we obtain a 4 -gon and a 5 -gon.

Let $p_{m}=1$ for $m>5$. As before, we form a 4 -gon and a 5 -gon in the starting $\operatorname{map} M_{i}, i=m+n-5$. By omitting edges $B_{1} A_{1}, A_{3} A_{4}, \ldots, A_{m-5} A_{m-4}$ and adding edges $A_{1} A_{3}, A_{4} A_{6}, \ldots, A_{m-7} A_{m-5}, B_{1} A_{m-4}$ the map $M$ arises.
d) $\sum_{5 \leq i} p_{i}=3$

From the conditions it follows that there exist number $m, n, s$ such that $5 \leqslant m<n<s$ and $p_{m}=p_{n}=p_{s}=1$.

The starting map is $M_{i}, i=m+n+s-K$, where
$K=10$ if $m \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $n \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$,
$K-6$ if $m \neq 2(\bmod 3)$ and $n \neq 2(\bmod 3)$,
$K=8$ in all other cases.
As in case c) one $m$-gon is formed. Only a small change takes place during the forming of the $n$-gon. The vertices of the omitted and added edges are indicated by $B_{k}$ instead of $A_{j}$ and $A_{k b}$ instead of $B_{1}$, where $k=\frac{i+\boldsymbol{2}}{\mathcal{L}}$ or $k \quad \begin{gathered}i+3 \\ 2\end{gathered}$ and $h={ }_{2}^{i}$ or $h=\frac{i-1}{2}$, respectively.
e) $4 \leqslant \sum_{5 \leq i} p_{i} \leqslant 2\left[\begin{array}{c}t-3 \\ 3\end{array}\right]+3$, where $t$ is such that $p_{t}=1$ and $\sum_{t \leq i} p_{i}=3$.
e ${ }_{1}$ ) $p_{i}=0$ for all $i \geqslant 9$


Fig. 9


Fig. 10

The map $M$ is obtained from the map in Fig. 9 by using the transformation $\mu$.
e 2 ) $p_{i}>0$ for $i \geqslant 9$
Let $t \neq 7, p_{u}=1, \sum_{u \leq i} p_{i}=2$. The set of indices $5 \leqslant j<t$ for which $p_{j}==1$ is divided into two sets $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ so that the difference of these powers $|\boldsymbol{A}|-|\mathbf{B}|=0$ or 1 and $0 \leqslant \sum_{j \in \boldsymbol{A}} j-\sum_{j \in \mathbf{B}} j<t$.

The starting map is $M_{i}, i=\sum_{5 \leq j}(j-3) p_{j}-K$, where
$K=7$ if $t \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $u \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$,
$K=3$ if $t \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$ and $u \not \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$,
$K=5$ in all other casas.
Analogously, a $t$-gon and a $u$-gon are formed similarly as in the case d ). When forming a $t$-gon or a $u$-gon instead of $\boldsymbol{A}$ or $\mathbf{B}$ triangles $j$-gons are formed for all $j \in \boldsymbol{A}$ or $j \in \boldsymbol{B}$, respectively. Thus we obtain $M$.

Let $t=7$ and $p_{\overline{5}}+p_{6}=1$. The construction is the same as before except that instead of a 4 -gon a 5 -gon or a 6 -gon is formed. The necessary 4 -gon may be obtained by forming a $u$-gon instead of one triangle.

Let $t=7$ and $p_{5}+p_{6}=2$. We construct a map with all prescribed $k$-gons, $k \geqslant 5$, except one 5 -gon. This 5 -gon is formed together with one 4 -gon from a triangle and a 4 -gon of a map $R^{1}$ as in $c_{3}$ ) by inserting one configuration $T$.
f) $2\left[\frac{t-3}{3}\right]+3<\sum_{5 \leq i} p_{i}$, where $t$ is such that $p_{t}=1$ and $\sum_{t \leq i} p_{i}=3$.

In this case there exist at least $\frac{t}{6}$ couples of indices $(i, i+1)$ such that $p_{i}=p_{i+1}=1$. We select the last possible number of such indices (the set of indices situated in selected couples is indicated by $\boldsymbol{X}$ ) so that a new defined sequence

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p_{3}^{\prime}=20+\sum_{4 \leq k}(3 k-10) p_{k}^{\prime} & \\
p_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i} & \text { for all } i \notin \mathbf{X} \\
p_{j}=0 & \text { for all } j \in \mathbf{X}
\end{array}
$$

satisfies the conditions of the preceding cases. In the map consisting of $p_{i}^{\prime}$ $i$-gons for all $i \geqslant 3$ there exists a configuration $K$ (shown in Fig. 10). Let $(i, i+1)$ and $(j: j+1)$ be two couples of the elements of $\boldsymbol{X}$. Choose four triangles in $K$ (in Fig. 10 indicated by $i, i+1, j, j+1$ ) joined by paths $\nu_{i}, i=1,2,3$. By cutting this map along $\nu_{1}$ and inserting one configuration $T$ before the repeated joining two 4 -gons will arise. By the next cutting of this map along $\nu_{2}$ or $\nu_{3}$ and by inserting $i-3$ or $j-3$ configurations $T$ before the repeated joining four prescribed faces are formed. Therefore $i \geqslant 7$ or $j \geqslant 7$ and thus the configuration $K$ is formed again. In this way all the prescribed faces will be constructed.
2. $p_{i} \leqslant 1$ for all $i \geqslant 5, p_{4}=5$
a) $p_{i}=0$ for all $i \geqslant 6$

The map $M$ can be obtained in all possible cases from maps shown in Fig. 11 by using the transformation $\mu$. Two maps are drawn in full lines and the two others in full and dashed lines.
b) $p_{i} \neq 0$ for $i \geqslant 6$

Let $p_{z}=1$ and $p_{i}=0$ for all $i>z$. We define a new sequence
$p_{3}^{\prime}=p_{3}-6$
$p_{+}^{\prime}=4$
$p_{u-1}^{\prime}=p_{u-1}+1$
$p_{u}^{\prime}=0$
$p_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i} \quad$ for all $i \neq 3,4, u-1, u$.


Fig. 11


Fig. 12

Two cases must be distinguished: If $p_{z}^{\prime}{ }_{1}=1$, a sequence ( $p_{k}^{\prime} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ) satisfies the conditions of case 1 . In the map consisting of $p_{k}^{\prime} k$-gons for all $k$ there exists one triangle in $R^{1}$ joined with the ( $z-1$ )-gon by a path $\nu$. Analogously as before, from these faces we obtain a $z$-gon and a 4 -gon by inserting one configuration $T$. If $p_{z}=2$, the conditions of the case 1 are not fulfilled but the described construction is applicable with a slight variation.
3. $p_{i} \geqslant 2$ for $i \geqslant 5$

We define a new sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{3}^{\prime}=20+\sum_{4 \leq k}(3 k-10) p_{k}^{\prime} \\
& p_{4}^{\prime}=p_{4}-2\left[\frac{p_{4}-4}{2}\right] \\
& p_{i}^{\prime}=p_{i}-2\left[\begin{array}{c}
p_{i} \\
2
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { for all } i \neq 3,4,
\end{aligned}
$$

which satisfies condition of case 1 or 2 . The starting map consists of $p_{i}^{\prime} i$-gons for all $i$. In submap $R^{1}$ or $R^{2}$ a configuration W exists consisting of two triangles joined by a path $v$. Two $k$-gons, $p_{k} \geqslant 2$, are formed from these triangles by cutting along a path $v$ and inserting a $k-3$ configuration $\boldsymbol{T}$ and repeated joining. In this way all the necessary faces are formed, because the configuration W is reviewed after forming two $k$-gons.
B) $p_{4}=3$ and $p_{5} \geqslant 1$

If $p_{5}=1$ or $p_{5}=2$ and $p_{i}=0$ for all $i \geqslant 6$, we obtain the map $M$ from a map drawn in Fig. 12 in full or full and dashed lines, respectively, by pe:"forming the transformation $\mu$.

In all the other cases the map $M$ is formed analogously as in $\alpha$ ) with a small difference. The starting map $M_{i}$ consists of one submap $R^{3}$ (Fig. 13) instead of a submap $R^{1}$ or $R^{2}$, respectively.

ر) $p_{4}=3$ and $p_{i} \geqslant 1, \quad p_{i+1} \geqslant 1$ or

$$
p_{i} \geqslant 1, \quad p_{i+2} \geqslant 1 \text { for } i \geqslant 5
$$



Fig. 13


Fig. 14

First we construct a planar map with all required $k$-gons, $k \geqslant 5, p_{4}+1$ 4 -gons except one $i$-gon and one $(i+1)$-gon or $(i+2)$-gon, respectively. This $i$-gon with a $(i+1)$-gon or $(i+2)$-gon is obtained from one 4 -gon and one triangle of the submap $R^{i}, i=1$ or 2 , by inserting a $i-3$ configuration $T$, as before.
б) $p_{4}=2$ and $p_{5} \geqslant 2$

Let $\sum_{6 \leq i} p_{i}=0$. In this case the construction is described in the proofs of the following theorems.

Let $\sum_{6 \leq i} p_{i}>0$. If $i=2 k$, the starting $\operatorname{map} M_{i}$ is formed from two submaps $R^{3}$ and $k-3$ configurations $\mathbf{T}$. If $i=2 k+1$, three more triangles appear. The rest of the construction is the same as before.

ع) $p_{4}=2$ and $p_{i} \geqslant 2, \quad p_{i+1} \geqslant 2$ or

$$
p_{i} \geqslant 2, \quad p_{i+2} \geqslant 2 \quad \text { for } i \geqslant 5
$$

In this case the construction is clear from the cases $\alpha$ ), $\beta$ ), $\gamma$ ) and $\delta$ ).
Theorem 2. A sequence $\left(p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k\right)$ of non-negative integers is 5-realizable if it satisfies
(i) condition (1),
(ii) $\sum_{4 \leq i} p_{i}=3$
(iii) $p_{m_{i}} \neq 0$ for $m_{i}=k+\alpha_{i}, i=1,2,3$, where $\sum_{1 \leq i} \alpha_{i}=0(\bmod 2)$, $1 \leq \alpha_{1} \leq \alpha_{2} \leq \alpha_{3} \leq \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}$ and $k=2$ or 3 or 4
(iv) $p_{j}=0$ for all $j \neq 3, m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}$.

Proof. The graph of the starting map has two vertices joined by three edges. Successively we form on the individual edges

$$
\frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}}{2}, \frac{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}}{2}, \quad \frac{-\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}}{2}
$$

couples of triangles with a common edge such that these couples have no common vertices. If $k=3$, one original vertex of the starting map is replaced by a triangle and if $k=4$, both original vertices are replaced by two triangles. (See Fig. 14, where $k=3, \alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}=2, \alpha_{3}=3$. From this map we obtain by applying the transformation $\mu$ a map $M$ with all the required $k$-gons.

Theorem 3. A sequence $\left(p_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k\right)$ satisfying (1) and decomposable into two sequences $\left(p_{k}^{\prime} \mid 3 \leqslant k\right)$ and ( $c_{k} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ), which satisfy the condition
(i) $p_{k}=p_{k}^{\prime}+2 c_{k}$ for all $k \geqslant 3$,
(ii) $p_{k}^{\prime}=0$ for all $k$ or
( $p_{k}^{\prime} \mid 3 \leqslant k$ ) satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2,
(iii) $c_{k}$ are non-negative integer numbers.
is 5-realizable.
Proof. a) Let $p_{k}^{\prime}=0$ for all $k$.
In this case the graph of the starting map is a complete graph of four vertices.

In the starting map there exists a triangle $\boldsymbol{F}$ and a 3 -valent vertex $\boldsymbol{V}$ which is not the vertex of $\boldsymbol{F}$ but is joined with $\boldsymbol{F}$ by edge. If $p_{k} \neq 0, k \geqslant 4$, joining the vertex $V$ by $k-3$ new edges with $k-3$ points on an edge of $\boldsymbol{F}$, we get from the triangle $\boldsymbol{F}$ one $k$-gon and from $\boldsymbol{V}$ one $k$-valent vertex and $k-3$ new triangles. Successively $\frac{1}{2} p_{k}$ couples of the $k$-gon and a $k$-valent vertex are constructed for all $k \geqslant 4$. From this map we obtain $M$ by applying the transformation $\mu$.
b) Let $p_{k}^{\prime} \neq 0$ for $k \geqslant 4$.

The construction of $M$ is obvious from case $a$, and the proof of Theorem 2.
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