

# Matematický časopis

---

Jaroslav Kurzweil

On the Maximum Value of a Class of Determinants

*Matematický časopis*, Vol. 23 (1973), No. 1, 40--42

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126989>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1973

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON THE MAXIMUM VALUE OF A CLASS OF DETERMINANTS

JAROSLAV KURZWEIL, Praha

### 1. Result

For  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  let  $X(m)$  be the set of  $m \times m$ -matrices such that  $x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $|x_{i,j}| \leq 1$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Define

$$g(m) = \sup_{(x_{i,j}) \in X(m)} |\det(x_{i,j})|$$

The aim of this note is to prove that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (g(m))^{1/m} / m^{1/2} = 1. \quad (*)$$

### 2. Preliminaries

Hadamard inequality reads

$$(2) \quad |\det(x_{i,j})| \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \sum_{j=1}^m x_{i,j}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

hence

$$(3) \quad g(m) \leq m^{1/2 m}$$

so that it remains to be proved that

$$(4) \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} (g(m))^{1/m} / m^{1/2} \geq 1.$$

Equality in (2) holds, iff  $(x_{i,j})$  is an orthogonal matrix. Hence  $g(m) = m^{1/2 m}$  iff there exists a matrix  $(y_{i,j}) \in X(m)$  such that  $|y_{i,j}| = 1$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^m y_{i,j} y_{k,j} = 0$  for  $i \neq k$ ; such a matrix  $(y_{i,j})$  is called a Hadamard matrix.  $(x_{i,j}) \in X(m)$  is a Hadamard matrix, iff  $|\det(x_{i,j})| = m^{1/2 m}$ .

---

(\*) Formula (1) was needed in an investigation on functional differential equations, cf. [1].

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the set of such  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  that there exists a Hadamard matrix of order  $m$ . It is well known (cf. [2], Chapter 14) that

$$(5) \quad \text{if } m, n \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ then } mn \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$(6) \quad \text{if } m \in \mathcal{H}, m > 2, \text{ then } m \equiv 0 \pmod{4},$$

$$(7) \quad 2^k \in \mathcal{H} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(8) \quad \text{if } m = (p^k + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, p \text{ being an odd prime, } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \text{then } m \in \mathcal{H},$$

$$(9) \quad \text{if } m = h(p^k + 1), h \in \mathcal{H}, h > 2, p \text{ being an odd prime, } k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \text{then } m \in \mathcal{H}$$

and there are known several other sufficient conditions for  $m \in \mathcal{H}$ , but the conjecture that  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  remains undecided so far.

J. H. E. Cohn in [3] showed that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(10) \quad g(m) \geq m^{(1-\varepsilon)m} \text{ for all sufficiently large } m.$$

G. F. Clements and B. Lindström in [4] obtained an estimate of  $g(m)$  from below, from which it follows that

$$(11) \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} (g(m))^{1/m} / m^{1/2} \geq \left( \frac{3}{4} \right)^{1/2}.$$

### 3. Lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\beta$  be irrational  $\beta > 0$ . Let  $S$  be the set of all  $u + v\beta$ ,  $u, v$  being nonnegative integers. Let  $S = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\}$ ,  $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < \dots$ . For every  $\delta > 0$  there exists a  $D > 0$  and to every  $d \geq D$  there exists a  $k$ ,  $K = 1, 2, 3, \dots$  such that  $s_k \leq d < s_{k+1} < s_k + \delta$ .

*Proof.* By the Dirichlet theorem (cf. [5], Chapter 2) for every  $\delta > 0$  there exist integers  $p, q$  such that  $0 < q \leq \delta^{-1} + 1$ ,  $p \geq 0$ ,  $|q\beta - p| < \delta$ . Hence  $p < \delta^{-1}\beta + \beta + \delta$ . Let  $D$  be the least integer such that  $D > (|q\beta - p|^{-1} + 1)(\delta^{-1}\beta + \beta + \delta)$  and let  $r \geq D$  be an integer. Let there be distinguished two cases: (i)  $q\beta - p > 0$ , (ii)  $q\beta - p < 0$ . In the case (i) define  $w_i = r + i(q\beta - p)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, J$ ,  $J$  being the whole part of  $|q\beta - p|^{-1} + 1$ . It follows that  $r - ip \geq 0$ , so that  $w_i \in S$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, J$ ,  $r = w_0 < w_1 < \dots < w_J$ ,  $w_J > r + 1$ ,  $w_{i+1} < w_i + \delta$ . Therefore for every  $d \in \langle r, r + 1 \rangle$  there exists an  $i = 0, 1, \dots, J - 1$  such that  $d \in \langle w_i, w_{i+1} \rangle$ , so that  $w_i \leq d < w_{i+1} < w_i + \delta$ . In the case (ii) it is defined  $w_i = r + 1 + i(q\beta - p)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, J$  and the argumentation is similar. The proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a  $C > 1$  and to every  $m \geq C$  there exist  $a, b \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $a \leq m < b < a(1 + \varepsilon)$ .

**Proof:** Find  $z \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $z \neq 2^k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (cf. (8), (9)). By (5) and (7)  $2^u \cdot z^v = 2^{u+\beta v} \in \mathcal{H}$  for  $u, v = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\beta = \lg z / \lg 2$  is irrational. Put  $\delta = \lg(1 + \varepsilon) / \lg 2$ , find  $D$  according to Lemma 1 and put  $C = 2^D$ . If  $m \geq C$ , then  $d = \lg m / \lg 2 \geq D$  and by Lemma 1 there exist two pairs of nonnegative integers  $(u, v), (\tilde{u}, \tilde{v})$  such that  $u + \beta v \leq d < \tilde{u} + \beta \tilde{v} < u + \beta v + \delta$ . Hence  $2^u \cdot z^v \leq m < 2^{\tilde{u}} \cdot z^{\tilde{v}} < 2^u \cdot z^v \cdot 2^\delta = 2^u \cdot z^v \cdot (1 + \varepsilon)$ . The proof is complete.

**Lemma 3.**  $g(m + n) \geq g(m) \cdot g(n)$ ,  $m, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . This follows immediately from the definition of  $g(m)$ .

4. Proof of (1). By (11) there exists an  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$  such that

$$(12) \quad g(n) \geq \alpha^n n^{\frac{1}{2}n}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Choose  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < e^{-1}$  and find  $C > 1$  according to Lemma 2. Let  $m \geq C$ . By Lemma 2 there exists an  $a \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $a \leq m < a(1 + \varepsilon)$ . By Lemma 3

$$\text{and (12)} \quad g(m) \geq g(a) g(m - a) \geq a^{\frac{1}{2}a} \alpha^{m-a} (m - a)^{\frac{1}{2}(m-a)}, \quad \frac{(g(m))^{1/m}}{m^{\frac{1}{2}}} \geq$$

$$\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \frac{a}{m}} \left(1 - \frac{a}{m}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{a}{m}\right)} \alpha^{\left(1 - \frac{a}{m}\right)} \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\varepsilon)} \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon} \cdot \alpha^\varepsilon$$

and (4) holds, as  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary. The proof of (1) is complete.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] KURZWEIL, J.: Solutions of linear functional differential equations, which are exponentially bounded. *J. Diff. Equations*, *11*, 1972, 111–222.
- [2] HALL, M., Jr.: *Combinatorial Theory*. Blaisdell Publ. Comp., Waltham, Mass., USA, 1967.
- [3] COHN, J. H. E.: On the value of determinants. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, *14*, 1963, 581–583.
- [4] CLEMENTS, G. F.—LINDSTRÖM, B.: A sequence of  $(\pm 1)$  determinants with large values. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, *16*, 1965, 548–550.
- [5] LANG, S.: *Introduction to Diophantine Approximations*. Addison-Wesley Publ. Comp., Reading, Mass., USA, 1966.

Received March 24, 1971

*Matematický ústav  
Československé akademie věd  
Praha*