

Dagmar Medková

Solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 48 (1998), No. 4, 763–784

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127453>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOLUTION OF THE NEUMANN PROBLEM
FOR THE LAPLACE EQUATION

DAGMAR MEDKOVÁ,* Praha

(Received April 24, 1996)

Abstract. For fairly general open sets it is shown that we can express a solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation in the form of a single layer potential of a signed measure which is given by a concrete series. If the open set is simply connected and bounded then the solution of the Dirichlet problem is the double layer potential with a density given by a similar series.

Keywords: single layer potential, generalized normal derivative

MSC 2000: 31B10, 35J05, 35J25

Suppose that $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ ($m \geq 2$) is an open set with a compact boundary ∂G . If h is a harmonic function on G such that

$$\int_H |\operatorname{grad} h| \, d\mathcal{H}_m < \infty$$

for all bounded open subsets H of G we define the weak normal derivative $N^G h$ of h as a distribution

$$\langle \varphi, N^G h \rangle = \int_G \operatorname{grad} \varphi \cdot \operatorname{grad} h \, d\mathcal{H}_m$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ (= the space of all compactly supported infinitely differentiable functions in \mathbb{R}^m). Here \mathcal{H}_k is the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure normalized so that \mathcal{H}_k is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^k . We formulate the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with a boundary condition $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ (= the Banach space of all finite signed Borel measures with support in ∂G with the total variation as a norm) as follows:

* Supported by GAČR Grant No. 201/96/0431

determine a harmonic function h on G for which $N^G h = \mu$. We wish to find the function h in the form of the single layer potential

$$\mathcal{U}\nu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} h_x(y) \, d\nu(y),$$

where $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$,

$$h_x(y) = (m-2)^{-1} A^{-1} |x-y|^{2-m} \quad \text{for } m > 2, \\ A^{-1} \log |x-y|^{-1} \quad \text{for } m = 2,$$

A is the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^m . The single layer potential $\mathcal{U}\nu$ is a harmonic function in G for which the weak normal derivative $N^G \mathcal{U}\nu$ has sense. The operator $N^G \mathcal{U} : \nu \mapsto N^G \mathcal{U}\nu$ is a bounded linear operator on \mathcal{C}' if and only if $V^G < \infty$, where

$$V^G = \sup_{x \in \partial G} v^G(x), \\ v^G(x) = \sup \left\{ \int_G \text{grad } \varphi \cdot \text{grad } h_x \, d\mathcal{H}_m; \varphi \in \mathcal{D}, |\varphi| \leq 1, \text{spt } \varphi \subset \mathbb{R}^m - \{x\} \right\}$$

(see [9]). There are more geometrical characterizations of $v^G(x)$ in [9] which ensure $V^G < \infty$ for G convex or for G with $\partial G \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k L_i$, where L_i are $(m-1)$ -dimensional Ljapunov surfaces i.e. of class $C^{1+\alpha}$ (see [16]).

If $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and θ is a unit vector such that the symmetric difference of G and the half-space $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m; (x-z) \cdot \theta > 0\}$ has m -dimensional density zero at z then $n^G(z) = \theta$ is termed *the interior normal* of G at z in Federer's sense. If there is no interior normal of G at z in this sense, we denote by $n^G(z)$ the zero vector in \mathbb{R}^m . The set $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m; |n^G(y)| > 0\}$ is called the reduced boundary of G and will be denoted by $\widehat{\partial G}$.

If G has a finite perimeter (which is fulfilled if $V^G < \infty$) then $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\widehat{\partial G}) < \infty$ and

$$v^G(x) = \int_{\widehat{\partial G}} |n^G(y) \cdot \text{grad } h_x(y)| \, d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y)$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Throughout the paper we shall assume that $V^G < \infty$.

Denote $C = \mathbb{R}^m - \text{cl } G$ and suppose for a while that $\partial C = \partial G$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $f \in \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{C} is the space of all bounded continuous functions on ∂G equipped with the maximum norm, we may define

$$W^G f(x) = d_G(x) f(x) - \int_{\partial G} f(y) n^G(y) \cdot \text{grad } h_x(y) \, d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y),$$

where

$$d_G(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mathcal{H}_m(\mathcal{U}(x; r) \cap G)}{\mathcal{H}_m(\mathcal{U}(x; r))}$$

is the m -dimensional density of G at the point x and $\mathcal{U}(x; r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m; |x - y| < r\}$. (If $V^G < \infty$ then there is $d_G(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ (see [9], Lemma 2.9).) The double layer potential $W^G f$ is a function harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^m - \text{cl } G$ and continuous on ∂G . Besides that $W^G : f \mapsto W^G f$ is a bounded operator on \mathcal{C} and $N^G \mathcal{U}$ is the dual operator of W^G . If $W^G f = g$ on ∂G then $W^G f$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on C with the boundary condition g (see [9], Theorem 2.19).

If we denote $T^G = 2W^G - I$, where I is the identity operator, then the Dirichlet problem for C and the Neumann problem for G lead to the dual equations

$$(1) \quad (I + T^G)f = 2g,$$

$$(2) \quad (I + T^G)^* \nu = 2\mu.$$

Here L^* denotes the dual operator to the operator L .

If L is a bounded linear operator on the Banach space X we denote by $\|L\|_{\text{ess}}$ the essential norm of L , i.e. the distance of L from the space of all compact linear operators on X . If $\|T^G\|_{\text{ess}} < 1$ then G has a finite number of components and the equation $(I + T^G)^* \nu = 2\mu$ has a solution if and only if $\mu(\partial H) = 0$ for each bounded component H of G . The equation $(I + T^G)f = 2g$ has a solution for each $g \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if G is unbounded and connected. (See [9].) It is well-known that this condition is fulfilled for sets with a smooth boundary (of class $C^{1+\alpha}$) and for convex sets (see [9], [12]). J. Radon proved this condition for a set with bounded rotation in the plane (particularly for a set with a piecewise smooth boundary without cusps) (see [21], [22]). But this condition does not hold even for rectangular domains (i.e. formed by rectangular parallelepipeds) in \mathbb{R}^3 (see [10]). If $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a rectangular domain then there is a norm $\| \cdot \|$ on \mathcal{C} equivalent to the maximum norm such that $\|T^G\|_{\text{ess}} < 1$ (see [10], [1]). This condition is equivalent to

$$(3) \quad r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1,$$

where the essential radius of the bounded linear operator L on the Banach space X is defined by

$$r_{\text{ess}}(L) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|L^n\|_{\text{ess}})^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

(see [4]).

If X is a real Banach space we denote by $\wedge X$ the complexification of X . If L is a linear operator on X we extend L to $\wedge X$ by $L(x + iy) = Lx + iLy$. According to

[26], Chapter IX, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.3 the operator $\lambda I - T^G$ is a Fredholm operator on \mathcal{C} for all complex λ with $|\lambda| \geq 1$ if and only if (3) holds.

A. Rathsfeld showed in [23], [24] that (3) holds for a polyhedral cone in \mathbb{R}^3 . (Compare with the analogical result in [7].) The condition (3) holds even for $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with a piecewise smooth boundary (see [14]).

It is shown in this article that if T^G is quasicompact (i.e. $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$) then $\text{cl } G$ has a finite number of components. The Neumann problem for G with the boundary condition $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ has a solution if and only if $\mu(\partial H) = 0$ for each bounded component H of $\text{cl } G$. We can take this solution in the form of the single layer potential $\mathcal{U}\nu$ where $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ is a solution of the equation $(I + T^G)^*\nu = 2\mu$. The equation $(I + T^G)f = 2g$ has a solution for each $g \in \mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\text{cl } G$ is unbounded and connected.

But how to calculate a solution of the equation (1) or (2)? If G is convex then the series

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(-T^G)^*]^n (2\mu)$$

represents a solution of (2) for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $\mu(\partial G) = 0$.

The attempt to justify the convergence of the series obtained from the equation (1) led C. Neumann to his investigation [17]–[19] of contractivity (for convex domains) of the operator T^G called by him the operator of the arithmetical mean. Neumann's method led to further investigation of domains with a smooth boundary by J. Plemelj (cf. [20]). His approach forms the basis of this paper.

The aim of this article is to prove that if G satisfies (3) then a solution of the Neumann problem for G with the boundary condition $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ can be taken in the form of the single layer potential $\mathcal{U}\nu$ where ν is given by the series

$$\mu + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(-T^G)^*]^n [I - (T^G)^*]\mu.$$

If $\mathbb{R}^m - G$ is unbounded and connected then we can take ν even in the form of the series (4). This condition is necessary for the convergence of the series (4) for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ for which there is a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ . If $\partial C = \partial G$ and $\text{cl } G$ is unbounded and connected then a solution of the Dirichlet problem for C with the boundary condition $g \in \mathcal{C}$ can be taken in the form of the double layer potential $W^G f$ where

$$f = g + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-T^G)^n (I - T^G)g.$$

Lemma 1. *If (3) holds then the set \mathcal{I} of all isolated points of ∂G is finite and*

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \partial G - \mathcal{I}} d_G(X) \leq \sup_{x \in \partial G - \mathcal{I}} d_G(X) < 1.$$

P r o o f. (See proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9].) Since T^G is quasicompact there are a natural number n and a compact linear operator K on \mathcal{C} such that

$$(5) \quad \|(T^G)^n + K\| < 1.$$

By the Radon theorem K can be arbitrarily closely approximated by finite dimensional operators of the form

$$\tilde{K}f = \sum_{k=1}^q \langle f, \nu_k \rangle \varphi_k$$

with $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\nu_k \in \mathcal{C}'$ (see [9], pp. 102–103; compare Chapter V in [25]). Clearly, there is K of the form

$$Kf = \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \langle f, \nu_k \rangle \varphi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{q_2} \psi_k f(y_k)$$

where $M = \{y_1, \dots, y_{q_2}\} \subset \partial G$, $\varphi_k \in \mathcal{C}$, $\psi_k \in \mathcal{C}$, $\nu_k \in \mathcal{C}'$, ν_k does not charge single point sets and (5) is true.

Denote

$$k_1(x, y) = -2n^G(y) \cdot \text{grad } h_x(y)$$

for $x, y \in \partial G$. For fixed $x \in \partial G$ and a natural number p we define $k_p(x, y)$ by the recurrent formula

$$k_{p+1}(x, y) = \int_{\partial G} k_1(x, z) k_p(z, y) d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(z).$$

By the inductive method we prove that for a fixed x the function $k_p(x, y)$ is defined for \mathcal{H}_{m-1} -a.a. $y \in \partial G$, vanishes outside $\widehat{\partial G}$ and

$$\int_{\partial G} |k_p(x, y)| d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \leq 2^p (V^G)^p.$$

Since $(2d_G(x) - 1) = 0$ on $\widehat{\partial G}$ we obtain by the inductive method

$$\begin{aligned} (T^G)^p f(x) &= (2d_G(x) - 1)^p f(x) + (2d_G(x) - 1)^{p-1} \int_{\partial G} k_1(x, y) f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \\ &+ (2d_G(x) - 1)^{p-2} \int_{\partial G} k_2(x, y) f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) + \dots \\ &+ (2d_G(x) - 1) \int_{\partial G} k_{p-1}(x, y) f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \\ &+ \int_{\partial G} k_p(x, y) f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$k(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^n (2 d_G(x) - 1)^{n-j} k_j(x, y).$$

Then

$$(T^G)^n f(x) = (2 d_G(x) - 1)^n f(x) + \int_{\partial G} k(x, y) f(y) d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y).$$

Denote by λ_x the measure

$$\int f d\lambda_x = (T^G)^n f(x).$$

Then for $x \in \partial G - M$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda_x + \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \varphi_k(x) \nu_k \right\| &\leq \left\| \lambda_x + \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \varphi_k(x) \nu_k \right\| + \sum_{k=1}^{q_2} |\psi_k(x)| \\ &= \left\| \lambda_x + \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \varphi_k(x) \nu_k + \sum_{k=1}^{q_2} \psi_k(x) \delta_{y^k} \right\| \\ &= \sup \{ |(T^G)^n f(x) + K f(x)|; f \in \mathcal{C}, |f| \leq 1 \} \leq \|(T^G)^n + K\|. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\tilde{K} f(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \varphi_k(y) \langle f, \nu_k \rangle.$$

Then $(T^G)^n + \tilde{K}$ is a bounded operator on \mathcal{C} . Let now $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}$, $|\varphi| \leq 1$. Since for $x \in \partial G - M$

$$|(T^G)^n \varphi(x) + \tilde{K} \varphi(x)| \leq \left\| \lambda_x + \sum_{k=1}^{q_1} \varphi_k(x) \nu_k \right\| \leq \|(T^G)^n + K\|$$

the continuity of the function $(T^G)^n \varphi + \tilde{K} \varphi$ yields $\|(T^G)^n \varphi(x) + \tilde{K} \varphi(x)\| \leq \|(T^G)^n + K\|$ for $x \in \text{cl}(\partial G - M)$. For fixed $x \in \text{cl}(\partial G - M)$ and a natural number k put $\varphi_k(y) = \max(0, 1 - k|y - x|)$. Then we obtain from (5) that $|2 d_G(x) - 1|^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |(T^G)^n \varphi_k(x) + \tilde{K} \varphi_k(x)| \leq \|(T^G)^n + K\| < 1$. Since $\partial G - \mathcal{I} \subset \text{cl}(\partial G - M)$ we have $\mathcal{I} \subset M$, \mathcal{I} is finite and the inequality in the lemma holds. \square

Lemma 2. *If $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$ then $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G) < \infty$, $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G - \hat{\partial} G) = 0$.*

Proof. Since G has a finite perimeter and $0 < d_G(x) < 1$ for \mathcal{H}_m -a.a. $x \in \partial G$ by Lemma 1, we obtain $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\hat{\partial} G) < \infty$ and $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G - \hat{\partial} G) = 0$ by the Gauss-Green theorem (see [3], Theorem 4.5.6). \square

Note 1. Denote $\tilde{G} = \text{int cl } G$. Then $\mathcal{H}_m(\tilde{G} - G) = 0$, $\partial\tilde{G} = \partial C$, $V^{\tilde{G}} < \infty$, $N^{\tilde{G}} = N^G$. If $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\nu(M) = 0$ for $M \subset \partial G - \partial\tilde{G}$ then $N^G \mathcal{U}\nu(M) = \nu(M)$ for $M \subset \partial G - \partial\tilde{G}$. If $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$ then we obtain $r_{\text{ess}}(T^{\tilde{G}}) < 1$ because ∂G and $\partial\tilde{G}$ differ only at finitely many isolated points of ∂G by Lemma 1. So, throughout the rest of the paper we will assume that $\partial G = \partial C$.

Lemma 3. *If W^G is Fredholm then $\text{cl } G$ has a finite number of components.*

Proof. Suppose the opposite. Then we are going to construct such a sequence $\{A_j\}$ of nonempty closed subsets of $\text{cl } G$ that $\text{cl } G - A_j$ is closed, $A_{j+1} \not\subseteq A_j$ and A_j has infinitely many components. Put $A_1 = \text{cl } G$. For a given A_j we construct A_{j+1} in the following way. Since A_j is not connected there are nonempty closed disjoint sets C, D such that $C \cup D = A_j$. If H is a component of A_j then $C \cap H, H \cap D$ are closed sets. Since H is connected, necessarily $C \cap H = \emptyset$ or $H \cap D = \emptyset$ and thus either $H \subset C$ or $H \subset D$. Now we denote by A_{j+1} one of the sets C, D which has infinitely many components.

If there is a natural number i such that A_i is bounded we put $B_j = A_j$ for $j \geq i$. If A_j is unbounded for each j we put $i = 1$, $B_j = \text{cl } G - A_j$. Now we choose for every $j \geq i$ a function $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi_j = 1$ on a neighbourhood of B_j and $\varphi_j = 0$ on a neighbourhood of $\text{cl } G - B_j$. If $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ then

$$(N^G \mathcal{U}\nu)(\partial B_j) = \langle \varphi_j, N^G \mathcal{U}\nu \rangle = \int_G \text{grad } \varphi_j \cdot \text{grad } \mathcal{U}\nu = 0.$$

So $N^G \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}')$ has an infinite codimension in \mathcal{C}' . Since $N^G \mathcal{U}$ is the dual operator of W^G the operator $N^G \mathcal{U}$ is Fredholm, too, by [26], Chapter VII, Theorem 3.5. This is a contradiction. \square

Note 2. If $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$ then $r_{\text{ess}}(T^C) < 1$ because $T^C = -T^G$. So, if $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$ then $\text{cl } G$ and $\mathbb{R}^m - G$ have a finite number of components by Lemma 3 and [26], Chapter IX, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 1.3.

Definition. We shall denote by \mathcal{C}'_c the subspace of those $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ for which there exists a (finite) continuous function $\mathcal{U}_c \mu$ on \mathbb{R}^m such that $\mathcal{U}_c \mu = \mathcal{U} \mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^m - \partial G$.

Lemma 4. *Let p be a positive integer and λ a complex number with $|\lambda| > r_{\text{ess}}(T^G)$. Then any $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ satisfying the homogeneous equation*

$$[(T^G)^* + \lambda I]^p \mu = 0$$

necessarily belongs to \mathcal{C}'_c .

Proof. The lemma is an easy generalization of [9], Theorem 4.10 and we can obtain it by repeating all reasonings in [9], §4. \square

Notation. Let us define a function θ on \mathbb{R}^m as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(x) &= \exp(|x|^2 - 1)^{-1} \quad \text{for } |x| < 1, \\ \theta(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1.\end{aligned}$$

For $\delta > 0$ put

$$\theta_\delta(x) = h_\delta \theta(x/\delta)$$

with $h_\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ chosen so that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \theta_\delta(x) \, d\mathcal{H}_m(x) = 1.$$

Clearly, $\theta_\delta \in \mathcal{D}$ for each δ .

If f is locally integrable over \mathbb{R}^m we denote

$$R_\delta f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f(y) \theta_\delta(x - y) \, d\mathcal{H}_m(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^m.$$

Then $R_\delta f \in \mathcal{D}$. If $|f(y)| \leq \beta$ holds for \mathcal{H}_m -almost all $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ then the inequality

$$|R_\delta f(x)| \leq \beta$$

is true for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. If f is continuous then $R_\delta f$ converges locally uniformly to f for $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$.

Finally, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ let

$$B^\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^m; \text{dist}(x, \partial G) > \varepsilon\}.$$

Lemma 5. Suppose that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0_+} R_\delta \mathcal{U} \mu = \mathcal{U} \mu$$

holds quasi - everywhere in \mathbb{R}^m and for each $\delta \in (0, \varepsilon)$ we have $R_\delta \mathcal{U} \mu = \mathcal{U} \mu$ on B^ε .

P r o o f. See [15], proof of Lemma 22. □

Lemma 6. Suppose $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$. In the case $m = 2$ suppose moreover that $\mu(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } R_\delta \mathcal{U} \mu|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m &< \infty, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \mathcal{U} \mu|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m &< \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{U}\mu(x)| = 0$$

there is $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $|\mathcal{U}_c\mu| \leq \beta$. Fix $R > 1$ such that $\partial G \subset \mathcal{U}(0; R)$. Suppose $r > 2R$, $\delta \in (0, 1)$. By the Gauss-Green theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}(0;r)} R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(z) (-n^{\mathcal{U}(0;r)}(z)) \cdot \text{grad}(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(z)) \, d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{U}(0;r)} |\text{grad}(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x))|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m(x) \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{U}(0;r)} (R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \Delta(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \, d\mathcal{H}_m(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfy $|\varphi| \leq 1$ on \mathbb{R}^m and $\varphi = 1$ on $\mathcal{U}(0; 2R)$. By Lemma 5 the function $R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu$ is harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^m - \mathcal{U}(0; 2R)$ and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad & \int_{\mathcal{U}(0;r)} (R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \Delta(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \, d\mathcal{H}_m(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x) (R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \Delta(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \, d\mathcal{H}_m(x). \end{aligned}$$

It is well-known that $\Delta \mathcal{U}\mu = -\mu$ in the sense of distributions. Since $R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu = \theta_\delta * (\mathcal{U}\mu)$ is the convolution of the functions θ_δ and $\mathcal{U}\mu$ we have $\Delta(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu) = \theta_\delta * (\Delta \mathcal{U}\mu) = \theta_\delta * (-\mu)$ in the sense of distributions (compare [27]). Since $\varphi(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu) \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (8) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \varphi(x) (R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \Delta(R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)) \, d\mathcal{H}_m(x) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} R_\delta(\varphi R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu)(x) \, d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu| \leq \beta$, because $|\mathcal{U}\mu| \leq \beta$ on $\mathbb{R}^m - \partial G$ and $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$, we get from (6), (7) and (8) the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{U}(0;r)} |\text{grad} R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu(x)|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m \leq \beta \|\mu\| + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}(0;r)} |R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu| |\text{grad} R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu| \, d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(z) \\ &= \beta \|\mu\| + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}(0;r)} |\mathcal{U}\mu| |\text{grad} \mathcal{U}\mu| \, d\mathcal{H}_{m-1} \\ &\leq \beta \|\mu\| + \beta \frac{1}{A} \frac{\|\mu\|}{(r-R)^{m-1}} A r^{m-1} \leq 2^m \beta \|\mu\| \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5. Hence

$$(9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad} R_\delta \mathcal{U}\mu|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m \leq 2^m \beta \|\mu\|.$$

Lemma 5 yields

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0_+} \text{grad } R_\delta \mathcal{U} \mu(x) = \text{grad } \mathcal{U} \mu(x)$$

whenever $x \in \mathbb{R}^m - \partial G$. Since $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$, Fatou's lemma may be applied to assert $\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \mathcal{U} \mu|^2 \leq 2^m \beta \|\mu\|$. \square

Lemma 7. *Suppose $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$. Let $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{C}'_c$. In the case $m = 2$ suppose moreover that $\nu_i(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then*

$$\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1 \, dN^G \mathcal{U} \nu_2 = \int_G \text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu_1 \cdot \text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu_2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m.$$

P r o o f. (Compare with [15].) Let ψ be an infinitely differentiable function in \mathbb{R}^1 , $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, $\psi(t) = 1$ for $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ and $\psi(t) = 0$ for $t \in (2, \infty)$. For $\delta > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ put

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_\delta(x) &= \psi(\delta|x|), \\ \varphi_\delta(x) &= \psi_\delta(x)(R_\delta \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1)(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{U}_c \nu_1$ is continuous, φ_δ converge to $\mathcal{U}_c \nu_1$ uniformly on ∂G for $\delta \rightarrow 0_+$. Since $\varphi_\delta \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$(10) \quad \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1 \, dN^G \mathcal{U} \nu_2 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0_+} \int_{\partial G} \varphi_\delta \, dN^G \mathcal{U} \nu_2 = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0_+} \int_G \text{grad } \varphi_\delta \cdot \text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu_2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m.$$

We are going to prove

$$(11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \varphi_\delta|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m \leq K \quad \text{for } \delta \in (0, \delta_0).$$

Choose $\delta_0 \in (0, 1/2)$ such that $\partial G \subset \mathcal{U}(0; 1/(2\delta_0))$. Let $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$. Denote by χ the characteristic function of the set $\mathcal{U}(0; 2/\delta) - \mathcal{U}(0; 1/\delta)$. Since $R_\delta \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1 = \mathcal{U} \nu_1$ on $\mathbb{R}^m - \mathcal{U}(0; 1/\delta_0)$ by Lemma 5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \varphi_\delta|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\psi_\delta \text{grad}(R_\delta \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1) + (R_\delta \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1) \text{grad } \psi_\delta|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} [|\text{grad } R_\delta \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1| + |\mathcal{U} \nu_1| \chi \sup |\psi'| \delta]^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } R_\delta \mathcal{U} \nu_1|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{U}(0; 2/\delta) - \mathcal{U}(0; 1/\delta)} [(\sup |\psi'|)^2 \delta^2 |\mathcal{U} \nu_1|^2 + 2|\mathcal{U} \nu_1| \delta |\text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu_1| \sup |\psi'|] \, d\mathcal{H}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since there is a positive constant L such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{U}\nu_1(x)| &\leq \frac{L}{|x|^{m-2}}, \\ |\text{grad } \mathcal{U}\nu_1(x)| &\leq \frac{L}{|x|^{m-1}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^m - \mathcal{U}(0; 1/\delta_0)$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \varphi_\delta|^2 d\mathcal{H}_m \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } R_\delta \mathcal{U}\nu_1|^2 d\mathcal{H}_m + A\delta_0^{m-2} \sup |\psi'| L^2 (2 + \sup |\psi'|)$$

and (11) holds according to Lemma 6.

According to [28], Chapter V, §2, Theorem 1 there are $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and a sequence $\delta_n \searrow 0$ such that

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \varphi_{\delta_n} \right) g d\mathcal{H}_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_k g d\mathcal{H}_m$$

holds for each $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $k = 1, \dots, m$. Since Lemma 6 yields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{U}\nu_2 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ we obtain from (10) and (12)

$$\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \nu_1 dN^G \mathcal{U}\nu_2 = \int_G \sum_{k=1}^m f_k \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{U}\nu_2 \right) d\mathcal{H}_m.$$

It suffices to prove that $f_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{U}\nu_1$. Let $g \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ have a compact support disjoint with ∂G . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} f_k g d\mathcal{H}_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \varphi_{\delta_n} d\mathcal{H}_m = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{U}\nu_1 d\mathcal{H}_m$$

by Lemma 5. Since $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$, the set of such g is dense in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{U}\nu_1 \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ by Lemma 6, we have $f_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \mathcal{U}\nu_1$. \square

Lemma 8. *If G is bounded then there is a positive $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $(T^G)^* \nu = -\nu$ and $\mathcal{U}\nu$ is constant in G .*

Proof. According to [11], Chapter II, §1 and §4 there is a positive measure ν on $\text{cl}G$, a constant L and a Borel set K of null capacity such that $\mathcal{U}\nu = L$ on $\text{cl}G - K$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(K) = 0$ by [11], Theorem 3.13 and $\mathcal{U}\nu$ is lower semicontinuous by [11], Theorem 1.3, we obtain $\mathcal{U}\nu \leq L$ in G . Since $\mathcal{U}\nu$ is super-mean-valued by [11], Theorem 1.4 we have $\mathcal{U}\nu = L$ in G . Since $\Delta \mathcal{U}\nu = -\nu$ in the sense of distributions (see [9], Remark 5.7) and $\Delta \mathcal{U}\nu = 0$ in G obviously ν is supported by ∂G . If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ then $\langle \varphi, N^G \mathcal{U}\nu \rangle = \int_G \text{grad } \varphi \cdot \text{grad } \mathcal{U}\nu d\mathcal{H}_m = 0$ and thus $[(T^G)^* + I]\nu = \frac{1}{2} N^G \mathcal{U}\nu = 0$. \square

Lemma 9. If $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$ then $(N^G \mathcal{U} \nu)(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$.

Proof. If G is bounded, choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$, $\varphi \equiv 1$ on a neighbourhood of $\text{cl } G$. Then

$$(N^G \mathcal{U} \nu)(\mathbb{R}^m) = \langle \varphi, N^G \mathcal{U} \nu \rangle = \int_G \text{grad } \varphi \cdot \text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu = 0.$$

If G is unbounded then C is bounded. Since

$$N^G \mathcal{U} \nu = \frac{1}{2}[I + (T^G)^*] \nu = \frac{1}{2}[I - (T^C)^*] \nu = \frac{1}{2}(2I - N^C \mathcal{U}) \nu$$

we have

$$(N^G \mathcal{U} \nu)(\mathbb{R}^m) = \nu(\mathbb{R}^m) - \frac{1}{2}(N^C \mathcal{U} \nu)(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 10. Let λ_1, λ_2 be complex numbers, $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\nu_i(\mathbb{R}^m) \neq 0$, $N^G \mathcal{U} \nu_i = \lambda_i \nu_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{C}'_0 = \{\mu \in \mathcal{C}'; \mu(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0\}$. Then there are $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ and a complex number α such that

$$\nu_2 = \alpha \nu_1 + \mu.$$

Then

$$\lambda_1 \alpha \nu_1 + N^G \mathcal{U} \mu = N^G \mathcal{U}(\alpha \nu_1 + \mu) = N^G \mathcal{U} \nu_2 = \lambda_2 \nu_2 = \lambda_2 \alpha \nu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu.$$

Hence

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \alpha \nu_1 = \lambda_2 \mu - N^G \mathcal{U} \mu.$$

Since $\lambda_2 \mu - N^G \mathcal{U} \mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ by Lemma 9, necessarily $(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \alpha \nu_1 = 0$. □

Proposition 1. Suppose $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $(T^G)^*$, $|\lambda| \geq 1$. Then $\lambda \in \{-1; 1\}$.

Proof. Choose $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$, an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . Since $(T^G)^* = -(T^C)^*$ Lemma 8 yields that there is a positive measure $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $(T^G)^* \mu = -\mu$ for G bounded and $(T^G)^* \mu = \mu$ for C bounded. If $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) \neq 0$ then $\lambda \in \{-1; 1\}$ by Lemma 10.

Suppose $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$. Denote by $\bar{\nu}$ the complex conjugate of ν . Since $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'_c$ by Lemma 4 we obtain from Lemma 2 and Lemma 7

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |\text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu|^2 &= \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \bar{\nu} dN^G \mathcal{U} \nu = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \bar{\nu} d(T^G + I)^* \nu = \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \bar{\nu} d\nu \\ &= \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \bar{\nu} d(N^G \mathcal{U} \nu + N^C \mathcal{U} \nu) = \frac{\lambda + 1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu|^2 \end{aligned}$$

If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \mathcal{U}\nu|^2 \neq 0$$

then $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}(\lambda + 1) \leq 1$ and $\lambda \in \{-1; 1\}$ because $|\lambda| \geq 1$. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \mathcal{U}\nu|^2 = 0$$

then $\mathcal{U}\nu$ is constant on G and on C . Since $\mathcal{U}_c\nu$ is continuous and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{U}\nu(x)| = 0$$

we have $\mathcal{U}_c\nu \equiv 0$. Since $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ by Lemma 2 we obtain $\nu = 0$ by [11], Theorem 1.12 and Theorem 1.12', which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 11. *Let $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) \neq 0$, $(T^G)^*\nu = \lambda\nu$, $\lambda \neq 0$. Then there is no $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $[\lambda I - (T^G)^*]\mu = \nu$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is such a $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$. Then there are a complex number α and $\mu' \in \mathcal{C}'_0 = \{\varrho \in \mathcal{C}'; \varrho(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0\}$ such that $\mu = \alpha\nu + \mu'$. Then $\nu = [\lambda I - (T^G)^*]\mu = [\lambda I - (T^G)^*]\mu' \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ by Lemma 9, which is a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2. *Suppose $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of the operator $(T^G)^*$, let $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ be a corresponding eigenvector. If $|\lambda| \geq 1$ then there is no $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that*

$$[\lambda I - (T^G)^*]\mu = \nu.$$

Proof. According to Lemma 11 it suffices to suppose $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$. Suppose that there exists such a μ . According to Proposition 1 we have

$$(13) \quad N^G \mathcal{U}\nu = 0, \quad N^G \mathcal{U}\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\nu,$$

or

$$N^C \mathcal{U}\nu = 0, \quad N^C \mathcal{U}\mu = \frac{1}{2}\nu.$$

We can suppose that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$. Lemma 4 yields that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_c$, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'_c$. If (13) holds we obtain by Lemma 7 and Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c\mu \, dN^G \mathcal{U}\nu - \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c\nu \, dN^G \mathcal{U}\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c\nu \, d\nu \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c\nu \, d[N^G \mathcal{U}\nu + N^C \nu] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\text{grad } \mathcal{U}\nu|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{U}\nu(x)| = 0$ we have $\mathcal{U}_c\nu \equiv 0$. Since $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ we have $\nu = 0$ by [11], Theorem 1.12 and Theorem 1.12', which is a contradiction. The other case is analogical. \square

Proposition 3. Let X be a complex Banach space and T a bounded linear operator on X . Suppose that $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are different complex numbers such that $\min\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_k|\} > r > r_{\text{ess}}(T)$. Suppose that $\sigma(T) \cap \{\lambda; |\lambda| > r\} \subset \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ and $\text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T) = \text{Ker}((\lambda_j I - T)^2)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$, where $\sigma(T)$ denotes the spectrum of the operator T and $\text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T)$ is the null space of the operator $(\lambda_j I - T)$. Denote

$$P(\lambda) = \prod_{j=2}^k (\lambda - \lambda_j) \quad \text{for } k > 1,$$

$$1 \quad \text{for } k = 1,$$

$$Q(\lambda) = \frac{P(\lambda) - P(\lambda_1)}{\lambda - \lambda_1}.$$

Then there are constants $M > 0$, $q \in (0; 1)$ such that for each $y \in (\lambda_1 I - T)(X)$ and any natural number n we have

$$(14) \quad \|(\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n P(T)y\| \leq M q^n \|y\|$$

and the series

$$(15) \quad P(\lambda_1)^{-1} \left[Q(T)y + \lambda_1^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_1^{-1} T)^j P(T)y \right]$$

is a solution of the equation

$$(16) \quad (\lambda_1 I - T)x = y.$$

Proof. Put $\sigma_j = \sigma(T) \cap \{\lambda_j\}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Put $\sigma_{k+1} = \sigma(T) - \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$. Let P_j be the spectral projection corresponding to the spectral set σ_j for $j = 1, \dots, k+1$ (see [26], Chapter VI, §4). Then $P_1 + \dots + P_{k+1} = I$ and X is a direct sum of the spaces $P_1(X), \dots, P_{k+1}(X)$.

Since T maps $P_{k+1}(X)$ into $P_{k+1}(X)$ and the restriction of T on $P_{k+1}(X)$ has a spectral radius smaller than or equal to r there are constants $K > 0$ and $q \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(17) \quad \|(\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n y\| \leq K q^n \|y\|$$

for each $y \in P_{k+1}(X)$.

Fix $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. If $\sigma_j = \emptyset$ then $P_j = 0$ and $P_j(X) = \{0\} = \text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T)$, $\text{Ker } P_j = (\lambda_j I - T)(X)$. Now, let $\sigma_j = \{\lambda_j\}$. Since $r_{\text{ess}}(T) < |\lambda_j|$ the operator

$(\lambda_j I - T)$ is Fredholm with index 0 by [26], Chapter VII, Theorem 5.4. According to [26], Chapter V, Theorem 2.3 the operator $(\lambda_j I - A)^2$ is Fredholm with index 0, too. Since $\text{codim}(\lambda_j I - T)(X) = \dim \text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T) = \dim \text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T)^2 = \text{codim}(\lambda_j I - T)^2(X)$ and $(\lambda_j I - T)^2(X) \subset (\lambda_j I - T)(X)$ we have $(\lambda_j I - T)^2(X) = (\lambda_j I - T)(X)$. By [8], Satz 50.2 we have $P_j(X) = \text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T)$, $\text{Ker } P_j = (\lambda_j I - T)(X)$.

Now let $y \in (\lambda_1 I - T)(X)$. Since $(\lambda_1 I - T)(X) = \text{Ker } P_1$ we have

$$y = \sum_{j=2}^{k+1} P_j y.$$

Since $P_j(X) = \text{Ker}(\lambda_j I - T)$ for $j = 2, \dots, k$ and thus $P(T)P_j y = 0$. We obtain

$$\|(\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n P(T)y\| = \|(\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n P(T)P_{k+1}y\| \leq K q^n (\|P(T)\| \|P_{k+1}\| \|y\|),$$

because $P(T)P_{k+1}(X) \subset P_{k+1}(X)$. The series (15) converges and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_1 I - T)P(\lambda_1)^{-1} [Q(T)y + \lambda_1^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n P(T)y] \\ &= P(\lambda_1)^{-1} [P(\lambda_1)y - P(T)y + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n P(T)y - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_1^{-1} T)^n P(T)y] = y. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 12. *Suppose $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$. Denote by H_1, \dots, H_p the components of $\text{cl } G$. Suppose that $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ satisfies $N^G \mathcal{U} \nu = 0$. Then there are $c_1, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $\mathcal{U} \nu = c_i$ on $\text{int } H_i$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) = 0$. Since $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'_c$ by Lemma 4 we obtain from Lemma 7

$$0 = \int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_c \nu \, dN^G \mathcal{U} \nu = \int_G |\text{grad } \mathcal{U} \nu|^2 \, d\mathcal{H}_m.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is constant on each component of G . Since $\mathcal{U}_c \nu$ is continuous and $\mathcal{U} \nu = \mathcal{U}_c \nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^m - \partial G$, $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is constant on $\text{int } H_i$.

Suppose now that $\nu(\mathbb{R}^m) \neq 0$. If G is bounded, Lemma 8 yields that there is $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}'$ such that $N^G \mathcal{U} \lambda = 0$, $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^m) \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{U} \lambda$ is constant on G . Thus

$$\mathcal{U} \nu = \frac{\nu(\mathbb{R}^m)}{\lambda(\mathbb{R}^m)} \mathcal{U} \lambda + \mathcal{U} \left(\nu - \frac{\nu(\mathbb{R}^m)}{\lambda(\mathbb{R}^m)} \lambda \right)$$

is constant on $\text{int } H_i$.

If G is not bounded, Lemma 8 yields that there is $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\lambda(\mathbb{R}^m) \neq 0$ such that

$$T^G \lambda = -T^C \lambda = \lambda,$$

which is a contradiction with Lemma 10. □

Theorem 1. Suppose that $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ then the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ has a solution if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ (= the space of such $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ for which $\nu(\partial H) = 0$ for each bounded component H of $\text{cl } G$). We can take a solution in the form of the single layer potential $\mathcal{U}\nu$ where

$$(18) \quad \nu = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(-T^G)^*]^j [I - (T^G)^*] \mu.$$

Moreover, there are constants $M > 0$, $q \in (0; 1)$ such that

$$(19) \quad \| [(-T^G)^*]^j [I - (T^G)^*] \mu \| \leq Mq^j \|\mu\|$$

for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ and any natural number j .

If $\mathbb{R}^m - G$ is unbounded and connected then

$$(20) \quad \| [(-T^G)^*]^j \mu \| \leq Mq^j \|\mu\|$$

for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ and any natural number j and

$$(21) \quad \nu = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} [(-T^G)^*]^j 2\mu.$$

The series (21) converges for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ if and only if $\mathbb{R}^m - G$ is unbounded and connected.

P r o o f. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$, h be a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ . Let H be a bounded component of $\text{cl } G$. Since $\text{cl } G$ has a finite number of components by Lemma 3, we can choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on H and $\varphi = 0$ on $\text{cl } G - H$. Then

$$\mu(\partial H) = \langle \varphi, \mu \rangle = \int_G \text{grad } h \cdot \text{grad } \varphi = 0.$$

Let H_1, \dots, H_p be all bounded components of $\text{cl } G$. We are going to prove that

$$N^G \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}') = \{ \mu \in \mathcal{C}' ; \mu(\partial H_i) = 0; i = 1, \dots, p \}.$$

Since $\mathcal{U}\nu$ is a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition $N^G \mathcal{U}\nu$ we have

$$N^G \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}') \subset \{ \mu \in \mathcal{C}' ; \mu(\partial H_i) = 0; i = 1, \dots, p \}.$$

Since

$$p = \text{codim}\{\mu \in \mathcal{C}' ; \mu(\partial H_i) = 0; i = 1, \dots, p\} \leq \text{codim } N^G \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}') = \dim \text{Ker } N^G \mathcal{U}$$

because $N^G \mathcal{U}$ is a Fredholm operator with index 0, it suffices to prove that $\dim \text{Ker } N^G \mathcal{U} \leq p$.

If $\nu \in \text{Ker } N^G \mathcal{U}$ then $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$ by Lemma 4 and $\mathcal{U}_c \nu$ remains constant on each component of $\text{cl } G$ by Lemma 12. If G is unbounded and H_0 is the unbounded component of $\text{cl } G$ then $\mathcal{U}_c \nu$ must vanish on H_0 . This is clear provided $m > 2$, because then $\mathcal{U} \nu$ tends to zero at infinity, while for $m = 2$ the relation

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \mathcal{U} \nu(x) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \nu(\partial G) \log |x| \right| = 0$$

shows that the potential $\mathcal{U} \nu$ can remain constant on H_0 only if $\nu(\partial G) = 0$ when its limit at infinity equals zero.

If $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\mathcal{U} \nu = 0$ in G , $\mathcal{U} \nu$ converges to 0 at infinity then $\mathcal{U}_c \nu$ is a harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^m - \partial G$ which vanishes on ∂G and converges to 0 at infinity, hence $\mathcal{U} \nu = \mathcal{U}_c \nu = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^m - \partial G$. Since $\mathcal{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ by Lemma 2, we obtain $\nu = 0$ by [11], Theorem 1.12, Theorem 1.12'.

If there is no $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ with $\mu(\partial G) \neq 0$ such that $\mathcal{U} \mu$ vanishes identically on G then $\dim \text{Ker } N^G \mathcal{U} \leq p$. Suppose now that there exists such a μ . Then $m = 2$ and G is bounded. We are going to prove that there is no $\nu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\nu(\partial G) = 0$ such that $\mathcal{U} \nu = 1$ on G . It yields that $\dim \text{Ker } N^G \mathcal{U} \leq p$.

Fix $r > 1$ large enough to guarantee $\text{cl } G \subset \mathcal{U}(0; r)$ and consider a probability measure \mathcal{H} distributed on $\partial \mathcal{U}(0; r)$ with a constant density with respect to \mathcal{H}_1 . As is noticed in [9], Remark 5.10,

$$\mathcal{U} \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{U}(0; r) \supset \text{cl } G.$$

Fubini's theorem implies the reciprocity law

$$(22) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{U} \nu \, d\mathcal{H} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{H} \, d\nu.$$

Now $\mathcal{U} \nu$ (being harmonic on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \text{cl } G$ and tending to 1 at $\partial(\mathbb{R}^2 - \text{cl } G)$ and to zero at infinity) remains positive on $\mathbb{R}^2 - \text{cl } G \supset \partial \mathcal{U}(0; r)$, so that the left-hand side of (22) is positive, while the right-hand side equals $\nu(\partial G) \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r} = 0$. (Compare [9], proof of Proposition 5.11.)

We have proved that there is a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ and we can take a solution in the form of the single layer potential $\mathcal{U}\nu$ where

$$[I + (T^G)^*]\nu = 2\mu.$$

Propositions 1, 2 and 3 yield the relations (18), (19), (20), (21).

Suppose now that $\mathbb{R}^n - G$ is not unbounded and connected. Since $\text{cl}C$ has a bounded component and $r_{\text{ess}}(T^C) = r_{\text{ess}}(T^G)$ we have

$$[I - (T^G)^*](\mathcal{C}') = [I + (T^C)^*](\mathcal{C}') = N^C \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{C}') \not\subseteq \mathcal{C}'.$$

Since $I - (T^G)^*$ is a Fredholm operator with index 0 by [26], Chapter IX, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 1.3 and Chapter VII, Theorem 3.5, there is a $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$, $\mu \neq 0$ such that $(T^G)^*\mu = \mu$. Since $\mu = \frac{1}{2}N^G \mathcal{U}\mu$ we have $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$. But the series (21) diverges. \square

Example 1. Consider $G = \mathcal{U}(0; r) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $x \in \partial G$ we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} T^G f(x) &= -2 \int_{\partial G} f(y) \frac{y}{r} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{y-x}{|x-y|^2} d\mathcal{H}_1(y) \\ &= - \int_{\partial G} f(y) \frac{1}{2\pi r} \frac{|y|^2 + |x|^2 - 2y \cdot x}{|x-y|^2} d\mathcal{H}_1(y) = -\frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial G} f(y) d\mathcal{H}_1(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(T^G)^*\mu = \mu(\partial G)\mathcal{H},$$

where

$$\int_{\partial G} f d\mathcal{H} = -\frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial G} f d\mathcal{H}_1(y).$$

Using Theorem 1 we obtain that for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ for which $\mu(\partial G) = 0$ we can take a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ in the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}(0; r)} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu(y).$$

Example 2. Consider $G = \mathbb{R}^2 - \mathcal{U}(0; r)$. Since $T^G = -T^C$ we obtain from Example 1 that

$$(T^G)^*\mu = \mu(\partial G)\mathcal{H},$$

where

$$\int_{\partial G} f d\mathcal{H} = +\frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial G} f(y) d\mathcal{H}_1(y).$$

Using Theorem 1 we obtain that for $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'$ we can take a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ in the form

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}(0;r)} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu(y) - \frac{\mu(\mathbb{R}^m)}{4\pi^2 r} \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}(0;r)} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mathcal{H}_1(y).$$

Since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi r} \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}(0;r)} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mathcal{H}_1(y) - \log \frac{1}{|x|}$$

is a harmonic function on G which vanishes on ∂G by [9], Remark 5.10 and tends to zero at infinity it vanishes in G . Thus

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\partial \mathcal{W}(0;r)} \log \frac{1}{|x-y|} d\mu(y) - \frac{\mu(\mathbb{R}^m)}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|x|}$$

is a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ .

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$ and $\text{cl } G$ is unbounded and connected. Then there are constants $M > 0$, $q \in (0; 1)$ such that*

$$(23) \quad \|(-T^G)^j(I - T^G)f\| \leq Mq^j \|f\|$$

for each $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and any natural number j . The solution of the Dirichlet problem for C with the boundary condition $g \in \mathcal{C}$ is the double layer potential

$$W^G f(x) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{\partial G} f(y) n^G(y) \cdot \frac{y-x}{|y-x|^m} d\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y),$$

where

$$(24) \quad f = g + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-T^G)^j (I - T^G)g.$$

Proof. Since $\lambda I + T^G$ is a Fredholm operator with index 0 for $|\lambda| \geq 1$, we have $\sigma(T^G) \cap \{\lambda; |\lambda| \geq 1\} \subset \{-1; 1\}$ by Proposition 1, [28], Chapter VIII, §6, Lemma 1 and [26], Chapter VII, Theorem 3.5. Since there is a natural number n and a linear compact operator K on ${}^{\wedge}\mathcal{C}$ such that $\|(T^G)^n + K\| < 1$ we obtain from [13], Lemma 2 that $\sigma((T^G)^n) \cap \{\lambda; |\lambda| \geq 1\}$ is an isolated subset of $\sigma((T^G)^n)$. Since $\sigma((T^G)^n) = \{\lambda^n; \lambda \in \sigma(T^G)\}$ by [28], Chapter VIII, §7, the set $\sigma(T^G) \cap \{\lambda; |\lambda| \geq 1\}$ is an isolated subset of $\sigma(T^G)$. Theorem 1 yields that $(I + T^G)^*(\mathcal{C}') = \mathcal{C}'$. Since $(I + T^G)$ is a Fredholm operator of index 0 we have $\text{Ker}((I + T^G)^*) = \{0\}$. Since $I + T^G$ is a Fredholm operator we have $(I + T^G)(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{C}$ by [28], Chapter VII, §5. Now, the assertion of the theorem is a consequence of Proposition 3. \square

Note 3. Suppose that $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$, $\text{cl}G$ is unbounded and connected, $g \in \mathcal{C}$. Let M, q be the constants from Theorem 2. Since

$$\sup_{x \in C} |W^G h(x)| \leq \|h\| \left(V^G + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

for each $h \in \mathcal{C}$ by [9], Theorem 2.16, we obtain from Theorem 2

$$\sup_{x \in C} |W^G g_j(x)| \leq M \left(V^G + \frac{1}{2} \right) q^j \|g\|$$

where

$$g_j = (-T^G)^j (I - T^G)g.$$

So, the series

$$W^G g + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} W^G g_j$$

converges absolutely uniformly on C to $W^G f$, the solution of the Dirichlet problem for C with the boundary condition g , where f is given by (24). Besides,

$$\sup_{x \in C} |W^G f| \leq (V^G + 1) \left(1 + \|T^G\| + 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} M q^j \right) \|g\|.$$

Note 4. Fix $x_0 \in \partial \mathcal{U}(0; 1)$. Then $-\frac{1}{\pi} \lg|x - x_0|$ is a solution of the Neumann problem for $\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$ with the boundary condition δ_{x_0} (= the Dirac measure supported in $\{x_0\}$). But the function $-\frac{1}{\pi} \lg|x - x_0|$ is not bounded in $\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$. So, for the Neumann problem we cannot obtain the same estimates as for the Dirichlet problem in Note 3. Nevertheless, if $r_{\text{ess}}(T^G) < 1$ then there exists $q \in (0; 1)$ such that for each compact $K \subset G$ there is a constant M_K such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in K} |\mathcal{U} \mu(x)| &\leq M_K \|\mu\|, \\ \sup_{x \in K} |\mathcal{U} \mu_j(x)| &\leq M_K q^j \|\mu\| \end{aligned}$$

for each $\mu \in \mathcal{C}'_0$, where

$$\mu_j = [(-T^G)^*]^j [I - (T^G)^*] \mu$$

so that the series

$$\mathcal{U} \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U} \mu_j$$

converges locally uniformly in G to the solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition μ and

$$\sup_{x \in K} \left| \mathcal{U} \mu(x) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U} \mu_j(x) \right| \leq M_K \left(1 + \frac{1}{1-q} \right) \|\mu\|.$$

Note 5. Denote by \mathcal{H} the restriction of \mathcal{H}_{m-1} to $\widehat{\partial}G$. Denote by $L_1(\mathcal{H})$ the space of all functions f measurable with respect to \mathcal{H} such that

$$\int_{\partial G} |f| \, d\mathcal{H} < \infty.$$

For $f \in L_1(\mathcal{H})$ denote by $\nu_f \in \mathcal{C}'$ the measure

$$\nu_f(M) = \int_M f \, d\mathcal{H}.$$

If $f \in L_1(\mathcal{H})$ then

$$(T^G)^* \nu_f = \nu_g$$

where

$$g(x) = T'f(x) = \frac{2}{A} \int_{\partial G} n(x) \cdot \frac{x-y}{|y-x|^m} f(y) \, d\mathcal{H}(y).$$

Suppose that $r_{ess}(T^G) < 1$. If $f \in L_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\nu_f \in \mathcal{C}'_0$ then

$$g = f + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-T')^j (I - T')f$$

converges in $L_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{U} \nu_g$ is a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition ν_f .

References

- [1] *R. S. Angell, R. E. Kleinman, J. Král:* Layer potentials on boundaries with corners and edges. Čas. pěst. mat. 113 (1988), 387–402.
- [2] *Yu. D. Burago, V. G. Maz'ya:* Potential theory and function theory for irregular regions. Seminars in Mathematics, V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute, Leningrad, 1969.
- [3] *H. Federer:* Geometric Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1969.
- [4] *I. Gohberg, A. Marcus:* Some remarks on topologically equivalent norms. Izvestija Mold. Fil. Akad. Nauk SSSR 10(76) (1960), 91–95.
- [5] *N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya:* On the Fredholm radius for operators of the double layer potential type on piecewise smooth boundaries. Vest. Leningrad. Univ. 19(4) (1986), 60–64.

- [6] *N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya*: Invertibility of boundary integral operators of elasticity on surfaces with conic points. Report LiTH-MAT-R-91-50. Linköping Univ., Sweden.
- [7] *N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya*: Solvability of a boundary integral equation on a polyhedron. Report LiTH-MAT-R-91-50. Linköping Univ., Sweden.
- [8] *H. Heuser*: Funktionalanalysis. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1975.
- [9] *J. Král*: Integral Operators in Potential Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 823. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [10] *J. Král, W. L. Wendland*: Some examples concerning applicability of the Fredholm-Radon method in potential theory. Aplikace matematiky 31 (1986), 293–308.
- [11] *N. L. Landkof*: Fundamentals of modern potential theory. Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1966. (In Russian.)
- [12] *V. G. Maz'ya*: Boundary integral equations. Sovremennyye problemy matematiki, fundamental'nyje napravlenija, 27. Viniti, Moskva, 1988. (In Russian.)
- [13] *D. Medková*: On the convergence of Neumann series for noncompact operator. Czechoslovak Math. J. 41(116) (1991), 312–316.
- [14] *D. Medková*: The third boundary value problem in potential theory for domains with a piecewise smooth boundary. Czechoslovak Math. J. 47(122) (1997), 651–680.
- [15] *I. Netuka*: The third boundary value problem in potential theory. Czechoslovak Math. J. 22(97) (1972), 554–580.
- [16] *I. Netuka*: Smooth surfaces with infinite cyclic variation. Čas. pěst. mat. 96 (1971), 86–101. (In Czech.)
- [17] *C. Neumann*: Untersuchungen über das logarithmische und Newtonsche Potential. Teubner Verlag, Leipzig, 1877.
- [18] *C. Neumann*: Zur Theorie des logarithmischen und des Newtonschen Potentials. Berichte über die Verhandlungen der Königlich Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Leipzig 22 (1870), 49–56, 264–321.
- [19] *C. Neumann*: Über die Methode des arithmetischen Mittels. Hirzel, Leipzig, 1887 (erste Abhandlung), 1888 (zweite Abhandlung).
- [20] *J. Plemelj*: Potentialtheoretische Untersuchungen. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1911.
- [21] *J. Radon*: Über Randwertaufgaben beim logarithmischen Potential. Sitzber. Akad. Wiss. Wien 128 (1919), 1123–1167.
- [22] *J. Radon*: Über Randwertaufgaben beim logarithmischen Potential. Collected Works, vol. 1. Birkhäuser, Vienna, 1987.
- [23] *A. Rathsfeld*: The invertibility of the double layer potential in the space of continuous functions defined on a polyhedron. The panel method. Applicable Analysis 45 (1992), 1–4, 135–177.
- [24] *A. Rathsfeld*: The invertibility of the double layer potential operator in the space of continuous functions defined over a polyhedron. The panel method. Erratum. Applicable Analysis 56 (1995), 109–115.
- [25] *F. Riesz, B. Sz. Nagy*: Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelles. Budapest, 1952.
- [26] *M. Schechter*: Principles of Functional Analysis. Academic Press, 1973.
- [27] *L. Schwartz*: Theorie des distributions. Hermann, Paris, 1950.
- [28] *K. Yosida*: Functional Analysis. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Author's address: Žitná 25, 115 67 Praha 1, Czech Republic (Matematický ústav AV ČR).