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# AN EXTENSION OF INVERTIBILITY OF HAMMERSTEIN-TYPE OPERATORS 

Radu Tunaru, London

(Received August 7, 1996)

Abstract. My aim is to show that some properties, proved to be true for the square matrices, are true for some not necessarily linear operators on a linear space, in particular, for Hammerstein-type operators.

If $A$ and $B$ are operators satisfying angleboundedness assumption (for details see [1]), or the strong monotonicity condition following [2], there are sufficient conditions for invertibility of a Hammerstein-type operator $I+A B$ as described in [3].

In this paper we shall provide some generalizations of the results from [3]. We will mark the end of a proof with Halmos' square $\square$.

Proposition 1. Let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be two linear spaces and $A: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}, B: K_{2} \rightarrow$ $K_{1}$ two operators such that the operator $A B A$ is linear.

1. If $I_{2}-A B A B: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{2}$ has a left inverse $U_{l}$ then $I_{1}-B A B A: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{1}$ has a left inverse $V_{l}=I_{1}+B U_{l} A B A$.
2. If $I_{2}-A B A B: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{2}$ has a right inverse $U_{d}$ then $I_{1}-B A B A: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{1}$ has a right inverse $V_{d}=I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A$.

Proof. 1. We know that $U_{l}\left(I_{2}-A B A B\right)=I_{2}$. Then we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{l}\left(I_{1}-B A B A\right) & =\left(I_{1}+B U_{l} A B A\right)\left(I_{1}-B A B A\right) \\
& =I_{1}-B A B A+B U_{l} A B A\left(I_{1}-B A B A\right) \\
& =I_{1}-B A B A+B U_{l}(A B A-A B A B A B A) \\
& =I_{1}-B A B A+B U_{l}\left(I_{2}-A B A B\right) A B A \\
& =I_{1}-B A B A+B I_{2} A B A \\
& =I_{1}-B A B A+B A B A \\
& =I_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $V_{l}$ is a left inverse for $I_{1}-B A B A$.
2. Because $\left(I_{2}-A B A B\right) U_{d}=I_{2}$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I_{1}-B A B A\right)\left(I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A\right) & =I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A-B A B A\left(I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A\right) \\
& =I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A-B\left(A B A+A B A B U_{d} A B A\right) \\
& =I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A-B\left(I_{2}+A B A B U_{d}\right) A B A .
\end{aligned}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I_{2}-A B A B\right) U_{d} & =I_{2} \\
U_{d}-A B A B U_{d} & =I_{2} \\
I_{2}+A B A B U_{d} & =U_{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\left(I_{1}-B A B A\right) V_{d}=I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A-B U_{d} A B A=I_{1}$ so we can say that $V_{d}$ is a right inverse of $I_{1}-B A B A$.

Observation 1. For the above conditions we get that

$$
A B A V_{d}=U_{d} A B A
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A B A V_{d} & =A B A\left(I_{1}+B U_{d} A B A\right)=A B A+A B A B U_{d} A B A \\
& =\left(I_{2}+A B A B U_{d}\right) A B A=U_{d} A B A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 1. Let $A B A$ be linear and $I_{2}-A B A B$ invertible. Then $I_{1}-B A B A$ is invertible, too.

Proof. This is obvious because $U_{l}=U_{d}=U$ implies that

$$
V_{l}=V_{d}=I_{1}+B U A B A .
$$

Remark 1. We cannot make a weaker assumption than $A B A$ to be linear because there are operators $A$ and $B$ such that $I_{2}-A B A B$ is invertible and $I_{1}-B A B A$ is not invertible.

Example. $A: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, A x=\log \sqrt{x}$ if $x>0$ and $A x=0$ otherwise, and $B: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, B x=\exp \frac{2 x}{3}$. Then $(I-A B A B) x=\frac{8}{9} x$ which is invertible. At the same time $(I-B A B A) x=x-\sqrt[9]{x}$ for $x>0$ and $(I-B A B A) x=x-1$ for $x \leqslant 0$. As can be easily seen this is not invertible.

Proposition 2. Let $K$ be a linear complex space and let operators $A, B: K \rightarrow K$ be given, $A$ being linear. If there is any nonzero complex number $\lambda$ such that

$$
\lambda A B+A+B=0
$$

then $\lambda A+I$ has a right inverse and $\lambda B+I$ has a left inverse.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda A B+A+B=0, \quad \lambda \neq 0, \\
& \lambda^{2} A B+\lambda A+\lambda B=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding the identity operator to both sides we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda^{2} A B+\lambda A+\lambda B+I=I, \\
& \lambda(\lambda A B+B)+\lambda A+I=I, \\
& \lambda(\lambda A+I) B+\lambda A+I=I, \\
& (\lambda A+I)(\lambda B+I)=I .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $(\lambda A+I)$ is a left inverse for $(\lambda B+I)$ and $(\lambda B+I)$ is a right inverse for $(\lambda A+I)$.

Corollary 2. Let $A, B: K \rightarrow K$ be operators and let $A$ be linear. Let $\lambda$ be a nonzero complex number such that $\lambda A B+A+B=0$. Then

1. If $\lambda A+I$ is left invertible then $\lambda A+I$ is invertible and its inverse is $\lambda B+I$.
2. If $\lambda B+I$ is right invertible then $\lambda B+I$ is invertible and its inverse is $\lambda A+I$.

Observation 2. There are examples when $A, B$ are not linear and there is a nonzero complex number $\lambda$ for which $(\lambda A+I)(\lambda B+I)=I$.

Let $A x=\frac{\tan x-x}{\lambda}$ for $x \in\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ and $A x=0$ otherwise.
Let $B x=\frac{\arctan x-x}{\lambda}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then $(\lambda A+I)(\lambda B+I) x=x$ and it is obvious that $A, B$ are not linear.
Proposition 3. Let $K_{1}, K_{2}$ be two complex linear spaces and let $A$ : $K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ be linear. Consider $B: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{1}$ and $m$ a positive integer.

1. If $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$ has a left inverse $U_{l}$ then $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$ has a left inverse $V_{l}$, where $V_{l}=I_{1}-B(A B)^{m-1} U_{l} A$.
2. If $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$ has a right inverse $U_{d}$ then $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$ has a right inverse $V_{d}$, where $V_{d}=I_{1}-B(A B)^{m-1} U_{d} A$.
Moreover, $A V_{d}=U_{d} A$.
Proof. For $m=1$ this result was proved in [3].
3. For $m>1$ we observe that $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}=I_{2}+A C$, where $C=B(A B)^{m-1}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}+(B A)^{m}=I_{1}+C A, \\
& U_{l}\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right]=I_{2}, \\
& U_{l}\left[I_{2}+A C\right]=I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that the result is true for $m=1$, hence there is $V_{l}=I_{1}-C U_{l} A$ which is a left inverse for $I_{1}+C A$. This means that $V_{l}=I_{1}-B(A B)^{m-1} U_{l} A$ is a left inverse for $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$.
2. As above $I_{1}+C A$ has a right inverse $V_{d}=I_{1}-C U_{d} A$. So $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$ has a right inverse $V_{d}=I_{1}-B(A B)^{m-1} U_{d} A$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
A V_{d} & =A\left[I_{1}-B(A B)^{m-1} U_{d} A\right] \\
& =A-(A B)^{m} U_{d} A \\
& =\left[I_{2}-(A B)^{m} U_{d}\right] A .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, $I_{2}-(A B)^{m} U_{d}=U_{d}$ from where we conclude that $A V_{d}=U_{d} A$.
Corollary 3. Let $m$ be a positive integer. If $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$ is invertible then $I_{1}+$ $(B A)^{m}$ is invertible.

Proof. Let $U$ be the inverse of $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$. Then for $C$ as above

$$
\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right]^{-1}=I_{1}-C U A=I_{1}-B(A B)^{m-1} U A
$$

Proposition 4. Let $K_{1}, K_{2}$ be two complex linear spaces and $m$ a nonnegative integer. Let $A: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}, B: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{1}$ be two operators such that the operator $B(A B)^{m-1}$ is invertible and linear. Then

1. If $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$ has $U_{d}$ as a right inverse then $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$ has $V_{d}$ as a right inverse, where $V_{d}=B(A B)^{m-1} U_{d}\left[B(A B)^{m-1}\right]^{-1}$;
2. If $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$ has $U_{l}$ as a left inverse then $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$ has $V_{l}$ as a left inverse, where $V_{l}=B(A B)^{m-1} U_{l}\left[B(A B)^{m-1}\right]^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $C=B(A B)^{m-1}, C: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{1}$, which is linear and invertible.

1. We know that $\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right] U_{d}=I_{2}$. Hence we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right] V_{d} } & =\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right]\left(C U_{d} C^{-1}\right) \\
& =C U_{d} C^{-1}+(B A)^{m} C U_{d} C^{-1} \\
& =\left[C+(B A)^{m} C\right] U_{d} C^{-1} \\
& =C\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right] U_{d} C^{-1} \\
& =C I_{2} C^{-1}=C C^{-1}=I_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{l}\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right] & =B(A B)^{m-1} U_{l}\left[B(A B)^{m-1}\right]^{-1}\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right] \\
& =C U_{l} C^{-1}\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right] \\
& =C U_{l}\left[C^{-1}+C^{-1}(B A)^{m}\right] \\
& =C U_{l}\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right] C^{-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, we know that $U_{l}\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right]=I_{2}$. Therefore we obtain that

$$
V_{l}\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right]=C C^{-1}=I_{1} .
$$

Corollary 4. Let $A, B$ be two operators as in Proposition 4. If $I_{2}+(A B)^{m}$ is invertible then $I_{1}+(B A)^{m}$ is invertible and

$$
\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right]^{-1}=B(A B)^{m-1}\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right]^{-1}\left[B(A B)^{m-1}\right]^{-1}
$$

Observation 3. For $m=1$ we get the principal results from [3]. Let $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ be Banach spaces such that the hypotheses of the last corollary are satisfied and $B(A B)^{m-1}$ is bounded. Then using the Open Map Theorem we can say that $\left[I_{1}+(B A)^{m}\right]^{-1}$ is (Lipschitz) continuous if $\left[I_{2}+(A B)^{m}\right]^{-1}$ is (Lipschitz) continuous.
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