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Abstract. In this paper, we discuss the conditions for a center for the generalized Liénard system
$(\mathrm{E})_{1} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\varphi(y)-F(x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-g(x)$,
or
$(\mathrm{E})_{2}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\psi(y), \quad \frac{\mathrm{dy}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-f(x) h(y)-g(x)
$$

with $f(x), g(x), \varphi(y), \psi(y), h(y): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$, and $x g(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$. By using a different technique, that is, by introducing auxiliary systems and using the differential inquality theorem, we are able to generalize and improve some results in [1], [2].
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we discuss the conditions for a center for the generalized Liénard system

$$
(\mathrm{E})_{1} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\varphi(y)-F(x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-g(x)
$$

or
$(\mathrm{E})_{2}$
$\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\psi(y)$,
$\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-f(x) h(y)-g(x)$,
with $f(x), g(x), \varphi(y), \psi(y), h(y): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$, and $x g(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$.

We assume throughout this paper that $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ are continuous, $\varphi(y), \psi(y)$, $h(y)$ are of class $C^{1}$. These guarantee the existence of a unique solution to the initial value problem for the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$. Moreover, we always assume that $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(y)>0$ for $-\infty<y<+\infty$ and $\varphi( \pm \infty)= \pm \infty$. We write $G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(x) \mathrm{d} x$ as usual.

If $\varphi(y) \equiv y$ and $h(y) \equiv \psi(y) \equiv y$ (namely the well-known Liénard system), by $F(0)=0$ and $x g(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$, the origin is the unique critical point for this system. Many authors have proposed the conditions which guarantee the origin is a local or a global center (e.g., see [1]-[5]). However, as far as we know, up to now, few results were given for the more general system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ or $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$. In this paper, by using a different technique, that is, by introducing auxiliary systems and using the differential inquality theorem, we are able to generalize and improve some results in [1], [2] (see the Sections 2, 3, 4 below).

In the Section 2 and the Section 3, we give some sufficient conditions for a local center for the system $(E)_{1}$ or $(E)_{2}$. In the Section 4, we give some sufficient conditions for a global center for the system $(E)_{1}$ or $(E)_{2}$. In the Section 5, we give some examples.

## 2. The local center of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$

Consider the generalized Liénard system (E) ${ }_{1}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\varphi(y)-F(x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-g(x)
$$

where $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(y)>0, \varphi( \pm \infty)= \pm \infty, F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(x) \mathrm{d} x$, and $x g(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$.

We now first introduce the following lemma.
Lemma 1. If $x g(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0$ in $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, then the trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ originating from any point $\left(x_{0}, \varphi^{-1}\left(F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$ on the characteristic curve $y=\varphi^{-1}(F(x))$ either intersects the positive $y$-axis as $t$ decreases or tends to the origin as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ remaining in the region

$$
\left\{(x, y) \mid x>0, y>\varphi^{-1}(F(x))\right\}
$$

and intersects the negative $y$-axis as $t$ increases or tends to the origin as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ remaining in the region

$$
\left\{(x, y) \mid x>0, y<\varphi^{-1}(F(x))\right\}
$$

Proof. We only prove the case of the region $\left\{(x, y) \mid x>0, y>\varphi^{-1}(F(x))\right\}$ (the other case can be proved in the same way). Suppose the contrary. Note that $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are decreasing and increasing respectively for decreasing time in this region, hence if the trajectory is bounded, it must have a limit point and this limit point is just the origin, thus we obtain a contradiction to the above assumption; if this trajectory is unbounded, there is a vertical asymptote $x=a \geqslant 0$ and as $x \rightarrow a+, y \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\mathrm{d} y / \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow+\infty$. But in fact, $\mathrm{d} y / \mathrm{d} x=-g(x) / \varphi(y)-F(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow a+, y \rightarrow+\infty$, thus we obtain a contradiction again. Hence, Lemma 1 is proved.

In the present paper we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, assume
(i) $F(-x)=F(x), \quad g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}, r \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} G^{r}(x) \leqslant F(x) \leqslant k_{1} G^{r}(x) \quad \text { for } \quad 0<x<\bar{x}
$$

in addition, $\left|k_{j}\right|<\sqrt{8 \varphi^{\prime}(0)}(j=1,2)$ if $r=\frac{1}{2}$.
Then the system (E) ${ }_{1}$ has a local center at the origin.
Proof. By the conditions (i) and (ii), the origin is the unique critical point for $(\mathrm{E})_{1}, F(x)$ is an even function and $g(x)$ is an odd function, hence if $(x(t), y(t))$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{1},(-x(-t), y(-t))$ is also a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$; that is, the trajectories defined by $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ have mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis. So we can only consider the case in the region $x>0$.

If we write $u(x)=\sqrt{2 G(x)}$ for $x>0$, where $G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(x) \mathrm{d} x$, then by the system (E) ${ }_{1}$ and using the definition of $u$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\varphi(y)-F_{1}(u), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-u \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} y}=\frac{F_{1}(u)-\varphi(y)}{u} \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(u) \equiv F\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right)\right)$ with $F_{1}(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{d} t / \mathrm{d} \tau=\sqrt{2 G(x)} / g(x)>0$ for $x>0$. Moreover, from the condition (iii) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{k_{2} u^{2 r}}{2^{r}} \leqslant F_{1}(u) \leqslant \frac{k_{1} u^{2 r}}{2^{r}} \quad \text { for } 0<u<\bar{u}=\sqrt{2 G(\bar{x})} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we introduce two auxiliary systems ( $j=1,2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\varphi(y)-\frac{k_{j} u^{2 r}}{2^{r}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-u \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} y}=\frac{k_{j} u^{2 r} / 2^{r}-\varphi(y)}{u} \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r>\frac{1}{2}$, the first approximation to $(4)_{j}(j=1,2)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\varphi^{\prime}(0) y, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-u \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the origin is a center for the system (6). Hence, by [6], p. 142, it follows that the origin is either a center or a focus or a center-focus for $(4)_{j}$.

For $r=\frac{1}{2}$, the first approximations to $(4)_{j}(j=1,2)$ are respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\frac{-k_{j} u}{\sqrt{2}}+\varphi^{\prime}(0) y, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-u \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|k_{j}\right|<\sqrt{8 \varphi^{\prime}(0)}(j=1,2)$, we know that the origin is either a focus (as $k_{j} \neq 0$ ) or a center $\left(\right.$ as $\left.k_{j}=0\right)$ for $(7)_{j}(j=1,2)$. Hence, by $[6]$, p. 142, it follows that the origin is either a focus or a center or a center-focus for $(4)_{j}(j=1,2)$ respectively.

Thus, for $r \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, the trajectory of $(4)_{j}$ originating from any point $N\left(u_{N}, y_{N}\right)$ $\left(y_{N}=\varphi^{-1}\left(F_{1}\left(u_{N}\right)\right), 0<u_{N}<\bar{u}\right)$ must intersect the positive $y$-axis as $t$ decreases and the negative $y$-axis as $t$ increases.

In what follows, for the sake of convenience, let $u(y)$ and $u_{j}(y)$ denote respectively the trajectories of $(1)$ and $(4)_{j}(j=1,2)$ originating from the same point $N\left(u_{N}, y_{N}\right)$, that is, $u(y)$ and $u_{j}(y)$ are respectively the solutions of $(2)$ and $(5)_{j}(j=1,2)$ satisfying the initial condition $u\left(y_{N}\right)=u_{j}\left(y_{N}\right)=u_{N}$. Noting (3), we have

$$
\frac{\frac{k_{2} u^{2 r}}{2^{r}}-\varphi(y)}{u} \leqslant \frac{F_{1}(u)-\varphi(y)}{u} \leqslant \frac{\frac{k_{1} u^{2 r}}{2^{r}}-\varphi(y)}{u} \quad \text { for } 0<u<\bar{u} .
$$

This implies that

$$
0<\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} u(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}\right|_{(2)} \leqslant\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} u(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}\right|_{(5)_{1}} \quad \text { for } y<y_{N}
$$

and

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} u(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}\right|_{(5)_{2}} \leqslant\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} u(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}\right|_{(2)}<0 \quad \text { for } y>y_{N} \quad\left(0<u<u_{N}<\bar{u}\right)
$$

Hence, by the differential inequality theorem, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(y) \geqslant u_{1}(y) \quad \text { for } y<y_{N} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(y) \leqslant u(y) \quad \text { for } y>y_{N} \quad\left(0<u<u_{N}<\bar{u}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (8) and (9), the trajectory $u(y)$ of (1) originating from any point $N\left(u_{N}, y_{N}\right)$ $\left(0<u_{N}<\bar{u}\right)$ is bounded away from the origin by the trajectory $u_{1}(y)$ of $(4)_{1}$ in the region $y<y_{N}$ and by the trajectory $u_{2}(y)$ of $(4)_{2}$ in the region $y>y_{N}$ respectively. Therefore, the trajectory $u(y)$ of (1) originating from any point $N\left(u_{N}, y_{N}\right)\left(0<u_{N}<\right.$ $\bar{u})$ cannot tend to the origin. Further, according to Lemma 1 , the trajectory $u(y)$ of (1) must intersect the $y$-axis at two points $A\left(0, y_{A}\right)$ with $y_{A}>0$ and $C\left(0, y_{C}\right)$ with $y_{C}<0$.

Returning to the $(x, y)$ plane, we know that the trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ originating from any point $N\left(x_{N}, y_{N}\right)\left(y_{N}=\varphi^{-1}\left(F\left(x_{N}\right)\right), 0<x_{N}<\bar{x}\right)$ must intersect the $y$-axis at two points $A\left(0, y_{A}\right)$ with $y_{A}>0$ and $C\left(0, y_{C}\right)$ with $y_{C}<0$. Since the trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ has mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis and the point $N\left(x_{N}, y_{N}\right)\left(0<x_{N}<\bar{x}\right)$ is arbitrary, the origin must be a local center of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$. Hence, Theorem 1 is proved.

Corollary 1.1. In the system (E) ${ }_{1}$, assume
(i) $F(-x)=F(x), \quad g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} G(x) \leqslant F(x) \leqslant k_{1} G(x) \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x} .
$$

Then the system (E) ${ }_{1}$ has a local center at the origin.
Remark 1.1. Theorem 2.2 in $[2](\varphi(y) \equiv y)$ is a special case of Corollary 1.1. Moreover, we use a slightly weaker condition " $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}$ " instead of the condition " $k_{2}<0<k_{1}$ " in [2], Theorem 2.2.

Corollary 1.2. In the system (E) ${ }_{1}$, assume
(i) $F(-x)=F(x), \quad g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} \leqslant \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leqslant k_{1} \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x}
$$

Then the system (E) ${ }_{1}$ has a local center at the origin.

Proof. It is clear that from Corollary 1.1 this corollary follows.
Remark 1.2. Theorem 2.2 in [1] $(\varphi(y) \equiv y)$ is a special case of Corollary 1.2. Moreover, the condition " $k_{2}<0<k_{1}$ " in [1] is not required in the present paper.

Corollary 1.3. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, assume that $\varphi(y) \equiv y$ and
(i) $F(-x)=F(x), \quad g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}, r \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} G^{r}(x) \leqslant F(x) \leqslant k_{1} G^{r}(x) \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x},
$$

in addition, $\left|k_{j}\right|<\sqrt{8}(j=1,2)$ if $r=\frac{1}{2}$.
Then the system (E) has a local center at the origin.
Remark 1.3. Corollary 3.3 in [2] is a special case of this corollary. It is because we use a slightly weaker condition " $r \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $k_{1} \geqslant k_{2}$ " instead of the condition $" \frac{1}{2} \leqslant r<1$ and $k_{2}=-\alpha<0<\alpha=k_{1}$."

## 3. The local center of $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$

Consider the generalized Liénard system (E) ${ }_{2}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\psi(y), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-f(x) h(y)-g(x)
$$

We now prove the following theorems.
Theorem 2. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$, assume
(i) $f(-x)=-f(x), g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0, y \psi(y)>0$ for $y \neq 0$ and $y h(y)>0$ for $y \neq 0$.
(iii) $g^{\prime}(0)>0, \psi^{\prime}(0)>0$.

Then the system (E) ${ }_{2}$ has a local center at the origin.
Proof. By the conditions (i) and (ii), the origin is the unique critical point for $(E)_{2}$. It is easy to see that the first approximation to $(E)_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\psi^{\prime}(0) y, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-g^{\prime}(0) x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because $g^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\psi^{\prime}(0)>0$, the origin is a center for (10). By [6], p. 142, it follows that the origin is either a center or a focus or a center-focus for $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$. Note that the trajectories defined by $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$ have mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis, thus, by [6], p. 144, the origin must be a local center of $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$. Hence, Theorem 2 is proved.

Corollary 2.1. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$, assume that $h(y) \equiv \psi(y)$ and that the conditions of Theorem 2 hold. Then the system $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$ has a local center at the origin.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 2.1 in $[1](h(y) \equiv \psi(y) \equiv y)$ is a special case of Corollary 2.1.

Letting $h(y) \equiv \psi(y)$, one obtains from $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\psi(y), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-f(x) \psi(y)-g(x) \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we shall give the conditions of a local center for the system $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$. For this purpose, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. If $x g(x)>0$ for $x \neq 0, y \psi(y)>0$ for $y \neq 0$ and $\psi( \pm \infty)= \pm \infty$ in $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$, then the trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ originating from any point $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$ either intersects the positive $y$-axis as $t$ decreases or tends to the origin as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ remaining in the region $\{(x, y) \mid x>0, y>0\}$, and intersects the negative $y$-axis as $t$ increases or tends to the origin as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ remaining in the region $\{(x, y) \mid x>0$, $y<0\}$.

Proof. By introducing a new variable $v=y+F(x),(E)_{3}$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\psi(v-F(x)), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} v}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-g(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

the regions

$$
\{(x, y) \mid x>0, y>0\} \quad \text { and } \quad\{(x, y) \mid x>0, y<0\}
$$

become the regions

$$
\{(x, v) \mid x>0, v>F(x)\} \quad \text { and } \quad\{(x, v) \mid x>0, v<F(x)\}
$$

respectively, the $y$-axis becomes the $v$-axis, the $x$-axis becomes the curve $v=F(x)$, and the point $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$ on $(x, y)$ plane becomes $\left(x_{0}, F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$ on $(x, v)$ plane.

Since $y \psi(y)>0$ for $y \neq 0$, that is, since $(v-F(x)) \psi(v-F(x))>0$ for $v \neq F(x)$, the curve $v=F(x)(x \neq 0)$ is the vertical isocline of (11). The trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ originating from any point $\left(x_{0}, 0\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$ becomes that of (11) originating from the point $\left(x_{0}, F\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$. Therefore, to prove Lemma 2 , we only need to prove the following conclusion: The trajectory of (11) originating from any point ( $x_{0}, F\left(x_{0}\right)$ ) $\left(x_{0}>0\right)$ either intersects the positive $v$-axis as $t$ decreases or tends to the origin
as $t \rightarrow-\infty$ remaining in the region $\{(x, v) \mid x>0, v>F(x)\}$, and intersects the negative $v$-axis as $t$ increases or tends to the origin as $t \rightarrow+\infty$ remaining in the region $\{(x, v) \mid x>0, v<F(x)\}$.

Now, we only prove the case in the region $\{(x, v) \mid x>0, v>F(x)\}$ (the other case can be proved in the same way). Suppose the contrary. Note that $x(t)$ and $v(t)$ are decreasing and increasing respectively for decreasing time in this region, hence if the trajectory is bounded, it must have a limit point and this limit point is just the origin, thus we obtain a contradiction to the above assumption; if this trajectory is unbounded, there is a vertical asymptote $x=a \geqslant 0$ and as $x \rightarrow a+, v \rightarrow+\infty$ and $\mathrm{d} v / \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow+\infty$. But, in fact

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} v}{\mathrm{~d} x}=\frac{-g(x)}{\psi(v-F(x))} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad x \rightarrow a+, \quad v \rightarrow+\infty
$$

thus we obtain a contradiction again. Hence, Lemma 2 is proved.

Theorem 3. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$, assume
(i) $f(-x)=-f(x), g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0, \psi(0)=0, \psi^{\prime}(y)>0$ and $\psi( \pm \infty)= \pm \infty$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2}<0<k_{1}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} \leqslant \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leqslant k_{1} \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x} .
$$

Then the system $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ has a local center at the origin.
Proof. By the conditions (i) and (ii), the origin is the unique critical point for $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ and if $(x(t), y(t))$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{3},(-x(-t), y(-t))$ is also a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$; that is, the trajectories defined by $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ have mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis. So we can only consider the case in the region $x>0$.

Because $\psi(0)=0, \psi^{\prime}(y)>0$ and $\psi( \pm \infty)= \pm \infty$, there exist a unique $y_{1}>0$ and a unique $y_{2}<0$ respectively such that $\psi\left(y_{1}\right)=1 /\left|k_{2}\right|>0$ and $\psi\left(y_{2}\right)=-1 / k_{1}<0$.

First we define the region $D_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \mid 0<x<\bar{x}, 0<y<y_{1}\right\}$ and consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} y}=\frac{-\psi(y)}{g(x)\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \psi(y)+1\right)} \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in D_{1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\psi^{\prime}(y)>0$ and $f(x) / g(x) \geqslant k_{2}\left(k_{2}<0\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \psi(y)+1 \geqslant k_{2} \psi(y)+1>k_{2} \psi\left(y_{1}\right)+1=0 \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in D_{1}
$$

Further, we get $\mathrm{d} x /\left.\mathrm{d} y\right|_{(12)}>0$ for $(x, y) \in D_{1}$. Therefore, the trajectory $x(y)$ of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ originating from any point $A\left(x_{0}, 0\right)\left(0<x_{0}<\bar{x}\right)$ can not tend to the origin in $D_{1}$.

Next we define the region $D_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \mid 0<x<\bar{x}, y_{2}<y<0\right\}$ and similarly consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} y}=\frac{-\psi(y)}{g(x)\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \psi(y)+1\right)} \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in D_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $\psi^{\prime}(y)>0$ and $f(x) / g(x) \leqslant k_{1}\left(k_{1}>0\right)$, we have

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \psi(y)+1 \geqslant k_{1} \psi(y)+1>k_{1} \psi\left(y_{2}\right)+1=0 \quad \text { for }(x, y) \in D_{2}
$$

Further, we get $\mathrm{d} x /\left.\mathrm{d} y\right|_{(13)}>0$ for $(x, y) \in D_{2}$. Therefore, the trajectory $x(y)$ of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ originating from any point $A\left(x_{0}, 0\right)\left(0<x_{0}<\bar{x}\right)$ can not tend to the origin in $D_{2}$.

Thus, by Lemma 2, the trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ originating from any point $A\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ $\left(0<x_{0}<\bar{x}\right)$ must intersect the positive $y$-axis and the negative $y$-axis respectively. Therefore, by symmetry, the trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$ passing through the point $A\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ $\left(0<x_{0}<\bar{x}\right)$ is a closed orbit surrounding the origin. Since the point $A\left(x_{0}, 0\right)$ $\left(0<x_{0}<\bar{x}\right)$ is arbitrary, it means that the origin must be a local center of $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$. Hence Theorem 3 is proved.

Remark 3.1. Theorem 2.2 in $[1](h(y) \equiv \psi(y) \equiv y)$ is a special case of Theorem 3.

## 4. The global center of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ and $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$

We now prove the following theorems.
First, we generalize the related results in [1] (Section 3).

Theorem 4. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, assume
(i) $F(-x)=F(x), g(-x)=-g(x)$, and $G( \pm \infty)=+\infty$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0, \varphi^{\prime}(y) \geqslant l$ (a positive constant).
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} G(x) \leqslant F(x) \leqslant k_{1} G(x) \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x}
$$

(iv) $|F(x)| \leqslant A$ (a constant), for all $x$.

Then the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ has a global center at the origin.
Proof. By the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) and Corollary 1.1, we know that the origin is not only the unique critical point but also a local center for $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, and if $(x(t), y(t))$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{1},(-x(-t), y(-t))$ is also a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$; that is, the trajectories defined by $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ have mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis.

Now we consider the following two families of closed curves

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1}(x, y)=\Phi\left(y+\frac{A}{l}\right)+G(x)=c_{1} & \text { for } x \geqslant 0 \\
\lambda_{2}(x, y)=\Phi\left(y-\frac{A}{l}\right)+G(x)=c_{2} & \text { for } x \leqslant 0 \tag{15}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Phi(y)=\int_{0}^{y} \varphi(u) \mathrm{d} u, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are arbitrary positive constants. Because $\varphi^{\prime}(y) \geqslant$ $l>0$ and $|F(x)| \leqslant A$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{(\mathrm{E})_{1}} & =g(x)\left[\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y+\frac{A}{l}\right)-F(x)\right]  \tag{16}\\
& =-g(x)\left[F(x)+\varphi^{\prime}(\xi) \frac{A}{l}\right] \\
& \leqslant-g(x)[F(x)+A] \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for } x \geqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

with $y<\xi<y+A / l$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \lambda_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{(\mathrm{E})_{1}} & =g(x)\left[\varphi(y)-\varphi\left(y-\frac{A}{l}\right)-F(x)\right]  \tag{17}\\
& =-g(x)\left[F(x)-\varphi^{\prime}(\zeta) \frac{A}{l}\right] \\
& \leqslant-g(x)[F(x)-A] \leqslant 0 \quad \text { for } x \leqslant 0
\end{align*}
$$

with $y-A / l<\zeta<y$.
Therefore, any trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ crosses these closed curves (14) and (15) from their exteriors to their interiors as $t$ increases. Let $\gamma_{P}^{+}$denote the positive halftrajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ originating from any point $P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$. Since the origin is a local center, $\gamma_{P}^{+}$can not tend to the origin. Hence, by (14) and (16), $\gamma_{P}^{+}$must intersect the $y$-axis at $M\left(0, y_{M}\right)$ with $y_{M}<0$ as $t$ increases. Further, after $M$ as $t$ increases, by (15) and (17), $\gamma_{P}^{+}$must intersect the $y$-axis again at $N\left(0, y_{N}\right)$ with $y_{N}>0$. Noting that the trajectory has the mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis, it follows that $\gamma_{P}^{+}$must return to the point $P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$, so $\gamma_{P}^{+}$must be a closed trajectory. Since the point $P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ is arbitrary, the origin must be a global center of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$. Hence, Theorem 4 is proved.

Remark 4.1. If the condition (iii) of Theorem 4 is replaced by the slightly stronger condition "There exist constants $k_{2}<0<k_{1}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that $k_{2} \leqslant f(x) / g(x) \leqslant k_{1}$ for $0<x<\bar{x} "$ and specially letting $\varphi(y) \equiv y$ in $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, Theorem 4 is just Theorem 3.1 in [1].

Next, let $\varphi(y) \equiv y$ in $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$. By introducing auxiliary systems and using the differential inequality theorem, we give some conditions under which $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ has a global center at the origin.

Theorem 5. In the system (E) ${ }_{1}$, assume that $\varphi(y) \equiv y$ and
(i) $F(-x)=F(x), g(-x)=-g(x)$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0, G( \pm \infty)=+\infty$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2} \leqslant k_{1}$ with $\left|k_{j}\right|<2(j=1,2)$ and $0<\bar{x} \leqslant d$ such that

$$
k_{2} \sqrt{2 G(x)} \leqslant F(x) \leqslant k_{1} \sqrt{2 G(x)} \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x} \quad \text { and } \quad x>d
$$

Then the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ has a global center at the origin.
Proof. By the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) for $0<x<\bar{x}$ and from Theorem 1, we know that the origin is not only the unique critical point but also a local center for $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, and if $(x(t), y(t))$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{1},(-x(-t), y(-t))$ is also a solution of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$; that is, the trajectories defined by $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ have mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis. So we can only consider the case in the region $x>0$.

If we write $u(x)=\sqrt{2 G(x)}$ for $x>0$, where $G(x)=\int_{0}^{x} g(x) \mathrm{d} x$, then by the system (E) ${ }_{1}$ and using the definition of $u$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=y-F_{1}(u), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-u \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} y}=\frac{F_{1}(u)-y}{u} \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{1}(u) \equiv F\left(G^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\right)\right)$ with $F_{1}(0)=0$ and $\mathrm{d} t / \mathrm{d} \tau=\sqrt{2 G(x)} / g(x)>0$ for $x>0$. Moreover, from the condition (iii) for $x>d$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{2} u \leqslant F_{1}(u) \leqslant k_{1} u \quad \text { for } u>\sqrt{2 G(d)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we introduce two auxiliary systems ( $j=1,2$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-k_{j} u+y, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=-u \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} y}=\frac{k_{j} u-y}{u} \quad \text { for } u>0 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|k_{j}\right|<2(j=1,2)$, it means that the origin is either a focus (as $k_{j} \neq 0$ ) or a center (as $\left.k_{j}=0\right)$ for $(21)_{j}(j=1,2)$ respectively.

In what follows, for the sake of convenience, let $u(y)$ and $u_{j}(y)(j=1,2)$ denote the trajectories of (18) and $(21)_{j}(j=1,2)$ originating from the same point $P\left(u_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ with $u_{0}>\sqrt{2 G(d)}$ respectively, that is, $u(y)$ and $u_{j}(y)$ are respectively the solutions of $(19)$ and $(22)_{j}(j=1,2)$ satisfying the initial condition $u\left(y_{0}\right)=u_{j}\left(y_{0}\right)=u_{0}$. If $y_{0}<F_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)$, we get from (20)

$$
0<\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} u(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}\right|_{(19)}=\frac{F_{1}(u)-y}{u} \leqslant\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} u(y)}{\mathrm{d} y}\right|_{(22)_{1}}=\frac{k_{1} u-y}{u}
$$

for $F_{1}(u)>y \geqslant y_{0}\left(u>u_{0}>\sqrt{2 G(d)}\right)$. Further, by the differential inequality theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(y) \leqslant u_{1}(y) \quad \text { for } F_{1}(u)>y \geqslant y_{0} \quad\left(u>u_{0}>\sqrt{2 G(d)}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the origin is either a focus or a center for $(21)_{1}, u_{1}(y)$ must intersect $y=F_{1}(u)$. Hence, by (23) the trajectory $u(y)$ of (18) must also intersect $y=F_{1}(u)$. Similarly, if $y_{0} \geqslant F_{1}\left(u_{0}\right)$, we get from (20)

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} y}\right|_{(22)_{2}}=\frac{k_{2} u-y}{u} \leqslant\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d} u}{\mathrm{~d} y}\right|_{(19)}=\frac{F_{1}(u)-y}{u}<0
$$

for $F_{1}(u)<y \leqslant y_{0}\left(u>u_{0}>\sqrt{2 G(d)}\right)$. Further, by the differential inequality theorem, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}(y) \geqslant u(y) \quad \text { for } F_{1}(u)<y \leqslant y_{0} \quad\left(u>u_{0}>\sqrt{2 G(d)}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the origin is either a focus or a center for $(21)_{2}, u_{2}(y)$ must intersect $y=F_{1}(u)$. Hence, by (24) the trajectory $u(y)$ of (18) must also intersect $y=F_{1}(u)$.

Thus, the trajectory $u(y)$ of (18) originating from any point $P\left(u_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ with $u_{0}>$ $\sqrt{2 G(d)}$ must intersect $y=F_{1}(u)$. According to Lemma 1 and the fact that the origin is a local center, it means that this trajectory must intersect the positive $y$-axis and the negative $y$-axis respectively. Returning to the $(x, y)$ plane, the trajectory $x(y)$ of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ originating from any point $P\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ with $x_{0}>d$ must intersect the positive $y$-axis and the negative $y$-axis respectively. By the mirror symmetry of the trajectory about the $y$-axis, this trajectory must be a closed trajectory. Letting $\gamma_{B}^{+}$denote the positive trajectory of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$ originating from the point $B(x, y)$ with $\bar{x} \leqslant x \leqslant d$, we know that $\gamma_{B}^{+}$is bounded and cannot tend to the origin since the origin is a local center. Because the trajectory has mirror symmetry about the $y$-axis and the origin is the unique critical point of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, it follows that $\gamma_{B}^{+}$must be a closed trajectory. Thus the origin is a global center of $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$. Hence, Theorem 5 is proved.

Finally, by using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 4, we can give a new result for $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$.

Theorem 6. In the system $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$, assume that $h(y) \equiv \psi(y)$ and
(i) $f(-x)=-f(x), g(-x)=-g(x)$ and $G( \pm \infty)=+\infty$.
(ii) $g(x)>0$ for $x>0, \psi(0)=0, \psi^{\prime}(y)>0$ and $\psi( \pm \infty)= \pm \infty$.
(iii) There exist constants $k_{2}<0<k_{1}$ and $\bar{x}>0$ such that

$$
k_{2} \leqslant \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leqslant k_{1} \quad \text { for } 0<x<\bar{x}
$$

(iv) $|F(x)| \leqslant A$ (a constant) for all $x$.

Then the system $(\mathrm{E})_{2}$ has a global center at the origin.

## 5. Examples

Example 1. Taking $\varphi(y)=y^{3}+2 y, F(x)=\arctan x^{2}$ and $g(x)=2 x$ in the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, we get the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=y^{3}+2 y-\arctan x^{2}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-2 x \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\varphi(0)=0, \varphi^{\prime}(y)=3 y^{2}+2, F(x)=F(-x)$ and $G(x)=x^{2}=$ $G(-x)$. By an easy computation, we get that

$$
\left(\frac{F(x)}{G(x)}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{2 H(x)}{x^{3}}<0 \quad \text { for } x>0
$$

where $H(x)=x^{2} /\left(1+x^{4}\right)-\arctan x^{2}<0$ for $x>0$ (because $H^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x>0$ ). This indicates that $F(x) / G(x)$ is a decreasing function for $x>0$. Since

$$
\frac{F(x)}{G(x)}=\frac{\arctan x^{2}}{x^{2}} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow 0
$$

we have $\frac{1}{4} \pi \leqslant F(x) / G(x) \leqslant 1$ for $0<x \leqslant 1$. Hence, the system (25) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 1 with $k_{1}=1>k_{2}=\frac{1}{4} \pi, r=1$ and $\bar{x}=1$, so (25) has a local center at the origin.

However, since $\frac{1}{4} \pi \leqslant F(x) / G(x) \leqslant 1$ for $0<x \leqslant 1$, the system (25) does not satisfy the condition " $k_{2} \leqslant F(x) / G(x) \leqslant k_{1}\left(k_{2}<0\right)$ " of Theorem 2.2 in [2]. Moreover, we have $\frac{1}{2} \leqslant f(x) / g(x)=1 /\left(1+x^{4}\right) \leqslant 1$ for $0<x \leqslant 1$. This implies that the
system (25) does not satisfy the condition " $k_{2} \leqslant f(x) / g(x) \leqslant k_{1}\left(k_{2}<0\right)$ " of Theorem 2.2 in [1] either.

Noting that $|F(x)|=\arctan x^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \pi$ for all $x$, it is easy to check that the system (25) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 4. Thus (25) has a global center at the origin.

Example 2. Taking $\varphi(y) \equiv y, F(x)=x^{2} \arctan x^{2}$ and $g(x)=2 x^{3}$ in the system $(\mathrm{E})_{1}$, we get the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=y-x^{2} \arctan x^{2}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-2 x^{3} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $F(x)=F(-x), G(x)=G(-x), F(x) / \sqrt{2 G(x)}=\arctan x^{2}$. Then, it follows that $0 \leqslant F(x) / \sqrt{2 G(x)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \pi$ for all $x$. Thus the system (26) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 5 with $k_{2}=0$ and $k_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \pi<2$. Hence, (26) has a global center at the origin.

However, since $F(x)=x^{2} \arctan x^{2}$ is bounded, the system (26) does not satisfy the condition " $|F(x)| \leqslant A$ for all $x$ " in [1].

Example 3. Consider the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=y-F(x), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-2 x^{3} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
F(x)= \begin{cases}x^{6}\left(x^{2}-5\right)\left(x^{2}-6\right) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant|x| \leqslant \sqrt{6} \\ \frac{1}{6} x^{2} \sin 6^{3}\left(x^{2}-6\right) & \text { for }|x|>\sqrt{6}\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that $F(x)=F(-x)$ and $G(x)=\frac{x^{4}}{2}=G(-x)$. Letting $u=x^{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\sqrt{u})=F_{1}(u)= \begin{cases}u^{3}(u-5)(u-6) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 6, \\
\frac{1}{6} u \sin 6^{3}(u-6) & \text { for } u>6\end{cases} \\
& G(\sqrt{u})=G_{1}(u)=\frac{u^{2}}{2}, \\
& F_{1}^{\prime}(u)=f_{1}(u)
\end{aligned}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
u^{3}(u-5)+u^{3}(u-6)+3 u^{2}(u-5)(u-6) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 6, \\
\frac{1}{6} \sin 6^{3}(u-6)+6^{2} u \cos 6^{3}(u-6) & \text { for } u>6,
\end{array}, ~ \$\right.
$$

and

$$
\frac{F(\sqrt{u})}{\sqrt{2 G(u)}}=\frac{F_{1}(u)}{\sqrt{2 G_{1}(u)}}= \begin{cases}u^{2}(u-5)(u-6) & \text { for } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 6 \\ \frac{1}{6} \sin 6^{3}(u-6) & \text { for } u>6\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that $f_{1}(u)$ is continuous. Therefore, $f(x)$ is also continuous. Moreover, an easy computation shows that

$$
\left|\frac{F_{1}(u)}{\sqrt{2 G_{1}(u)}}\right|<\frac{15}{8}<2 \quad \text { for } 0<u \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \quad \text { and } \quad u>6
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{F_{1}(u)}{\sqrt{2 G_{1}(u)}}\right|>2 \quad \text { for } \frac{1}{3}<u \leqslant 4.9
$$

that is,

$$
\left|\frac{F(x)}{\sqrt{2 G(x)}}\right|<\frac{15}{8}<2 \quad \text { for } 0<|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad|x|>\sqrt{6}
$$

and

$$
\left|\frac{F(x)}{\sqrt{2 G(x)}}\right|>2 \quad \text { for } \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}<|x| \leqslant \frac{7 \sqrt{10}}{10}
$$

Thus, (27) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 5 with $k_{1}=\frac{15}{8}<2$ and $k_{2}=-\frac{1}{6}$. Hence, (27) has a global center at the origin.

Example 4. Taking $\psi(y)=y^{5}+3 y, f(x)=2 x \cos x^{2}$ and $g(x)=4 x$ in the system $(\mathrm{E})_{3}$, we get the following system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=y^{5}+3 y, \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-2 x\left(\cos x^{2}\right)\left(y^{5}+3 y\right)-4 x \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}=\frac{\cos x^{2}}{2} \quad \text { for } x \neq 0
$$

Then it follows that

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \leqslant \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } 0<x \leqslant \sqrt{\pi} .
$$

Moreover, $|F(x)|=\left|\sin x^{2}\right| \leqslant 1$ for all $x$. Thus (28) satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 6 with $k_{1}=\frac{1}{2}>k_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}$ and $A=1$. Hence (28) has a global center at the origin.
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