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Abstract. We define Bernstein-type operators on the half line $[0,+\infty[$ by means of two sequences of strictly positive real numbers. After studying their approximation properties, we also establish a Voronovskaja-type result with respect to a suitable weighted norm.
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## 1. Introduction and notation

In [4] Chlodovsky introduced and studied a sequence of positive linear operators $\left(C_{n}^{*}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ on the space $C([0,+\infty[)$ of all real valued continuous functions on the half line $[0,+\infty[$, defined by

$$
C_{n}^{*} f(x):= \begin{cases}\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(\frac{b_{n} k}{n}\right)\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n}  \tag{1.1}\\ f(x) & \text { if } x>b_{n}\end{cases}
$$

where $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a divergent sequence of strictly positive real numbers.
Roughly speaking, the above operators, known as Bernstein-Chlodovsky operators, behave basically like the classical Bernstein ones on $\left[0, b_{n}\right]$, interpolating, in the meanwhile, the function $f$ elsewhere.

A deeper analysis of their approximation properties was subsequently carried out in [8], [10] with respect to functions belonging to particular subspaces of $C([0,+\infty[)$. In this framework and without the assumption of completeness, it seems also useful to refer the reader to [6], [7], [9], [11] for general results concerning the approximation
of continuous functions on unbounded intervals and for some interesting extensions of the classical Korovkin's Theorem.

The purpose of this paper is to consider a generalization of Bernstein-Chlodovsky operators (1.1) by using two sequences $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ of strictly positive real numbers, satisfying particular assumptions.

As a consequence, our definition (2.1) actually turns out to be more flexible than (1.1), allowing to state, beyond classical approximation results, a Voronovskajatype formula, which, as far as we know, cannot be stated for the classical $C_{n}^{*}$.

The corresponding differential operator is a rather general second-order one degenerating at the boundaries with coefficients depending on the sequences $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$, and may be readily shown to be the generator of a strongly continuous positive contraction semigroup, due to some classical results stated in [5] and [12].

It would be perhaps interesting, falling, actually, within a wide program of investigation which has been inspiring the authors and other researchers in the last years, to prove that such a semigroup may be represented in terms of powers of the operators $C_{n}$ as an application of the classical Trotter representation theorem [13] (see, also, [1], Proposition 1.6.7, p. 67): this may virtually justify further analysis in the concern.

As for the notation, throughout the paper, besides $C([0,+\infty[)$, we will sometimes deal with the subspace $U C_{b}([0,+\infty[)$ of all bounded uniformly continuous functions on $[0,+\infty[$ which is a Banach lattice, if endowed with the sup- norm $\|\cdot\|$.

For every $\alpha>0$ we will be mainly concerned with the weighted space

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha}^{0}:=\left\{f \in C \left(\left[0,+\infty[) \left\lvert\, \exists \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(x)}{1+x^{\alpha}}=0\right.\right\}\right.\right. \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which becomes a Banach lattice with respect to the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\alpha}:=\sup _{x \geqslant 0} \frac{|f(x)|}{1+x^{\alpha}} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such spaces have been already considered in [2], [3] in which a worthy generalization of the classical Baskakov operators is studied.

As usual, for every integer $m \geqslant 1, C^{m}([0,+\infty[)$ is the vector space of all real valued $m$-times continuously differentiable functions on $\left[0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$. For every $p \geqslant 0, e_{p}$ is the test function defined by $e_{p}(x):=x^{p}(x \geqslant 0)$, whereas, for each $x \geqslant 0, \psi_{x}$ is the function defined by $\psi_{x}(t):=t-x(t \geqslant 0)$.

The symbol $\omega(\cdot, \cdot)$ will denote the classical modulus of continuity, as usual.

## 2. The operators $C_{n}$

Let us consider two sequences $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ of strictly positive real numbers satisfying the following assumptions:

1) $b_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$;
2) $b_{n} / n \rightarrow 0, \quad b_{n}-c_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$;
3) $b_{n} \leqslant c_{n}$ for every $n \geqslant 1$.

It immediately follows that, correspondingly, $c_{n} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $c_{n} / n \rightarrow 0$ as well, and, in addition, $b_{n} \approx c_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

For every $n \geqslant 1$ and for every $f \in E_{\alpha}^{0}$ we set

$$
C_{n} f(x):= \begin{cases}\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(\frac{c_{n} k}{n}\right)\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n}  \tag{2.1}\\ f\left(c_{n}\right) & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n} \\ f(x) & \text { if } x>c_{n}\end{cases}
$$

Since $C_{n}(f)=f$ in $\left[c_{n},+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ by definition, we may refer to $C_{n}$ as to a positive linear operator acting from $E_{\alpha}^{0}$ into itself. Moreover, $C_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0}$ and therefore $\left\|C_{n}\right\|=\left\|C_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)\right\|=1$; in addition, a very simple computation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{n} e_{1}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}} x & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n}, \\
c_{n} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n} \\
x & \text { if } x>c_{n}\end{cases}  \tag{2.2}\\
& C_{n} e_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{b_{n}^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}^{2}} x\left(b_{n}-x\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n} \\
c_{n}^{2} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n} \\
x^{2} & \text { if } x>c_{n}\end{cases}  \tag{2.3}\\
& C_{n} \psi_{x}(x)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right) x & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n} \\
c_{n}-x & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n} \\
0 & \text { if } x>c_{n}\end{cases} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)= \begin{cases}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right)^{2} x^{2}+\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}^{2}} x\left(b_{n}-x\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n}  \tag{2.5}\\ \left(c_{n}-x\right)^{2} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n} \\ 0 & \text { if } x>c_{n}\end{cases}
$$

An approximation result is indicated below.

Theorem 2.1. For every $f \in E_{\alpha}^{0}(\alpha>2)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|C_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{\alpha}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the sequence $\left(C_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ is a positive approximation process.

More precisely, for $n$ large enough we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{n}(f)-f\right\|_{\alpha} \leqslant 2 \omega\left(f, \sqrt{\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}}}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Indeed, let us fix $n \geqslant 1$. On account of (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\left|C_{n} e_{1}(x)-x\right|}{1+x^{\alpha}} \leqslant \begin{cases}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n} \\
\frac{c_{n}-b_{n}}{1+b_{n}^{\alpha}} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n}\end{cases} \\
\frac{\left|C_{n} e_{2}(x)-x^{2}\right|}{1+x^{\alpha}} \leqslant \begin{cases}\left(\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{b_{n}^{2}}-1\right)+\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}} & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n} \\
\frac{2 c_{n}\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right)}{1+b_{n}^{\alpha}} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n}\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Now observe that each member on the right-hand side in the above estimates tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$, as a consequence of the assumptions on the sequences $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$. Moreover, by definition, $\left|C_{n} e_{i}(x)-e_{i}(x)\right|=0$ whenever $x \in\left[c_{n},+\infty[\right.$ and therefore, since obviously $C_{n}\left(e_{0}\right)=e_{0}$, we have just shown that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|C_{n}\left(e_{i}\right)-e_{i}\right\|_{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad i=0,1,2
$$

which implies (2.6) on account of Korovkin's theorem (see, e.g., [1], Proposition 4.2.5, p. 215).

In order to establish (2.7), let us first note that by virtue of [1], Proposition 5.1.2, p. 268, a pointwise estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|C_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right| & \leqslant 2 \omega\left(f, \sqrt{C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)}\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}2 \omega\left(f, \sqrt{\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right)^{2} x^{2}+\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}^{2}} x\left(b_{n}-x\right)}\right) & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n}, \\
2 \omega\left(f, c_{n}-x\right) & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

holds true for any $f \in E_{\alpha}^{0}$. The uniform estimate (2.7) now immediately follows, since a straightforward computation yields for $n$ large enough

$$
\sup _{0 \leqslant x \leqslant c_{n}} \frac{\sqrt{C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)}}{1+x^{\alpha}} \leqslant \sqrt{\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}+\frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}}} .
$$

The following two lemmas will be very useful in the sequel.

Lemma 2.2. Let $\left(\varrho_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ be a divergent sequence of strictly positive real numbers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{n} \frac{c_{n}}{n} \rightarrow 2 a \quad \text { and } \quad \varrho_{n}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right) \rightarrow b \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a>0 b \geqslant 0$. Then, if $\alpha \geqslant 4$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{4}=0 \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly on $[0,+\infty[$.
Proof. For any $n \geqslant 1$ and $x \geqslant 0$ a direct computation shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} & \binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{4} \\
= & x^{4}\left[1-4 \frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}+6 \frac{c_{n}^{2}}{b_{n}^{2}}-6 \frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}^{2}}-4 \frac{c_{n}^{3}(n-1)(n-2)}{n^{2} b_{n}^{3}}+\frac{c_{n}^{4}(n-1)^{4}(n-2)}{n^{5} b_{n}^{4}}\right] \\
& +x^{3}\left[6 \frac{c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}}-12 \frac{c_{n}^{3}(n-1)}{n^{2} b_{n}^{2}}+3 \frac{c_{n}^{4}(n-1)^{2}}{n^{3} b_{n}^{3}}-3 \frac{c_{n}^{4}(n-1)}{n^{3} b_{n}^{3}}+3 \frac{c_{n}^{4}(n-1)^{4}}{n^{5} b_{n}^{3}}\right] \\
& +x^{2}\left[-4 \frac{c_{n}^{3}}{n^{2} b_{n}}+6 \frac{c_{n}^{4}(n-1)}{n^{3} b_{n}^{2}}+\frac{c_{n}^{4}(n-1)^{3}}{n^{5} b_{n}^{2}}\right]+x \frac{c_{n}^{4}}{n^{3} b_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us denote by $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}, \gamma_{n}, \delta_{n}$ the coefficients of the powers $x^{4}, x^{3}, x^{2}$ and $x$, respectively, in the above equality; since $\alpha \geqslant 4$ by assumption, for any $n \geqslant 1$ and $x \geqslant 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{4}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{x^{4}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} \alpha_{n}\right|+\frac{x^{3}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} \beta_{n}\right|+\frac{x^{2}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} \gamma_{n}\right|+\frac{x}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} \delta_{n}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant\left|\varrho_{n} \alpha_{n}\right|+\left|\varrho_{n} \beta_{n}\right|+\left|\varrho_{n} \gamma_{n}\right|+\left|\varrho_{n} \delta_{n}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the assertion easily follows, because all sequences in the above last term tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ on account of (2.8) and the conditions on $\left(b_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ and $\left(c_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}$ stated just before the definition (2.1).

Lemma 2.3. Under the assumptions (2.8), if $\alpha>2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varrho_{n} C_{n}\left(\psi_{x}\right)-b e_{1}\right\|_{\alpha-1}=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\|\varrho_{n} C_{n}\left(\psi_{x}^{2}\right)-2 a e_{1}\right\|_{\alpha}=0 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us choose $n \geqslant 1$; then, on account of (2.4) and (2.5), we get the estimates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}(x)-b x\right|}{1+x^{\alpha-1}} \leqslant \begin{cases}\left|\varrho_{n}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right)-b\right| & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n}, \\
\frac{\varrho_{n}\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right)+b c_{n}}{1+b_{n}^{\alpha-1}} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n}\end{cases} \\
& \frac{\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)-2 a x\right|}{1+x^{\alpha}} \leqslant \begin{cases}\varrho_{n}\left(\frac{c_{n}}{b_{n}}-1\right)^{2}+\frac{\varrho_{n} c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}^{2}}+\left|\frac{\varrho_{n} c_{n}^{2}}{n b_{n}}-2 a\right| & \text { if } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant b_{n} \\
\frac{\varrho_{n}\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right)^{2}+2 a c_{n}}{1+b_{n}^{\alpha}} & \text { if } b_{n}<x \leqslant c_{n}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and all terms on the right-hand sides tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Now, in order to find out an estimate for $x>c_{n}$, let us first observe that the function $g(x):=x /\left(1+x^{\alpha-1}\right)$ $(x \geqslant 0)$ attains its maximum at a point, say $x_{0}$, in $] 0,+\infty[$. Of course there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{n}>x_{0}$ for any $n \geqslant k$ and $g$ is strictly decreasing in $\left[c_{n},+\infty[\right.$. It immediately follows that for $n \geqslant k$ and $x \in\left[c_{n},+\infty[\right.$

$$
\frac{\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}(x)-b x\right|}{1+x^{\alpha-1}}=b g(x) \leqslant \frac{b c_{n}}{1+c_{n}^{\alpha-1}},
$$

where again the term on the right-hand side tends to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Arguing similarly for $C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)$ gives (2.10).

Now we are ready to prove our main result, which states a Voronovskaja-type formula for the operators $C_{n}$.

Theorem 2.4. For any $f \in C^{2}\left(\left[0,+\infty[) \cap E_{\alpha}^{0}(\alpha \geqslant 4)\right.\right.$ such that $f^{\prime \prime} \in$ $U C_{b}([0,+\infty[)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \varrho_{n}\left(C_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right)=a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)+b x f^{\prime}(x) \quad \text { in } \quad E_{\alpha}^{0} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(\varrho_{n}\right)_{n \geqslant 1}, a$ and $b$ being the same as those appearing in Lemma 2.2.

Proof. First of all, let us note that if $f \in C^{2}\left(\left[0,+\infty[) \cap E_{\alpha}^{0}\right.\right.$ with $f^{\prime \prime} \in$ $U C_{b}([0,+\infty[)$, because of the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=f^{\prime}(0)+\int_{0}^{x} f^{\prime \prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad(x \geqslant 0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a suitable constant $K>0$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|}{1+x} \leqslant K \quad(x \geqslant 0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant M$ for every $x \geqslant 0$, then obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f^{\prime}(x)-f^{\prime}(y)\right| \leqslant M|x-y| \quad(x, y \geqslant 0) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that (2.11) holds true on each of the intervals $\left.\left.\left[0, b_{n}\right],\right] b_{n}, c_{n}\right]$, and $] c_{n},+\infty\left[\right.$, as suggested by the definition of our operators $C_{n}$.

To start with, fix $n \geqslant 1$ and note that if $x \in\left[0, b_{n}\right]$, by virtue of Taylor's formula, for any $k=0,1, \ldots, n$ there exists $d_{n, k, x}$ lying between $x$ and $c_{n} k / n$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\frac{c_{n} k}{n}\right)-f(x)= & f^{\prime}(x)\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)+\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, k, x}\right)-f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

After setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(x, \frac{c_{n} k}{n}\right):=\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, k, x}\right)-f^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we may therefore write

$$
\varrho_{n}\left(C_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right)=\varrho_{n} f^{\prime}(x) C_{n} \psi_{x}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \varrho_{n} f^{\prime \prime}(x) C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)+\varrho_{n} R_{n}(x),
$$

where

$$
R_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k} \mu\left(x, \frac{c_{n} k}{n}\right)\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{2} .
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n}\left(C_{n} f(x)-f(x)\right)-a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)-b x f^{\prime}(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant \\
& \quad \frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} \frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x) C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)-a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} f^{\prime}(x) C_{n} \psi_{x}(x)-b x f^{\prime}(x)\right|+\frac{\varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|R_{n}(x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first two members on the right-hand side tend to 0 uniformly: simply apply Lemma 2.3, taking also into account that $f^{\prime \prime}$ is bounded by assumption and that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} f^{\prime}(x) C_{n} \psi_{x}(x)-b x f^{\prime}(x)\right| & \leqslant N \frac{\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|}{1+x}\left\|\varrho_{n} C_{n}\left(\psi_{x}\right)-b e_{1}\right\|_{\alpha-1} \\
& \leqslant N K\left\|\varrho_{n} C_{n}\left(\psi_{x}\right)-b e_{1}\right\|_{\alpha-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

by virtue of (2) (here $N$ is a suitable positive constant).
Therefore, in order to establish (2.11) in $\left[0, b_{n}\right]$, it is sufficient to show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \varrho_{n}\left(1+x^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\left|R_{n}(x)\right|=0$ uniformly. To this aim, note that the assumptions on $f$ together with the definition (4) ensure that $|\mu(x, t)| \leqslant M$ for every $(x, t) \in\left[0, b_{n}\right] \times\left[0, c_{n}\right]$ and that $\lim _{t \rightarrow x} \mu(x, t)=0$ uniformly with respect to $x \in\left[0, b_{n}\right]$.

Now fix $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $\delta>0$ such that $|\mu(x, t)|<\varepsilon$ whenever $|x-t|<\delta$; then (2.9) and the second limit in (2.10) yield

$$
\frac{\varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{4}\right|<\frac{a \varepsilon \delta^{2}}{2 M}
$$

and

$$
\frac{\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)-2 a x\right|}{1+x^{\alpha}}<a / 2
$$

for every $x \in\left[0, b_{n}\right]$ if $n$ is large enough, say $n \geqslant n_{0}$. It follows that for every $x \in\left[0, b_{n}\right]$ and $n \geqslant n_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|R_{n}(x)\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
\left|c_{n} k / n-x\right|<\delta}}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{2}\right| \\
& \quad+\frac{\varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\sum_{\substack{k=0 \\
\left|c_{n} k / n-x\right| \geqslant \delta}}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k} \mu\left(x, \frac{c_{n} k}{n}\right)\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{2}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon \varrho_{n}}{1+x^{\alpha}} C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)+\frac{\varrho_{n} M}{\delta^{2}\left(1+x^{\alpha}\right)}\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}\left(\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{k}\left(1-\frac{x}{b_{n}}\right)^{n-k}\left(c_{n} \frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{4}\right| \\
& \quad \leqslant \varepsilon \frac{\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x)-2 a x\right|}{1+x^{\alpha}}+\frac{2 a \varepsilon x}{1+x^{\alpha}}+\frac{a \varepsilon}{2} \leqslant 3 a \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \varrho_{n}\left(1+x^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\left|R_{n}(x)\right| \leqslant 3 a \varepsilon$ and, consequently, since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, the proof is complete in this first case.

Now, if $\left.x \in] b_{n}, c_{n}\right]$, since $C_{n} f(x)=f\left(c_{n}\right)$ by the definition (2.1), applying Taylor's formula together with (2.4) and (2.5) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}} & \left|\varrho_{n}\left(f\left(c_{n}\right)-f(x)\right)-a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)-b x f^{\prime}(x)\right| \\
= & \left.\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}} \right\rvert\, \varrho_{n} f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\left(c_{n}-x\right) \\
& \left.-\varrho_{n} \frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, x}\right)\left(c_{n}-x\right)^{2}-a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)-b x f^{\prime}(x) \right\rvert\, \\
\leqslant & \frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}(x) f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)-b x f^{\prime}(x)\right| \\
& +\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, x}\right)}{2}-a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|:=I_{1}+I_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

$d_{n, x}$ being a suitable point between $x$ and $c_{n}$. Next we show that each $I_{i}$ tends to 0 uniformly; indeed, on account of (3), for a suitable $N>0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & \leqslant \frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}(x) f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)-b x f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|b x f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)-b x f^{\prime}(x)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{N\left|f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right|}{1+b_{n}}\left\|\varrho_{n} C_{n}\left(\psi_{x}\right)-b e_{1}\right\|_{\alpha-1}+\frac{M b c_{n}\left(c_{n}-b_{n}\right)}{1+b_{n}^{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the term on the right-hand side tends to 0 due to the first limit in (2.10) and to (2), because $\left|f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right| /\left(1+b_{n}\right) \approx\left|f^{\prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right| /\left(1+c_{n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Similarly, since $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \leqslant M$ for every $x \geqslant 0$ by assumption, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2} & \leqslant \frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|\varrho_{n} C_{n} \psi_{x}^{2}(x) \frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, x}\right)}{2}-a x f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, x}\right)\right|+\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|a x f^{\prime \prime}\left(d_{n, x}\right)-a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{M}{2}\left\|\varrho_{n} C_{n}\left(\psi_{x}^{2}\right)-2 a e_{1}\right\|_{\alpha}+\frac{2 M a c_{n}}{1+b_{n}^{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which easily yields $I_{2} \rightarrow 0$, too, because of the second limit in (2.10).
At last, when $x>c_{n}$ and therefore $C_{n} f(x)=f(x)$ by definition, we have, for $n$ large enough and a suitable $N>0$ (see the last part of the proof of Lemma 2.3)

$$
\frac{1}{1+x^{\alpha}}\left|a x f^{\prime \prime}(x)+b x f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{M a c_{n}}{1+c_{n}^{\alpha}}+\frac{N\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|}{1+x} \cdot \frac{b c_{n}}{1+c_{n}^{\alpha-1}}
$$

where again the term on the right-hand side tends to 0 because of (2).
The proof of the theorem is now complete.
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