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## 1. MAXIMUM AND REGULARITY PRINCIPLE

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, m \geqslant 2$, denote

$$
h_{x}(y)= \begin{cases}(m-2)^{-1} A^{-1}|x-y|^{2-m} & \text { for } x \neq y, m>2 \\ A^{-1} \log |x-y|^{-1} & \text { for } x \neq y, m=2 \\ \infty & \text { for } x=y\end{cases}
$$

where $A$ is the area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. For a finite real Borel measure $\nu$ denote

$$
\mathcal{U} \nu(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{m}} h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \nu(y),
$$

the single layer potential corresponding to $\nu$ for each $x$ for which this integral has sense.

Let $H$ be a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{m}, g$ an arbitrary extended real-valued function defined on $\partial H$. We denote by $\bar{U}_{g}^{H}$ the set of all hyperharmonic functions $u$ on $H$
which are lower bounded on $H$ and such that for any $y \in \partial H$

$$
\liminf _{x \rightarrow y} u(x) \geqslant g(y)
$$

We put $\underline{U}_{g}^{H}=-\bar{U}_{(-g)}^{H}$ and denote by $\bar{H}_{g}^{H}$ (or $\underline{H}_{g}^{H}$ ) the greatest lower (or least upper) bound of $\bar{U}_{g}^{H}$ (or $\underline{U}_{g}^{H}$, respectively). (Compare [3], [14].)

A function $g$ on $\partial H$ is said to be resolutive (relative to $H$ ), if $\bar{H}_{g}^{H}=\underline{H}_{g}^{H}$ and $\left|\bar{H}_{g}^{H}(x)\right|<\infty$ for any $x \in H$. We set $H_{g}^{H}=\bar{H}_{g}^{H}$, the generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $g$, provided $g$ is resolutive. If $g \in \mathcal{C}(\partial H)$ and $u$ is a classical solution of the Dirichlet problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $g$ then $g$ is resolutive and $H_{g}^{H}=u$. Any bounded Baire function on $\partial H$ is resolutive ([3], Theorem 6 and the text on p. 94).

A set $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is called a polar set if there is an open set $U \supset Z$ and a function $u$ superharmonic on $U$ such that $u=+\infty$ on $Z$.

For a compact $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(K)$ the Banach space of all finite real Borel measures with support in $K$ with the total variation as a norm.

Lemma 1. Let $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a bounded regular set, $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial H)$. Then $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is the generalized solution of the Dirichlet problem with the boundary condition $\mathcal{U} \nu / \partial H$. Let now $f$ be a Borel measurable function on $\partial H$ such that $\{x \in \partial H ; \mathcal{U} \nu(x) \neq f(x)\}$ is polar. Put $f=\mathcal{U} \nu$ on $H$. If $f$ is continuous and finite on $\partial H$ then it is continuous on the closure of $H$. If $f$ is bounded on $\partial H$ then it is bounded on $H$ and

$$
\inf _{x \in \partial H} f(x) \leqslant \inf _{x \in H} f(x) \leqslant \sup _{x \in H} f(x) \leqslant \sup _{x \in \partial H} f(x) .
$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\nu$ is nonnegative. For $z \in H$ denote by $\mu_{z}$ the harmonic measure corresponding to $H$ and $z$. If $y \in \partial H, z \in H$ then

$$
\int_{\partial H} h_{y}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{z}(x)=h_{y}(z)
$$

by [19], pp. 299, 264. Using Fubini's theorem we get

$$
\int \mathcal{U} \nu \mathrm{d} \mu_{z}=\int_{\partial H} \int_{\partial H} h_{y}(x) \mathrm{d} \mu_{z}(x) \mathrm{d} \nu(y)=\int_{\partial H} h_{y}(z) \mathrm{d} \nu(y)=\mathcal{U} \nu(z) .
$$

Thus $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem with the boundary condition $\mathcal{U} \nu / \partial H$.
Let $\nu$ be general. Let $\nu=\nu^{+}-\nu^{-}$be the Jordan decomposition of $\nu$. Then $\mathcal{U} \nu=\mathcal{U} \nu^{+}-\mathcal{U} \nu^{-}$is a solution of the Dirichlet problem with the boundary condition
$\mathcal{U} \nu / \partial H$. Since harmonic measures do not charge polar sets ([2], Lemma 4.4.5), $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem with the boundary condition $f$. If $f$ is continuous on $\partial H$ then $f$ is continuous on the closure of $H$. If $f$ is bounded on $\partial H$ then $f$ is bounded on $H$ and since harmonic measures are probability measures we get the above inequalities.

## 2. Neumann problem

Suppose that $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}(m \geqslant 2)$ is an open set with a non-void compact boundary $\partial G$. If $h$ is a harmonic function on $G$ such that

$$
\int_{H}|\nabla h| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m}<\infty
$$

for all bounded open subsets $H$ of $G$ we define the weak normal derivative $N^{G} h$ of $h$ as the distribution

$$
\left\langle N^{G} h, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{G} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla h \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}
$$

for $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ (= the space of all compactly supported infinitely differentiable functions in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ ). Here $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ is the $k$-dimensional Hausdorff measure normalized so that $\mathcal{H}_{k}$ is the Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. We formulate the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with a boundary condition $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ in the sense of distributions as follows: determine a harmonic function $h$ on $G$ for which $N^{G} h=\mu$. It is usual to look for a solution $h$ in the form of the single layer potential $\mathcal{U} \nu$, where $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. The single layer potential $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is a harmonic function in $G$ for which the weak normal derivative $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu$ has sense. The operator $N^{G} \mathcal{U}: \nu \mapsto N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu$ is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ if and only if $V^{G}<\infty$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{G} & =\sup _{x \in \partial G} v^{G}(x), \\
v^{G}(x) & =\sup \left\{\int_{G} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m} ; \varphi \in \mathcal{D},|\varphi| \leqslant 1, \operatorname{spt} \varphi \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}-\{x\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [15]). There are more geometrical characterizations of $v^{G}(x)$ in [15] which ensure $V^{G}<\infty$ for $G$ convex or for $G$ with $\partial G \subset\left\{\bigcup L_{i} ; i=1, \ldots, k\right\}$, where $L_{i}$ are ( $m-1$ )dimensional Ljapunov surfaces (i.e. of class $C^{1+\alpha}$ ).

If $z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\theta$ is a unit vector such that the symmetric difference of $G$ and the half-space $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ;(x-z) \cdot \theta<0\right\}$ has $m$-dimensional density zero at $z$ then $n^{G}(z)=\theta$ is termed the exterior normal of $G$ at $z$ in Federer's sense. If there is no exterior normal of $G$ at $z$ in this sense, we denote by $n^{G}(z)$ the zero vector in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

The set $\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} ;\left|n^{G}(y)\right|>0\right\}$ is called the reduced boundary of $G$ and will be denoted by $\widehat{\partial} G$.

If $G$ has a finite perimeter (which is fulfilled if $V^{G}<\infty$ ) then $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\widehat{\partial} G)<\infty$ and

$$
v^{G}(x)=\int_{\widehat{\partial} G}\left|n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y)
$$

for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Throughout the paper we will assume that $V^{G}<\infty$. Then

$$
N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu(M)=\int_{M} d_{G}(x) \mathrm{d} \nu(x)+\int_{\partial G} \int_{(\partial G \cap M)} n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \mathrm{d} \nu(x)
$$

for each $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ and a Borel set $M$ (see [15]).
If $L$ is a bounded linear operator on the Banach space $X$ we denote by $\|L\|_{\text {ess }}$ the essential norm of $L$, i.e. the distance of $L$ from the space of all compact linear operators on $X$. The essential spectral radius of $L$ is defined by

$$
r_{\mathrm{ess}} L=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|L^{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{ess}}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

If $X$ is a complex Banach space then

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{\text {ess }} L & =\sup \{|\lambda| ; \lambda I-L \text { is not a Fredholm operator }\} \\
& =\sup \{|\lambda| ; \lambda I-L \text { is not a Fredholm operator with index } 0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(see [12], Satz 51.8, Theorem 51.1).

Theorem ([22]). Let $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$, where $I$ is the identity operator, $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. Then there is a harmonic function $u$ on $G$, which is a solution of the Neumann problem

$$
N^{G} u=\mu
$$

if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ ( $=$ the space of such $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ that $\nu(\partial H)=0$ for each bounded component $H$ of $\operatorname{cl} G)$. Moreover, if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ then there is a solution of this problem in the form of the single layer potential $\mathcal{U} \nu$, where $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.

Remark 1. It is well-known that the condition $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$ is fulfilled for sets with a smooth boundary (of class $C^{1+\alpha}$ ) (see [16]) and for convex sets (see [23]). R. S. Angell, R.E. Kleinman, J. Král and W. L. Wendland proved that rectangular domains (i.e. formed from rectangular parallelepipeds) in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ have this property (see [1], [18]). A. Rathsfeld showed in [28], [29] that polyhedral cones in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ have this property. (By a polyhedral cone in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we mean an open set $\Omega$ whose boundary is locally a hypersurface (i.e. every point of $\partial \Omega$ has a neighbourhood in $\partial \Omega$
which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ) and $\partial \Omega$ is formed by a finite number of plane angles. By a polyhedral open set with bounded boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ we mean an open set $\Omega$ whose boundary is locally a hypersurface and $\partial \Omega$ is formed by a finite number of polygons). N. V. Grachev and V. G. Maz'ya obtained independently an analogous result for polyhedral open sets with bounded boundary in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ (see [10]). (Let us note that there is a polyhedral set in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which has not a locally Lipschitz boundary.) In [20] it was shown that the condition $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$ has a local character. As a conclusion we obtain that this condition is fullfiled for $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that for each $x \in \partial G$ there are $r(x)>0$, a domain $D_{x}$ which is polyhedral or smooth or convex or a complement of a convex domain, and a diffeomorphism $\psi_{x}: \mathcal{U}(x ; r(x)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of class $C^{1+\alpha}$, where $\alpha>0$, such that $\psi_{x}(G \cap \mathcal{U}(x ; r(x)))=D_{x} \cap \psi_{x}(\mathcal{U}(x ; r(x)))$. V. G. Maz'ya and N. V. Grachev proved this condition for several types of sets with "piecewise-smooth" boundary in the general Euclidean space (see [8], [9], [11]).

In the rest of the paper we will suppose that $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the restriction of $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}$ onto $\partial G$. Then $\mathcal{H}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)<\infty$ (see [22], Lemma 2).

Notation. $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ will stand for the subspace of those $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ for which there exists a continuous function $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ coinciding with $\mathcal{U} \mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \partial G$. It was shown in [27] that if $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{U} \nu$ onto $\partial G$ is finite and continuous then $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is finite and continuous in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $\mu=f \mathcal{H}$, where $f \in L_{p}(\mathcal{H}), p>m-1$ then $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ (see [21], Remark 6).

Notation. Denote by $\mathcal{I}$ the set of all isolated points of $\partial G, \tilde{G}=G \cup \mathcal{I}$. Then the set $\mathcal{I}$ is finite by [22], Lemma 1. Therefore $V^{\tilde{G}}=V^{G}<\infty, N^{\tilde{G}} \mathcal{U} \nu=N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial \tilde{G})$ and $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{\tilde{G}} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)=r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)$, because $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial \tilde{G})$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ of a finite codimension.

Denote by $\Omega_{R}(x)$ the open ball with a centre $x$ and a radius $R$.

Lemma 2. Let $R>0$ be such that $\partial G \subset \Omega_{R}(0)$. Then $\tilde{G} \cap \Omega_{R}(0), \Omega_{R}(0) \backslash \operatorname{cl} \tilde{G}$ are regular sets.

Proof. Since the density of $\tilde{G} \cap \Omega_{R}(0)$ and the density of $\Omega_{R}(0) \backslash \operatorname{cl} \tilde{G}$ are positive at each point of the boundary of $\tilde{G}$ by [22], Lemma 1 , the sets $\tilde{G} \cap \Omega_{R}(0)$, $\Omega_{R}(0) \backslash \mathrm{cl} \tilde{G}$ are regular (see [4], Chap. VII, $\S \S 2,6,19$, Theorem 5.11, Theorem 5.10).

Lemma 3. $G$ has finitely many components $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{n}$ and $\operatorname{cl} G_{j} \cap \operatorname{cl} G_{k}=\emptyset$ for $j \neq k$.

Proof. If we define for $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{H}), x \in \partial G$

$$
W^{G} f(x)=d_{G}(x) f(x)+\int_{\partial G} f(y) n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}(y)
$$

then $W^{G}$ is a bounded linear operator on $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{H})$, because $V^{G}<\infty$. If we define for $f \in L_{1}(\mathcal{H}), x \in \partial G$

$$
\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U H}\right) f(x)=d_{G}(x) f(x)-\int_{\partial G} f(y) n^{G}(x) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}(y)
$$

then $\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U H}\right)$ is a bounded linear operator on $L_{1}(\mathcal{H})$ (compare [17], Theorem 1). Since $N^{G} \mathcal{U}(f \mathcal{H})=\left[\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{H}\right) f\right] \mathcal{H}$ for each $f \in L_{1}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\left\{f \mathcal{H} ; f \in L_{1}(\mathcal{H})\right\}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$, we have $r_{\text {ess }}\left(\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{H}\right)-\frac{1}{2} I\right) \leqslant r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$ by [20], Lemma 1.3 or [13], Lemma 15.

Fix a bounded component $H$ of $G$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial H) \leqslant \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G)<\infty$, the perimeter of $H$ is finite. Since $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G \backslash \hat{\partial} G)=0$ by [22], Lemma 2 and $n^{H}(y)=$ $n^{G}(y)$ for each $y \in \hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G$, we have

$$
v^{H}(y)=\int_{\hat{\partial} H}\left|n^{H}(x) \cdot \nabla h_{y}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}=\int_{\hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial ̂ G}}\left|n^{G}(x) \cdot \nabla h_{y}(x)\right| \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1} \leqslant v^{G}(y)
$$

for each $y \in \partial H$. Therefore $V^{H}<\infty$ and $d_{H}(y)$ has a good meaning for each $y \in \partial H$ by [15], Lemma 2.9. Put

$$
u_{H}(y)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } y \in \hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G \\ 0 & \text { for } y \in \partial G \backslash \hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G\end{cases}
$$

Since $n^{G}(y)=n^{H}(y)$ for $y \in \hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G$ and $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G \backslash \hat{\partial} G)=0,[15]$, Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.15 yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{G} u_{H}(x) & =\frac{1}{2} u_{H}(x)+\int_{\hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G} n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} u_{H}(x)+\int_{\hat{\partial} H} n^{H}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} u_{H}(x)-d_{H}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x \in \hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G$ then $d_{H}(x)=\frac{1}{2}$ and thus $W^{G} u_{H}(x)=0$. If $d_{H}(x)=0$ then $u_{H}(x)=0$, therefore $W^{G} u_{H}(x)=0$. Since $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(\left\{x \in \hat{\partial} G \backslash \hat{\partial} H ; d_{H}(x)>0\right\} \leqslant\right.$ $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(\left\{x \in \partial H \backslash \hat{\partial} H ; 0<d_{H}(x) \leqslant d_{G}(x) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\right\}=0\right.$ by [33], Lemma 5.9.5 and $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial G \backslash \hat{\partial} G)=0$ by [22], Lemma $2, W^{G} u_{H}(x)=0$ for $\mathcal{H}$-a.a. $x \in \partial G$. Since the
perimeter of a nonempty open bounded set is positive (see [33], Theorem 5.4.3) and $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\hat{\partial} H)$ is equal to the perimeter of $H$ (see [33],Theorem 5.81, Theorem 5.6.5) and $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial H \backslash \hat{\partial} G)=0$, the function $u_{H}$ is positive on the set $\hat{\partial} H \cap \hat{\partial} G$ of positive $\mathcal{H}$ measure.

If $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are different bounded components of $G$ then $\hat{\partial} G \cap \hat{\partial} H_{1} \cap \hat{\partial} H_{2}=\emptyset$, because $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are disjoint. The set $\left\{u_{H} ; H\right.$ is a bounded component of $\left.G\right\}$ contains linearly independent elements of the kernel of $W^{G}$. Since $N^{G}(\mathcal{U H})$ is a Fredholm operator and $W^{G}$ is an adjoint operator of $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mathcal{H}$, the operator $W^{G}$ is a Fredholm operator as well (see [12], Satz 51.8, Theorem 27.1). Since the dimension of the kernel of $W^{G}$ is greater than or equal to the number of bounded components of $G$ and $W^{G}$ is a Fredholm operator, $G$ has only finitely many components. (Since $\partial G$ is bounded, there is at most one unbounded component of G.) According to [22], Note 5 the codimension of the range of $N^{G}(\mathcal{U} \mathcal{H})$ is equal to the number of bounded components of the closure of $G$. Since the dimension of the kernel of $W^{G}$ is equal to the codimension of the range of $N^{G}(\mathcal{U H})$, because $W^{G}$ is the adjoint operator of $N^{G}(\mathcal{U} \mathcal{H})$ (see [12], Theorem 27.1), the number of bounded components of $G$ is smaller than or equal to the number of bounded components of the closure of $G$. Therefore the number of bounded components of $G$ is equal to the number of bounded components of the closure of $G$ and the closures of any two different components of $G$ are disjoint.

Theorem 1. Let $\nu, \mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G), N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu=\mu$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
a) $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.
b) $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.
c) There is a finite continuous extension of $\mathcal{U} \nu$ from $G$ onto the closure of $G$.
d) There is a finite continuous extension of $\mathcal{U} \mu$ from $G$ onto the closure of $G$.

If $\partial G=\partial\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G\right)$ then these assertions are equivalent to the following ones
e) There are a polar set $K$ and a finite continuous function $f$ on $\partial G$ such that $\mathcal{U} \nu=f$ on $\partial G \backslash K$.
f) There are a polar set $K$ and a finite continuous function $f$ on $\partial G$ such that $\mathcal{U} \mu=f$ on $\partial G \backslash K$.

Proof. Denote $\mu_{\mathcal{I}}=\mu / \mathcal{I}, \mu_{\tilde{G}}=\mu /(\partial G \backslash \mathcal{I}), \nu_{\mathcal{I}}=\nu / \mathcal{I}, \nu_{\tilde{G}}=\nu /(\partial G \backslash \mathcal{I})$. Since the density of $G$ at each point of $\partial G \backslash \mathcal{I}$ is positive by [22], Lemma 1, we have $\mu_{\mathcal{I}}=\nu_{\mathcal{I}}$ by [15], Observation on p. 25. If $\mu_{\mathcal{I}}=\nu_{\mathcal{I}} \neq 0$ then none of the assertions a)-d) is true. So we can suppose that $\mu_{\mathcal{I}}=\nu_{\mathcal{I}}=0$ and coming to $\tilde{G}$ we can suppose that $\partial G=\partial\left(\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G\right)$.
a) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}) . \mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by [15], Plemelj's exchange theorem 2.23 .
b) $\Rightarrow$ a). This assertion is true for $m>2$ by [21], Lemma 13. Let us suppose that $m=2$. If we denote for $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial G)$ ( $=$ the space of all bounded continuous functions on $\partial G$ equipped with the maximum norm) and $x \in \partial G$

$$
W^{G} f(x)=d_{G}(x) f(x)+\int_{\partial G} f(y) n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}(y)
$$

then $W^{G}$ is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{C}(\partial G)$ and $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is the dual operator of $W^{G}$ (see [15], Proposition 2.5, Proposition 2.20). We shall show that $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu \in$ $W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G))$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right) \cap\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)\right)=\{0\}$ by [22], Proposition 2 and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker}\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)=\operatorname{codim}\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)\right)$ because $\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)$ is a Fredholm operator with index 0 , the space $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ is the direct sum of $\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)\right)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)$. Therefore $\mu=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}$, where $\mu_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)$ and $\mu_{2} \in\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)\right)$. Since $\mu_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by [22], Lemma 4, we get $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{1}=$ $\mathcal{U}_{c}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mu_{1}\right)=W^{G}\left(\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{1}\right)$ by [15], Plemelj's exchange theorem 2.23. Since $\mu, \mu_{1} \in$ $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$, we have $\mu_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$, too. Put $\tilde{\nu}=\nu-\mu_{1}$. Then $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \tilde{\nu}=\mu_{2}$. Put $C=\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \operatorname{cl} G$. Since $N^{C} \mathcal{U}=I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}$, we have $\mu_{2} \in N^{C} \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)\right)$. If $G$ is bounded then we choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi=1$ in a neigbourhood of $\mathrm{cl} G$. We get

$$
\mu_{2}(\partial G)=\left\langle N^{G} \mathcal{U} \tilde{\nu}, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{G} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U} \tilde{\nu}=0
$$

If $G$ is unbounded we get $\mu_{2}(\partial G)=0$ in a similar way using the facts that $\mu_{2} \in$ $N^{C} \mathcal{U}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)\right)$ and $C$ is bounded. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G), N^{G} \mathcal{U} \sigma=0$. Then $\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by [22], Lemma 4. Since the density of $G$ is positive at each point of the boundary by [22], Lemma 1, we have $\mathcal{H}(\partial G)>0$ by Isoperimetric lemma ([15], p. 50). Put $\sigma_{1}=$ $\sigma(\partial G)[\mathcal{H}(\partial G)]^{-1} \mathcal{H}, \sigma_{2}=\sigma-\sigma_{1}$. Then $\mathcal{U} \sigma_{1}$ is finite and continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ by [22], Lemma 2, [15], Corollary 2.17, Lemma 2.18. Therefore $\sigma_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. Using [22], Lemma 7 we get

$$
\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{2}=\int_{G} \nabla \mathcal{U} \mu_{2} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U} \sigma_{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}=\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \sigma_{2} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{2}
$$

If $x \in \partial G, \mathcal{U}\left|\mu_{2}\right|(x)<\infty$ then $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2}(x)=\mathcal{U} \mu_{2}(x)$, because $\mathcal{U} \mu_{2}$ is finely continuous at $x$ (see [19], Chapter $\mathrm{V}, \S 3$ ) and $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G$ is not a fine neighbourhood of $x$, because $d_{G}(x)>0$ (see [4], Chap. VII, $\S \S 2,6,19$, Theorem 5.11). Thus $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2}=\mathcal{U} \mu_{2}$ outside the polar set $\left\{x ; \mathcal{U}\left|\mu_{2}\right|(x)=\infty\right\}$. Since $\sigma_{1}$ does not charge polar sets (see [19], Theorem 3.1, Theorem 2.1) using Fubini's theorem we get

$$
\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{1}=\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U} \mu_{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{1}=\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U} \sigma_{1} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{2}=\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \sigma_{1} \mathrm{~d} \mu_{2}
$$

Denote by $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{p}$ the components of $G$. Then there are $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{U}_{c} \sigma=c_{j}$ on $H_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, p$ by [22], Lemma 12. Therefore

$$
\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \sigma \mathrm{~d} \mu_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{p} c_{j} \mu_{2}\left(\partial H_{j}\right)
$$

If $H_{j}$ is bounded, choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi=1$ on $H_{j}$ and $\varphi=0$ on $\operatorname{cl} G \backslash H_{j}$. Then

$$
\mu_{2}\left(\partial H_{j}\right)=\left\langle\mu_{2}, \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle N^{G} \mathcal{U} \tilde{\nu}, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{G} \nabla \mathcal{U} \tilde{\nu} \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}=0
$$

If $H_{j}$ is unbounded then we get $\mu_{2}\left(\partial H_{j}\right)=0$ from the facts that $\mu_{2}(\partial G)=0$ and $\mu_{2}\left(\partial H_{i}\right)=0$ for each bounded $H_{i}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial G} \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2} \mathrm{~d} \sigma=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is Fredholm, (1) yields that $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2} \in W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G))$ by [32], Chapter VII, Theorem 3.1. Since $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{1} \in W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G)), \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{2} \in W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G))$ we have $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu \in$ $W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G))$.

Put

$$
\nu_{0}=\mu+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(I-2 N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)^{j}\left(2 I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right) \mu
$$

Then $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu_{0}=\mu$ by [22], Theorem 1. Put

$$
\mu_{j}=\left(I-2 N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)^{j}\left(2 I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right) \mu
$$

for $j$ a nonnegative integer. According to [15], Plemelj's exchange theorem 2.23 we have $\mu_{j} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ and

$$
\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{j}=\left(I-2 W^{G}\right)^{j}\left(2 I-W^{G}\right) \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu \text { on } \partial G
$$

If $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $W^{G},\left|\lambda-\frac{1}{2}\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$, because $\lambda I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}, \lambda I-W^{G}$ are Fredholm operators with index 0 and the kernels of these operators have the same dimension (see [32], Chapter IX, Theorem 2.1, Theorem 1.3, Chapter VII, Theorem 3.5, Chapter V, Theorem 4.1); therefore $\lambda \in\{0 ; 1\}$ by [22], Proposition 1. Since $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\lambda I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\lambda I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)$ by [22], Proposition 2 we have $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\lambda I-W^{G}\right)^{2}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\lambda I-W^{G}\right)$ by [32], Chapter V, Theorem 2.3, Chapter V, Theorem 4.1. Now [22], Proposition 3 yields that there are constants $q \in(0 ; 1)$, $M>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\left(I-2 W^{G}\right)^{j}\left(2 I-W^{G}\right) g\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\partial G)} \leqslant M q^{j}\|g\|_{\mathcal{C}(\partial G)}
$$

for all $g \in W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G))$. Since $\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu \in W^{G}(\mathcal{C}(\partial G))$ we have

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{j}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\partial G)}=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\left(I-2 W^{G}\right)^{j}\left(2 I-W^{G}\right) \mathcal{U}_{c} \mu\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\partial G)}<\infty .
$$

Since

$$
\|\mu\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mu_{j}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)}<\infty, \quad\left\|\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\partial G)}+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left\|\mathcal{U}_{c} \mu_{j}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}(\partial G)}<\infty
$$

[15], Lemma 4.5 yields that $\nu_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.
Since $N^{G} \mathcal{U}\left(\nu-\nu_{0}\right)=0$, we have $\nu-\nu_{0} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by [22], Lemma 4 and thus $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.
c) $\Rightarrow$ e). Let $f$ denote a finite continuous extension of $\mathcal{U} \nu$ from $G$ onto the closure of $G$. Because $\mathcal{U} \nu^{+}, \mathcal{U} \nu^{-}$are superharmonic functions they are continuous with respect to the fine topology (see [19], Chapter V, §3). Denote $K=\{x \in \partial G$; $\mathcal{U}|\nu|(x)=\infty\}$. Then $K$ is polar and $\mathcal{U} \nu(x)$ is the fine limit of $\mathcal{U} \nu$ for each $x \in \partial G \backslash K$. Thus $f(x)=\mathcal{U} \nu(x)$ for each $x \in \partial G \backslash K$, because every fine neighbourhood of $x$ intersects $G$ by Lemma 2, [19], Theorem 5.11, Theorem 5.10.
e) $\Rightarrow$ a). Define $f=\mathcal{U} \nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \partial G$. Fix $R>0$ such that $\partial G \subset \Omega_{R}(0)$. Using Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 for $G \cap \Omega_{R}(0)$ and $M=\Omega_{R}(0) \backslash \operatorname{cl} G$ we get

$$
f(x)=\lim _{y \rightarrow x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \partial G} \mathcal{U} \nu(y) \text { for } x \in \partial G .
$$

Therefore $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.
Lemma 4. Let $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$ be a bounded open set, $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial H)<\infty, \mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial H)$, let $u$ be a solution of the Neumann problem $N^{H} u=\mu$, finite and continuous up to the boundary of $H$. Then for each $x \in H$

$$
u(x)=\mathcal{U} \mu(x)-\mathcal{D} u(x),
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{D} u(x)=\int_{\partial H} u(y) n^{H}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y)
$$

is the double layer potential corresponding to the density $u$.
Proof. Fix $x \in H, r>0$ such that $\operatorname{cl} \Omega_{r}(x) \subset H$. Put $H(r)=H \backslash \Omega_{r}(x)$. Choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi=1$ in the neighbourhood of $\operatorname{cl} H(r)$ and $\varphi=0$ in the neighbourhood of $x$. Green's formula yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U} \mu(x) & =\left\langle N^{H} u, h_{x} \varphi\right\rangle \\
& =\int_{H(r)} \nabla h_{x} \cdot \nabla u \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}+\int_{\partial \Omega_{r}(x)} h_{x}(y) n^{\Omega_{r}(x)}(y) \cdot \nabla u(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}(\partial H)<\infty$ there is a positive konstant $K$ such that for each positive integer $k$ there are balls $\Omega_{r_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \Omega_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)$ such that $\partial H \subset\left(\Omega_{r_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$, $r_{1}^{m-1}+\ldots+r_{j}^{m-1} \leqslant K, \max \left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{j}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{k}, \operatorname{dist}\left(x_{i}, \partial H\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{k}$ for $i=1, \ldots, j$; put $H_{k}(r)=H(r) \backslash\left(\Omega_{r_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right) \cup \ldots \cup \Omega_{r_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{m}\left(H(r) \backslash H_{k}(r)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(H_{k}(r)\right) \leqslant L \equiv\left(K+r^{m-1}\right) \mathcal{H}_{m-1}\left(\partial \Omega_{1}(0)\right)$.

Fix $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\mathrm{cl} H$ is compact, there is a polynomial $p$ such that $|u-p| \leqslant \varepsilon$ on $\mathrm{cl} H$. Using Green's formula we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{H(r)} \nabla h_{x}(y) \cdot \nabla u(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m}(y)-\int_{\partial H(r)} u(y) n^{H(r)}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y)\right| \\
&= \mid \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H_{k}(r)} \nabla h_{x}(y) \cdot \nabla u(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m}(y) \\
&-\int_{\partial H(r)} u(y) n^{H(r)}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \mid \\
&=\mid\left|\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial H_{k}(r)} u n^{H_{k}(r)} \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1} \int_{\partial H(r)} u n^{H(r)} \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}\right| \\
& \leqslant \mid \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial H_{k}(r)} p(y) n^{H_{k}(r)}(y) \cdot h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \\
&-\int_{\partial H(r)} p(y) n^{H(r)}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \left\lvert\,+\frac{\varepsilon 2 L}{r^{m-1} A}\right. \\
&=\mid\left|\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{H_{k}(r)} \nabla p \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathcal{H}_{m}-\int_{H(r)} \nabla p \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathcal{H}_{m}\right| \\
&+\frac{\varepsilon 2 L}{r^{m-1} A}=\frac{\varepsilon 2 L}{r^{m-1} A} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{H(r)} \nabla h_{x} \cdot \nabla u \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}=\int_{\partial H(r)} u(y) n^{H(r)} \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y), \\
\mathcal{U} \mu(x)=\int_{\partial H(r)} u n^{H(r)} \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}+\int_{\partial \Omega_{r}(x)} h_{x} n^{\Omega_{r}(x)} \cdot \nabla u \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $r \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$
\mathcal{U} \mu(x)=\int_{\partial H} u(y) n^{H}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y)+u(x)
$$

Lemma 5. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$, let $u$ be a solution of the Neumann problem $N^{G} u=\mu$, finite and continuous up to the boundary of $G$. Then $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.

Proof. Let $G$ be bounded. Then $u=\mathcal{U} \mu-\mathcal{D} u$. Since $u$ is continuous and finite on $\partial G$, the double layer potential $\mathcal{D} u$ is continuously extendible to the closure of $G$ (see [15], Chapter 2). Therefore $\mathcal{U} \mu=\mathcal{D} u+u$ is continuously extendible to the closure of $G$. Hence $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by Theorem 1 .

If $G$ is unbounded, fix $R>0$ such that $\partial G \subset \Omega_{R}(0)$. Put $H=G \cap \Omega_{R}(0)$. Then $V^{H}<\infty, r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{H} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$. If we put

$$
\tilde{\mu}(M)=\int_{\partial \Omega_{R}(0) \cap M} \frac{x}{|x|} \cdot \nabla u(x) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)
$$

for a Borel measurable set $M$ then $N^{H} u=\mu+\tilde{\mu}$. Since $u$ is finite and continuous on cl $H, \mu+\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial H)$. Since $\mathcal{U} \tilde{\mu}$ is continuous in a neighbourhood of $\partial G$ by [15], Lemma 2.18, we have $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.

Lemma 6. Let $G$ be unbounded, let $w$ be a solution of the Neumann problem in the sense of distributions with the null boundary condition. Suppose that there are $q \geqslant 1, R>0$ such that $|\nabla w| \in L_{q}\left(G \backslash \Omega_{R}(0)\right)$. Then there is a real number a such that $w-a=O\left(|x|^{1-m}\right),|\nabla w|=O\left(|x|^{-m}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Fix $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \mathrm{cl} G$. Then [31], Chapter I, Theorem 3.5 yields that there are real numbers $a, b$ and a harmonic function $v$ on a neighbourhood of 0 with $v(0)=0$ such that

$$
w(x)=a+b h_{x_{0}}+\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2-m} v\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}\right) .
$$

Fix $R>0$ such that $\partial G \subset \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}, \varphi=1$ on $\Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\left\langle N^{G} w, \varphi\right\rangle & =\left\langle N^{G \cap \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} w, \varphi\right\rangle+\left\langle N^{G \backslash \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} w, \varphi\right\rangle \\
& =-\int_{\partial \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} n^{\Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \cdot \nabla w \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1} \\
& =b-\int_{\partial \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} n^{\Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}(x) \cdot \nabla\left[\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2-m} v\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|\nabla\left[\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2-m} v\left(\left(x-x_{0}\right) /\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{2}\right)\right]\right|=O\left(|x|^{-m}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ by [31], Chapter I, Corollary and Remark 3.6, we get $b=0$ taking $R \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $|\nabla w(x)|=$ $O\left(|x|^{-m}\right),|w(x)-a|=O\left(|x|^{1-m}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2. Denote by $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ all components of $G$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ then there is a solution of the Neumann problem in the sense of distributions with the boundary
condition $\mu$, which is continuous up to the boundary, if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $G$ is bounded then the general form of this solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\mathcal{U} \nu+\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j} \chi_{G_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\mu+2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(I-2 N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right)^{j}\left(I-N^{G} \mathcal{U}\right) \mu \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\chi_{G_{j}}$ are characteristic functions of $G_{j}$ and $c_{j}$ are arbitrary constants. If $G$ is unbounded then (2) is a general form of solutions continuously extendible to the boundary of $G$ for which there are $R>0, p \geqslant 1$ such that $|\nabla u| \in L_{p}\left(G \backslash \Omega_{R}(0)\right)$.

Proof. If $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$, then $u$ given by (2) is a solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition $\mu$, which is continuous up to the boundary (see Theorem 1 and [22], Theorem 1).

If $u$ is a continuous (up to the boundary) solution of the Neumann problem with the boundary condition $\mu$, then $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by Lemma 5. Put $w=u-\mathcal{U} \nu$. Then $w$ is a solution of the Neumann problem in the sense of distributions with the zero boundary condition, continuous up to the boundary.

Suppose that $G$ is bounded. Then $w=-\mathcal{D} w$ on $G$ by Lemma 4. Since $V^{G}<\infty$, $-\mathcal{D} w$ has a limit $W^{\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G} w$ on the boundary, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G} w(x)=d_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G}(x) w(x)-\int_{\partial G} w(y) n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by [15], Remark 2.24. If we denote for $f \in \mathcal{C}(\partial G)$ and $x \in \partial G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{G} f(x)=d_{G}(x) f(x)+\int_{\partial G} f(y) n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}(y) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $W^{G} w=0$. Since $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is a Fredholm operator, the codimension of the range of $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is equal to $k$ by [22], Theorem 1 and $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is the adjoint operator of $W^{G}$ by [15], Proposition 2.20, the dimension of the kernel of $W^{G}$ is equal to $k$ by [12], Theorem 27.1. In a similar way as for $w$ we get that $W^{G} \chi_{\partial G j}=W^{G} \chi_{\mathrm{cl} G_{j}}=0$. Since $\chi_{G_{1}}, \ldots, \chi_{G_{k}}$ form a base of the kernel of $W^{G}$ and $W^{G} w=0, w$ is constant on $\partial G_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, k$. Since $w$ is harmonic and continuous, it is constant on $G_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, k$. So, $u$ has the form (2).

Suppose now that $G$ is unbounded and there are $R>0, p \geqslant 1$ such that $|\nabla u| \in$ $L_{p}\left(G \backslash \Omega_{R}(0)\right)$. According to Lemma 6 there is a real number $a$ such that $|\nabla w(x)|=$
$O\left(|x|^{-m}\right),|w(x)-a|=O\left(|x|^{1-m}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Fix $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \operatorname{cl} G, R>0$ such that $\partial G \subset \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$. According to Lemma 4 we have for $x \in G \cap \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x)-a= & \int_{\partial \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} h_{x} n^{\Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \cdot \nabla w \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1} \\
& -\int_{\partial \Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}(w-a) n^{\Omega_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} \cdot \nabla h_{x} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m-1}-\mathcal{D}(w-a)(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Tending $R \rightarrow \infty$ we get $w(x)-a=-\mathcal{D}(w-a)(x)$ in $G$. Since $V^{G}<\infty,-\mathcal{D}(w-a)$ has the limit $W^{\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash G}(w-a)$ (given by (4)) on the boundary. Therefore $W^{G}(w-a)=0$ ( $W^{G} f$ is given by (5)). Since $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is a Fredholm operator, the codimension of the range of $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is equal to $k-1$ by [22], Theorem 1 and $N^{G} \mathcal{U}$ is the adjoint operator of $W^{G}$, the dimension of the kernel of $W^{G}$ is equal to $k-1$ by [12], Theorem 27.1. In a similar way as for $w$ we get that $W^{G} \chi_{\partial G j}=W^{G} \chi_{\operatorname{cl} G_{j}}=0$ for each bounded component $G_{j}$ of $G$. Since $\left\{\chi_{G_{j}} ; G_{j}\right.$ bounded $\}$ form a base of the kernel of $W^{G}$ and $W^{G}(w-a)=0, w$ is constant on $\partial G_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, k$ and $(w-a)=0$ on the boundary of the unbounded component of $G$. Since $(w-a)$ is harmonic, continuous on $\mathrm{cl} G$ and $(w(x)-a)$ tends to 0 as $|x|$ tends to infinity, $w$ is constant on $G_{j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, k$. So, $u$ has the form (2).

Remark 2. If $G$ is unbounded then the space of all solutions of the Neumann problem in the sense of distributions with the zero boundary condition, which are continuously extendible onto the closure of $G$, has infinite dimension. For a positive integer $j$ put

$$
f_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{j}\binom{2 j}{2 k}(-1)^{j-k} x_{1}^{2 k} x_{2}^{2 j-2 k}
$$

Then $f_{j}$ are harmonic functions in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. According to Theorem 2 there are $\nu_{j} \in$ $\mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ such that $\mathcal{U} \nu_{j}$ is a solution of the Neumann problem in the sense of distributions with the boundary condition $\frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial n} \mathcal{H}$. Then $u_{j}=f_{j}-\mathcal{U} \nu_{j}$ are solutions of the Neumann problem in the sense of distributions with the zero boundary condition, which are continuously extendible onto the closure of $G$. Since $\lim u_{j}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) / x_{1}^{j} \rightarrow 1$ as $x_{1} \rightarrow \infty$, the functions $u_{j}$ are linearly independent.

Lemma 7. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $m>2$ then $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu| \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$. If $m=2$ then $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu| \in L_{2, \text { loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu| \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ if and only if $\nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$.

Proof. If $m>0$ or $m=2$ and $\nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$ then $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu| \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ by [22], Lemma 2, Lemma 6. Let now $m=2, \nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \neq 0$. Choose $x \in G, r>0$ such that $\Omega_{2 r}(x) \subset G$. Put $H=G \backslash \operatorname{cl} \Omega_{r}(x)$ and let $\mu$ be the restriction of $\mathcal{H}_{1}$ onto $\partial \Omega_{r}(x)$. Fix a constant $c$ such that $\nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)-c \mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$. Since $\nu-c \mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial H)$
by [15], Lemma 2.18, we have $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu-c \nabla \mathcal{U} \mu| \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ (see [22], Lemma 6). Easy calculation yields that there are constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ such that $\mathcal{U} \mu=c_{1}$ in $\Omega_{r}(x)$ and $\mathcal{U} \mu=c_{1}+c_{2} \log (|x| / r)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \Omega_{r}(x)$. Since $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \mu| \in L_{2, \text { loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right) \backslash L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ we have got the assertion of the lemma.

Notation. Denote by $W^{1,2}(G)$ the collection of all functions $f \in L_{2}(G)$ the distributional gradient of which belongs to $\left[L_{2}(G)\right]^{m}$.

Lemma 8. Let $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $G$ is bounded then $\mathcal{U} \nu \in W^{1,2}(G)$. If $G$ is unbounded and $m>4$ then $\mathcal{U} \nu \in W^{1,2}(G)$; if $3 \leqslant m \leqslant 4$ then $\mathcal{U} \nu \in W^{1,2}(G)$ if and only if $\nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$.

Proof. $\quad \mathcal{U} \nu \in W^{1,2}(G)$ for $G$ bounded because $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu| \in L_{2, \text { loc }}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ and $\mathcal{U} \nu$ is continuously extendible to $\mathrm{cl} G$. Let now $G$ be unbounded, $m>2$. The assertion follows from the facts that $|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu| \in L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right), \mathcal{U} \nu$ is continuously extendible to $\mathrm{cl} G$ and $\mathcal{U} \nu(x)=\nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)|x|^{2-m}+O\left(|x|^{1-m}\right)$ for $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Throughout the rest of paper we will suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $W^{1,2}(G)$. According to [33], Theorem 2.3.2 this condition is fulfilled if $\left\{f / G ; f \in W^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)\right\}=$ $W^{1,2}(G)$.

Definition. Let $L$ be a bounded linear functional on $W^{1,2}(G)$ such that $L(\varphi)=0$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(G)=\{\varphi \in \mathcal{D} ; \operatorname{spt} \varphi \subset G\}$. We say that $u \in W^{1,2}(G)$ is a weak solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $L$ if

$$
\int_{G} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}=L(v)
$$

for each $v \in W^{1,2}(G)$.

Lemma 9. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $G$ is bounded suppose that $\mu(\partial G)=0$. Then there is a unique bounded linear functional $L_{\mu}$ on $W^{1,2}(G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\mu}(\varphi)=\int_{\partial G} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \mu \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 2 and Theorem 1 there is $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ such that $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu=\mu$. Fix $\psi \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\psi=1$ in a neighbourhood of $\partial G$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial G} \varphi \mathrm{~d} \mu= & \int_{\partial G} \psi \varphi \mathrm{~d} N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu=\int_{G} \nabla(\psi \varphi) \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U} \nu \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m} \\
\leqslant & \sup |\psi|\left(\int_{G \cap \operatorname{spt} \psi}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{G \cap \operatorname{spt} \psi}|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& +\sup |\nabla \psi|\left(\int_{G \cap \operatorname{spt} \psi}|\varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{G \cap \mathrm{spt} \psi}|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leqslant & C\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,2}(G)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
C=2(\sup |\psi|+\sup |\nabla \psi|)\left(\int_{G \cap \operatorname{spt} \psi}|\nabla \mathcal{U} \nu|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}\right)^{1 / 2}<\infty
$$

by Lemma 7. According to the Hahn-Banach theorem there is a bounded linear functional $L_{\mu}$ on $W^{1,2}(G)$ such that (6) holds. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $W^{1,2}(G)$, the functional $L_{\mu}$ is unique.

Theorem 3. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}(\partial G) \cap \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $G$ is unbounded suppose moreover that $m>2$ and $\mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$ for $3 \leqslant m \leqslant 4$. Then there is a weak solution $u \in W^{1,2}(G)$ of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $L_{\mu}$. If $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ are all components of $G$ then the general solution of this problem has the form (2), where $\nu$ is given by (3) and $c_{j}=0$ for $G_{j}$ unbounded while $c_{j}$ is arbitrary constant for $G_{j}$ bounded.

Proof. Let $\nu$ be given by (3). Then $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \nu=\mu$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by Theorem 1, Theorem 2. If $\mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$ then $\nu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$, because $N^{G} \mathcal{U} \mu\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}\right)=0$ by [22], Lemma 9. According to Lemma 8 we have $\mathcal{U} \nu \in W^{1,2}(G)$. For a fixed $v \in W^{1,2}(G)$ choose $\varphi_{n} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi_{n} \rightarrow v$ in $W^{1,2}(G)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
L_{\mu}(v)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int \varphi_{n} \mathrm{~d} \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{G} \nabla \varphi_{n} \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U} \nu \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}=\int_{G} \nabla v \cdot \nabla \mathcal{U} \nu \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}
$$

$\mathcal{U} \nu$ is a weak solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $L_{\mu}$. If $u$ has the form (2), where $c_{j}=0$ for $G_{j}$ unbounded, then $u$ is a weak solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $L_{\mu}$.

Let $u \in W^{1,2}(G)$ be a weak solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $L_{\mu}$. Since $u-\mathcal{U} \nu \in W^{1,2}(G)$ we have

$$
0=\int_{G} \nabla u \cdot \nabla(u-\mathcal{U} \nu) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m}-\int_{G} \nabla \mathcal{U} \nu \cdot \nabla(u-\mathcal{U} \nu) \mathrm{d} \mathcal{H}_{m}=\int_{G}|\nabla(u-\mathcal{U} \nu)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{H}_{m}
$$

Since $(u-\mathcal{U} \nu)$ is locally constant on $G, u$ has the form (2).

Theorem 4. Let $L$ be a bounded linear functional on $W^{1,2}(G)$ and let $\mu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ be such that $L(\varphi)=\int \varphi \mathrm{d} \mu$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. If $u \in W^{1,2}(G)$ is a weak solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $L$, then $u$ is continuously extendible to the closure of $G$ if and only if $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$.

Proof. Since $N^{G} u=\mu$, [22], Theorem 1 yields that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $u$ is continuously extendible to the closure of $G$ then $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$ by Theorem 2. Suppose now that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $G$ is bounded put $\tilde{G}=G, \tilde{\mu}=\mu$. If $G$ is unbounded fix $R>0$ such that $\partial G \subset \Omega_{R}(0)$ and put $\tilde{G}=G \cap \Omega_{R}(0), \tilde{\mu}=\mu+\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m-1} / \partial \Omega_{R}(0)\right)$. Since $V^{G}<\infty$ we have $V^{\tilde{G}}<\infty$. Since $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{G} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$ and $\left(N^{H} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)$ is compact for each bounded open set $H$ with a smooth boundary, [20], Theorem 2.3 yields that $r_{\text {ess }}\left(N^{\tilde{G}} \mathcal{U}-\frac{1}{2} I\right)<\frac{1}{2}$. Since $N^{\tilde{G}} u=\tilde{\mu}$, [22], Theorem 1 yields that $\mu \in \mathcal{C}_{0}^{\prime}(\partial G)$. If $G$ is unbounded then $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m-1} / \partial \Omega_{R}(0)\right) \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial \tilde{G})$ by [21], Remark 6 and therefore $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{C}_{c}^{\prime}(\partial \tilde{G})$. Since $u$ is a weak solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation on $\tilde{G}$ with the boundary condition $L_{\tilde{\mu}}$, Theorem 3 and Theorem 2 yield that $u$ is continuously extendible to the closure of $\tilde{G}$.

Remark on the Boundary Element Method. Let $H$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{m}(m=2$ or 3$)$ with a piecewise-smooth boundary, let $f$ be a bounded measurable function on $\partial H$. We want to solve the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $f$. Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the surface measure on $\partial H$. Since $\mathcal{U}(f \mathcal{H})$ is a continuous function in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ (see [15], Lemma 2.18), there is $u \in \mathcal{C}(\partial H)$ which is a solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation with the boundary condition $f \mathcal{H}$ in the sense of distributions (see Theorem 2). According to Lemma 4

$$
u(x)=\mathcal{U}(f \mathcal{H})(x)-\mathcal{D} u(x)
$$

for each $x \in H$. Using the boundary behaviour of a double layer potential with a continuous density ([15], Chapter 2), we get for $x \in \partial H$

$$
u(x)=\mathcal{U}(f \mathcal{H})(x)-\mathcal{D} u(x)+d_{\mathbb{R}^{m} \backslash \operatorname{cl} H}(x) u(x)
$$

Therefore, the equation

$$
d_{H}(x) u(x)+\mathcal{D} u(x)=\mathcal{U}(f \mathcal{H})(x),
$$

which is the starting point of the boundary element method, holds and there is a continuous solution $u$ of this equation.
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