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# ON OSCILLATORY PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS OF A CERTAIN NONLINEAR THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

M. Greguš and J. Vencko, Bratislava

(Received June 3, 1991)

1. We are interested in oscillatory solutions of a nonlinear differential equation of the third order

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+q(t) u^{\prime}+p(t) u^{\alpha}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p(t), q(t)$ and $q^{\prime}(t)$ are continuous functions on the interval $(a, \infty),-\infty<a$, $a>1$ is a ratio of odd integers.

By a solution of (1) we mean a function $u(t)$ defined on an interval $(T, \infty), a \leqslant T$, with a continuous third derivative, which satisfies equation (1). By an oscillatory solution we mean a nontrivial solution $u$ of (1) that has infinitely many null-points with a limit point at infinity. Otherwise the solution is called nonoscillatory.

The object of generalization are results in the papers [4] and [1] concerning oscillatory solutions of equation (1) in the case $q(t) \equiv 0$ on $(a, \infty)$.

In the proofs of this paper some results of the paper [3] are applied.
2. N. Parhi and S. Parhi [4] proved the following theorem:

Theorem A. Let $p(t)<0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and let $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty, t_{0}>a$. Then every bounded nontrivial solution $u$ of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+p(t) u^{\alpha}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is oscillatory on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
In the paper [1] the following theorem is proved:

Theorem B. Let the assumptions of Theorem $A$ be fulfilled. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for a solution $u$ of $\left(1_{1}\right)$ to be oscillatory for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ is that

$$
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t)<0
$$

for $t \geqslant t_{0}$.
I. W. Heidel [3] proved several interesting results. Some of them are formulated in the following two theorems.

Theorem C. Let $q(t) \leqslant 0$ and $p(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$. If $u(t)$ is a nontrivial nonoscillatory solution of $(1)$ on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>a$, then there is a number $c \geqslant t_{0}$ such that either $u(t) u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant c$, or $u(t) u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant c$.

Theorem D. Let the supposition of Theorem $C$ be fulfilled and let, moreover, $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} t q(t) \mathrm{d} t>-\infty$, or $-\frac{2}{t^{2}} \leqslant q(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}, t_{0}>0$. If $u(t)$ is a nontrivial nonoscillatory solution of (1) on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $u(t) u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

In some proofs the two following lemmas will be used. They are special cases of Lemma 4 of [5].

Lemma A. Let $u(t) \in C^{2}\left(\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$. Then $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)<0, u^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ cannot hold for all $t \geqslant t_{0}$.

Lemma B. Let $u(t) \in C^{3}\left(\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)\right)$. Then $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)<0, u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ cannot hold for all $t \geqslant t_{0}$.
3. In this section we generalize Theorem $A$ and Theorem $B$ for equation (1) if $p(t)<0, q(t) \leqslant 0$ and $q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and prove a corollary of Theorem D for the solutions of equation (1).

Lemma 1. Let $p(t)<0, q(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and let $u(t)$ be a solution of (1) with the properties $u\left(t_{0}\right) \geqslant 0, u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geqslant 0, u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0, t_{0}>a$. Then $u(t)>0$, $u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$ and $u(t) \rightarrow \infty, u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

This lemma can be proved in a similar manner as Theorem 1 in [1] and therefore the proof is omitted.

In the paper [2] the following theorem is presented without proof and therefore we prove it.

Theorem 1. Let the coefficients of equation (1) fulfil the suppositions of Lemma 1 and let, moreover, $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty$ and $q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. Then every nontrivial
bounded solution $u$ of (1) on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>a$, is either oscillatory on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, or converges monotonically to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Without loss of generality suppose $u(t)>0$ and bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. We prove that this can occur only if it converges monotonically to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 1, $u^{\prime}(t)$ cannot have on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ more than two zeros and then it does not change the sign. Then there exists a point $T \geqslant t_{0}$ such that for $u^{\prime}(t), t>T$ we have two possibilities. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad t>T \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating equation (1), in this case we get the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+q(t) u(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left[p(\tau) u(\tau)^{\alpha-1}-q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=k \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundedness of $u(t)$ and the suppositions $q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=$ $-\infty$ imply that there exists a point $T_{1} \geqslant T$ such that

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad t>T_{1}
$$

From Lemma 1 we get a contradiction with the supposition that $u(t)$ is bounded.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)<0 \quad \text { for } \quad t>T \tag{ii}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u(t)$ is decreasing for $t>T$. There are two cases for $u(t)$. Either $u(t)>K>$ 0 and then the identity (2) implies that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>T$, or $K=0$ and $u(t)$ converges monotonically to zero.

Lemma 2. Let the coefficients of equation (1) fulfil the assumptions of Theorem 1. Let $u$ be a solution of (1) with the property $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. Then there exists a point $t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ such that either $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$, or $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$, and

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup u^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

Proof. Suppose that $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. There are three possibilities for $u^{\prime \prime}(t)$. 1) $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$.

Then $u^{\prime}(t)$ is increasing for $t>t_{0}$ and we have two cases:
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. In this case $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$, and this is the assertion of Lemma 2.
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ and then there exists $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=K \leqslant 0$. If $K<0$ then $u(t) \leqslant K\left(t-t_{1}\right)+u\left(t_{1}\right)$ which is a contradiction with $u(t)>0$ for large $t>t_{1}$. Therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u^{\prime}(t)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=k \geqslant \dot{0}$. If $k>0$, then the identity (2) implies that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, but this is a contradiction with $u^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=0$.
2) $u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t<t_{0}$. By Lemma A the case $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ cannot occur for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. If there exists $t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ such that $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$, then from the identity (2) we obtain a contradiction.
3) $u^{\prime \prime}(t)$ has infinitely many null-points for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ at which it changes the sign $\left(u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right.$ oscillates on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ ). For $u^{\prime}(t)$ we have three possibilities:
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. Then $u(t)$ is increasing and from (2) we obtain a contradiction with the oscillatoricity of $u^{\prime \prime}(t)$.
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. Then necessarily $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup u^{\prime}(t)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=0$. In the opposite case (2) implies that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and then it cannot oscillate.
(iii) $u^{\prime}(t)$ is oscillatory on $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right), t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. This case is in contradiction with the assertion of Theorem C.

Lemma 3. Let the supposition of Theorem 1 on the coefficients of equation (1) be fulfilled. Then for every solution $u$ of $(1)$ which converges monotonously to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$ with the property $u(t) u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>t_{0}$ there exists $T \geqslant t_{0}$ such that for $t \geqslant T$ the inequality

$$
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)<0
$$

holds.
Proof. Let $u(t)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. Let $t_{0}<t_{1}<t_{2}<\ldots$ be an arbitrary sequence of points diverging to infinity if $u^{\prime}(t)$ is a monotone function, or such a sequence of points for which $u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ if $t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 2 such sequence of $t_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$ exists.

Multiply equation (1) by the solution $u$ and integrate from $t_{i}$ to $t$. We obtain the integral identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)+\int_{t_{i}}^{t}\left[p(\tau) u(\tau)^{\alpha-1}(t)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=  \tag{3}\\
= & u\left(t_{i}\right) u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}\left(t_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} q\left(t_{i}\right) u^{2}\left(t_{i}\right), \quad i=1,2, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

For a solution $u(t)$ for which $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ the identity (2) and Theorem 1 yield that $u^{\prime \prime}(t)$ must be bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ the integral $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-\right.$ $\left.q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$ exists and $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists a point $T>t_{0}$ such that for $t>T$ we have

$$
-\int_{t}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \leqslant-\int_{t}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

If in the identity (3) $t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain the relation

$$
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)=\int_{t}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau<0
$$

for $t \geqslant T$.

Theorem 2. Let $p(t)<0, q(t) \leqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and let $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=$ $-\infty, t_{0} \geqslant a$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the solution $u$ of (1) defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ to be oscillatory for $t \geqslant t_{0}$, or to be monotonously converging to zero is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)<0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t>t_{1}, t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$.
Proof. Sufficient condition. Let (4) hold for $t>t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ and let e.g. $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. It follows from Lemma 2 that there exists $t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ such that either $u(t)>0$, $u^{\prime}(t)>0, u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t>t_{1}$, or $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t>t_{1}$. In the latter case the solution $u$ by Lemma 2 monotonously converges to zero (and by Lemma 3 fulfils the condition (4)). In the former case, by Lemma $1 u(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, and from the integral identity (3) for $t_{i}=T \geqslant t_{1}$ and from the suppositions of Theorem 2 it follows that for large $t$ the inequality

$$
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)>0
$$

holds and this is a contradiction with (4).
Necessary condition. By Lemma 3 we must prove that an oscillatory solution in $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ fulfils the condition (4). Let $u(t)$ be an oscillatory solution of (1) on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and let $t_{i} i=1,2, \ldots$ be its null-points on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then the identity (3) implies that the function $u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)$ is increasing on $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $u\left(t_{i}\right) u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}\left(t_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} q\left(t_{i}\right) u^{2}\left(t_{i}\right)<0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots$. Consequently, (4) holds for $t \geqslant t_{1}$.

Corollary 1. Let the suppositions of Theorem 2 be fulfilled and let, moreover, the suppositions of Theorem D be fulfilled. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for a solution $u$ of $(1)$ to be oscillatory on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is that the condition (4) is fulfilled for $t>T \geqslant t_{0}$, where $T$ is sufficiently large.
4. In this section we shall study equation (1) with $p(t)<0, q(t) \geqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$.

Theorem 3. Let $p(t)<0, q(t) \geqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$, let $q(t)$ be bounded on $(a, \infty)$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(t) \mathrm{d} t=-\infty, t_{0}>a$. Then every bounded solution of (1) on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is oscillatory on this interval.

Proof. Let e.g. $u(t)>0$ be bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>a$. Three cases for its first derivative $u^{\prime}(t)$ are possible.

1) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant T \geqslant t_{0}$. The identity (2) for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ implies that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $u(t)$ cannot be bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, which is a contradiction.
2) $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant T \geqslant t_{0}$. In this case equation (1) implies that $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant T$ and by Lemma B this is impossible.
3) $u^{\prime}(t)$ has infinitely many null-points at which it changes the sign. If in this case $u(t)>K>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$, then we obtain from (2) that $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant T \geqslant t_{0}$ and therefore $u^{\prime}(t)$ must be increasing for $t \geqslant T$, which is a contradiction with the oscillatoricity of $u^{\prime}(t)$. Therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf u(t)=0$. If we suppose that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf u(t)=$ 0 , we have the following two possibilities:
(i) $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\infty$. However, in this case we obtain from (2) that $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant T \geqslant t_{0}$ and this contradiction with the oscillatory of $u^{\prime}(t)$.
(ii) $0 \leqslant-\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau<\infty$.

In this case let $\left\{t_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$, be a sequence of points at which $u^{\prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)>0$. Clearly $u\left(t_{i}\right) \rightarrow 0$ for $i \rightarrow \infty$. It follows from (2) that $\left\{u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}$ is bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Now if we write the identity (3) in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=k \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k=u\left(t_{1}\right) u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}\left(t_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} q\left(t_{1}\right) u^{2}\left(t_{1}\right)>0$, we obtain for $u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right), i=2,3$, ... the equality

$$
u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{k}{u\left(t_{i}\right)}-\frac{1}{2} q\left(t_{i}\right) u\left(t_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{u\left(t_{i}\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t_{i}}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau .
$$

It follows from this relation for $t_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ that $u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right) \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction with the boudedness of $\left\{u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right\}$.

Lemma 4. Let the supposition of Theorem 3 be fulfilled. Then for every solution $u$ of (1) with the property $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$, there exists $T \geqslant t_{0}$ such that for all $t \geqslant T$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(t)+q(t) u^{2}(t)>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.
Proof. Let $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. Then there are three possibilities for $u^{\prime}(t)$.
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. It follows from (5), where $t_{1}=t_{0}$, that there exists $T \geqslant t_{0}$ such that for all $t \geqslant T$ the inequality (6) holds.
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. From equation (1) we obtain in this case that $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$, but by Lemma B this is not possible.
(iii) $u^{\prime}(t)$ has on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ at least two null-points at which it changes the sign.

At one of them we have $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqslant 0$. Let $t=T_{1}$. It follows from (5) with $t_{1}=T_{1}$ that $k=u\left(T_{1}\right) u^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2} q\left(T_{1}\right) u^{2}\left(T_{1}\right) \geqslant 0$ and that there exists $T \geqslant T_{1}$ such that (6) holds for $t>T$.

Theorem 4. Let the suppositions of Theorem 3 be fulfilled. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the solution $u$ of (1) defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>a$ to be oscillatory on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)<0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geqslant T \geqslant t_{0}$.
Proof. Sufficient condition. Let $u$ be a solution of (1) satisfying the condition (7) for $t \geqslant T \geqslant t_{0}$, and let e.g. $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant T$. By Lemma 4 there exists $T_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ such that (6) holds for $t \geqslant T_{1}$, and this is a contradiction with (7). This proves that $u$ must be oscillatory.

Necessary condition can be proved in the same manner as in Theorem 2.
Remark 1. Let $u$ be a solution of (1) with the property $u\left(t_{0}\right)=u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$, $u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>0$ and let the supposition of Theorem 2 or of Theorem 4 be fulfilled. Then $u(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$.

This assertion follows from the identity (5), where $k=0$.
5. In this section we shall discuss two cases of suppositions on the coefficients of equation (1), in which we do not prove a necessary and sufficient condition for the oscillatoricity of solutions of equation (1).

Theorem 5. Let $p(t)<0, q(t) \geqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)=0$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty, t_{0} \geqslant a$. Then every bounded solution $u$ of $(1)$ defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is either oscillatory on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf |u(t)|=0$.

Proof. Let $u$ be a bounded solution of (1) defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0} \geqslant a$. If we integrate (1) term by term for $t \geqslant t_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} q(\tau) u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(\tau) u^{\alpha}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|u(t)| \leqslant K, K>0$. The function $q(t)$ is nonincreasing and $q(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. For a given $\varepsilon / 4 K>0$ there exists $T_{0} \geqslant t_{0}$ such that $0 \leqslant q(t) \leqslant \frac{\mathcal{E}}{4 K}$ for $t>T_{0}$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ be such that $T_{2}>T_{1}>T_{0}$. By the second mean value theorem there exists $c, T_{1} \leqslant c \leqslant T_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} q(\tau) u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right| & =\left|q\left(T_{1}\right) \int_{T_{1}}^{c} u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau+q\left(T_{2}\right) \int_{c}^{T_{2}} u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right| \\
& \leqslant\left|q\left(T_{1}\right)\right|\left|u(c)-u\left(T_{1}\right)\right|+\left|q\left(T_{2}\right)\right|\left|u\left(T_{2}\right)-u(c)\right| \\
& \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{4 K} 4 K=\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by the Cauchy-Bolzano criterion $\int_{t_{0}}^{\alpha} q(\tau) u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau$ converges.
Suppose now that $u(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$ for $t>t_{0}$ and $u$ is bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. For $u^{\prime}(t)$ there are three posibilities on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$.
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. Then it follows from (8) that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction with the boundedness of $u(t)$.
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. In this case equation (1) implies that $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$, but by Lemma B this is impossible.
(iii) $u^{\prime}(t)$ changes its sign infinitely many times on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$. If in this case $\left.u(t)\right\rangle$ $K_{1}>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$, then $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) u^{\alpha}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty$ and (8) yields $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction with the boundedness of $u(t)$. Therefore $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf u(t)=0$.

Theorem 6. Let $p(t)<0, q(t) \geqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t)=0$ and $-p(t)+\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant k>0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$. If $u$ is a solution of $(1)$ defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, $t_{0} \geqslant a$ such that it fulfils the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime 2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)<0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$, then $u$ is oscillatory on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. The supposition $-p(t)+\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(t) \geqslant k>0$ clearly implies the relation $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty$.

Suppose now that a solution $u(t)$ of (1) fulfils the condition (9) and that it is nonoscillatory. Let e.g. $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0}$. Then for $u^{\prime}(t)$ there are two possibilities. The possibiblity $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ is eliminated by Lemma B.
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. If $u(t)$ is bounded from above then it is oscillatory, or $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf u(t)=0$ by Theorem 5. But $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf u(t)=0$ is in contradiction with $u(t)>0, u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$. If $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=\infty$, then there exists $T_{1} \geqslant t_{1}$ such that for $t \geqslant T_{1}$ we have $u(t)>1$ and $-\left[p(t) u^{\alpha-1}(t)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(t)\right] u^{2}(t) \geqslant k u^{2}(t)>k>0$. The integral identity (5) implies that $u(t) u^{\prime \prime}(t)-\frac{1}{2} u^{2}(t)+\frac{1}{2} q(t) u^{2}(t)>0$ for large $t$ and this is a contradiction with (9).
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t)$ changes its sign infinitely many times. Then there exists a sequence $\left\{t_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}, t_{k} \rightarrow \infty$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$, such that $u^{\prime}\left(t_{k}\right)=0, u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{k}\right) \geqslant 0$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ But at the points $t_{k}$ we obtain a contradiction with (9).

Theorem 7. Let $p(t)<0, q(t) \leqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$, let $q(t)$ be bounded from below on $(a, \infty)$ and $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} p(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty, t_{0} \geqslant a$. Then every bounded solution $u$ defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ is either oscillatory on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$, or it converges monotonously to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $u$ be a solution of (1) defined and bounded on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0} \geqslant a$ and let e.g. $u(t)>0$ for $t>t_{0}$. Then for $u^{\prime}(t)$ we have three possibilities:
(i) $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. In this case we obtain from (1) that $u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$. If $u^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$ then Lemma 1 yields $u(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$, which is a contradiction with boudedness of $u(t)$.

If $u^{\prime \prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$, then after integration of (1) term by term we obtain the relation (8) where $t_{0}=t_{1}$. Clearly $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=k<\infty, \int_{t_{1}}^{\infty} p u^{\alpha}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty$ and if $m \leqslant q(t) \leqslant 0$ then $\int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(\tau) u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \geqslant m \int_{t_{1}}^{t} u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \geqslant m\left[k-u\left(t_{1}\right)\right]$, and $\int_{t_{1}}^{t} q(\tau) u^{\prime}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \rightarrow l, 0>l>-\infty$.

We see now from (8) that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and this is a contradiction with the boudedness of $u(t)$.
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. Then $u(t)$ is nonincreasing. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=k \geqslant 0$. If $k>0$ we obtain from (8) that $u^{\prime \prime}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ which is again a contradiction.
(iii) $u^{\prime}(t)$ changes its sign infinitely many times and there exists a point $T_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$ such that $u\left(T_{1}\right)>0, u^{\prime}\left(T_{1}\right)=0, u^{\prime \prime}\left(T_{1}\right) \geqslant 0$. By Lemma $1 u(t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$ and this is a contradiction.

Theorem 8. Let $-p(t)>k>0, q(t) \leqslant 0, q^{\prime}(t) \leqslant 0$ for $t \in(a, \infty)$ and let $q^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Let $u(t)$ be a solution of (1) defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0} \geqslant a$, which
fulfils the condition (9) for $t \geqslant t_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. Then $u(t)$ is either oscillatory on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$, or it converges monotonously to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Let $u$ be a soution of (1) defined on $\left\langle t_{0}, \infty\right)$ which fulfils (9) for $t \geqslant t_{1}$, and let $u$ be nonoscillatory.

Let e.g. $u(t)>0$ for $t \geqslant t_{0} . u^{\prime}(t)$ has three possibilities:
(i) $u^{\prime}(t) \geqslant 0$ for $t \geqslant T_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. Then the identity (5) with $t_{1}=T_{1}$ contradicts (9), because $\int_{T_{1}}^{\infty}\left[p(\tau) u^{\alpha-1}(\tau)-\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime}(\tau)\right] u^{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=-\infty$.
(ii) $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \geqslant T_{1} \geqslant t_{0}$. In this case, if $u(t)>L>0$ we obtain a contradiction as in the case (i). Therefore $u(t)$ can converge monotonously to zero for $t \rightarrow \infty$.
(iii) $u^{\prime}(t)$ changes its sign infinitely many times. In this case there exists a point $T>t_{0}$ at which $u(T)>0, u^{\prime}(T)=0, u^{\prime \prime}(T) \geqslant 0$, and by Lemma 1 we obtain a contradiction with the property (iii) of $u^{\prime}(t)$.
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