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# ON A CLASS OF FUNCTIONAL BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SECOND-ORDER FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH PARAMETER 

Svatoslav Staněk, Olomouc
(Received December 18, 1991)

In this paper sufficient conditions concerning only operators $Q, F$ are given for the functional differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)-Q\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t) \cdot y(t)=F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t)
$$

depending on the parameter $\mu$ to admit, for a suitable value of $\mu$, a solution $y$ satisfying functional boundary conditions

$$
\alpha_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad y\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \quad \alpha_{2}\left(y\left(t_{3}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0
$$

where $-\infty<t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<\infty, \alpha_{i}$ are continuous functionals and $y(t) \mid J_{i}$ denotes the restriction of $y$ to $J_{i}=\left\langle t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right\rangle(i=1,2)$. Next, sufficient conditions are given under which the above equation has, for a suitable value of the parameter $\mu$, a bounded solution $y$ on the halfline $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $\alpha_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, y\left(t_{2}\right)=0$.

## 1. Introduction

Let $-\infty<t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<\infty,-\infty<a<b<\infty, J=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{3}\right\rangle, J_{1}=\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle$, $J_{2}=\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right\rangle, I=\langle a, b\rangle$ and $X\left(X_{1} ; X_{2}\right)$ be the Banach space of the $C^{0}$-functions on $J\left(J_{1} ; J_{2}\right)$ with the norm $\|y\|=\max \{|y(t)| ; t \in J\}\left(\|y\|_{1}=\max \left\{|y(t)| ; t \in J_{1}\right\}\right.$; $\left.\|y\|_{2}=\max \left\{|y(t)| ; t \in J_{2}\right\}\right)$. Consider the functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)-Q\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t) \cdot y(t)=F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

depending on a parameter $\mu$. Here $Q: X \times X \rightarrow X, F: X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ are continuous operators, $Q[y, z](t)>0$ on $J$ for all $[y, z] \in X \times X$.

Let $\alpha_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow R(i=1,2)$ be continuous increasing (i.e. $\alpha_{i}(x)<\alpha_{i}(y)$ for all $x, y \in X_{i}, x(t)<y(t)$ for $\left.t \in J_{i}-\left\{t_{2 i-1}\right\}, x\left(t_{2 i-1}\right)=y\left(t_{2 i-1}\right)=0\right)$ functionals, $\alpha_{i}(0)=0$. The purpose of this paper is to obtain using the Schauder linearization technique and the Schauder fixed point theorem, sufficient conditions imposed on the operators $Q, F$ under which equation (1) admits, for a suitable value of the parameter $\mu$, a solution $y$ satisfying the functional boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad y\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \quad \alpha_{2}\left(y\left(t_{3}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y(t) \mid J_{i}(i=1,2)$ denotes the restriction of $y$ to the interval $J_{i}$.
In Section 4, we use BVP (1)-(2) to consider bounded solutions of (1) on the halfline $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ satisfying the functional boundary conditions

$$
\alpha_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad y\left(t_{2}\right)=0
$$

The paper generalizes the author's results in [1]-[3] and, in a special case, also his results in [4]. In [1] the existence of solutions of (1) satisfying for example the boundary conditions $y\left(t_{1}\right)-y\left(t_{2}\right)=y\left(t_{3}\right)=y\left(t_{4}\right)-y\left(t_{5}\right)=0\left(-\infty<t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<\right.$ $t_{4}<t_{5}<\infty$ ) was studied.

In [2] sufficient conditions for the existence (and uniqueness) of solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-q(t) y=f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{1}\right)=y\left(t_{2}\right)=y\left(t_{3}\right)=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(-\infty<t_{1}<t_{2}<t_{3}<\infty\right)$ was established.
In [4] the author considered the functional differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)-q(t) y(t)=f\left(t, y(t), y\left(h_{0}(t)\right), y^{\prime}(t), y^{\prime}\left(h_{1}(t)\right), \mu\right)
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} y\left(t_{i}\right)=0, \quad y(c)=0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{j} y\left(x_{j}\right)=0
$$

( $\alpha_{i}>0, \beta_{j}>0$ constants, $a=t_{1}<\ldots<t_{m}<c<x_{n}<\ldots<x_{1}=b$ ).
In [3]-among other-sufficient conditions for the boundedness of solutions of (3) on a halfline $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ satisfying the boundary conditions $y\left(t_{1}\right)=y\left(t_{2}\right)=0\left(t_{2}>t_{1}\right)$ were obtained.

A functional boundary value problem depending on one parameter was studied also in [5]. In this paper the retarded functional differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-q(t) y=f\left(t, y_{t}, \mu\right)
$$

with boundary conditions (4) was considered.

## 2. Notation, lemmas

Let $\varphi \in C^{1}(J)$ and let $u_{\varphi}, v_{\varphi}$ be the solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right](t) y \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{\varphi}\left(t_{2}\right)=0, u_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=1, v_{\varphi}\left(t_{2}\right)=1, v_{\varphi}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)=0$. For $(t, s) \in J \times J$ define $r(t, s ; \varphi)$ and $r_{1}^{\prime}(t, s ; \varphi)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
r(t, s ; \varphi) & =u_{\varphi}(t) v_{\varphi}(s)-u_{\varphi}(s) v_{\varphi}(t)(=-r(s, t ; \varphi)) \\
r_{1}^{\prime}(t, s ; \varphi) & =u_{\varphi}^{\prime}(t) v_{\varphi}(s)-u_{\varphi}(s) v_{\varphi}^{\prime}(t)\left(=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} r(t, s ; \varphi)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $r(t, s ; \varphi)>0$ for all $t_{1} \leqslant s<t \leqslant t_{3}, r(t, s ; \varphi)<0$ for all $t_{1} \leqslant t<s \leqslant t_{3}$, $r_{1}^{\prime}(t, s ; \varphi)>1$ for all $(t, s) \in J \times J$ and $t \neq s, r_{1}^{\prime}(t, t ; \varphi)=1$ for all $t \in J$ (for the proof, see e.g. [2]).

Lemma 1. Assume $\varphi \in C^{1}(J), h \in C^{0}(J \times I), h(t, \cdot)$ is increasing on I for each fixed $t \in J$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t, a) h(t, b) \leqslant 0 \text { for all } t \in J \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right](t) y+h(t, \mu) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying (2). Moreover, this solution $y$ is unique.
Proof. The function $y(t ; \mu, c)$ defined on $J \times I \times R$ by

$$
y(t ; \mu, c)=c u_{\varphi}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; \varphi) h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s
$$

is the general solution of (7) vanishing at the point $t=\boldsymbol{t}_{2}$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& y\left(t_{1} ; \mu, c\right)-y(t ; \mu, c)=c\left(u_{\varphi}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\varphi}(t)\right)+ \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[r\left(t_{1}, s ; \varphi\right)-r(t, s ; \varphi)\right] h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t}^{t_{1}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; \varphi\right) h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s \\
& y\left(t_{3} ; \mu, c\right)-y(t ; \mu, c)=c\left(u_{\varphi}\left(t_{3}\right)-u_{\varphi}(t)\right)+ \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left[r\left(t_{3}, s ; \varphi\right)-r(t, s ; \varphi)\right] h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t}^{t_{3}} r\left(t_{3}, s ; \varphi\right) h(s, \mu) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

and $u_{\varphi}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{\varphi}(t)<0$ on $\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right\rangle, u_{\varphi}\left(t_{3}\right)-u_{\varphi}(t)>0$ on $\left\langle t_{1}, t_{3}\right), r\left(t_{1}, s ; \varphi\right)-r(t, s$; $\varphi)=r_{1}^{\prime}(\xi, s ; \varphi)\left(t_{1}-t\right)<0$ for $(t, s) \in J \times J, t \neq t_{1}$ (where $\xi$ lies between $t_{1}$ and $t$ ), $r\left(t_{3}, s ; \varphi\right)-r(t, s ; \varphi)=r_{1}^{\prime}(\eta, s ; \varphi)\left(t_{3}-t\right)>0$ for $(t, s) \in J \times J, t \neq t_{3}$ (where $\eta$ lies between $t_{3}$ and $t$ ), we see that the functions $p_{i}: I \times R \rightarrow R, p_{i}(\mu, c)=\alpha_{i}\left(y\left(t_{2 i-1} ;\right.\right.$ $\left.\mu, c)-y(t ; \mu, c) \mid J_{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ are continuous on $I \times R, p_{i}(\cdot, c)$ are increasing on $I$ for each fixed $c \in R, p_{1}(\mu, \cdot)\left(p_{2}(\mu, \cdot)\right)$ is decreasing (increasing) on $R$ for each fixed $\mu \in I$. Finally, one can check that $\lim _{c \rightarrow-\infty} p_{1}(\mu, c)>0, \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} p_{1}(\mu, c)<0$, $\lim _{c \rightarrow-\infty} p_{2}(\mu, c)<0, \lim _{c \rightarrow \infty} p_{2}(\mu, c)>0$ for each fixed $\mu \in I$. Hence there are unique functions $c_{i}: I \rightarrow R(i=1,2)$ such that

$$
p_{i}\left(\mu, c_{i}(\mu)\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \mu \in I \text { and } i=1,2
$$

and $c_{1}(\mu)\left(c_{2}(\mu)\right)$ is increasing (decreasing) on $I$.
To prove that $c_{i}(i=1,2)$ are continuous functions on $I$ we suppose there are sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}^{\prime}\right\},\left\{\mu_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ from $I$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}^{\prime}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\mu_{0}$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{i}\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime}\right)=\lambda_{1}$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{i}\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}$, for some $i \in\{1,2\}$. Then $0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{i}\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime}, c_{i}\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)=$ $p_{i}\left(\mu_{0}, \lambda_{1}\right), 0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{i}\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime \prime}, c_{i}\left(\mu_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)=p_{i}\left(\mu_{0}, \lambda_{2}\right)$, which is a contradiction to $p_{i}\left(\mu_{0}, \lambda_{1}\right) \neq p_{i}\left(\mu_{0}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.

It remains to prove the existence of a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that $c_{1}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=c_{2}\left(\mu_{0}\right)$. Since $h(t, a) \leqslant 0, h(t, b) \geqslant 0$ on $J(c f .(6))$ we have $y\left(t_{1} ; a, 0\right)-y(t ; a, 0) \leqslant 0, y\left(t_{1} ;\right.$ $b, 0)-y(t ; b, 0) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle, y\left(t_{3} ; a, 0\right)-y(t ; a, 0) \leqslant 0, y\left(t_{3} ; b, 0\right)-y(t ;$ $b, 0) \geqslant 0$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right\rangle$, and then $p_{i}(a, 0) \leqslant 0, p_{i}(b, 0) \geqslant 0(i=1,2)$. Using the fact that $p_{1}(a, \cdot), p_{1}(b, \cdot)\left(p_{2}(a, \cdot), p_{2}(b, \cdot)\right)$ are decreasing (increasing) on $R$ and $p_{i}\left(a, c_{i}(a)\right)=0, p_{i}\left(b, c_{i}(b)\right)=0(i=1,2)$, we get $c_{1}(a) \leqslant 0, c_{1}(b) \geqslant 0, c_{2}(a) \geqslant 0$, $c_{2}(b) \leqslant 0$, therefore $c_{1}(a)-c_{2}(a) \leqslant 0, c_{1}(b)-c_{2}(b) \geqslant 0$. Since $c_{1}(\mu)-c_{2}(\mu)$ is continuous increasing on $I$, the equation $c_{1}(\mu)-c_{2}(\mu)=0$ has a unique solution on $I$.

Next, we will suppose that there exist positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}$ such that the operators $Q, F$ satisfy the following assumptions:
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)\left|F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t)\right| \leqslant r_{0} \cdot Q\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t)$ for all $t \in J$ and $\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right] \in D \times I$, where $D=\left\{\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] ; y \in C^{1}(J),\left\|y^{(i)}\right\| \leqslant r_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=0,1\right\} ;$
$\left(\mathbf{H}_{2}\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu_{1}\right](t)<F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu_{2}\right](t)$ for all $t \in J$
and $\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] \in D, \mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in I, \mu_{1}<\mu_{2} ;$
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \quad F\left[y, y^{\prime}, a\right](t) \cdot F\left[y, y^{\prime}, b\right](t) \leqslant 0$ for all $t \in J$ and $\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] \in D$;
$\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \min \left\{\left(A+r_{0} B\right) \tau, 2 \sqrt{r_{0}} \sqrt{A+r_{0} B}\right\} \leqslant r_{1}$,
where $A=\sup \left\{\left\|F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right]\right\| ;\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right] \in D \times I\right\}$,
$B=\sup \left\{\left\|Q\left[y, y^{\prime}\right]\right\| ;\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] \in D\right\}, \tau=\max \left\{t_{2}-t_{1}, t_{3}-t_{2}\right\}$.

Lemma 2. Let assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ be fulfilled for positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}$ and let $\varphi \in C^{1}(J),\left\|\varphi^{(i)}\right\| \leqslant r_{i}(i=0,1)$. Then there exists a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right](t) y+F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \mu\right](t) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (then unique) solution $y$ satisfying (2) and, moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|y^{(i)}\right\| \leqslant r_{i} \quad \text { for } i=0,1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Setting $h(t, \mu)=F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \mu\right](t)$ for $(t, \mu) \in J \times I$, the function $h$ fulfils the assumptions of Lemma 1 and hence there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (8) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (then unique) solution $y$ satisfying (2).

Now we prove $\|y\| \leqslant r_{0}$. Let $|y(\xi)|=\|y\|>r_{0}$ for some $\xi \in J$. If $\xi \in\left(t_{1}, t_{3}\right)$ then the function $y \cdot \operatorname{sign} y(\xi)$ has a local maximum at the point $t=\xi$, which contradicts $y^{\prime \prime}(\xi) \cdot \operatorname{sign} y(\xi)>0$. The last inequality follows from assumption $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$. Hence $\xi \in\left\{t_{1}, t_{3}\right\}$. If $\xi=t_{1}\left(\xi=t_{3}\right)$ then due to $y\left(t_{2}\right)=0$ and assumption ( $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ ) we have $\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} y\left(t_{1}\right)>0$ for all $t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle\left(\left(y\left(t_{3}\right)-y(t)\right) \cdot \operatorname{sign} y\left(t_{3}\right)>0\right.$ for all $t \in\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ ), which contradicts $\alpha_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0\left(\alpha_{2}\left(y\left(t_{3}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0\right)$. Thus $\|y\| \leqslant r_{0}$.

Since $\alpha_{i}\left(y\left(t_{2 i-1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{i}\right)=0, \alpha_{i}$ are increasing functionals and $\alpha_{i}(0)=0$ ( $i=1,2$ ), there exist $\xi_{1} \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle, \xi_{2} \in\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right)$ such that $y\left(t_{2 i-1}\right)-y\left(\xi_{i}\right)=0$ and therefore $y^{\prime}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=0$ for some $\eta_{1} \in\left(t_{1}, \xi_{1}\right), \eta_{2} \in\left(\xi_{2}, t_{3}\right)$. For the next part of the proof of the inequality $\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant r_{1}$ see e.g. [2] and [4].

## 3. Existence theorem

Theorem 1. Assume assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are fulfilled for positive constants $r_{0}$ and $r_{1}$. Then there exists $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (1) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying (2) and (9).

Proof. Let $Y$ be the Banach space of the $C^{1}$-functions on $J$ with the norm $\|y\|_{Y}=\|y\|+\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|$ for $y \in Y$ and $K=\left\{y ; y \in Y,\left\|y^{(i)}\right\| \leqslant r_{i}\right.$ for $\left.i=0,1\right\}$. $K$ is a bounded convex closed subset of $Y$. Let $\varphi \in K$. By Lemma 2 there is a unique $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (8) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a (then unique) solution $y$ satisfying (2) and $y \in K$. Setting $T(\varphi)=y$ we obtain an operator $T: K \rightarrow K$. To prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that $T$ has a fixed point.

First we prove that $T$ is a continuous operator. Let $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset K$ be a convergent sequence, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=y$ and let $z_{n}=T\left(y_{n}\right), z=T(y)$. Then there are sequences $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\} \subset I,\left\{c_{n}\right\} \subset R$ and $\mu_{0} \in I, c_{0} \in R$ such that we have (see the proof of Lemma 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{n}(t) & =c_{n} u_{y_{n}}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r\left(t, s ; y_{n}\right) F\left[y_{n}, y_{n}^{\prime}, \mu_{n}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s \text { for all } t \in J \text { and } n \in N, \\
z(t) & =c_{0} u_{y}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu_{0}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s \text { for all } t \in J
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}\left(z_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)-z_{n}(t) \mid J_{1}\right)-0, \quad z_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \quad \alpha_{2}\left(z_{n}\left(t_{3}\right)-z_{n}(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0 \text { for all } n \in N, \\
& \alpha_{1}\left(z\left(t_{1}\right)-z(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad z\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \quad \alpha_{2}\left(z\left(t_{3}\right)-z(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The sequence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ is bounded since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}=y$ and $\left\|z_{n}\right\| \leqslant r_{0}$ for all $n \in N$. If $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ is not convergent there are convergent subsequences $\left\{c_{k_{n}}\right\},\left\{c_{r_{n}}\right\}$ and convergent subsequences $\left\{\mu_{k_{n}}\right\},\left\{\mu_{r_{n}}\right\}$ of $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{k_{n}}=c^{(1)}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{r_{n}}=c^{(2)}$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{k_{n}}=\mu^{(1)}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{r_{n}}=\mu^{(2)}, c^{(1)}<c^{(2)}$ and $\mu^{(1)}, \mu^{(2)}$ are either equal or not. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(k_{1}(t):=\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{k_{n}}(t)=c^{(1)} u_{y}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y), F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{(1)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \left(k_{2}(t):=\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{r_{n}}(t)=c^{(2)} u_{y}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{(2)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly on $J$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{1}\left(k_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)-k_{i}(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, & k_{i}\left(t_{2}\right)=0  \tag{10}\\
\alpha_{2}\left(k_{i}\left(t_{3}\right)-k_{i}(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0 & \text { for } i=1,2
\end{array}
$$

The equalities $(i=1,2)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)-k_{i}(t)= & c^{(i)}\left(u_{y}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{y}(t)\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left(r\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right)-r(t, s ; y)\right) \\
& \times F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{(i)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t}^{t_{1}} r\left(t_{1}, s ; y\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{(i)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s \\
k_{i}\left(t_{3}\right)-k_{i}(t)= & c^{(i)}\left(u_{y}\left(t_{3}\right)-u_{y}(t)\right)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t}\left(r\left(t_{3}, s ; y\right)-r(t, s ; y)\right) \\
& \times F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{(i)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{t}^{t_{3}} r\left(t_{3}, s ; y\right) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{(i)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

imply (see the proof of Lemma 1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& k_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)-k_{1}(t)>k_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)-k_{2}(t) \text { for } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle \text { and } \mu^{(1)} \geqslant \mu^{(2)} \\
& k_{2}\left(t_{3}\right)-k_{2}(t)>k_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)-k_{1}(t) \text { for } t \in\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right) \text { and } \mu^{(1)} \leqslant \mu^{(2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (10). Hence $\left\{c_{n}\right\}$ is convergent, and let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=c^{*}$. If $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is not convergent there are convergent subsequences $\left\{\mu_{j_{n}}\right\},\left\{\mu_{i_{n}}\right\}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{j_{n}}=\lambda^{(1)}$, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{i_{n}}=\lambda^{(2)}, \lambda^{(1)}<\lambda^{(2)}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(p_{1}(t):=\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{j_{n}}(t)=c^{*} u_{y}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \lambda^{(1)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s, \\
& \left(p_{2}(t):=\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{i_{n}}(t)=c^{*} u_{y}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \lambda^{(2)}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

uniformly on $J$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}\left(p_{i}\left(t_{1}\right)-p_{i}(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad p_{i}\left(t_{2}\right)=0  \tag{11}\\
& \alpha_{2}\left(p_{i}\left(t_{3}\right)-p_{i}(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0 \quad \text { for } i=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

As above we may verify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)-p_{2}(t)>p_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)-p_{1}(t) \text { for all } t \in\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right\rangle, \\
& p_{2}\left(t_{3}\right)-p_{2}(t)>p_{1}\left(t_{3}\right)-p_{1}(t) \text { for all } t \in\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts (11). Hence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is convergent, and let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=\mu^{*}$. Then

$$
\left(z^{*}(t):=\right) \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}(t)=c^{*} u_{y}(t)+\int_{t_{2}}^{t} r(t, s ; y) F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{*}\right](s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

uniformly on $J$, and consequently, $z^{*}$ is a solution of the differential equation

$$
w^{\prime \prime}=Q\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t) w+F\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu^{*}\right](t)
$$

and

$$
\alpha_{1}\left(z^{*}\left(t_{1}\right)-z^{*}(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad z^{*}\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \quad \alpha_{2}\left(z^{*}\left(t_{3}\right)-z^{*}(t) \mid J_{2}\right)=0 .
$$

By Lemma 2 it is necessary that $z=z^{*}$ and $\mu_{0}=\mu^{*}$. Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}^{(i)}(t)=z^{(i)}(t)$ uniformly on $J$ for $i=0,1$, we have $z=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T\left(y_{n}\right)=T(y)$ and therefore $T$ is a continuous operator. Let $\varphi \in K$ and $T(\varphi)=y$. Then the equality

$$
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=Q\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}\right](t) y(t)+F\left[\varphi, \varphi^{\prime}, \mu_{0}\right](t)
$$

holds on $J$ for some $\mu_{0} \in I$, thus $\left\|y^{\prime \prime}\right\| \leqslant A+r_{0} B\left(:=r_{2}\right)$ and $K \subset L=\{y$; $y \in C^{2}(J),\left\|y^{(i)}\right\| \leqslant r_{i}$ for $\left.i=0,1,2\right\}$. Since $L$ is a compact subset of $Y, K$ is a relative compact subset of $Y$.

By the Schauder fixed point theorem there is a fixed point of $T$. This completes the proof.

Remark 1. If $\alpha_{1}(z)=\alpha_{2}(z)=z\left(t_{2}\right)$, then Theorem 1 in [2] and Theorem 1 in [4] (where $m=n=1$ ) follow from Theorem 1 .

Let $t_{1}<x_{1}<t_{2}<x_{2}<t_{3}$. If $\alpha_{1}(z)=z\left(x_{1}\right), \alpha_{2}(z)=z\left(x_{2}\right)$, then Theorem 1 in [1] follows from Theorem 1 .

Example 1. Consider the functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=y(t) \exp \left\{\left|y\left(y^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|\right\}+\frac{1}{2} \cos \left(t+y^{\prime}(y(t))\right)+\mu \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the interval $J=\left\langle 0, t_{3}\right\rangle$, where $t_{3} \geqslant 2 \sqrt{1+\mathrm{e}}$. Let $t_{2} \in\left(0, t_{3}\right)$. Assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ are fulfilled with $r_{0}=1, r_{1}=2 \sqrt{1+\mathrm{e}}$ and $I=\left\langle-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$. Let $\alpha_{1}(z)=$ $\int_{0}^{t_{2}} z^{3}(s) \mathrm{d} s$ for $z \in C^{0}\left(\left\langle 0, t_{2}\right\rangle\right)$ and $\alpha_{2}(z)=\max \left\{z(t) ; t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right)\right\rangle\right\}$ for $z \in$ $C^{0}\left(\left\langle t_{2}, t_{3}\right\rangle\right)$. Then by Theorem 1 there is $\mu_{0} \in\left\langle-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right\rangle$ such that equation (12) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying

$$
\int_{0}^{t_{2}}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(s)\right)^{3} \mathrm{~d} s=0, y\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \max \left\{y\left(t_{3}\right)-y(t) ; t \in\left\langle t_{2}, \frac{1}{2}\left(t_{2}+t_{3}\right)\right\rangle\right\}=0
$$

and

$$
\|y\| \leqslant 1, \quad\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{1+\mathrm{e}} .
$$

## 4. Bounded solutions on a halfline

In this section BVP (1)-(2) is applied to the investigation of bounded solutions of a functional differential equation of type (1) with functional boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}\left(y\left(t_{1}\right)-y(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, y\left(t_{2}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y$ be the space of bounded $C^{0}$-functions on the halfline $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subintervals of $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$. Consider the functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)-U\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t) y(t)=V\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U: Y \times Y \longrightarrow Y, V: Y \times Y \times I \longrightarrow Y$ are continuous operators, $U[y, z](t)>0$ for all $t \geqslant t_{1}$ and $[y, z] \in Y \times Y$. Further we shall assume that there exists an increasing sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset R, x_{1}>t_{2}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=\infty$ such that the functions $U[y, z](t), V[y, z, \mu](t)$ are defined on $\left\langle t_{1}, x_{n}\right\rangle$ only by the restrictions of $y, z$ to the interval $\left\langle t_{1}, x_{n}\right\rangle(n=1,2, \ldots)$, that is

$$
U: Y_{n} \times Y_{n} \longrightarrow Y_{n}, \quad V: Y_{n} \times Y_{n} \times I \longrightarrow Y_{n} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots),
$$

where $Y_{n}$ is the Banach space of the $C^{0}$-functions on $\left\langle t_{1}, x_{n}\right\rangle$ with the sup norm. The differential equation $y^{\prime \prime}-q\left(t, y, y^{\prime}\right) y=f\left(t, y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right)$, where $q \in C^{0}\left(\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right) \times R^{2}\right)$, $f \in C^{0}\left(\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right) \times R^{2} \times I\right)$, is a special case of (14).

Suppose there are positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}$ such that the operators $U, V$ satisfy the following assumptions:
$\left(C_{1}\right)\left|V\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t)\right| \leqslant r_{0} U\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t)$ for all $t \geqslant t_{1}$ and $\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right] \in H \times I$, where $H=\left\{\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] ; y \in C^{1}\left(\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)\right),\left|y^{(i)}(t)\right| \leqslant r_{i}\right.$ for $\left.t \geqslant t_{1}, i=0,1\right\}$;
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right) V\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu_{1}\right](t)<V\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu_{2}\right](t)$ for all $t \geqslant t_{1},\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] \in H$ and $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in I$, $\mu_{1}<\mu_{2} ;$
(C3) $V\left[y, y^{\prime}, a\right](t) V\left[y, y^{\prime}, b\right](t) \leqslant 0$ for all $t \geqslant t_{1}$ and $\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] \in H$;
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right) 2 \sqrt{r_{0}} \sqrt{A+r_{0} B} \leqslant r_{1}$, where $A=\sup \left\{\sup _{t \geqslant t_{1}}\left|V\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right](t)\right| ;\left[y, y^{\prime}, \mu\right] \in\right.$ $H \times I\}, B=\sup \left\{\sup _{t \geqslant t_{1}}\left|U\left[y, y^{\prime}\right](t)\right| ;\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] \in H\right\}$.

Lemma 3. Assume assumptions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ are fulfilled with positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}$. Then for any $x_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ there exists a $\mu_{n} \in I$ such that equation (14) with $\mu=\mu_{n}$ admits a solution $y_{n}$ defined on the interval $\left\langle t_{1}, x_{n}\right\rangle$ and satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}\left(y_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)-y_{n}(t) \mid J_{1}\right)=0, \quad y_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)=0, \quad y_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)=0 \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|y_{n}(t)\right| \leqslant r_{0}, \quad\left|y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant r_{1}, \\
& \left|y_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leqslant A+r_{0} B \quad \text { for } t \in\left\langle t_{1}, x_{n}\right\rangle, \quad(n=1,2, \ldots) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 1 if we set $t_{3}=x_{n}$ and $\alpha_{2}(z)=z\left(t_{2}\right)$. The last inequality in (16) is evident.

Theorem 2. Assume assumptions $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$ are fulfilled with positive constants $r_{0}, r_{1}$. Then there exists a $\mu_{0} \in I$ such that equation (14) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ admits a solution $y$ satisfying (13) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(t)| \leqslant r_{0}, \quad\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant r_{1} \quad \text { for } \quad t \geqslant t_{1} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. According to Lemma 3 there exists a sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of equation (14) with $\mu=\mu_{n}(\in I)$ on the intervals $\left\langle t_{1}, x_{n}\right\rangle$ satisfying (15) and (16). Using the Ascoli-Arzela theorem, a diagonal process of Cantor and the fact that $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is a bounded sequence, we may assume without loss of generality that $\left\{y_{n}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right\}$ are locally uniformly convergent on $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}$ is convergent. Setting $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n}(t)=y(t)$ for $t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{n}=\mu_{0}$, then $y$ is a solution of equation (14) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ satisfying (13) and (17).

Example 2. Consider the functional differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}(t)=6 \pi y(t) \exp \left\{\left|y\left(t+(\sin t)^{2}\right)\right|\right\}+\ln \left(\mathrm{e}+\left|y^{\prime}(\sqrt{t})\right|\right) \arctan t+\left(1+y^{2}(t)\right) \mu . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied with $t_{1} \geqslant 1, r_{0}=1, r_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{3}$ and $I=\langle-2 \pi, 0\rangle$. Therefore there exists a $\mu_{0} \in\langle-2 \pi, 0\rangle$ such that equation (18) with $\mu=\mu_{0}$ has a solution $y$ defined on $\left\langle t_{1}, \infty\right)$, and (13) and $|y(t)| \leqslant 1,\left|y^{\prime}(t)\right| \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{3}$ for $t \geqslant t_{1}$ hold.
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