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# ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF ZEROS <br> OF EXPONENTIAL POLYNOMIALS 

## A. J. van der POORTEN

## 1. Introduction

We employ a generalisation of the method described in P. Turán's book Eine neue Methode in der Analysis und deren Anwendungen [3] and find a lower bound for

$$
\max _{1 \leq \mu \leq m}\left|G^{(\mu-1)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|
$$

Where $G(z)$ is a function of the shape

$$
G(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_{k} z^{\alpha_{k}}
$$

As an illustration of the technique of generalisation by particularisation [5] we show that this result implies a similar lower bound for functions of the shape

$$
\cdot F(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{s=1}^{n(k)} a_{k s}(\ln z)^{s-1} z^{\alpha_{k}}
$$

Jensen's Theorem now permits the estimation of an upper bound for the number of zeros of $F(z)$ in discs in the complex plane. By writing $z=e^{u}$ we obtain upper bounds for the number of zeros of exponential polynomials in certain regions of the complex plane. We show that:

Theorem. Let $E(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} a_{k p} z^{p-1} e^{\alpha_{k} z}$ be an exponential polynomial and let

$$
\sigma=\sum_{k=1}^{m} n(k) \quad \Delta=\max _{l, k}\left|\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{k}\right|
$$

Then in any disc of radius $R$ in the complex plane $E(z)$ has less than

$$
3(\sigma-1)+6 R \Delta
$$

zeros.

The problem of analysing the distribution of zeros of exponential polynomials is of considerable importance in many fields of pure and applied mathematics. The results mentioned therefore have some intrinsic interest. Our principal purpose however is to illustrate a useful technique and to show that methods previousiy only applied to exponential polynomials or power sums are applicabie in somewhat more general contexts. For this reason we do not directly consider exponential polynomials (see [4]) though to do so would have produced results simiar to those of this paper.
2. We consider a function $G(z)$ of the form

$$
G(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} f_{k p} z^{\alpha_{k p}} .
$$

where the quantities $f_{k p}$ are described below, and the $\alpha_{k p}$ are compiex numbers. Further let $\sigma$ be the sum $n(1)+\ldots+n(m)$ of the non-negative integers $n(k)$. Finally let $z_{0}$ be distinct from zero. Denote by $\Delta$ the $\sigma \times \sigma$ determinant

$$
\Delta=\left|\left\{\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} z}\right)^{\lambda-1} z^{\alpha_{k p}}\right\}_{z=z_{0}}\right|_{k p .}
$$

where the notation implies that rows are indexed by the pairs $(k, p), 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m$; $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n(k)$, arranged lexicographicaily, whilst columns are indexed by $\lambda, 1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant$ $\sigma$. Further, denote by $\Delta_{\lambda, k p}$ the cofactor of the $(k p, \lambda)$ element of $\Delta$.

Then we have immediately,

$$
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\delta} G^{(\lambda-1)}\left(z_{0}\right) \Delta_{\lambda, k p}=f_{k p} \Delta,
$$

whence, for any $z_{1} \neq 0$

$$
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\sigma} G^{(\lambda-1)}\left(Z_{0}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p-1}^{n(k)} \Delta_{\lambda, k p} z_{1}^{\alpha_{k p}}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} f_{k p} z_{1}^{\alpha_{k p}} \Delta=G\left(z_{1}\right) \Delta
$$

and thus

$$
\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\sigma} z_{0}^{\lambda-1} \frac{(\sigma-\lambda)!}{(\sigma-1)!} G^{(\lambda 1)}\left(z_{0}\right) \cdot z_{0}^{-(\lambda}{ }^{1)} \frac{(\sigma-1)!}{(\sigma-\lambda)!} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} \Delta_{\lambda, k p} z_{1}^{\alpha_{k p}}=G\left(z_{1}\right) \Delta .
$$

Taking absolute values, we obtain immediately:
Lemma 1. There is an integer $\mu, 1 \leqslant \mu \leqslant \sigma$, such that

$$
\left|z_{0}^{\mu} \frac{(\sigma-\mu)!}{(\sigma-1)!} G^{(\mu-1)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|G\left(z_{1}\right)\right|\left\{\sum_{\lambda}^{\sigma} \frac{(\sigma-1)!}{(\sigma-\lambda)!}\left|z_{0}{ }^{(\lambda-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p}^{m(k)} \frac{\Delta_{\lambda} k p}{\Delta} z_{1}^{\alpha_{k p}}\right|\right\}^{1}
$$

3. We adopt the notation, that for non-negative integers $n$

$$
x^{n}-x(x-1) . .(x-n+1), \quad x^{10}=1
$$

Then we see that the determinant $\Delta$ may be expressed in the form

$$
\Delta=\left|\alpha_{k p}^{\prime(\lambda-1)} z_{0}^{\alpha \alpha_{p}}-(\lambda-1)\right|_{k p, \lambda}
$$

whence it follows that the cofactors $\Delta_{\lambda, k p}$ satisfy:

$$
z_{0}^{\alpha_{h q}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\sigma} \alpha_{h q}^{\prime(\lambda-1)} \cdot \Delta_{\lambda, k p} \cdot z_{0}^{-(\lambda-1)}= \begin{cases}0 & (h, q) \neq(k, p) \\ \Delta & (h, q)=(k, p)\end{cases}
$$

Hence we obtain by summing over ( $k, p$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\sigma} z_{0}^{-(\lambda-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} \frac{\Delta_{\lambda, k p}}{\Delta} z_{1}^{\alpha_{k \rho}} \alpha_{h q}^{\prime(\lambda-1)}=\left(z_{1} / z_{0}\right)^{\alpha_{n q}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let

$$
P(z)=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\sigma} c_{\lambda} z^{!(\lambda-1)}
$$

be the unique polynomial of degree $\sigma-1$ defined by the $\sigma$ conditions

$$
P\left(\alpha_{h q}\right)=\left(z_{1} / z_{0}\right)^{\alpha_{h q}}, \quad \ldots 1 \leqslant h \leqslant m ; 1 \leqslant q \leqslant n(h)
$$

Then by uniqueness, the equations (1) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{-(\lambda-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} \frac{\Delta_{\lambda, k p}}{\Delta} \cdot z_{1}^{\alpha_{\mu_{\rho}}}=c_{\lambda} ; \quad 1 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \sigma . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $P(z)$ as an interpolation series

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} b_{k p} \prod_{(h, q)<(k, p)}\left(z-\alpha_{h q}\right), \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the interpolation coefficients $b_{l p}$ are given by the contour integrals

$$
b_{k p}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma}\left(z_{1} / z_{0}\right)^{\xi} \prod_{(h, q) \leqslant(k, p)}\left(\zeta-\alpha_{h q}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \zeta
$$

where the closed contour $\Gamma$ may be taken as a circle about the origin of sufficiently large radius to contain all the points $x_{k p}$.

We assert that, with the notation as above:

## Lemma 2.

$$
S=\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\sigma} \frac{(\sigma-1)!}{(\sigma-\lambda)!}\left|c_{\lambda}\right| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)}\left|b_{k p}\right| \prod_{(h, q)<(k, p)}\left(\sigma-1+\left|\alpha_{h q}\right|\right) .
$$

To see this, observe that our claim is trivial when all the $c_{\lambda}$ are real and positive, since then the left hand side is simply $P(\sigma-1)$, and by (3) the right hand side is assuredly as large as $P(\sigma-1)$. Since the $c_{\lambda}$ are expressible as a polynomial
combination of the $b_{k p}$ and the $\left(-\alpha_{k p}\right)$ with positive coefficients (apply the difference operator, which sends $f(z)$ to $f(z+1)-f(z), \lambda-1$ times to $P(z)$ in order to obtain $\left.(\lambda-1)!c_{\lambda}\right)$, the assertion remains, a fortiori, true in general.

Recalling (2) we note that Lemma 1 has now become: There is an integer $\mu$, $1 \leqslant \mu \leqslant \sigma$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G^{(\mu-1)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|z_{0}\right|^{(\mu-1)} \frac{(\sigma-1)!}{(\sigma-\mu)!} S^{-1}\left|G\left(z_{1}\right)\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with Lemma 2 providing a convenient lower bound for $S{ }^{1}$. Writing

$$
A=\max _{(k s)}\left|\alpha_{k s}\right|
$$

we obtain

$$
\left.\left|b_{k p}\right| \leqslant\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\Gamma}\right| z_{1} /\left.z_{0}\right|^{ \pm 5} \prod_{(h, q) \leqslant(k, p)}(\zeta-A)^{1} \mathrm{~d} \zeta \right\rvert\,
$$

with the + or - sign being selected according as $\left|z_{1}\right| \geqslant\left|z_{0}\right|$ or $\left|z_{1}\right|<\left|z_{0}\right|$. Hence by Lemma 2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S \leqslant & \left.\sum_{l=1}^{\sigma}\left|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{I}\right| \alpha\left|z_{0}\right|^{+\zeta}(S-A)^{-l} \mathrm{~d} \xi \right\rvert\, \cdot(\sigma-1+A)^{l+1}= \\
& =\sum_{l=1}^{\sigma} \frac{\left( \pm \log \left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|\right)^{l-1}}{(l-1)!}\left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|^{ \pm A}(\sigma-1+A)^{l+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then if $\left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|$ is close to 1 , we obtain the tidy estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \leqslant \exp \left\{ \pm\left(\log \left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|\right)(\sigma-1+2 A)\right\}=\left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|^{+(\sigma-1+2 A)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A more precise estımate may be produced as follows: we write $x= \pm \log \left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|(\sigma-1+2 A)=k(\sigma-1)$ and assume $k \gg 1$. Then

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{o} \frac{x^{l-1}}{(l-1)!}=\frac{1}{2 \pi l} \int_{|z|-R}\left(1-\left(\frac{x}{z}\right)^{\sigma}\right) \mathrm{e}^{z} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{z-x}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|z|=R}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{o} x^{o-l} z^{l-1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{z} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{z^{\sigma}}
$$

Hence taking $R-x / k-\sigma-1$ we obtain

$$
\left|\sum_{=1}^{\sigma} \frac{x^{l-1}}{(l-1)!}\right| \leqslant\left(\sum_{l=1}^{\sigma} k^{\prime} \quad\right) \mathrm{e}^{x k} \leqslant(k / k-1) k^{\sigma-1} \mathrm{e}^{\sigma-1}=(k / k-1) \mathrm{e}^{(1+\log k)(\sigma-1)}
$$

whence finally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S<\left|z_{1} / a\right| \quad .(k / k-1) \quad i+\operatorname{og} k\right)(\sigma \quad 1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. We employ a subterfuge to finally obtain the result we require. Thus we
choose the coefficients $f_{k p}$ of $G(z)$ as functions of the $\alpha_{k p}$ so that, if the $a_{k p}$ are constants, not all zero,

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} f_{k p} z^{\alpha_{k \rho}}=\sum_{p=1}^{n(k)}(p-1)!\frac{a_{k p}}{2 \pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} z^{t} \prod_{q=1}^{p}\left(\gamma-\alpha_{k q}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \zeta
$$

where the closed contour $\Gamma$ contains all the $\alpha_{k p}$. If we now identify the $\alpha_{k p}$ according to, say

$$
\alpha_{k p}=\alpha_{k 1}=\alpha_{k}, \quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant m ; \quad 1 \leqslant p \leqslant n(k),
$$

then the function $G(z)$ becomes

$$
F(z) \doteq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} a_{k p}(\log z)^{p-1} z^{\alpha_{k p}} .
$$

Hence, by (4) we obtain the principal auxiliary result
Lemma 3. There is an integer $\mu, 1 \leqslant \mu \leqslant \sigma$, such that

$$
\left|F^{(\mu-1)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| \geqslant\left|z_{0}\right|^{-(\mu-1)} \frac{(\sigma-1)!}{(\sigma-\mu)!} S^{-1}\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)\right|
$$

where upper bounds for $S$ are given by (5) or (6).
This result is an analogue of the Main Theirems of P. Turán [2, 3, 5]. From it we immediately derive a further auxiliary result by Cauchy's inequality and the maxımum modulus principle.
5. Thus let $0<R_{1}, R_{2}<\left|z_{0}\right|$. By the maximum modulus principle the maximum of $|F(z)|$ on the disc $\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leqslant R_{1}$ occurs at some point $z_{1}$ on the circle $\left|z-z_{0}\right|=R_{1}$. We observe that $\left|z_{1}\right| \geqslant|z|-R_{1}$. Hence by Lemma 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leqslant R_{1}}|F(z)|=\left|F\left(z_{1}\right)\right| \leqslant \max _{1 \leqslant \mu \leqslant \sigma} \frac{(\sigma-\mu)!}{(\sigma-1)!}\left|z_{0}\right|^{\mu-1}\left|F^{(\mu-1)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right| . S \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is given by (5) or (6) with $\left|z_{1} / z_{0}\right|^{ \pm 1}$ replaced by $\left|z_{0}\right| /\left|z_{0}\right|-R_{1}$. Further, by Cauchy's inequality and the maximum modulus principle there is a point $z_{2}$ on the circle $\left|z-z_{0}\right|=R_{2}$ so that for $\mid \leqslant \mu \leqslant \sigma$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|F^{(\mu-1)}\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=(\mu-1)!R_{2}^{-(\mu-1)} \max _{\mid z} z_{0}\left|\leqslant R_{2}=|F(z)|=(\mu-1)!R_{2}^{-(\mu} \quad 1\right)\left|F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we obtain by combining (7) and (8) that:
Lemma 4. If $0<R_{1}, R_{2}<\left|z_{0}\right|$ then there is a point $z_{2}$ on the circle $\left|z-z_{0}\right|=R_{2}$ so that

$$
\max _{z_{0} \leqslant R_{1}}\left|F(z) / F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant S \cdot \max _{1<\mu \leqslant \sigma}\left\{\left(\left|z_{0}\right| / R_{2}\right)^{\mu} \quad 1 /\binom{\sigma-1}{\mu-1}\right\}=
$$

$$
=S\left(\mid z_{0} / R_{2}\right)^{\sigma-1}
$$

An application of Jensen's Theorem now suffices to give us our final results. Denote by $N(w, R, F)$ the number of zeros of the function $F(z)$ in the disc $\{z:|z-w|<R\}$. Then if $F$ is holomorphic on the disc $\{z:|z-w| \leqslant S R\}$ and $F(w) \neq 0$, Jensen's Treorem asserts:

$$
\int_{0}^{s R} \frac{N(n, r, F)}{r} \mathrm{~d} r=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \log \left|F\left(w+s R \mathrm{e}^{i \theta}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} \theta-\log |F(w)| .
$$

Then, as in $[2 ; \mathrm{p} 54-5]$ we see that if $s>1$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{s R} \frac{N(w, r, F)}{r} \mathrm{~d} r=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{R s}^{s R s} \cdot \frac{N(w, r, F)}{r} \mathrm{~d} r \\
\geqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N\left(w, R s^{k}, F\right)\left(\log s R s^{-k}-\log R s^{-k}\right) \\
=\log s \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N\left(w, R s^{-k}, F\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence it follows from Jensen's Theorem that:

## Lemma 5.

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N\left(w, R s^{-k}, F\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log s} \max _{|z-w| \leqslant s R} \log |F(z) / F(w)| .
$$

We now apply Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 with the following parameters: Let $u, s$, t be positive numbers with $s>1, t<1$ and $u>s+t$. We select $R$ such that $\left|z_{0}\right|=u R$ and $R_{1}, R_{2}$ such that $R_{1}=(s+t) R, R_{2}=t R$. Then according to (5) and (6) the quantity $s$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log S \leqslant(\sigma-1+2 A) \log (u / u-s-t) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log S \leqslant A \log (u / u-s-t)+\log (k / k-1)+(1+\log k)(\sigma-1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $k$ given by:

$$
(\sigma-1) k=(\sigma-1+A) \log (u / u-s-t) .
$$

Moreover since the disc $\left\{z:\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leqslant R(1-t)\right\}$ is contained in $\left\{z:\left|z-z_{2}\right| \leqslant R\right\}$ we see that

$$
N\left(z_{0}, R(1-t), F\right) \leqslant N\left(z_{2}, R, F\right) \leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} N\left(z_{2}, R s{ }^{k}, F\right)
$$

Hence by Lemma 4 and 5 and the estimate (9) for $S$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
N\left(z_{0}, R(1-t), F\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{\log s} \max _{\left|z-z_{2}\right| \leqslant s R} \log \left|F(z) / F\left(z_{2}\right)\right| \\
\leqslant \frac{1}{\log s} \max _{\left|z-z_{0}\right| \leqslant(s+t) R} \log \left|F(z) / F\left(z_{2}\right)\right|  \tag{II}\\
\leqslant \frac{1}{\log s}\{(\sigma-1+2 A) \log (u / u-s-t)+(\sigma-1) \log (u / t)\}
\end{gather*}
$$

Selecting, say, $u=20, s=5,3, t=0,2$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(z_{0}, R(1-t), F\right) z(0.2)(\sigma-1+2 A)+(2.8)(\sigma-1) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(more precisely, the constants are respectively $0, / 93 \ldots$ and $2,762 \ldots$ ). This result, which does not appear to have been observed previously, is perhaps of some incidental interest in its own right. For example, the the independence of the result on the value of $R$ is at first sight startling. It is not difficult to see however why this should be so and we will observe a similar phenomenon on considering exponential polynomials.
6. By replacing $z$ by $\mathrm{e}^{z}$ the function $F(z)$ becomes the exponential polynomial

$$
E(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{p=1}^{n(k)} a_{k p} z^{p-1} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_{k} z}
$$

and the estimate (12) asserts that $E(z)$ has at most $(0.386) A+(2.955)(\sigma-1)$ zeros in the region defined by $\left\{Z:\left|\mathrm{e}^{z}-z_{0}\right| \leqslant(0.8) R\right\}$ where $\left|z_{0}\right|=20 R$. But it is known that because the estimate is independent of the coefficients $a_{k p}$ and only roughly dependent on the exponents $\alpha_{k}$ and the degrees $n(k)$ and independent of $R$, therefore it is valid for any convenient value of $R$ and will hold for any affine transform of the region then obtained; for details see [4]. Selecting $z_{0}=1$ so $R=0.05$, the region becomes $\left\{Z:\left|\mathrm{e}^{z}-1\right| \leqslant 0.04\right\}$. But this region contains the circle $\{Z:|z| \leqslant 0.039\}$. So, when $r=0.039$ it is the case that in the disc $\{z:|z| \leqslant r\}$ the function $E(z)$ has at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
10 A r+3(\sigma-1) \ldots \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

zeros. We assert that this result is valid for all $r$ and indeed for discs with any centre, as a consequence of the invariance mentioned above; one can see this by observing that the transformation $z \mapsto z l, \alpha_{k} \mapsto \alpha_{k} / l$ (all $k$ ) leaves the estimate (13) invariant and affects only the coefficients of $E(z)$ and similarly that the transformation $z \mapsto z-\beta$ affects only the coefficients of $E(z)$. Finally, if $\Delta=\max _{j, k}\left|\alpha_{s}-\alpha_{k}\right|$ then there exists $\alpha \in C$ such that $\max _{k}\left|\alpha_{k-\alpha}\right|=\Delta / \sqrt{3}$; observing that $E(z)$ and
$\mathrm{e}^{\alpha} E(z)$ have the same zeros, it is clear that in (13) we may replace $A$ by $\Delta / \sqrt{3}$. Hence we have shown that the exponential polynomial $E(z)$ has at most

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \Delta R+3(\sigma-1) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

zeros in any disc of radius $R$ in the complex plane, which is the Theorem.
7. There is of course no suggestion that the estimate (14) is optimal; indeed in asymptotic cases even our method provides better results. For example, suppose $n$ is large and in (11) we select the parameters as $s=2^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n}, t=u s^{(1+n)}$ and $u=s / 1-s^{1 / n}$. Then (12) becomes

$$
N\left(z_{0}, R(1-t), F\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}(\sigma-1+2 A)+\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \cdot(\sigma-1)
$$

and as the right-hand side of this neauality must be an integer we obtan

$$
N\left(z_{0}, R(1-t), F\right) \leqslant \sigma-1 \quad \text { if } \quad 2(A+\sigma-1)<n
$$

It follows that if $n$ satisfies this inequality then in any disc of rad us les than $n \quad \mathrm{e}^{1} 2{ }^{n}$ the exponential polynomial $E(z)$ has at most $\sigma-1$ zeros. This r sult is best possible in the sense that the exponential polynomial may have a zero of order $\sigma-1$ at any given point.

Conversely it can be shown that for large $R$ our estimates imply that in discs of radius $R$ the number of zeros of the exponential polynomial $E(z)$ is of order e $R \Delta$. This result is non-optimal. Indeed, by other methods [1] it is known that the correct result is, order less than $R \Delta$.

I acknowledge the assistance of Mr. P. O'Sullivan in performing some of the calculations for this paper.
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