Peter Volauf Extension and regularity of *ell*-group valued measures

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 27 (1977), No. 1, 47--53

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129443

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

EXTENSION AND REGULARITY OF *l*-GROUP VALUED MEASURES

PETER VOLAUF

In his paper [4] J. D. Maitland Wright considered measures which take their values in a boundedly σ -complete vector lattice V. He studied the measure extension property of V and proved the main theorem which characterizes this quality of V through the property of the regularity of the V-valued Baire measure on a compact Hausdorff space.

In the first part of this paper we consider the extension theorem for *l*-group valued measures. We extend the measure μ from the algebra \mathscr{A} to the σ -algebra \mathscr{C} containing \mathscr{A} . In the second part the sufficient condition for the regularity of the *l*-group valued measure μ defined on the σ -algebra \mathscr{S} of Borel sets of the topological space is given.

Let us introduce some notation first. $x \lor y$, $x \land y$ -will denote lattice operations. $x_n \nearrow x$ $(x_n \searrow x)$ will be written iff $x_n \le x_{n+1}$ $(x_n \ge x_{n+1})$ for every n and $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = x$ $(\bigwedge_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n = x)$. A similar notation is used for sequences of sets.

Let X be a nonempty set and \mathcal{A} be an algebra of subsets of X. Let \mathcal{L} be a commutative *l*-group.

Definition 1. The set function $\mu: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{L}$ is a measure iff

- (i) $\mu(A) \ge 0$ for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (0 is a zero element of \mathcal{L})
- (ii) μ is finitely additive, i.e. if $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n, and $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, then

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(A_{i})$$

(iii) μ is continuous from above at \emptyset , i.e. if $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., A_i \setminus \emptyset$, then $\mu(A_i) \setminus 0$.

Observe that the measure μ has the following properties:

(1) $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$

- (2) μ is monotone, i.e. if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \subset B$, then $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$
- (3) μ is subtractive, i.e. if $A, B \in \mathcal{A}, A \subset B$, then $\mu(B-A) = \mu(B) \mu(A)$
- (4) μ is countable additive, i.e. if $A_i \in \mathcal{I}$, $i = 1, 2, ..., A_i \cap A_i = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ then } \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i)$$

(5) μ is continuous from below at any set $A \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e. for every sequence $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$, for which $A_i \nearrow A$ we have $\mu(A) = \vee \mu(A_i)$.

Definition 2. A *l*-group \mathcal{L} has a countable type if the following holds: if $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{L}$ and $c = \sup \mathcal{M}$, then there exists a countable chain $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}$, such that $c = \sup \mathcal{H}$. The *l*-group \mathcal{L} is regular if there holds: if $a_k^i \in \mathcal{L}$ for i = 1, 2, ..., k = 1, 2, ..., are such that $a_k^i \searrow 0$ $(i \nearrow \infty)$ for k = 1, 2, ...,and $b \in \mathcal{L}$ is such that for every sequence $\{i_1, i_2, i_3, ...\}$ of positive integers

$$b \leq \bigvee_{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{k} a_{k}^{i_{k}} \right), \text{ then } b \leq 0.$$

Lemma. Every l-group is a distributive lattice. Every complete l-group is a commutative group. (See Birkhoff G. [1])

Let us denote

$$\mathscr{B} = \left\{ A \subset X : A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, A_i \in \mathcal{I}, A_i \subset A_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}^{n}.$$

Let μ be a measure defined on \mathcal{I} with values in \mathcal{L} . If \mathcal{L} is a complete *l*-group, we define a set function $\vartheta: \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{L}$ by

(a)
$$\vartheta(A) = \lor \mu(A_i)$$
, where $A_i \in I$, $A_i \nearrow A$.

Proposition 1. The set function ϑ is unambiguously defined.

Proof. Let $A_n \nearrow A$, $B_n \nearrow A$, A_n , $B_n \in \mathcal{A}$, n = 1, 2, ... We have to show that $\lor \mu(A_n) = \lor \mu(B_n)$. But $A_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_k \cap B_n)$ and μ is continuous from below at a set A_k .

Hence $\mu(A_k) = \bigvee_n \mu(A_k \cap B_n) \leq \bigvee_k \mu(B_n)$ and $\bigvee_k \mu(A_k) \leq \bigvee_n \mu(B_n)$. We can rever-

se the roles of $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ in the argument and show that $\bigvee_k \mu(A_k) = \bigvee_n \mu(B_n)$.

Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{L} be a complete *l*-group and ϑ be a function defined on \mathcal{B} by (a). Then ϑ has the following properties:

(i) $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $\vartheta / \mathcal{A} = \mu$

(ii) if $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $n = 1, 2, ..., then <math>A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}$ (iii) if $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\vartheta(A \cup B) + \vartheta(A \cap B) = \vartheta(a) + \vartheta(B)$ (iv) if $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$, $n = 1, 2, ... and A_n \nearrow A$, then $\vartheta(A_1) \leq \vartheta(A_2)$ and $\vartheta(A) = \lor \vartheta(A_n)$. Proof. (i) is trivial. Clearly (ii) will hold if we prove that if $A_n \nearrow A$, $A_n \in \mathcal{B}$, n = 1, 2, ..., then $A \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $A_{ni} \nearrow A_n$ if $i \nearrow \infty$, n = 1, 2, ... Denote $B_i = \bigcup_{i \leq i} A_{ii}$. Then B_i is monotone, $B_i \in I$, and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A$. (iii) holds since for any $A, B \in I$ we have $\mu(A \cup B) + \mu(A \cap B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$. \mathscr{L} is a complete *l*-group and if $a_n \in \mathscr{L}$, $b_n \in \mathscr{L}$, $n = 1, 2, ..., n = 1, 2, ..., a_n \nearrow$, $b_n \nearrow$, then $\lor a_n + \lor b_n = \lor (a_n + b_n)$. According to Proposition 1 we prove only the second part of (iv). We use the notation from above. Then $A_{in} \subset B_n \subset A_n$ for $i \leq n$, hence $\mu(A_{in}) \leq \mu(B_n) \leq \vartheta(A_n)$ and $\vartheta(A_i) = \lor \mu(A_{in}) \leq \lor \psi(B_n) \leq \lor \vartheta(A_n)$ for i = 1, 2, Thus we have $\lor \vartheta(A_i) \leq \lor \mu(B_n) \leq \lor \vartheta(A_n)$ and $\vartheta(A) = \lor \mu(B_n) = \lor \vartheta(A_n)$.

Theorem 2. Let the symbols μ , \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{B} , ϑ denote the same as in the Theorem 1 and let \mathcal{L} be a complete, regular *l*-group which has a countable type. Then a function μ^* defined on 2^x by

(**b**)
$$\mu^*(C) = \wedge \{ \vartheta(B) \colon C \subset B \in \mathscr{B} \}$$

has the following properties:

- (i) $\mu^*/\mathscr{B} = \vartheta$, $\mu^*(C) \ge 0$ for all $C \subset X$
- (ii) $\mu^*(C_1 \cup C_2) + \mu^*(C_1 \cap C_2) \leq \mu^*(C_1) + \mu^*(C_2)$ for all C_1, C_2
- (iii) if $C_1, C_2 \subset X$ and $C_1 \subset C_2$, then $\mu^*(C_1) \leq \mu^*(C_2)$
- (iv) if $C_n \subset X$, $n = 1, 2, ..., C_n \nearrow C$ $(n \nearrow \infty)$, then $\mu^*(C_n) \nearrow \mu^*(C)$.

Proof. (i) is trivial. Let $B_1^n \in \mathcal{B}, B_2^n \in \mathcal{B}, n = 1, 2, ...$ such that $\vartheta(B_1^n) \searrow \mu^*(C_1)$ $\vartheta(B_2^n) \searrow \mu^*(C_2).$ According to (iii) Theorem 1 and $\vartheta(B_1^n) + \vartheta(B_2^n) =$ $\vartheta(B_1^n \cap B_2^n) + \vartheta(B_1^n \cup B_2^n) \ge \mu^*(C_1 \cap C_2) + \mu^*(C_1 \cup C_2), \text{ hence } \mu^*(C_1) + \mu^*(C_2) \ge \mu^*(C_1 \cap C_2)$ $\mu^*(C_1 \cap C_2) + \mu^*(C_1 \cup C_2)$. (iii) is trivial. Let $C_n \subset X$, $n = 1, 2, ..., C_n \nearrow C$. \mathscr{L} has a countable type and hence there exist $B_n^i \in \mathcal{B}$, n = 1, 2, ..., such that for every n $\vartheta(B_n^i) \searrow \mu^*(C_n)$ $(i \nearrow \infty)$. Denote $a_n^i = \vartheta(B_n^i) - \mu^*(C_n)$ and $b = \mu^*(C) - \vee \mu^*(C_n)$. For any sequence $\{i_1, i_2, ...\}$ of positive integers we have b≦ $\mu^* \Big(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^{i_n}\Big) - \vee \mu^*(C_n) \leq \vartheta \Big(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} B_n^{i_n}\Big) - \vee \mu^*(C_n) \leq \bigvee_k \vartheta \Big(\bigcup_{n=1}^{k} B_n^{i_n}\Big) - \vee \mu^*(C_n) \leq \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} (C_n) \leq \bigcup_{n=1}^{k} (C_n)$ $\bigvee_{k} \left[\vartheta \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{n}^{i} \right) - \mu^{*}(C_{k}) \right].$ The difference $\vartheta \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B_{n}^{i} \right) - \mu^{*}(C_{k})$ can be bounded by $\vartheta(B_1^{i_1} \cup \ldots \cup B_k^{i_k}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \vartheta(B_i^{i_i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mu^*(C_i)$. This inequality may be verified using mathematical induction. Hence $b \leq \bigvee_{k} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{k} (\vartheta(B_{n^{n}}) - \mu^{*}(C_{n})) \right| = \bigvee_{k} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{k} a_{n^{n}}^{\prime n} \right)$. With respect to regularity of \mathscr{S} we have $b \leq 0$ and $\mu^{*}(C) = \bigvee \mu^{*}(C_{n})$.

Theorem 3. Let the symbols and assumptions of Theorem 2 hold. Denote $\mathcal{C} = \{C \subset X: \mu^*(C) + \mu^*(C) = \mu(X)\}$. Then \mathcal{C} is the σ -algebra of the subsets of X and $\tilde{\mu} = \mu^*/\mathcal{C}$ is the complete measure (if $A \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mu(A) = 0$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in \mathcal{C}$).

Proof. Observe that \emptyset , $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and \mathcal{C} is closed with respect to the complementa- $\mu^*(B_1 \cup B_2) + \mu^*(B_1^{\varsigma} \cap B_2^{\varsigma}) \leq$ tion. Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathscr{C}$. Then $\mu^*(B_1) + \mu^*(B_2) - \mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2) + \mu^*(B_1^c) + \mu^*(B_2^c) - \mu^*(B_1^c \cup B_2^c) \le$ $\mu(X) + \mu(X) - \mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2) - \mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2)^c \leq \mu(X)$. We have just proved that 6 is closed under formation of finite unions. Let $B_n \in \mathcal{C}$, $n = 1, 2, ..., B_n \nearrow \bigcup B_n$, then $\mu^*(B_m) + \mu^*(B_m^c) \leq \mu(X), m = 1, 2, \dots, \text{ and } \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m\right)^c\right) \leq \mu^*(B_m^c) \text{ for all } m \text{ and } \mu^*(B_m^c)$ $\mu^*(B_m) + \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right)^c\right) \leq \mu(X) \text{ for all } m. \text{ We have } \vee \mu^*(B_m) + \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right)^c\right) \leq \mu(X) \text{ for all } m. \text{ We have } \vee \mu^*(B_m) + \mu^*\left(\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right)^c\right) \leq \mu(X) \text{ for all } m. \text{ foral } m. \text{ foral } m. \text{ fo$ $\mu(X)$ and \mathscr{C} is a σ -algebra. According to (iv) Theorem 2 μ^* is a measure if we show that μ^* is additive. Let $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\mu^*(B_1 \cup B_2) + \mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2) \leq \mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2)$ to (ii) Theorem 2. Also $\mu^*((B_1 \cup B_2)^c) +$ $\mu^*(B_1) + \mu^*(B_2)$ according $\mu^*((B_1 \cap B_2)^c) \leq \mu^*(B_1^c) + \mu^*(B_2^c)$ and the sum on the right-hand sides of the two last equalities is equal to $2\mu(X)$. On the other hand $\mu^*(B_1 \cup B_2) + \mu^*(B_1 \cup B_2)^c \ge 1$ $\mu(X)$ and $\mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2) + \mu^*(B_1 \cap B_2)^c \ge \mu(X)$, hence there is equality in each of these inequalities and μ^* is additive. μ^* is complete since if $A \in \mathcal{C}, \mu^*(A) = 0$ and $B \subset A$, $\mu^*(B) + \mu^*(B^c) \leq \mu^*(A) + \mu(X) = \mu(X)$ holds and $B \in \mathscr{C}$.

Theorem 4. If μ is a measure on the algebra \mathcal{A} with values in the complete, regular *l*-group \mathcal{L} which has a countable type, then μ has the unique extension $\tilde{\mu}$ on the σ -algebra \mathcal{D} generated by the algebra \mathcal{A} .

Proof. According to Theorem 3 the system \mathscr{C} from Theorem 3 is the σ -algebra containing \mathscr{I} and hence $\mathscr{C} \supset \mathscr{D}$. $\tilde{\mu}$ defined by $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \mu^*(A)$ for every $A \in \mathscr{D}$ is the extension of the measure μ . Let there exist a measure q on \mathscr{D} such that $q/\mathscr{I} = \mu$. With respect to the definition μ^* , $q \leq \mu^*$ on \mathscr{B} (observe that $q = \vartheta$ on \mathscr{B}). Let $A_0 \in \mathscr{D}$ be such that $q(A_0) < \mu^*(A_0)$. With respect to the last inequalities we have $q(X) = q(A_0) + q(A_0^c) < \mu^*(A_0) + \mu^*(A_0^c) = \mu(X)$, which is impossible since $q = \mu^*$ on \mathscr{A} .

Let X be a topological space with a topology \mathcal{T} . It is known (see Halmos P. [2]) that in the locally compact spaces the real measure is regular if every compact set is

50

outer regular. Let us investigate the analogy quality of a *l*-group valued measure. Let \mathcal{L} be a complete, regular *l*-group which has a countable type. Let X be a topological space with a topology \mathcal{T} , let \mathcal{B} be a σ -algebra of Borel sets in X. Denote by \mathcal{L} the system of all closed sets in X and μ a measure on \mathcal{B} with values in \mathcal{S} .

Definition 3. The set $E \in \mathcal{B}$ is outer regular, if $\mu(E) = \wedge \{\mu(U) : E \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\}$. The set $E \in \mathcal{B}$ is inner regular, if $\mu(E) = \vee \{\mu(Z) : E \supset Z \in \mathcal{Z}\}$. The set $E \in \mathcal{B}$ is regular if it is both inner and outer regular. A measure μ is regular if every set $E \in \mathcal{B}$ is regular.

Let \mathcal{R} be a system of all regular subsets of X.

Proposition 2.

- (i) \mathscr{X} is a lattice of sets .
- (ii) if \mathcal{A} is a system of subsets of X, denote by $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{A}$ the system $\{A: A = B C, C \subset B, C, B \in \mathcal{A}\}$. $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{X}$ is a semiring.
- (iii) if A is a system of subsets of X, let NA be a normal system generated by A. Then NP = SP, where P is a semiring and SP is a σ-ring generated by P. (See Halmos P. [2] §5.6)

Theorem 5. If every set in \mathscr{X} is outer regular, then $\mathscr{PX} \subset \mathscr{R}$.

Proof. Let $C, D \in \mathscr{Z}$ and $C \subset D$. A set D - C is inner regular since $\mu(D) - \mu(C) = \mu(D) - \wedge \{\mu(U): C \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\} = \vee \{\mu(D) - \mu(U): C \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\} = \vee \{\mu(D - U); B - C \supset D - U \in \mathscr{Z}\} \leq \vee \{\mu(Z): D - C \supset Z \in \mathscr{Z}\}$. But $\mu(D - C) = \mu(D) - \mu(C) = \wedge \{\mu(U): D \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\} - \mu(C) = \wedge \{\mu(U - C): D - C \subset U - C \in \mathcal{T}\} \geq \wedge \{\mu(U): D - C \subset U \in \mathcal{T}\}$ and the set D - C is outer regular.

Theorem 6. The system \mathcal{R} is closed with respect to finite disjoint unions and with respect to the complementation.

Proof. $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) = \vee \{\mu(A_k): A \supset A_k \in \mathscr{Z}, k = 1, 2, ...\} + \vee \{\mu(B_k): B \supset B_k \in \mathscr{Z}, k = 1, 2, ...\} = \vee \{\mu(A_k) + \mu(B_k): A \supset A_k \in \mathscr{Z}, B \supset B_k \in \mathscr{Z}, k = 1, 2, ...\} = \vee \{\mu(A_k \cup B_k): A \cup B \supset A_k \cup B_k \in \mathscr{Z}, k = 1, 2, ...\} \leq \vee \{\mu(Z): A \cup B \supset Z \in \mathscr{Z}\}, \text{ the reverse inequality is trivial. Let us prove the outer regularity of } A \cup B. \quad \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B) = \wedge \{\mu(A_k): A \subset A_k \in \mathscr{T}, k = 1, 2, ...\} \geq \wedge \{\mu(B_k): B \subset B_k \in \mathscr{T}, k = 1, 2, ...\} \geq \wedge \{\mu(A_k \cup B_k): A \cup B \subset A_k \cup B_k \in \mathscr{T}\} \geq \wedge \{\mu(U): A \cup B \subset U \in \mathscr{T}\}. \text{ At last if } A \in \mathscr{R}, \text{ then } A^c \text{ is inner regular since } \mu(A^c) = \mu(X) - \mu(A) = \mu(X) - \wedge \{\mu(U): A \subset U \in \mathscr{T}\} = \vee \{\mu(X - U): A \subset U \in \mathscr{T}\} = \vee \{\mu(X - U): A^c \supset X - U, X - U \in \mathscr{Z}\} = \vee \{\mu(Z): A^c \supset Z \in \mathscr{Z}\}, \text{ and outer regular in the dual way.}$

Theorem 7. If every set in \mathcal{X} is outer regular then a system \mathcal{R} is a normal system containing $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{X}$.

Proof. According to Theorem 5 and 6 we have to prove (1) and (2):

(1) if $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$, $A_i \searrow \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is outer regular

(2) if $A_i \in \mathcal{R}$, $A_i \nearrow \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$ is outer regular.

(1) We have to show that $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) \ge \wedge \left\{ \mu(B) : \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subset B \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \right\}$, since the reverse inequality is trivial. \mathcal{F} has a countable type and for any i = 1, 2, ... we have $\mu(A_i) = \bigwedge_k \{\mu(B_{ik}) : A_i \subset B_{ik} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}, k = 1, 2, ...\}$ and $\mu(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \bigwedge_k \{\mu(B_{ik}) : A_i \subset B_{ik} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}, i, k = 1, 2, ...\}$ but $\bigwedge_i \{\mu(B_{ik}) : A_i \subset B_{ik} \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}, i, k = 1, 2, ...\} \ge \wedge \{\mu(B) : \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \subset B \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \}$ for any k.

(2) We have to show that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}A_{i}\right) \ge \wedge \{\mu(B): \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}A_{i} \subset B \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}\}$. But $A_{i} \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\mu(A_{i}) = \bigwedge_{k} \left\{\mu(B_{ik}): A_{i} \subset B_{ik} \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}, k = 1, 2, ...\}$. Let $\{k_{1}, k_{2}, ...\}$ be any sequence of positive integers. Denote $a_{i}^{k} = \mu(B_{ik}) - \mu(A_{i})$. Then $a_{i}^{k} \searrow 0$ $(k \nearrow \infty)$, for i = 1, 2, Denote $a = \wedge \left\{\mu(B): \bigcup_{i=1}^{r}A_{i} \subset B \in \overline{\mathcal{I}}\right\} - \vee \mu(A_{i})$, then $a \le \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}B_{ik_{i}}\right) - \vee \mu(A_{i}) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{r}B_{ik_{i}}\right) - \vee \mu(A_{i}) \le \vee_{l}\left[\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{l}B_{ik_{i}}\right) - \mu(A_{i})\right] = \bigvee_{l}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{l}a_{i}^{k'}\right)$ and according to the regularity of \mathscr{L} we have $a \le 0$. We used the inequality

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_{ik_i}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu(B_{ik_i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu(A_i)$$

which holds since $B_{ik_i} \supset A_i$.

Corollary. If every set in \mathscr{X} is outer regular and if \mathscr{L} is a complete, regular *l*-group which has a countable type and μ is a measure on \mathscr{B} with values in \mathscr{L} , then μ is regular in the sense of the Definition 3.

Proof. According to Proposition 2 and Theorems 5, 6, 7 we have $\mathcal{B} = \mathscr{SP} = \mathscr{SPZ} = \mathscr{NPZ} \subset \mathscr{R}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] BIRKHOFF, G.: Lattice theory. 3. ed. Providence 1967.
- [2] HALMOS, P. R.: Measure theory. New York 1950.
- 52

- [3] NEVEU, J.: Bases mathématiques du calcul des probabilités. Masson et Cie 1964.
- [4] WRIGHT, J. D. M.:The measure extension problem for vector lattices. Ann Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 21, 4, 1971, 65–85.

Received July 1, 1975

Katedra numerickej matematiky a matematickej štatistiky Prirodovedeckej fakulty UK Mlynská dolina 816 31 Bratislava

ПРОДОЛЖЕНИЕ И РЕГУЛЯРНОСТЬ МЕР СО ЗНАЧЕНИЯМИ Б л-ГРУППЕ

Петр Болауф

Резюме

В настоящей заметке под мерой будем понимать отображение μ определенное на алгебре \mathscr{A} подмножеств множества X со значениями в *л*-группе \mathscr{P} , выполняющее следующие условия: $\mu(A) \ge 0$ для всяких $A \in \mathscr{A}$, μ конечно-аддитивная и полунепрерывная снизу. Целью заметки является формулировка условий накладываемых на \mathscr{P} достаточных для продолжения меры с алгебры \mathscr{A} на σ -алгебры \mathscr{B} содержащую \mathscr{A} .

Во вторий части изучается проблема регулярности меры как она формулирована например в книге Халмоша [2]. В обеих частях центральную роль играют условия счетново типа и регулярности накладываемые на *л*-группу *P*.