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# PERIODIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM IN HILBERT SPACE FOR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF SECOND ORDER WITH REFLECTION OF THE ARGUMENT 

BORIS RUDOLF


#### Abstract

The differential equation $-x^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2} x+f(t, x(t), x(-t))=h(t)$ with periodic boundary conditions is studied. The existence of a solution in case when $f$ is a completely continuous operator and in case when $f$ is only continuous and bounded is proved. The connectedness of the set of solutions is studied.


The aim of this paper is to extend the results of Chaitan P. Gupta [1] for the boundary value problems in a Hilbert space involving the reflection of the argument to the case of the periodic boundary conditions.

## 1. Some preliminary results

We deal with the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2} x+f(t, x(t), x(-t))=h(t) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with periodic boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(-\pi)=x(\pi), \quad x^{\prime}(-\pi)=x^{\prime}(\pi) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h(t):\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \rightarrow H, f(t, x, y):\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \times H \rightarrow H$ and $H$ is a real Hilbert space with norm $\|\cdot\|$.
We assume $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>0$.
We use the following function spaces:

$$
L_{1}((-\pi, \pi), H) \quad \text { with norm } \quad\|u\|_{1}=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\|u(t)\| \mathrm{d} t
$$

Key words: Periodic BVP, Leray-Schauder theorem, Hilbert space.
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$$
\begin{array}{lll}
L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), H) & \text { with norm } & \|u\|_{2}=\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\|u(t)\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H) & \text { with norm } & \|u\|_{0}=\sup _{t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle}\|u(t)\|,
\end{array}
$$

and assume $h(t) \in L_{1}$ and $f$ is a completely continuous function.
In the case $H=\mathbb{R}$ we obtain the scalar problem (1), (2), for which the homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2} x=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only trivial solution.
That means we can find the Green function

$$
G(t, s)=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{2 \alpha \pi}-1} \begin{cases}\mathrm{e}^{2 \alpha \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha(t-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{\alpha(s-t)} & -\pi \leqq t \leqq s \leqq \pi  \tag{3}\\ \mathrm{e}^{\alpha(t-s)}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \alpha \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha(s-t)} & -\pi \leqq s \leqq t \leqq \pi\end{cases}
$$

such that the scalar problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t, s)[h(s)-f(s, x(s), x(-s))] \mathrm{d} s \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For reference to our first lemma see [1, p. 377]. (Though this lemma is not explicitly formulated there.)

LEMMA 1. If the scalar problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the equation (4), then also the problem (1), (2) in the Hilbert space $H$ is equivalent to the equation (4), and the Green function $G(t, s):\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by (3).

Using the Lemma 1 we obtain that the existence of a solution to the problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the existence of a fixed point for a completely continuous operator $T$.

LEMMA 2. Let $f:\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a completely continuous operator and $h(t) \in L_{1}((-\pi, \pi), H)$.

Then the problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=T x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a completely continuous operator, $T: C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H) \rightarrow C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$.
Proof. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
T x(t)=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t, s)[h(s)-f(s, x(s), x(-s))] \mathrm{d} s \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Continuity of $G(t, s)$ on $\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$ implies the continuity of the function $T x$, i.e. $T x \in C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$.

Continuity of the operator $T$.
Let $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then

$$
f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}(-t)\right) \rightarrow f(t, x(t), x(-t)) \quad \text { for every } \quad t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle .
$$

Moreover, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and every $t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$ there is

$$
\left\|f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}(-t)\right)\right\| \leqq M .
$$

Then the Lebesgue convergence theorem implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t, s)\left[h(s)-f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}(-s)\right)\right] \mathrm{d} s & \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t, s)[h(s)-f(s, x(s), x(-s))] \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

From the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|T x_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)-T x_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)\right\| & \leqq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|G\left(t_{1}, s\right)-G\left(t_{2}, s\right)\right|\left(\|h(s)\|+\left\|f\left(s, x_{n}(s), x_{n}(-s)\right)\right\|\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leqq 2 \pi \varepsilon(\|h(s)\|+M)
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain that $T x_{n}$ converges uniformly to $T x$.
Compactness of $T$.
Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be bounded in $C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then $\left\{T x_{n}\right\}$ is equicontinuous. The set $\left\{T x_{n}(t), n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \subset H$ is a relatively compact set for every $t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$. The Theorem of Ascoli [5, p. 18] implies now the complete continuity of the operator $T$.

The relative compactness of the set $\left\{T x_{n}(t) ; n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is proved in the following way. Denote the integral sum associated with the partition $\left[s_{0}=-\pi, \ldots, s_{i}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, s_{k}=\pi\right], s_{i+1}-s_{i}=\frac{2 \pi}{k}$ as

$$
I_{k}=\sum_{i=0}^{k} G\left(t, s_{i}\right) f\left(s_{i}, x_{n}\left(s_{i}\right), x_{n}\left(-s_{i}\right)\right)\left(s_{i+1}-s_{i}\right) .
$$
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The complete continuity of $f$ and the continuity of $G$ implies that for every $t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$

$$
G\left(t, s_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right) f\left(s_{\boldsymbol{i}}, x_{n}\left(s_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right), x_{n}\left(-s_{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)\right) \in K
$$

where $K$ is a compact subset of $H$. Then

$$
I_{k} \in \operatorname{conv}(2 \pi k)
$$

and

$$
T x_{n}(t) \in \overline{\operatorname{conv}(2 \pi k)}
$$

where the set $\overline{\operatorname{conv}(2 \pi k)}$ is a compact subset.
The assumption of the complete continuity of the function $f$ is essential. For further references to the preceding lemma see [6, pp. 281-282].

## 2. The estimations

In this section we derive the inequalities which we use to estimate the norm of a solution to the equation (5).

LEM'.. . Let $y(t) \in A C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H), y^{\prime}(t) \in L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), H), \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$ and $y(t)$ satisfies the periodic boundary conditions (2). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y(t)\|_{0} \leqq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider the real function $z(t) \in A C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, \mathbb{R})$ such that $z^{\prime}(t) \in L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), \mathbb{R}), \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} z(t) \mathrm{d} t=0, z(-\pi)=z(\pi), z^{\prime}(-\pi)=z^{\prime}(\pi)$.

The mean value theorem implies the existence of $t_{0} \in(-\pi, \pi)$ such that $z\left(t_{0}\right)=0$.

We consider now the function $z(t)$ on $\left\langle t_{0}, t_{0}+2 \pi\right\rangle$, defined by $z(t)=z(t-2 \pi)$ for $t>\pi$. The inequality

$$
|z(t)| \leqq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\|z^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L_{2}}
$$

is for such $z(t)$ derived in [4]. For $y(t)$ satisfying the assumptions of the lemma, there is $t_{0} \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$ such that

$$
\|y\|_{0}=\sup _{t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle}\|y(t) \mid=\| y\left(t_{0}\right) \|
$$

The Hahn-Banach theorem implies the existence of $w \in H$ with $\|w\|=1$ such that

$$
\left\|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|=\left(y\left(t_{0}\right), w\right) .
$$

We denote

$$
z(t)=(y(t), w)
$$

and we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
|(y(t), w)|^{2} \leqq \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(y^{\prime}(t), w\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t, \\
\|y(t)\|_{0}=\left(y\left(t_{0}\right), w\right) \leqq \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The following estimation is in a real case well known as the Wirtinger inequality [ 2, p. 185].

In the rest of this part we assume that $H$ is a separable Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ is an orthogonal basis in $H$.

Lemma 4. Let $y(t) \in C((-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then

$$
y(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}(t) e_{i},
$$

where $a_{i}(t)$ are uniformly continuous functions and

$$
\|y(t)\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

Proof. For every $t_{0} \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$ is $y\left(t_{0}\right) \in H, y\left(t_{0}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}\left(t_{0}\right) e_{i}$ and $a_{i}(t)=\left(a_{i}(t) e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=\left(y(t), e_{i}\right)$. The uniform continuity of $y(t)$ implies the uniform continuity of $a_{i}(t)$.

For $y(t) \in H$ we use the Parseval equality

$$
\|y(t)\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{i}(t)\right|^{2} .
$$
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The sequence $\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \rightarrow\|y(t)\|^{2}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, for every $t$ and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|a_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \leqq\|y(t)\|^{2}
$$

The Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$
\|y(t)\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Lemma 5. Let $y(t) \in C^{1}(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then

$$
y^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{\prime}(t) e_{i}
$$

where $a_{i}^{\prime}(t)$ are uniformly continuous functions and

$$
\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

Proof. From the Lemma 4 we obtain that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}(t) e_{i} & \text { and } \\
y^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\imath}(t) e_{i}, & \text { where } b_{i}(t) \text { are uniformly continuous functions. }
\end{array}
$$

Moreover $y \in C^{1}$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{\imath}(t)=\left(y(t), e_{\imath}\right) \in C^{1}(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, \mathbb{R}) \text { and } \\
& b_{i}(t)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{\jmath}(t) e_{y}, e_{i}\right)=\left(y^{\prime}(t), e_{\imath}\right)=a_{\imath}^{\prime}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the prooi of the Lemma 4.

LEMMA 6. Let $y(t) \in C^{1}(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H), y(t)$ satisfies (2) and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y(t)\|_{2} \leqq\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Obviously

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=0 \quad \text { for every } \quad i \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and $a_{i}(t)$ satisfies (2).
From the Wirtinger inequality we obtain

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{i}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t \leqq \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left|a_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

and the inequality (8) follows now from the Lemmas 4 and 5.
Lemma 7. Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space and $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ the orthonormal basis in $H$. Then $\left\{e_{i}, \cos k t \cdot e_{i}, \sin k t \cdot e_{i}\right\}_{i, k=1}^{\infty}$ is the orthogonal basis in $L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), H)$.

Proof. The orthogonality is obvious. We prove the completeness. Let $y(t)$ $\in C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then $y(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}(t) e_{i}, a_{i}(t)$ are uniformly continuous functions and

$$
a_{i}(t)=\frac{a_{0}^{i}}{2}+\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{i} \cos k t+b_{k}^{i} \sin k t
$$

Supposing
$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(y(t), e_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} t=0, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(y(t), \cos k t \cdot e_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} t=0, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(y(t), \sin k t \cdot e_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} t=0$
we obtain $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=0, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \cos k t \cdot a_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=0, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sin k t \cdot b_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$ and then $a_{0}^{i}=0, a_{k}^{i}=0, b_{k}^{i}=0$.
This implies that $a_{i}(t)=0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and then also $y(t)=0$.
Since the space $C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$ is dense in $L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), H)$, then the system $\left\{e_{i}, \cos k t \cdot e_{i}, \sin k t \cdot e_{i}\right\}$ is complete.
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Lemma 8. Let $y(t) \in C^{1}(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y(t)\|_{0} \leqq a\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2}+b\|y(t)\|_{2} \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The continuity of $y(t)$ implies that there is $t_{0}$ surn that

$$
\left\|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right\|=\sup _{t \in(-\pi, \pi\rangle}\|y(t)\|=\|y(t)\|_{0}
$$

We choose again $w \in H,\|w\|=1$ such that

$$
\left(y\left(t_{0}\right), w\right)=\left\|y\left(t_{0}\right)\right\| .
$$

Then

$$
(y(t), w)=\left(y\left(t_{1}\right), w\right)+\int_{t_{1}}^{t}(y(s), w)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} s=\left(y\left(t_{1}\right), w\right)+\sqrt{2 \pi} \|\left. y^{\prime}(t)\right|_{2}
$$

Using the mean-value theorem we take $t_{1} \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$ such that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(y(t), w)^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\left(y\left(t_{1}\right), w\right)^{2} 2 \pi
$$

and

$$
(y(t), w) \leqq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi}}\|y(t)\|_{2}+\sqrt{2 \pi}\left\|y^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \quad \text { for every } \quad t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle
$$

## 3. Existence theorems

Theorem 1. Let $f:\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \times H \rightarrow H$ be completely continuous operator and for every $(t, x, y) \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \times H$ is

$$
(f(t, x, y), x) \geqq-a\|x\|^{2}-b\|x\|\|y\|, \quad \text { where } \quad a+|b|<\alpha^{2}
$$

Then there is a solution to the problem (1), (2) for every $h(t) \in L_{1}((-\pi, \pi), H)$. Proof. The problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=T x \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a completely continuous operator.
Let $x$ be a solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\lambda T x \quad \text { for } \quad \lambda \in(0,1) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(x^{\prime \prime}(t), x(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \alpha^{2}(x(t), x(t)) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \lambda(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(h(t), x(t)) \mathrm{d} t
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha^{2}\|x\|_{2}^{2}-(a+|b|)\|x\|_{2}^{2} \leqq\|h(t)\|_{1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi}}\|x\|_{2}+\sqrt{2 \pi}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}\right) .
$$

The last inequality can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-A\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}-(a+|b|)\right)\|x\|_{2}^{2}-B\|x\|_{2} \leqq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are constants.
Obviously if (11) is valid then $\|x\|_{2} \leqq C_{1}$ and $\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leqq C_{2}, C_{1}, C_{2}$ are suitable constants.

Then if $x$ is a solution to (10), there holds

$$
\|x\|_{0} \leqq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi}} C_{1}+\sqrt{2 \pi} C_{2}=C
$$

The existence of the solution to the equation (5) follows from the LeraySchauder theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space, $f:\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \times H \rightarrow H$ be a completely continuous operator. Suppose that there are $a, b, c, d, e \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a+|b|<1+\alpha^{2}$ and

$$
(f(t, x, y), x) \geqq-a\|x\|^{2}-b\|x\|\|y\|-c\|x\|-d\|y\|-e
$$

for every $(t, x, y) \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \times H$.
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Suppose that either
(i) if $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$ and $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$, then $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, x(t), y(t)) \mathrm{d} t=0$, or
(ii) $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s \geqq 0$,
or
(iii) $\liminf _{\substack{x \in S \\\|x(t)\| 2 \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s}{\left\|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\|^{2}}>-\alpha^{2}$, where $S=\left\{x(t), \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \neq 0\right\}$,
holds.
Then there is a solution to the problem (1), (2) for every $h(t) \in L_{1}((-\pi, \pi), H)$, $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$.

Proof. The problem (1), (2) is equivalent to the equation (5). At first we prove that under the condition (i) there is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(K) \subset K \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I^{\prime}=\left\{x(t) \in C, \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t=0\right\}
$$

Operator $T$ is given $\mathrm{b} \cdot(6)$ and it is ea y to prove that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \mathrm{d} t-0 \quad \text { impli } \quad \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left[\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} G(t, s) h(s) \mathrm{d} s\right] \mathrm{l} t
$$

It is obvious no to s e that the condition (i) imple (12)
Let $x(t) \in K$ be a solution to th equation

$$
\lambda T, \quad \lambda \in\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \tag{10}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.-x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\alpha^{2} x(t)+\lambda f(t \quad(t) \quad(-t)) \quad \lambda \quad t\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha^{2}\|x\|_{2}^{2}-a\|x\|_{2}^{2}-|b|\|x\|_{2}^{2}-2 \pi(c+d)\|x\|_{0}-\|h(t)\|_{1}\|x\|_{0}-\mathrm{e} \leqq 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the inequalities (7) and (8) from Lemmas 3 and 6. Supposing $\alpha^{2}-$ $(a+|b|)<0$, we obtain

$$
\left(1+\alpha^{2}-(a+|b|)\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-B\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-\mathrm{e} \leqq 0
$$

where $B=\left(2 \pi(c+d)+\|h(t)\|_{1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}}\right.$ is a constant.
The last inequality implies that $\left\|x^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{2} \leqq C_{1}$, where $C_{1}$ is a suitable constant.
In case $\alpha^{2}-(a+|b|) \geqq 0$ we argue similarly as in the proof of the preceding theorem.

In both cases we obtain the estimation

$$
\|x(t)\|_{0} \leqq C
$$

and we can use the Leray-Schauder theorem in subspace $K$. This theorem implies the existence of a solution $x(t) \in K$ to the equation (5).

In case that (ii) or (iii) holds, we prove that if $x(t) \in C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$ is a solution to (10) then

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t=0
$$

Equation (13) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t+\lambda \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t, x(t), x(-t)) \mathrm{d} t=0 \\
& \alpha^{2}\left\|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\|^{2}+\lambda \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s=0 . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Condition (ii) implies that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$.
Now using the same argumentation as in the preceding part we obtain that for a solution $x(t)$ to the equation (10) holds

$$
\|x(t)\|_{0} \leqq C
$$
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The existence of a solution to the equation (5) follows again from the LeraySchauder theorem.

Case (iii).
It follows from (15) that

$$
-\alpha^{2}\left\|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\|^{2}>\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s
$$

We use (iii) and choose $C_{1}$ such that for every $x(t),\|x(t)\|_{2}>C_{1}$ is

$$
\frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s}{\left\|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\|^{2}} \geqq-\alpha^{2}
$$

Last two inequalities are in a contradiction, which implies that $\|\left. x(t)\right|_{2} \leqq C_{1}$ for every solution to (10).

This estimation and the inequality (14) give the inequality

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}-A\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2}-B \leqq 0
$$

where $A, B$ are constants. From the last inequality we obtain the estimation

$$
\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leq C_{2}
$$

Finally, from the inequality (9) follows that

$$
\|x\|_{0} \leqq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 \pi}} C_{1}+\sqrt{2 \pi} C_{2}=C
$$

and we can again use the Leray-S hauder theorem.

## 4. Existence when $f$ is continuous

The continuity instead of the complete continuity of the operator $f$ is assumed in this part. The operator $T$, defined by (6), is not nece arily completely continuous. Adding other assumptions for the operator $f$ we can prove the existence and uniquene $s$ of the solution to the problem (1) (2) al o in this ca e.

In following we a ume that
(A) $H$ is s parable Hilbert space, $\left\{e_{\imath}\right\}$ is the orthonormal ba is in $H$, the operator $f:\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times H \quad H \rightarrow H$ is contin us and bounded and $h(t) \in L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), H)$.

THEOREM 3. Assume that (A) holds and that for every $x, y, u, v \in H$ and every $t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(t, x, y)-f(t, u, v), x-u) \geqq-a\|x-u\|^{2}-b\|x-u\|\|y-v\| \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a+|b|<\alpha^{2}$.
Then there is a unique solution to the problem (1), (2).

## Proof.

Uniqueness.
Let $x_{1}, x_{2}$ be two solutions to the problem (1), (2), $x_{1}, x_{2} \in C_{1}(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H)$. Then

$$
-\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right)+f\left(t, x_{1}(t), x_{1}(-t)\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}(t), x_{2}(-t)\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha^{2}\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
&+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(f\left(t, x_{1}(t), x_{1}(-t)\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}(t), x_{2}(-t)\right), x_{1}(t)-x_{2}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (16) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}-a-|b|\right)\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leqq 0 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality implies that

$$
\left\|x_{1}^{\prime}-x_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\|_{2}^{2}=0
$$

Then $x_{1}(t)=x_{2}(t)$ for every $t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$.
Existence.
Denote by $E_{n} \subset H, E_{n}=\left[e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right]$ the finite-dimensional subspace of $H$, by $P_{n}$ the orthogonal projection onto $E_{n}, F_{n}=\left\{x \in L_{2}, x(t):\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \rightarrow E_{n}\right\}$, $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ the orthogonal projection of $L_{2}$ onto $F_{n}$, and denote $x_{n}=\mathcal{P}_{n} x$. (We use simply $L_{2}, C$ instead of $\left.L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), H), C(\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle, H).\right)$

Denote also $L: D(L) \rightarrow L_{2}$ the operator $L x=-x^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2} x$ and $N: C \rightarrow C$ the operator $N x=f(t, x(t), x(-t))$, where $D(L)=\left\{x \in C, x^{\prime} \in A C\right.$ and $\left.x^{\prime \prime} \in L_{2}\right\}$.
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Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-x_{n}^{\prime \prime}(t)+\alpha^{2} x_{n}(t)+P_{n} f\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}(-t)\right)=P_{n} h(t)  \tag{18}\\
x_{n}(-\pi)=x_{n}(\pi), \quad x_{n}^{\prime}(-\pi)=x_{n}^{\prime}(\pi) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Obviously the operator $P_{n} f:\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle \times E_{n} \times E_{n} \rightarrow E_{n}$ is continuous and bounded. Since $E_{n}$ is the finite-dimensional subspace, $P_{n} f$ is completely continuous.

From the inequality (16) for $u=v=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{n} f(t, x, y), x\right) \geqq-a\|x\|^{2}-b\|x\|\|y\|-c\|x\| \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=\max _{t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle}\left\|P_{n} f(t, 0,0)\right\|$.
Theorem 1 implies the existence of a solution to the problem (18), (2) and a priori estimations

$$
\|x\|_{2} \leqq C_{1}, \quad\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{2} \leqq C_{2}, \quad\|x\|_{0} \leqq C
$$

for the solution, where $C_{1}, C_{2}, C$ are suitable constants independent of $F_{n}$.
The complete continuity of the operator $T_{n}: C \rightarrow C$ and the a priori estimations mean that the set of the solution to the problem (18), (2) is compact in $\left(C,\|\cdot\|_{0}\right)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover the set of solutions is compact in $\left(L_{2},\|\cdot\|_{2}\right)$. (These statements are trivial in case when $T_{n} x=x$ has a unique solution. The proof is to be used also in a more general case.)

Denote by $U_{n}$ the set of solutions to (18), (2) and $V_{n}=\bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} U_{k}$. Obviously $V_{n} \supset V_{n+1}$ and $V_{n}$ is a bounded set for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $W_{n}=\bar{V}_{n}$ be the weak closure of $V_{n}$ in $L_{2}$. Then $W_{n}$ is weakly compact and $W_{n} \supset W_{n+1}$. Then means there is

$$
x_{0} \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_{n}
$$

and the sequence $x_{n} \in V_{n}$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup x_{0}$.
Obviously $\left\|x_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{2} \leqq c$, where $c$ is a suitable constant. That means we can choose from $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such subsequence that

$$
L x_{n}=-x_{n}^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2} x_{n} \rightharpoonup v \quad \text { in } \quad L_{2} .
$$

Since the graph of $L$ is a closed convex set it is weakly closed and $v=L x_{0}$, $x_{0} \in D(L)$.

We prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle(L+N) u-h, u-x_{0}\right\rangle \geqq 0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in D(L) \cap F_{m}, x_{n} \in F_{n}$ and $n \geqq m$. Inequality (16) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle(L+N) x-(L+N) y, x-y\rangle \\
= & \left\|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha^{2}\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t))-f(t, y(t), y(-t)), x(t)-y(t)) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqq\left\|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}-a-|b|\right)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2} \geqq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then
$0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N) u-(L+N) x_{n}, u-x_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle(L+N) u-h, u-x_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle(L+N) x_{n}-h, u-x_{n}\right\rangle$.
Since $H=F_{n} \oplus F_{n}^{\perp}, u-x_{n} \in F_{n}, \mathcal{P}_{n}\left((L+N) x_{n}-h\right) \in F_{n}$, then

$$
\left\langle(L+N) x_{n}-h, u-x_{n}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{n}\left((L+N) x_{n}-h\right), u-x_{n}\right\rangle=0 .
$$

The last equality follows from the fact that $x_{n}(t)$ is a solution to (18).
Then

$$
0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N) u-h, u-x_{n}\right\rangle
$$

and for $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$
0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N) u-h, u-x_{0}\right\rangle .
$$

Now we prove the inequality (20) for every $u \in D(L)$.
Using the Fourier series from Lemma 4 and 5 we obtain

$$
u(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}(t) e_{i}, \quad u^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{\prime}(t) e_{i}, \quad u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) e_{i}
$$

where $a_{i}(t)=\left(u(t), e_{i}\right) \in C^{1}((-\pi, \pi), R)$ and $a_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t) \in L_{2}((-\pi, \pi), R)$.
We denote

$$
u_{n}(t)=\sum_{i-1}^{n} a_{i}(t) e_{i}
$$
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The sequence $u_{n}(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ in $H$ for every $t \in\langle-\pi, \pi\rangle$. Since

$$
\left\|u_{n}(s)-u_{n}(t)\right\|=\left\|P_{n} u(s)-P_{n} u(t)\right\| \leqq\|u(s)-u(t)\|,
$$

the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is equicontinuous. The same is true for $\left\{u_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$.
Then

$$
u_{n} \rightrightarrows u, \quad u_{n}^{\prime} \rightrightarrows u^{\prime}, \quad \text { and } \quad u_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime \prime} \quad \text { in } \quad L_{2}
$$

As $u_{n} \in F_{n}$ the inequality

$$
0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N) u_{n}-h, u_{n}-x_{0}\right\rangle \quad \text { is valid. }
$$

The fact that $L u_{n} \rightarrow L u$ and $N u_{n} \rightarrow N u$ in $L_{2}$ implies that

$$
0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N) u-h, u-x_{0}\right\rangle \quad \text { for every } \quad u \in D(L)
$$

Let now $v \in D(L), \tau \geqq 0$ and $u=x_{0}+\tau v$. Then

$$
0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N)\left(x_{0}+\tau v\right)-h, v\right\rangle
$$

and for $\tau \rightarrow 0$

$$
0 \leqq\left\langle(L+N) x_{0}-h, v\right\rangle .
$$

The density of $D(L)$ in $L_{2}$ implies that

$$
(L+N) x_{0}=h
$$

Theorem 4. Assume that (A) holds and that (16) holds for $a+|b|<1+\alpha^{2}$. Further assume that (i), (ii), or (iii) holds. Then there is a solution to the problem (1), (2) for every $h(t)$ such that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$. In the case (i) or (ii) the solution is unique.

Proof. Let $x, y$ be two solutions to (1), (2). By the same method as in proof of Theorem 2 we obtain in case (i) or (ii) that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} y(t) \mathrm{d} t=0
$$

Using the inequality (8) in (17) we obtain

$$
\left(1+\alpha^{2}-a-|b|\right)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2} \leqq 0
$$

Then $\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}=0$ and since $x, y \in C^{1}, x(t)=y(t)$ for every $t$.
The proof of the existence of a solution is similar to that of Theorem 3, only the existence of a solution to the finite-dimensional problem (18), (2) follows now from Theorem 2.
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## 5. Critical case

Let $\alpha=0$ i.e. we consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& -x^{\prime \prime}(t)+f(t, x(t), x(-t))=h(t)  \tag{21}\\
& x(-\pi)=x(\pi), \quad x^{\prime}(-\pi)=x^{\prime}(\pi) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The homogeneous problem has a nontrivial solution in this case and there is no Green's function associated to the problem (21), (2).

Instead of (21) we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-x^{\prime \prime}(t)+\alpha^{2} x(t)+f_{1}(t, x(t), x(-t))=h(t) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(t, x, y)=f(t, x, y)-\alpha^{2} x \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the function $\alpha^{2} x$, as function $H \rightarrow H$, is only continuous and bounded (and is not completely continuous), we have the same assumptions for $f$, and use the same method as in Theorem 3 and 4.

Theorem 5. Assume that (A) and the inequality (16) hold for $a+|b|<0$. Then the problem (21), (2) has a unique solution.

Proof. We use the equation (22), where $f_{1}$ is given by (23). Inequality (16) implies that

$$
\left(f_{1}(t, x, y)-f_{1}(t, u, v), x-u\right) \geqq-\alpha^{2}\|x-u\|^{2}-a\|x-u\|^{2}-b\|x-u\|\|y-v\|,
$$

and obviously $\alpha^{2}+a+|b|<\alpha^{2}$.
Theorem 3 implies the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the problem $(22),(2)$ and then also to (21), (2).

Theorem 6. Assume that (A) and (16) hold for $a+|b|<1$. Assume that (i) or
(ii') there is $\beta>0$ such that

$$
\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s \geqq \beta\left\|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\|^{2}
$$

or
(iii') $\liminf _{\substack{s \in S \\\|x(t)\|_{2} \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t)), x(s)) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} s}{\left\|\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t\right\|^{2}}>0$,

## BORIS RUDOLF

where $S=\left\{x(t), \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \neq 0\right\}$ holds.
Then there is a solution to the problem (21), (2) for every $h(t)$ such that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} h(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$ and if (i) or (ii') holds, the solution is exactly one.

Proof. We use again the equation (22). Assumptions (i), (ii') resp. (iii') for the function $f$ imply that (i), (ii) resp. (iii) is true for the function $f_{1}$. In case (ii) we choose $\alpha$ such that $0<\alpha<\beta$. Using Theorem 4 we obtain Theorem 6.

## 6. Connectedness of the set of solutions

Lemma 9. Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 hold. Assume that (16) holds for $a+|b|=\alpha^{2}$.
Then the set of solutions to the problem (1), (2) is nonempty, compact and connected. If $x, y$ are solutions to (1), (2), then $x-y=\mathrm{const}$.

We omit the proof of the lemma since it is similar to the one of the following
Theorem 7. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2 hold. Assume that (16) holds for $a+|b|=\alpha^{2}+1$.

Then the set of solutions to the problem (1), (2) is nonempty. Moreover it is compact and connected in case (i) or (ii).

Proof. The existence of a solution follows from Theorem 2. Proving that theorem we have obtained the estimation $\|x(t)\|_{0}<c$ for a solution to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\lambda T x \quad \lambda \in\langle 0,1\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is given by

$$
T x(t)=-L^{-1} N x(t)+L^{-1} h(t)
$$

Moreover for every solution $x(t) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} x(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$ is valid when (i) or (ii) holds.
For $x, y$ solutions to (1), (2) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(x^{\prime \prime}-y^{\prime \prime}+\alpha^{2}(x-y)+f(t, x(t), x(-t))-f(t, y(t), y(-t)), x(t)-y(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \left.=\left\|x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right\|_{2}^{2}+\alpha^{2}\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{-\pi}^{-\pi} f(t, x(t), x(-t))-f(t, y(t), y(-t)), x(t)-y(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$
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and using the estimation (8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)\|x-y\|_{2}^{2}+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}(f(t, x(t), x(-t))-f(t, y(t), y(-t)), x(t)-y(t)) \mathrm{d} t \leqq 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use Krasnoselskij's theorem [7, p. 155]. We choose $f_{n}(t, x, y)=$ $\lambda_{n} f(t, x, y)$, where $0<\lambda_{n}<1$ and $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow 1$. Obviously $f_{n}$ satisfies the same assumptions as $f$, i.e. $f_{n}$ is completely continuous and satisfies (i) resp. (ii).

We define the operator $T_{n}$ by

$$
T_{n} x(t)=-L^{-1} N_{n} x(t)+L^{-1} h(t)
$$

where

$$
N_{n} x(t)=f_{n}(t, x,(t), x(-t)) .
$$

Then the sequence $\left\{T_{n}\right\}$ and the operator $T$ satisfies the assumptions of Krasnoselskij's theorem.

Really, if we choose $\Omega=\left\{x(t) \in C,\|x(t)\|_{0}<c\right\}$, then

$$
\sup _{x \in \Omega}\left\|T_{n}(x)-T(x)\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0
$$

the estimation $\|x\|_{0}<c$ implies that the Leray-Schauder degree

$$
d(I-T, \Omega, 0) \neq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad T x \neq x \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
$$

Using the estimation (24), we obtain that there is at most one solution to the equation

$$
x=T_{n} x+z \quad \text { for every } \quad z \in C
$$

The Krasnoselskij theorem implies that the set of solutions is compact and connected.
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