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# SOME SEQUENCE SPACES DEFINED BY A MODULUS 

SERPIL PEHLIVAN* - BRIAN FISHER**<br>(Communicated by Ladislav Mišik)


#### Abstract

The object of this paper is to introduce some sequence spaces which arise from the notions of strong almost convergence and a modulus function $f$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $m$ be the set of all the real or complex bounded sequences with the norm $\|x\|=\sup _{k}\left|x_{k}\right|<\infty$. A sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right) \in m$ is said to be almost convergent if all of its Banach limits coincide. Lorentz [5] has proved that $x$ is almost convergent to a number $s$ if and only if

$$
t_{k n}=(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=n}^{n+k} x_{k} \rightarrow s
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $n$. We denote the set of all almost convergent sequences by $\hat{c}$ and we denote the set of all sequences which are almost convergent to zero by $\hat{c}_{0}$. Maddox [7] has defined that $x$ is strongly almost convergent to a number $s$ if and only if

$$
t_{k n}(|x-s|)=(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left|x_{i+n}-s\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $n$. We denote the space of all strongly almost convergent sequences by $[\hat{c}]$ and we denote the space of all sequences which are strongly almost convergent to zero by $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right]$. It is obvious that

$$
\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right] \subset[\hat{c}] \subset \hat{c} \subset m
$$
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Das and S ahoo [2] extended the space $[\hat{c}]$ to the space $\left[w_{1}\right]$, where $\left[w_{1}\right]$ is the space defined recently in [2] as follows:
$\left[w_{1}\right]=\left\{x:(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} t_{k n}(|x-s|)\right.$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $n$ for some $\left.s\right\}$. It is obvious that $[\hat{c}] \subset\left[w_{1}\right]$ and $[\hat{c}]-\lim x=\left[w_{1}\right]-\lim x=s$.

The notion of a modulus function was introduced by Nak ano [10]. Ruckle [12] has investigated the sequence space defined by a modulus function $f$. Recently, Maddox has introduced and discussed some properties of three spaces defined using a modulus $f$, which generalized the well-known spaces $w_{0}, w$ and $w_{\infty}$ of strongly summable sequences, ( Maddox [8], [9]). It may be noted here that the spaces of strongly summable sequences were discussed by Maddox [6]. In [11], the spaces $\left[\hat{c}_{0}\right],[c]$ and $\left[c_{\infty}\right]$ were extended to $\left[\hat{c}_{0}(f)\right],[\hat{c}(f)]$ and $\left[\hat{c}_{\infty}(f)\right]$.

Now we extend the spaces $\left[w_{1}\right]$ and $\left[w_{0}\right]$ to the spaces $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$ and $\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$. Then we extend the relationship between the uniform statistical null sequences and the sequence space $\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$.

## 2. Definitions

We recall that a modulus $f$ is a function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, \infty)$ such that
(i) $f(x)=0$ if and only if $x=0$,
(ii) $f(x+y) \leq f(x)+f(y)$ for $x, y \geq 0$,
(iii) $f$ is increasing,
(iv) $f$ is continuous from the right at 0 .

Since $|f(x)-f(y)| \leq f(x-y)$, in view of (iv), $f$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$. A modulus may be bounded or unbounded. For example, $f(x)=x^{p}(0<p \leq 1)$ is unbounded, but $f(x)=x /(1+x)$ is bounded.

Now suppose that we are given a modulus $f$. We define

$$
\left.\begin{array}{r}
{[\hat{c}(f)]=\left\{x:(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|\right) \rightarrow 0 \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty,\right.} \\
\text { uniformly in } n, \text { for some } s\}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

If we put $s=0$, then we obtain $\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$. Note that, if we put $f(x)=x$, then $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]=\left[w_{1}\right]$ and $\left[w_{0}(f)\right]=\left[w_{0}\right]$.

If $f$ is a modulus, then $\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$ and $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$ are linear spaces. We consider only $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$. Suppose that $x_{k} \rightarrow s$ in $\left[w_{1}(f)\right], y_{k} \rightarrow s^{\prime}$ in $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$ and $\alpha, \mu$ are in $C$. Then there exist integers $K_{\alpha}$ and $B_{\mu}$ such that $|\alpha| \leq K_{\alpha}$ and $|\mu| \leq B_{\mu}$. We therefore have, uniformly in $n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|\alpha x_{i+n}+\mu y_{i+n}-\left(\alpha s+\mu s^{\prime}\right)\right|\right) \\
& \leq K_{\alpha}(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|\right) \\
& \\
& \quad+B_{\mu}(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|y_{i+n}-s^{\prime}\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\alpha x+\mu y \rightarrow \alpha s+\mu s^{\prime}$ in $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$.

## 3. Main results

We now establish a number of theorems about the sequence spaces mentioned above.

For the proof of Theorem 1 we will use the following lemma.
Lemma. Let $f$ be a modulus. Let $0<\delta<1$. Then for each $x \geq \delta$ we have $f(x) \leq 2 f(1) \delta^{-1} x$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & \leq f\left(1+\left[\delta^{-1} x\right]\right) \leq f(1)+f\left(\left[\delta^{-1} x\right]\right) \\
& \leq f(1)+\left[\delta^{-1} x\right] f(1)=f(1)\left(1+\left[\delta^{-1} x\right]\right) \\
& \leq f(1)\left(1+\delta^{-1} x\right) \leq 2 f(1) \delta^{-1} x
\end{aligned}
$$

where [ $h$ ] denotes the integer part of $h$.
THEOREM 1. Let $f$ be any modulus. If $\beta=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) / t>0$, then $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$ $=\left[w_{1}\right]$.

Proof. We note that the limit exists for any modulus $f$ by [9; Proposition 1] of Maddox. Then $x \in\left[w_{1}\right]$ implies that

$$
a(m, n)=(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left|x_{i+n}-s\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $n$ for some $s$. For arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$, choose $\delta$, with $0<\delta<1$, such that $f(u)<\varepsilon$ for every $u$ with $0 \leq u \leq \delta$. We can write for
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each $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \\
&=(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|\right) \\
&=(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
\left|x_{i+n}-s\right| \leq \delta}}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|\right) \\
&+(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|>\delta}}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \varepsilon+2 f(1) \delta^{-1} a(m, n) \rightarrow 0
$$

by the lemma as $m \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly in $n$. Therefore $x \in\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$.
Note that in this part of the proof we do not need $\beta>0$.
Now suppose that $\beta>0$ and $x \in\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$. Since this $\beta>0$, we have $f(t) \geq \beta t$ for all $t \geq 0$. It follows that $x \in\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$ implies that $x \in\left[w_{1}\right]$.

We now establish some relations between $[\hat{c}(f)]$ and $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$.
THEOREM 2. Let $f$ be any modulus. Then $[\hat{c}(f)] \subseteq\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$.
Proof. If $(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}-s\right|\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $n$, then its arithmetic mean also converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly in $n$.

Although it seems likely that $[\hat{c}(f)]$ is strictly contained in $\left[w_{1}(f)\right]$, we have been unable to prove it. It is therefore an open question.

Recall, see [3], that if $x$ is a sequence of complex numbers, we say that $x$ is statistically convergent to $s$ if

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}\left|\left\{k \leq n:\left|x_{k}-s\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right|=0 \quad \text { for each } \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

where the larger vertical bars indicate the number of elements in the enclosed set. The set of all statistically convergent sequences is denoted by $S$. Strong summability and statistical convergence were introduced separately, and until recently, followed independent lines of development by Connor, see [1].

DEFINITION. The number sequence $x$ is uniformly statistically convergent to 0 provided that for each $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}(k+1)^{-1} \max _{n \geq 0}\left|\left\{0 \leq i \leq k:\left|x_{i+n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right|=0
$$

The set of all uniformly statistically null sequences is denoted by $S_{u_{0}}$.
It is easy to see that $S_{u_{0}} \subset S_{0}$. In this form, $S_{u_{0}}$-convergence is seen to be part of uniform zero density convergence as defined in [4].

TheOrem 3. $S_{u_{0}} \subset\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$ if and only if $f$ is bounded.
Proof. Suppose that $f$ is bounded and that $x \in S_{u_{0}}$. Since $f$ is bounded, there exists an integer $K$ such that $f(x)<K$ for all $x \geq 0$. Let $\varepsilon>0$. Then for each $n$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}\right|\right) \\
= & (m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
\left|x_{i+n}\right| \geq \varepsilon}}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}\right|\right) \\
& +(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{i=0 \\
\left|x_{i+n}\right|<\varepsilon}}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}\right|\right) \\
\leq & (m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} K \max _{n \geq 0}^{m}\left|\left\{0 \leq i \leq k:\left|x_{i+n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right|+f(\varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now select $N_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
(k+1)^{-1}\left|\left\{0 \leq i \leq k:\left|x_{i+n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{K}
$$

for each $n$ and $k>N_{\varepsilon}$. Now for $k>N_{\varepsilon}$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m}(k+1)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{k} f\left(\left|x_{i+n}\right|\right) & \leq(m+1)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m} K \frac{\varepsilon}{K}+f(\varepsilon) \\
& =\varepsilon+f(\varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

and so, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the result follows.
Conversely, suppose that $f$ is unbounded so that there exists a positive sequence $v_{p}$ with $f\left(v_{p}\right)=p^{2}$ for $p=1,2, \ldots$. Now the sequence $x$ is defined by $x_{i}=v_{p}$ if $i=p^{2}$ for $p=1,2, \ldots$, and $x_{i}=0$ otherwise. Then, we have

$$
(k+1)^{-1} \max _{n \geq 0}\left|\left\{0 \leq i \leq k:\left|x_{i+n}\right| \geq \varepsilon\right\}\right| \leq(k+1)^{-1} \sqrt{k+1}
$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\left(x_{i}\right) \in S_{u_{0}}$, but $x \notin\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$, contradicting $S_{u_{0}} \subset\left[w_{0}(f)\right]$. This completes the proof,
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