

Joseph Neggers; Hee Sik Kim

On d -algebras

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 1, 19--26

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129981>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON d -ALGEBRAS

J. NEGGERS* — HEE SIK KIM**

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we introduce the notion of d -algebras which is another generalization of BCK -algebras, and investigate several relations between d -algebras and BCK -algebras. Furthermore, we show that the class of oriented digraphs corresponds in a simple way to the class of edge d -algebras and that arbitrary d -algebras also determine unique edge d -algebras in a natural manner.

1. Introduction

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK -algebras and BCI -algebras ([1], [2]). It is known that the class of BCK -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI -algebras. In [3], [4] Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a broad class of abstract algebras: BCH -algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH -algebras. BCK -algebras also have some connections with other areas: D. Mundici [6] proved that MV -algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK -algebras, and J. Meng [5] proved that implicative commutative semigroups are equivalent to a class of BCK -algebras. We introduce the notion of d -algebras, which is another useful generalization of BCK -algebras, and then we investigate several relations between d -algebras and BCK -algebras as well as some other interesting relations between d -algebras and oriented digraphs.

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 06F35, 06A06.

Key words: BCK -algebra, d -algebra, edge, d -transitive, digraph.

2. d -algebras

A d -algebra is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation $*$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (I) $x * x = 0$,
- (II) $0 * x = 0$,
- (III) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$ for all x, y in X .

A BCK -algebra is a d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ satisfying the following additional axioms:

- (IV) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
- (V) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ for all x, y, z in X .

EXAMPLE 2.1.

- (a) Every BCK -algebra is a d -algebra.
- (b) Let $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following Table 1.

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	0	0
1	2	0	2
2	1	1	0

Table 1.

Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a d -algebra, but not a BCK -algebra, since $(2 * (2 * 2)) * 2 = (2 * 0) * 2 = 1 * 2 = 2 \neq 0$.

(c) Let \mathbb{R} be the set of all real numbers and define $x * y := x \cdot (x - y)$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, where \cdot and $-$ are the ordinary product and subtraction of real numbers. Then $x * x = 0$, $0 * x = 0$, $x * 0 = x^2$. If $x * y = y * x = 0$, then $x(x - y) = 0$ and $x^2 = xy$, $y(y - x) = 0$, $y^2 = xy$. Thus if $x = 0$, $y^2 = 0$, $y = 0$; if $y = 0$, $x^2 = 0$, $x = 0$ and if $xy \neq 0$, then $x = y$. Hence $(\mathbb{R}; *, 0)$ is a d -algebra, but not a BCK -algebra, since $(2 * 0) * 2 \neq 0$.

Remark.

1. If a d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is associative, then $0 * x = 0 = (x * x) * x = x * (x * x) = x * 0$, and thus by (III) $x = 0$, i.e., d -algebras are the “most non-associative” algebras.

2. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a d -algebra. If $S \subseteq X$ is closed under $*$, then $x \in S$ implies $x * x = 0 \in S$, so that $(S; *, 0)$ is a d -algebra.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a d -algebra and $x \in X$. Define $x * X := \{x * a \mid a \in X\}$. X is said to be *edge* if for any x in X , $x * X = \{x, 0\}$.

Remark. If (X, \leq) is an ordered set (poset), then the operation $*$ on X given by $x*y = 0$ if and only if $x \leq y$ and $x*y = x$ otherwise defines a BCK -algebra. On the other hand, from our viewpoint it has the “edge” property. Although edge d -algebras are not in general BCK -algebras, they come close to being so, as we note below.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be an edge d -algebra. Then $x*0 = x$ for any $x \in X$.*

Proof. Since $(X; *, 0)$ is an edge d -algebra, either $x*0 = x$ or $x*0 = 0$ for any $x \in X$. If $x \neq 0$ and $x*0 = 0$, then by (III) $x = 0$, a contradiction. \square

PROPOSITION 2.4. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an edge d -algebra, then the condition (V) holds.*

Proof. If $x = 0$, then $(x*(x*y))*y = 0$ by (II). Let $x \neq 0$. Assume $(x*(x*y))*y \neq 0$ for some $y \in X$. Let $\alpha := x*(x*y)$. Then $\alpha*y \neq 0$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. This means that $x \neq x*y \in x*X = \{x, 0\}$ and hence $x*y = 0$. It follows that, by Lemma 2.3, $(x*(x*y))*y = (x*0)*y = x*y = 0$, a contradiction. \square

DEFINITION 2.5. A d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is said to be d -transitive if $x*z = 0$ and $z*y = 0$ imply $x*y = 0$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a d -transitive edge d -algebra. Then $(X; *, 0)$ is a BCK -algebra.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, it is enough to show that condition (IV) holds. Assume that $((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) \neq 0$ for some $x, y, z \in X$. Since $(x*y)* (x*z) \in (x*y)*X = \{x*y, 0\}$,

$$(x*y)*(x*z) = x*y. \quad (\text{a})$$

If $x*y = 0$, then $0 \neq ((x*y)*(x*z))*(z*y) = (0*(x*z))*(z*y) = 0*(z*y) = 0$, a contradiction. It follows that

$$x*y = x. \quad (\text{b})$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x*y && \text{[by (b)]} \\ &= (x*y)*(x*z) && \text{[by (a)]} \\ &= x*(x*z) && \text{[by (b)]} \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$x = x*(x*z). \quad (\text{c})$$

If $x * z \neq 0$, then $x * z = x$, since X is an edge d -algebra. By applying (III), $x = x * (x * z) = x * x = 0$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) \\ &= (x * x) * (z * y) && \text{[by (b) and } x * z = x\text{]} \\ &= 0 * (z * y) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus we conclude

$$x * z = 0. \tag{d}$$

We claim that $z * y = 0$. If $z * y = z$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) \\ &= ((x * y) * 0) * z && \text{[by (d) and } z * y = z\text{]} \\ &= (x * y) * z && \text{[by Lemma 2.3]} \\ &= x * z && \text{[by (b)]} \\ &= 0, && \text{[by (d)]} \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus we obtain that $x * z = 0$ and $z * y = 0$. Since X is d -transitive, $x * y = 0$, and hence $0 \neq ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$, a contradiction. This proves the theorem. \square

Remark. Both conditions, i.e., to have the d -transitive and edge properties, are necessary for a d -algebra of this type to be a BCK -algebra. Thus, arbitrary BCK -algebras do not always have the edge property even if the standard examples derived from posets do indeed possess it.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Consider the following d -algebra X with the Table 2.

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0
3	3	3	3	0

Table 2.

We can easily see that $1 * 2 = 0$, $2 * 3 = 0$, but $1 * 3 = 1$, and hence $(X; *, 0)$ is non- d -transitive edge d -algebra. Since $((1 * 3) * (1 * 2)) * (2 * 3) = 1 \neq 0$, $(X; *, 0)$ is not a BCK -algebra.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and let the binary operation $*$ be defined as follows:

$$x * y := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq y, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $x * z = 0$, $z * y = 0$ implies $x \leq z$, $z \leq y$ and in particular $x \leq y$, i.e., $x * y = 0$ also. Furthermore, $x * x = 0$, $0 * x = 0$ and $x * y = y * x = 0$ if $x \leq y$, $y \leq x$, whence $x = y$. Thus, the algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is a d -transitive non-edge d -algebra. Also, $(2 * (2 * 0)) * 0 = (2 * 1) * 0 = 1 * 0 = 1$, so that $(X; *, 0)$ is not a BCK -algebra.

3. Construction of edge d -algebras

Suppose that $(X; *, 0)$ is an arbitrary d -algebra. Assume that $(X; *, 0)$ is not an edge d -algebra. Define a binary operation $\oplus: X \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$x \oplus y := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x * y \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we can see easily that $(X; \oplus, 0)$ is a d -algebra. Suppose now that $x \oplus X = \{0\}$. Then $x * y = 0$ for all $y \in X$. In particular, $x * 0 = 0 = 0 * x$, so that also $x = 0$. Hence, if $x \neq 0$, then $x \oplus X = \{x, 0\}$. We summarize:

THEOREM 3.1. *Given a d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ we can construct an edge d -algebra $(X; \oplus, 0)$, called the extended edge d -algebra.*

PROPOSITION 3.2. *A d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is d -transitive if and only if its extended edge d -algebra $(X; \oplus, 0)$ is d -transitive.*

Proof. If $(X; *, 0)$ is d -transitive then $x \oplus z = 0$ and $z \oplus y = 0$ imply $x * z = 0 = z * y$, so that $x * y = 0$ and $x \oplus y = 0$ as well. Conversely, if $(X; \oplus, 0)$ is d -transitive, then $x \oplus z = 0$ and $z \oplus y = 0$ imply $x \oplus z = 0 = z \oplus x$, so that $x \oplus y = 0$ and $x * y = 0$ as well. \square

EXAMPLE 3.3. There are 27 d -algebras as follows:

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	u
b	b	v	0	0
c	c	0	w	0

where $u, v, w \in \{a, b, c\}$. All of these algebras have the same unique edge d -algebra as follows:

\oplus	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	b	0	0
c	c	0	c	0

This d -algebra is not d -transitive since $a \oplus b = b \oplus c = 0$, while $a \oplus c = a \neq 0$. It also has the following d -chain property: $x \oplus y \neq 0$ implies $y \oplus x = 0$.

Properties of edge d -algebras are properties of d -algebras having the edge property. This may be a useful observation in the reduction of certain questions from d -algebras to the simpler situation where one has to deal with edge d -algebras.

Let Γ' be a digraph such that if (x, y) is an edge, then (y, x) is not an edge. Such a digraph Γ' is said to be *oriented*. Let 0 be adjoined to Γ' and denote by Γ the oriented digraph with edges $(0, x)$, $x \in \Gamma'$ added to those of Γ' . On Γ define the operation $*$ by $x * x = 0$; $x * 0 = x$; $0 * x = 0$ and $x * y = x$ if (x, y) is not an edge, $x * y = 0$ if (x, y) is an edge. Then $(\Gamma; *, 0)$ is an edge d -algebra. We summarize:

THEOREM 3.4. *Every edge d -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ produces an oriented digraph $\Gamma = \Gamma' \cup \{0\}$ and conversely.*

4. Direct sum(product) of d -algebras

Let $\{(X_i; *, 0_i) \mid i \in I\}$ be a non-empty family of d -algebras and let $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ consist of all vectors $(x_i)_{i \in I}$, $x_i \in X_i$. Then $(x_i = 0_i)_{i \in I} = 0$ serves as 0 if we define $(x_i)_{i \in I} * (y_i)_{i \in I} := (x_i * y_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(\prod_{i \in I} X_i; *, 0)$ is a d -algebra, called the *direct product* of the d -algebras $\{(X_i; *, 0) \mid i \in I\}$. Similarly, $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i$ consisting of all vectors $(x_i)_{i \in I}$, $x_i \in X_i$, such that $x_i = 0_i$ except for a finite number of i , is a subset of $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ which is closed under $*$, whence $(\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i; *, 0)$ is a d -algebra, called the *direct sum* of the d -algebras $\{(X_i; *, 0) \mid i \in I\}$. Let $(X; *, 0)$ and $(Y; *, 0)$ be d -algebras. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called a

d -morphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$. Note that $f(0_X) = 0_Y$. Using this concept we study some edge properties.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let $f: (X; *, 0) \rightarrow (Y; *, 0)$ be an onto d -morphism and let $(X; *, 0)$ be an edge d -algebra. Then $(Y; *, 0)$ is also an edge d -algebra.*

Proof. Consider $y = f(x)$, $b = f(a)$. Then $y * b = f(x) * f(a) = f(x * a) \in \{f(x), f(a)\} = \{y, 0\}$, whence the conclusion follows. \square

*Even though $(X; *, 0)$ and $(Y; *, 0)$ are edge d -algebras, their direct sum $X \oplus Y$ need not have the edge property.*

Let $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, and let $x * a = x$, $y * b = 0$ for some $a \in X$ and $b \in Y$. Then $(x, y) * (a, b) = (x * a, y * b) = (x, 0) \notin \{(x, y), (0, 0)\}$ if $y \neq 0$. In order for a Cartesian product of two d -algebras to have the edge property, we introduce a new binary operation \otimes . Let $(X; *, 0)$ and $(Y; *, 0)$ be d -algebras. Define the binary operation \otimes on $X \times Y$ as follows: $(x, y) \otimes (a, b) := (x, y)$ unless $x * a = 0 = y * b$, when $(x, y) \otimes (a, b) := (0, 0)$. Then we can easily see that $(X \times Y; \otimes, 0_{X \times Y})$ is an edge d -algebra, denoted by $X \otimes Y$, and called the *edge product* of d -algebras $(X; *, 0)$ and $(Y; *, 0)$. Given $X \oplus Y$ and $X \otimes Y$, there are inclusion mappings ι_X and ι_Y , and projections π_X and π_Y . Now, $\iota_X(x * a) = (x * a, 0) = (x, 0) * (a, 0) = \iota_X(x) * \iota_X(a)$. Similarly, $\iota_Y(y * b) = \iota_Y(y) * \iota_Y(b)$. Moreover, $\pi_X(x * a, y * b) = x * a = \pi_X(x, y) * \pi_X(a, b)$. Similarly, $\pi_Y(x * a, y * b) = \pi_Y(x, y) * \pi_Y(a, b)$. We summarize:

PROPOSITION 4.2. *The inclusion mappings and projections relative to $X \oplus Y$ are d -morphisms.*

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $(X; *, 0)$ and $(Y; *, 0)$ be d -algebras. Then X (or Y , respectively) is an edge d -algebra if and only if the inclusion mapping ι_X (or ι_Y , respectively) is a d -morphism relative to $X \otimes Y$.*

Proof. Suppose ι_X is a d -morphism relative to $X \otimes Y$. Then $(x * a, 0) = \iota_X(x * a) = \iota_X(x) \otimes \iota_X(a) = (x, 0) \otimes (a, 0)$, and hence $x * a \in \{x, 0\}$ for any $a \in X$. This means $x * X = \{x, 0\}$ for all $x \in X$. Thus X is an edge d -algebra. Similarly, if ι_Y is a d -morphism relative to $X \otimes Y$, then Y is an edge d -algebra. Conversely, assume X is an edge d -algebra. Consider the inclusion mapping ι_X relative to $X \otimes Y$. Then $\iota_X(x * a) = (x * a, 0) = (x, 0)$ or $(0, 0)$, and $\iota_X(x) \otimes \iota_X(a) = (x, 0) \otimes (a, 0) = (x, 0)$ or $(0, 0)$ both according as to $x * a = x$ or $x * a = 0$. Thus ι_X is a d -morphism. Similarly, if Y is an edge d -algebra, then ι_Y is a d -morphism. \square

Since $X \otimes Y$ is an edge d -algebra, the following proposition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.1.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *If the projection π_X (or π_Y , respectively) is a d -morphism relative to $X \oplus Y$, then X (or Y , respectively) is an edge d -algebra.*

Remark. *Even though X and Y are edge d -algebras, the projections π_X and π_Y relative to $X \oplus Y$ need not be d -morphisms.*

Indeed, suppose that $y * 0 = y \neq 0$. Then $\pi_X((x, y) \oplus (a, 0)) = \pi_X(x, y) = x$. On the other hand, $\pi_X(x, y) * \pi_X(a, 0) = x * a$, so that if $x * a = 0$, then $x \neq 0$ implies $\pi_X((x, y) \oplus (a, 0)) \neq \pi_X(x, y) * \pi_X(a, 0)$, i.e., π_X is not a d -morphism, nor is π_Y a d -morphism.

REFERENCES

- [1] HU, QING PING—LI, XIN: *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Semin. Notes, Kobe Univ. **11** (1983), 313–320.
- [2] HU, QING PING—LI, XIN: *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math. Japon. **30** (1985), 659–661.
- [3] ISÉKI, K.—TANAKA, S.: *An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **23** (1978), 1–26.
- [4] ISÉKI, K.: *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Semin. Notes, Kobe Univ. **8** (1980), 125–130.
- [5] MENG, J.: *Implicative commutative semigroups are equivalent to a class of BCK-algebras*, Semigroup Forum **50** (1995), 89–96.
- [6] MUNDICI, D.: *MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK-algebras*, Math. Japon. **31** (1986), 889–894.

Received November 18, 1996

Revised February 22, 1997

* *Department of Mathematics*
University of Alabama
Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0350
U. S. A.
E-mail: jneggers@gp.as.ua.edu

** *Department of Mathematics*
Hanyang University
Seoul 133-791
KOREA
E-mail: heekim@email.hanyang.ac.kr