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# ON KELLEY'S MULTIPLICITY FUNCTION OF AN ABELIAN VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA 

T. V. Panchapagesan<br>(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)


#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian von Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $H$ and let $G(\cdot)$ be its canonical spectral measure (see Definition 5) on the Borel subsets of its maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}$. By describing Kelley's multiplicity function $\phi$ of $\mathcal{A}$ in terms of the uniform multiplicity function of Halmos, the basic structure theorem of Kelley [KELLEY, J. L.: Commutative operator algebras, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 38 (1952), 598-605] is deduced from the theory of orthogonal spectral representations applied to $G(\cdot)$. When the commutant $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is countably decomposable, $G(\cdot)$ has CGS-property in $H$ and in this case, $\phi$ is also described in terms of the multiplicity functions $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$ of $G(\cdot)$ (see Definition 4).


Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian von Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space $H$ with $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ its commutant, $\mathcal{M}$ its maximal ideal space and $G(\cdot)$ its canonical spectral measure (see Definition 5). Kelley [5] defined a multiplicity function $\phi$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and result 5.1 of [5] (namely, the basic structure theorem) determines $H$ and $\mathcal{A}$, up to unitary equivalence, in terms of $\phi$.

In a series of papers [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], we gave a unified approach to deduce or generalize all the important results known on the problem of unitary invariance. (See [10; Introduction].) The present paper forms the last part of the series and deduces Kelley's basic structure theorem from the theory of orthogonal spectral representations developed in [8]. For this, we describe $\phi$ in terms of the uniform multiplicity function of Halmos [3].

When $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is countably decomposable, $G(\cdot)$ has CGS-property in $H$ and hence has two multiplicity functions $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to the discrete

[^0]part $p_{G}$ and the continuous part $c_{G}$ (see Definition 4). In Theorem 5 we describe $\phi$ in terms of $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$. Consequently, a spatial isomorphism theorem in terms of $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$ holds for $\mathcal{A}$. Assuming Theorem 5, this isomorphism result has been obtained in [10; Corollary 2].

## 1. Preliminaries

In this section we fix notation and terminology and give some definitions and results from the literature to make the paper self-contained.

Let $H, H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ denote (complex) Hilbert spaces of arbitrary dimension $(>0)$. The closed subspace spanned by a subset $\mathcal{X}$ of a Hilbert space is denoted by $[\mathcal{X}] . \bigoplus M_{i}$ is the orthogonal direct sum of a family of mutually orthogonal closed subspaces $M_{i}$ of a given Hilbert space or of Hilbert spaces $\left\{M_{1}\right\}_{2}$.

If $P$ is a projection in a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{R}$ on $H$, then $C_{P}$ denotes the central support of $P$. For $x \in H,[\mathcal{R} x]=[R x: R \in \mathcal{R}]$ and, sometimes, it also denotes the orthogonal projection with range $[\mathcal{R} x]$. By an isomorphism between two von Neumann algebras we mean a $*$-isomorphism. $\sum \bigoplus \mathcal{A}$, denotes the direct sum of the von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{A}_{i}$. The rest of the terminology and notation in von Nuemann algebras is standard and we follow Dixmier [1].

Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a $\sigma$-algebra of subsets of a non empty set $\Omega$. Let $E(\cdot)$ be a spectral measure on $\mathcal{S}$ with values in projections of $H$. For $x \in H, \rho_{E}(x)$ denotes the measure $\|E(\cdot) x\|^{2}$ on $\mathcal{S}$. Let $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ be the set of all finite (positive) measures on $\mathcal{S}$. For $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$, we write $\mu_{1} \equiv \mu_{2}$ if $\mu_{1} \ll \mu_{2}$ and $\mu_{2} \ll \mu_{1}$. Clearly, $\equiv$ is an equivalence relation on $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$.

For $\mu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$, the projection $C_{E}(\mu)$ is defined as the orthogonal projection on the closed subspace $\left\{x \in H: \rho_{E}(x) \ll \mu\right\}$ and it follows from [3] that $C_{E}(\mu) \in W$, where $W$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the range of $E(\cdot)$. The multiplicity $u_{E}(\mu)$ of $\mu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ is defined by

$$
u_{E}(\mu)=\min \left\{H \text {-multiplicity of } C_{E}(\nu): 0 \neq \nu \ll \mu, \quad \nu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})\right\}
$$

if $\mu \neq 0$ and $u_{E}(0)=0$, where the $H$-multiplicity of $C_{L}(\nu)$ is the multiplicity of $C_{E}(\nu)$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ in the sense of H almos [3]. $\mu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ is sald to have uniform multiplicity $u_{E}(\mu)$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ if $u_{L}(\nu)=u_{L}(\mu)$ for $0 \neq \nu \ll \mu$ $\nu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$.

For $x \in H$, let $Z_{C}(x)=[E(\sigma) x: \sigma \in \mathcal{S}]$.
Now we quote some definitions and results from [7], [8].
Definition 1. A spectral measure $E(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{S}$ is said to have CGS-property in $H$ if there exists a countable set $\mathcal{X}$ in $H$ such that $[E(\sigma) x: x \in \mathcal{X}, \sigma \in \mathcal{S}]$ $=H$.

DEFINITION 2. Let $E(\cdot)$ be a spectral measure on $\mathcal{S}$ with values in projections of the Hilbert space $H$. Then $H$ is said to have an ordered spectral decomposition (bricfly, $O S D$ ) relative to $E(\cdot)$ if

$$
H=\bigoplus_{1}^{N} Z_{E}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}
$$

where the $x_{i}$ are non zero vectors in $H$ and

$$
\rho_{E}\left(x_{1}\right) \gg \rho_{E}\left(x_{2}\right) \gg \ldots
$$

$N$ is called the OSD-multiplicity of $E(\cdot) .(N$ is uniquely determined by $E(\cdot)$ by $[7$; Theorem 3.11].) When $N=\infty$, we say that the OSD-multiplicity of $E(\cdot)$ is $\aleph_{0}$.

By [7; Theorem 3.7], $H$ has an OSD relative to $E(\cdot)$ if and only if $E(\cdot)$ has CGS-property in $H$.
Notation 1. Let $\mu_{j} \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S}), \mu_{j} \neq 0, j \in J$, and let $\tilde{H}=\bigoplus_{j \in J} L_{2}\left(\mu_{j}\right)$, where $L_{2}\left(\mu_{j}\right)=L_{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{S}, \mu_{j}\right)$. In the sequel, by $\tilde{E}(\cdot)$ we shall denote the set function on $\mathcal{S}$ given by

$$
\tilde{E}(\cdot)\left(f_{j}\right)_{j \in J}=\left(\chi_{(\cdot)} f_{j}\right)_{j}, \quad\left(f_{j}\right)_{j} \in \tilde{H}
$$

Definition 3. Let $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{1}^{N}, N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, be non zero measures in $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ with $\mu_{1} \gg \mu_{2} \gg \ldots$. An isomorphism $U$ from $H$ onto $K=\bigoplus_{1}^{N} L_{2}\left(\mu_{n}\right)$ is called an ordered spectral representation (briefly, $O S R$ ) of $H$ relative to $E(\cdot)$, if $U E(\cdot) U^{-1}=\tilde{E}(\cdot) . N$ is called the OSR-multiplicity of $E(\cdot)$ (since $N$ is uniqucly determined by $E(\cdot)$ by [7; Theorem 4.2] and it coincides with its OSD-multiplicity).

The sequence $\left\{\mu_{n}\right\}_{1}^{N}$ is called the measure sequence of the OSR $U$. Two OSRs $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ relative to the spectral measures $E_{1}(\cdot)$ and $E_{2}(\cdot)$ defined on the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{S}$ with the corresponding measure sequences $\left\{\mu_{j}^{(1)}\right\}_{J}^{\Lambda_{1}}$ and $\left\{\mu_{j}^{(2)}\right\}_{j=1}^{N_{2}}$ are said to be equivalent if $N_{1}=N_{2}$ and $\mu_{j}^{(1)} \equiv \mu_{j}^{(2)}$ for all $j$.

Definition 4. Let $X$ be a Hausdorff topological space, $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{B}(X)$, the $\sigma$-llgebra of all Borel subsets of $X$ (i.e., the $\sigma$-algebra generated by the open sets in $X$ ), and $E(\cdot)$ a spectral measure on $\mathcal{S}$ with CGS-property in $H$. Then the discrete part $p_{E}$ of $E(\cdot)$ is defined as the set $\{t \in X: E(\{t\}) \neq 0\}$. The continuous part $c_{E}$ of $E(\cdot)$ is defined as the set $X \backslash p_{E}$. We shall write $\mathcal{M}(E)=E\left(p_{E}\right) H$ and $\mathcal{R}(E)=E\left(c_{E}\right) H=H \ominus \mathcal{M}(E)$.

The multiplicity function $m_{p}$ on $X$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ is defined as $m_{p}(t)=0$ if $t \in X \backslash p_{E}$ and $m_{p}(t)=\operatorname{dim} E(\{t\}) H$ if $t \in p_{E}$, where $m_{p}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ if $E(\{t\}) H$ is infinite dimensional.

Let $\mathcal{R}(E)=\bigoplus_{1}^{N} Z_{F}\left(y_{i}\right)$ be an OSD of $\mathcal{R}(E)$ relative to $F(\cdot)=E(\cdot) E\left(c_{E}\right)$. Then the multiplicity function $m_{c}$ on $X$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ is defined as follows:
(i) $m_{c}(t)=0$ if $\mathcal{R}(E)=\{0\}$ or if $\mathcal{R}(E) \neq\{0\}$ and there exists an open set $\mathcal{U}$ containing $t$ for which $E(\mathcal{U}) y_{1}=0$.
(ii) $m_{c}(t)=n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $y_{k}$ do exist for all $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and for every open set $\mathcal{U}$ containing $t$ we have $E(\mathcal{U}) y_{k} \neq 0$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, while $N=n$ or $y_{n+1}$ does exist and $E(\mathcal{U}) y_{n+1}=0$ for some open set $\mathcal{U}$ containing $t$.
(iii) $m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ if $N=\infty$ and for every open set $\mathcal{U}$ containing $t$ we have $E(\mathcal{U}) y_{k} \neq 0$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notation 2. Let $W$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by the range of a spectral measure $E(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{S}$ with values in projections of $H$ and let $W^{\prime}=$ $\sum \bigoplus_{n \in J} W^{\prime} Q_{n}$ be the type $I_{n}$-direct sum decomposition of $W^{\prime}$. Then by $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n \in J}$ we denote the collection of these projections in the above decomposition and $\{n: n \in J\}$ is denoted by $M_{W}$ as well as by $M_{E} . M_{W}$ (resp. $M_{E}$ ) is called the multiplicity set of $W$ (resp. of $E(\cdot)$ ). The multiplicity and uniform multiplicity of projections in $W$ in the sense of Halmos [3; pp. 100-101] will be referred to as $H$-multiplicity and UH-multiplicity, respectively.

Definition 5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian von Neumann algebra on $H$ and let $\mathcal{M}$ be its maximal ideal space. If $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ is the $\sigma$-algebra of the Borel sets in $\mathcal{M}$, then the unique spectral measure $G(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ which associates (under the inverse of the Gelfand mapping) with each $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$, the projection operator corresponding to the characteristic function of the clopen set $\Upsilon(\sigma)$ for which $\Upsilon(\sigma) \Delta \sigma$ is meagre in $\mathcal{M}$, is called the canonical spectral measure of $\mathcal{A}$ (see [4; pp. 157-163]). If $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ is an abelian von Neumann algebra on $H_{i}$, then its maximal ideal space and canonical spectral measure are denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{i}$ and $G_{i}(\cdot)$, respectively, for $i=1,2$.

Notation 3. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian von Neumann algebra on $H$ and if $G(\cdot)$ is its canonical spectral measure, then the H-multiplicity and UH-multiplicity of a projection $P$ in $\mathcal{A}$ are with respect to $G(\cdot)$ (since $\mathcal{A}$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the range of $G(\cdot))$. The multiplicity set $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ is as in Notation 2 but with $W$ replaced by $\mathcal{A}$ and $E(\cdot)$ by $G(\cdot)$.

Let $E(\cdot)$ and $W$ be as in Notation 2. As observed in [6], a projection $P^{\prime}$ in $W^{\prime}$ is abelian if and only if it is a row projection with respect to $E(\cdot)$ (in the sense of H almos [3]) and the column generated by a projection in $W^{\prime}$ is
the same as its central support. Thus [3; Theorem 66.4] of Halmos can be reformulated as follows:

Proposition 1. A non zero projection $F$ in $W$ has UH-multiplicity $n$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ if and only if there exists an orthogonal family $\left\{E_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of abelian projections in $W^{\prime}$ such that $\operatorname{card} J=n, C_{E_{\alpha}^{\prime}}=F$ for each $\alpha \in J$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in J} E_{\alpha}^{\prime}=F$; in other words, if and only if $W^{\prime} F$ is of type $I_{n}$ or, equivalently, if and only if $0 \neq F \leq Q_{n}$ where the $Q_{n}$ are the central projections in the type $I_{n}$-direct sum decomposition of $W^{\prime}$.

Corollary 1. If $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian von Neumann algebra with the canonical spectral measure $G(\cdot)$, then a non zero projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ has UH-multiplicity $n$ (in the sense of $H$ almos [3]) with respect to $G(\cdot)$ if and only if $n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $P \leq Q_{n}$, where the $Q_{n}$ are the central projections of the type $I_{n}$-direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

DEFINITION 6. Suppose $E(\cdot)$ is a spectral measure on $\mathcal{S}$ with values in projections of $H$. Let $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ be an orthogonal family in $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ with each $\mu_{j}$ having uniform multiplicity $u_{E}\left(\mu_{j}\right)=u_{j}>0$ for $j \in J$. Let $\tilde{H}=\bigoplus_{j \in J} \bigoplus_{u_{j}} L_{2}\left(\mu_{j}\right)$ and suppose $U: H \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ is an isomorphism of $H$ onto $\tilde{H}$. Then $U$ is called an orthogonal spectral representation (briefly, OTSR) of $H$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ if $U E(\cdot) U^{-1}=\tilde{E}(\cdot)$ (see Notation 1). The set $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{j \in J}$ is called the measure family of the OTSR $U$. Suppose $U_{i}$ is an OTSR of the Hilbert space $H_{i}$ relative to the spectral measure $E_{i}(\cdot)$ defined on $\mathcal{S}$ with the measure family $F_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then we say that $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are equivalent and write $U_{1} \sim U_{2}$ if $u_{E_{1}}(\mu)=u_{L_{2}}(\mu)$ for $\mu \in F_{1} \cup F_{2}$ and the multiplicity functions $u_{E_{1}}$ and $u_{E_{2}}$ are uniform on $F_{1} \cup F_{2}$. An OTSR $U$ of $H$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ with the measure family $F$ is called a bounded OTSR (briefly, BOTSR) if $F_{n}=\left\{\mu \in F: u_{E}(\mu)=n\right\}$ is bounded in $\Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ for each $n \in M_{E}$. A BOTSR $U$ of $H$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ with the measure family $F$ is called a BOTSR with countable multiplicities (briefly, $C O B O T S R)$ if $u_{E}(\mu) \leq \aleph_{0}$ for all $\mu \in F$.

Definition 7. Let $E(\cdot)$ and $W$ be as in Notation 2. Then $E(\cdot)$ is said to have generalized CGS-property in $H$ if the central projections $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}$ in the type $I_{n}$-direct sum decomposition of the commutant $W^{\prime}$ are countably decomposable in $W$.

By [7; Theorem 5.6] we have the following result:
PROPOSITION 2. The spectral measure $E(\cdot)$ has CGS-property (resp. generalized CGS-property) in $H$ if and only if $H$ admits a COBOTSR (resp. a BOTSR) relative to $E(\cdot)$; consequently, if and only if every $O T S R$ of $H$ relative to $E(\cdot)$ is a COBOTSR (resp. a BOTSR).

In the sequel, $\mathcal{A}$ will denote an abelian von Neumann algebra on $H$, with its maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}$ and its canonical spectral measure $G(\cdot)$.

The following proposition is proved in [10]. It plays a key role in Section 4 below.
PROPOSITION 3. If $H=\bigoplus_{1}^{N} Z_{E}\left(x_{i}\right), N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, is an OSD of $H$ relative to a spectral measure $E(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{S}$ with values in projections of $H$, then the following assertions hold:
(i) $C_{E}\left(\rho_{E}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=I$ and $C_{E}\left(\rho_{E}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=I-\sum_{n \in M_{E} \cap \mathbb{N}, n<j}^{Q_{n},} 1 \leq j \leq N, j \in \mathbb{N}$.
(ii) If $M_{E}=\left\{n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots\right\} \cup\left\{\aleph_{0}\right\}$, then
(a) $\rho_{E}\left(x_{n_{i}}\right) \ngtr \not \equiv \rho_{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv \rho_{E}\left(x_{n_{i+1}}\right)$ for $n_{i}<j \leq n_{i+1}, i=0,1,2, \ldots$, where $n_{0}=0$ and the term corresponding to $x_{n_{0}}$ is omitted.
(b) $\rho_{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \ngtr \not \equiv \mu_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $C_{E}\left(\mu_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}\right)=Q_{\aleph_{0}}$.
(iii) If $M_{E}=\left\{n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{\aleph_{0}\right\}$, then (ii)(a) holds for $i=$ $0,1,2, \ldots, k-1$ and
(c) $\rho_{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv \mu_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ for $j>n_{k}, j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mu_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ is as in (ii)(b).

Here $k=0$ is also permissible (in the sense that $\Lambda_{E} \cap \mathbb{N}=\emptyset$ ).
Then, in that case, $M_{E}=\left\{\aleph_{0}\right\}$ and (c) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iv) If $M_{E}=\left\{n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots\right\}$, then (ii)(a) holds and there does not exist $\nu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{S})$ with $C_{E}(\nu) \neq 0$ such that $\rho_{E}\left(x_{j}\right) \ngtr \not \equiv \nu$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.
(v) If $M_{E}=\left\{n_{1}<n_{2}<\cdots<n_{k}\right\}$, then (ii)(a) holds for $i=0,1,2, \ldots$ $\ldots, k-1$.
(vi) If $N=\infty$, then one and only one of (ii), (iii) or (iv) holds.

## 2. Kelley's multiplicity function of $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}$ - arbitrary

Kclley [5] defined a multiplicity function $\phi$ on the maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}$ of the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ with values in cardinal numbers. In this section, we shall interpret the terminology and results of Kelley [5] in terms of those of Halmos [3] and then describe $\phi$ in terms of the projections $\left\{Q_{n}\right\}_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}}$.

If $P$ is the carrier of a vector $x \in H$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ (in the sense of کelley [5]), evidently $P=C_{[\mathcal{A} x]}=C_{G\left(\rho_{G}(x)\right)}$, the last equality being due to [3; Theorem 66.2] of Halmos .

In [5], Kelley defined a non empty set $J$ of vectors in $H$ as an $\mathcal{A}$-base for a projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ if
(i) for each $x \in J, 0 \neq\|x\| \leq 1$ and $C_{[\mathcal{A} x]}=P$,
(ii) for $x, y \in J, x \neq y, x \perp[\mathcal{A} y]$,
(iii) $J$ is maximal with respect to (i) and (ii).

Then by [1; Corollary 2 of Proposition I.1.7] it follows that a projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ has an $\mathcal{A}$-base $J$ if and only if $P$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{A}$ and in that case, card $J=$ H-multiplicity of $P$. Consequently, if a projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ has an $\mathcal{A}$-base, then all the $\mathcal{A}$-bases of $P$ have the same cardinal number, which coincides with the H-multiplicity of $P$.

A projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is said be primitive in the sense of Kelley [5] if there exists an $\mathcal{A}$-base $J$ for $P$ such that $[\mathcal{A} x: x \in J]=P H$. An $\mathcal{A}$-base $J$ for a projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be proper if $\rho_{G}(x)=\rho_{G}(y)$ for $x, y \in J$, with respect to the canonical spectral measure $G(\cdot)$. Then using the results of [3] one can show that every non zero cyclic projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ has a proper $\mathcal{A}$-base and all the proper $\mathcal{A}$-bases of $P$ have the same cardinal number, which coincides with its H-multiplicity. Finally, it follows that a non zero projection $P \in \mathcal{A}$ is primitive if and only if $P$ is cyclic and has UH-multiplicity. Consequently, every non zero subprojection $Q(\in \mathcal{A})$ of a primitive projection in $\mathcal{A}$ is also primitive.

Notation 4. Given $f \in C(\mathcal{M}), T_{f}$ denotes the operator $A \in \mathcal{A}$ whose image under the Gelfand map is $f$. For a projection $F \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote by $e_{F}$ the clopen set in $\mathcal{M}$ for which $T_{\chi_{e_{F}}}=F$. Then $G\left(e_{F}\right)=F$.

Definition 8. For each $p \in e_{F}, F$ being a primitive projection in $\mathcal{A}$, let $\phi_{1}(p)$ be the cardinal of a proper $\mathcal{A}$-base of $F$. Then $\phi_{1}$ is well defined on a dense open subset of $\mathcal{M}$ (see the proof of Theorem 1 below) and as shown in [5], it has a unique continuous extension $\phi$ to the whole of $\mathcal{M}$. We refer to $\phi$ as Kelley's multiplicity function of $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian von Neumann algebra on $H$ with the maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}$, and let $\phi$ be Kelley's multiplicity function of $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{0}=\bigcup_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}} e_{Q_{n}}$ and let $\psi(t)=n$ if $t \in e_{Q_{n}}, n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then $\psi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ and $\phi$ is the unique continuous extension of $\psi$.

Proof. Since the $e_{Q_{n}}$ are mutually disjoint for $n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, the function $\psi$ is well defined on the open set $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. Let $t \in \mathcal{D}_{0}$ and let $\psi(t)=n_{0}$. Then $t \in e_{Q_{n_{0}}}$ and $\psi^{-1}\left(n_{0}\right)=e_{Q_{n_{0}}}$ is open in $\mathcal{D}_{0}$. Hence it follows that $\psi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ when the set of cardinals $c \leq \operatorname{dim} H$ is given the order topology.

Let $\mathcal{D}=\bigcup\left\{e_{F}: F\right.$ a primitive projection in $\left.\mathcal{A}\right\}$. For $n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, let $x \in Q_{n} H$, $x \neq 0$. If $Q=\left[\mathcal{A}^{\prime} x\right]$, then $Q \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \neq Q \leq Q_{n}$. Then by Corollary $1, Q$ has UH-multiplicity $n$ and as $Q$ is cyclic, $Q$ is a primitive projection. Then by Zorn's lemma it follows that there exists a maximal orthogonal family $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ of primitive subprojections of $Q_{n}$. We claim that $P_{n}=\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n}} F=Q_{n}$. Otherwise,
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there would exist a non zero vector $x \in\left(Q_{n}-P_{n}\right) H$ so that the projection $Q=\left[\mathcal{A}^{\prime} x\right] \in \mathcal{A}$ and $0 \neq Q \leq Q_{n}$. Then by Corollary $1, Q$ has UH-multiplicity $n$. Then, $Q$ is a primitive subprojection of $Q_{n}$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{F}_{n}$, contradicting the maximality of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$.

Since $\sum_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}} Q_{n}=I$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$. Again, since $Q_{n}=$ $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n}} F$ for $n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $e_{Q_{n}}=\overline{\left(\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n}} e_{F}\right)}$. Thus

$$
\mathcal{M}=\overline{\mathcal{D}_{0}}=\overline{\left(\bigcup_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}} \overline{\left(\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n}} e_{F}\right)}\right)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{M}
$$

and hence $\mathcal{D}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$. Moreover, $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{D}_{0}$ by Corollary 1. If $t \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\phi(t)=\phi_{1}(t)=$ the cardinal number of a proper $\mathcal{A}$-base of a primitive projection $F$ such that $t \in e_{F}$. Hence $\phi_{1}(t)$ is the same as the UH-multiplicity of $F$. If $\phi_{1}(t)=n$, then $F \leq Q_{n}$ by Corollary 1. Consequently, $\psi(t)=n$. Thus, $\left.\psi\right|_{\mathcal{D}}=\phi_{1}$. Since the function $\phi$ is a continuous extension of $\phi_{1}$ and since $\psi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{D}_{0}$, it follows that $\phi$ is also a continuous extension of $\psi$ to the whole of $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_{0}$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$, the continuous extension is unique.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. The $\mathcal{A}$-base structure theorem of Kelley

Using the results of [7], [8] we first study some properties of the spectral representations of $H$ relative to the canonical spectral measure $G(\cdot)$ of the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and then, using Theorem 1, we deduce the $\mathcal{A}$-base structure theorem of Kelley [5; Result 5.1].

Theorem 2. For an abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on $H$ with the canonical spectral measure $G(\cdot)$ the following assertions hold:
(i) If $\mathcal{A}$ is countably decomposable, then $G(\cdot)$ has generalized CGS-property in $H$.
(ii) $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is countably decomposable if and only if $G(\cdot)$ has CGS-property in $H$.
(iii) If $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is countably decomposable, then $H$ admits OSRs relative to $G(\cdot)$. If $U$ is an $O S R$ of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$ with the measure sequence $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}$, $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, then, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U A U^{-1}\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}^{N}=\left(g f_{n}\right)_{1}^{N}, \quad\left(f_{n}\right)_{1}^{N} \in \bigoplus_{1}^{N} L_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}), \mu_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in C(\mathcal{M})$ with $T_{g}=A$.
(iv) If $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is countably (resp. if $\mathcal{A}$ is countably) decomposable, then every OTSR of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$ is a COBOTSR (resp. BOTSR). If $U$ is
a COBOTSR (resp. BOTSR) of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$ with the measure family $\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in J}$, then, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
U A U^{-1}\left(f_{\alpha, i}\right)_{\alpha \in J, i \in I_{\alpha}}=\left(g f_{\alpha, i}\right)_{\alpha \in J, i \in I_{\alpha}} \\
\left(f_{\alpha, i}\right)_{\alpha \in J, i \in I_{\alpha}} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} \bigoplus_{u_{\alpha}} L_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}), \mu_{\alpha}\right) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g \in C(\mathcal{M})$ with $T_{g}=A$, card $I_{\alpha}=u_{\alpha}$ and $u_{G}\left(\mu_{\alpha}\right)=u_{\alpha}>0$ for $\alpha \in J$.
(v) If $\mathcal{A}$ is arbitrary, then (iv) holds with the change that $U$ is just an OTSR of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the range of $G(\cdot)$, (i) and (ii) are evident.
(iii) By (ii), $G(\cdot)$ has CGS-property in $H$ and by [7; Theorem $4.2(\mathrm{i})$ ], $H$ admits OSR relative to $G(\cdot)$. Let $U$ be an OSR of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$ with the measure sequence $\left\{\mu_{j}\right\}_{1}^{N}, N \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$. Then $U G(\cdot) U^{-1}=\tilde{G}(\cdot)$, where $\tilde{G}(\cdot)\left(f_{j}\right)_{1}^{N}=\left(\chi_{(\cdot)} f_{j}\right)_{1}^{N},\left(f_{j}\right)_{1}^{N} \in K=\oplus_{1}^{N} L_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}), \mu_{j}\right)$. Let $g \in C(\mathcal{M})$. If $e_{n}=\{t \in \mathcal{M}:|g(t)| \leq n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then by [7; Lemma 4.7] the operator $T(g)$ defined by

$$
T(g) \mathbf{f}=\lim _{n} \int_{e_{n}} g \mathrm{~d} \tilde{G} \mathbf{f}, \quad \mathbf{f} \in K
$$

is a bounded normal operator on $K$, with resolution of the identity $\tilde{G}_{g}$ given by $\tilde{G}_{g}(e)=\tilde{G}\left(g^{-1}(e)\right)$ for $e \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C})$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(g) \mathbf{f}=\lim _{n} \int_{e_{n}} g d \tilde{G} \mathbf{f}=\int_{\mathcal{M}} g \mathrm{~d} \tilde{G} \mathbf{f}=U\left(\int_{\mathcal{M}} g \mathrm{~d} G\right) U^{-1} \mathbf{f}=U T_{g} U^{-1} \mathbf{f} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $g \in C(\mathcal{M})$ and $\mathbf{f}=\left(f_{j}\right)_{1}^{N} \in K$. Now the last part of (iii) follows from (3) and from [7; Lemma 4.7 (iii)].
(iv) This is immediate from [8; Theorem 5.6], since [7; Lemma 4.7] is quite general so that relations analogous to (1) and (3) hold in these cases too and thus (2) is true.
(v) The proof is similar to that of (iv) except that we have to appeal to [8; Theorem 3.6] instead of [8; Theorem 5.6].

This completes the proof of the theorem.
In view of Theorem 1, the following theorem is the same as the result $[5 ; 5.1]$ of Kelley (i.e., the $\mathcal{A}$-base structure theorem of Kelley). In [5], the result 5.1 is proved for a particular case only and the proof of the general case is left to the reader.

Theorem 3 ( $\mathcal{A}$-Base structure theorem of Kelley). ([5]) There exists a maximal orthogonal family $\mathcal{F}$ of primitive projections in the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on $H$. For $F \in \mathcal{F}$, let $J_{F}$ be a proper $\mathcal{A}$-base for $F$. Let $L_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}), \rho_{G}(x)\right)=L_{2}\left(\rho_{G}(x)\right)$. Choose $x_{F} \in J_{F}, F \in \mathcal{F}$. Let card $J_{F}=n_{F}$. Then there exists an isomorphism $U$ from $H$ onto $K=$ $\bigoplus \bigoplus L_{2}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right)$ such that
$F \in \mathcal{F} n_{F}$

$$
U A U^{-1}\left(f_{F, j}\right)_{F \in \mathcal{F}, j \in J_{F}}=\left(g f_{F, j}\right)_{F \in \mathcal{F}, j \in J_{F}}, \quad\left(f_{F, j}\right)_{F \in \mathcal{F}, j \in J_{\Gamma}} \in K
$$

for $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where $g \in C(\mathcal{M})$ with $T_{g}=A$.
Proof. For $n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ be a maximal orthogonal family of primitive non zero subprojections of $Q_{n}$ (sce the proof of Theorem 1). Let $\mathcal{F}=\bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}}} \mathcal{F}_{n}$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a maximal orthogonal family of primitive projections in $\mathcal{A}$ since $\sum_{\mathcal{F}} F=\sum_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_{n}}=\sum_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}} Q_{n}=I$.

Let $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, as observed above (before Definition 8), $F$ is cyclic in $\mathcal{A}$ with UH-multiplicity and the cardinality $n_{F}$ of the proper $\mathcal{A}$-base $J_{F}$ for $F$ is its UH-multiplicity. By the definition of $\mathcal{A}$-base, $F=C_{\left[\mathcal{A} x_{F}\right]}=C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right)$, the last equality being due to [3; Theorem 66.2] of H almos. If $\nu \in \Sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}))$ with $0 \neq \nu \ll \rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)$, then by [3; Theorem 65.3] of Halmos there exists a vector $y \in\left[\mathcal{A} x_{F}\right]$ such that $\rho_{G}(y)=\nu$ and hence $C_{G}(\nu) \neq 0$. Since $0 \neq C_{G}(\nu) \leq F$, by Corollary 1 the projection $C_{G}(\nu)$ has UH-multiplicity $n_{F}$. Thus $\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)$ has uniform multiplicity $u_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right)=n_{F}>0$ relative to $G(\cdot)$.

Since $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right)=\sum_{\mathcal{F}} F=I$ and $\left\{\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$ is an orthogonal family in $\Sigma(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}))$ with $u\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right)>0$ being uniform, by [8; Theorem 3.5] there exists an OTSR of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$ with the measure family $\left\{\rho_{G}\left(x_{F}\right)\right\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$. Now the result follows from Theorem $2(\mathrm{v})$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Now we shall give some characterizations of spatial isomorphisms of abelian ron Neumann algebras involving the equivalence of certain spectral representations.

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ be an abelian von Neumann algebra on $H_{i}$ for $=1,2$, and let $\Phi$ be an isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ onto $\mathcal{A}_{2}$. If $G_{1}(\cdot)$ is the canonical spectral measure of $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)=\Phi \circ G_{1}(\cdot)$, then the following hold:
(i) If $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}$ are countably decomposable, then $\Phi$ is spatıal if and only if any two OSRs (resp. any two COBOTSRs) of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ relative to $G_{1}(\cdot)$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)$, respectively, are equivalent.
(ii) If $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ are countably decomposable, then $\Phi$ is spatial if and only if any two BOTSRs of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ relative to $G_{1}(\cdot)$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)$, rest ectiv ly, are equivalent.
(iii) $\Phi$ is spatial if and only if any two OTSRs of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ relative to $G_{1}(\cdot)$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)$, respectively, are equivalent.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ are the norm closures of the linear subspaces generated by the ranges of $G_{1}(\cdot)$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)$, respectively, it follows that $\Phi$ is spatial if and only if the spectral measures $G_{1}(\cdot)$ and $F_{2}(\cdot)$ are unitarily equivalent.
(i) follows from Theorem 2(ii) above and from [7; Theorem 4.2 (iv)] (resp. and from [8; Theorem 5.8]).
(ii) holds by Theorem 2 (i) above and by [8; Theorem 5.8].
(iii) is due to [8; Theorem 4.6].

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 4. Kelley's multiplicity function of $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ countably decomposable

Suppose the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on $H$ has its commutant $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ countably decomposable. Then by Theorem 2 (ii) the canonical spectral measure $G(\cdot)$ of $\mathcal{A}$ has CGS-property in $H$. Consequently, as in Definition 4, we can associate with $G(\cdot)$ the multiplicity functions $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$ defined on $\mathcal{M}$. Now we shall study the relation between Kelley's multiplicity function $\phi$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and the multiplicity functions $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$.

LEMMA 1. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be countably decomposable with the OSD-multiplicity of $G(\cdot)$ $\aleph_{0}$ and let the discrete part $p_{G}$ be void. If $H=\bigoplus_{1}^{\infty} Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is an OSD of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$, let $e_{i}=e_{C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}\left(=e_{\left[\mathcal{A}^{\prime} x_{i}\right]}\right)$, the clopen set in $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to the projection $C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $e_{0}=\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{i}$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) $e_{0}$ is non void and closed.
(ii) If $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$, then for $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}, m_{c}(t)=n_{j}$; if $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=$ $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{1}^{k}$, then for $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}, m_{c}(t)=n_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$, and for $t \in e_{n_{k}} \backslash e_{n_{k}+1}, m_{c}(t)=n_{k} ;$ and if $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\emptyset$, then $e_{0}=\mathcal{M}$ and $m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for $t \in \mathcal{M}$. Finally, $t \in e_{0}$ if and only if $m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$.
(iii) Kelley's multiplicity function $\phi$ of $\mathcal{A}$ coincides with the multiplicity function $m_{c}$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and consequently, $m_{c}$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M}$.
(iv) $\rho_{0}=\left\{t: \phi(t)=\aleph_{0}\right\}=\left\{t: m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}\right\}$.
(v) $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if int $e_{0} \neq \emptyset$ (equivalently, $\aleph_{0} \notin M_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $e_{0}$ is nowhere dense in $\left.\mathcal{M}\right)$. If $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, then $G\left(e_{0}\right)=Q_{\aleph_{0}}$. If
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$M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}$ is non void and finite (resp. if $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\emptyset$ ), then $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e_{0}=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ (resp. $\left.e_{0}=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}=\mathcal{M}\right)$ so that $e_{0}$ is clopen.
(vi) $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ coincides with the range of $\phi\left(=m_{c}\right)$ if and only if int $e_{0} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\left\{n_{1}<n_{2}<\ldots\right\}=\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{1}^{k}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$. Then by Proposition 3 we have: If $k=\infty$, then

$$
\rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{j}}\right) \ngtr \not \equiv \rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{j+1}}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad n_{j}<i \leq n_{j+1}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots,
$$

with $n_{0}=0$ and $\rho_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)$ omitted; and if $k$ is finite, then

$$
\rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{j}}\right) \ngtr \not \equiv \rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \equiv \rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{j+1}}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad n_{j}<i \leq n_{j+1}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1
$$

with $n_{0}=0$ and $\rho_{G}\left(x_{0}\right)$ omitted and

$$
\rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{k}}\right) \ngtr \gg \rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{k}+1}\right) \equiv \rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{k}+2}\right) \equiv \ldots
$$

Then by [3; Theorem 65.2] of $\mathrm{Halmos},\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is a non increasing sequence of clopen sets in $\mathcal{M}$ and these clopen sets satisfy the following relations: If $k=\infty$ and $n_{0}=0$, then

$$
e_{\ell}=e_{n_{j}} \quad \text { for } \quad n_{j-1}<\ell \leq n_{j}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

If $k$ is finite and $n_{0}=0$, then

$$
e_{\ell}=e_{n_{j}} \quad \text { for } \quad n_{j-1}<\ell \leq n_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k
$$

and

$$
e_{\ell}=e_{n_{k}+1} \quad \text { for } \quad l>n_{k} .
$$

Moreover, by Proposition 3(i),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=I \quad \text { and } \quad C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=I-\sum_{n<j, n \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}} Q_{n} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $j>1$.
Hence, if $k=\infty$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{Q_{n_{j}}}=e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}} \quad \text { for } \quad j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $k$ is finite, then

$$
e_{Q_{n_{j}}}=e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leq j \leq k-1 \quad \text { and } \quad e_{Q_{n_{k}}}=e_{n_{k}} \backslash e_{n_{k}+1}
$$

Claim 1. For a non void open set $U$ in $\mathcal{M}, G(U) \neq 0$.
In fact, $\bar{U}$ is clopen and $\bar{U} \backslash U$ is nowhere dense in $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $G\left(\bar{U} \backslash U^{+}\right)=0$ and therefore, $G(U)=G(\bar{U})=T_{\lambda_{\bar{U}}} \neq 0$ (see Notation 4).
(i) Since $\left\{e_{j}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is a non increasing sequence of non void closed sets in the compact space $\mathcal{M}$, it follows that $e_{0}$ is a non void closed set.
(ii) Let $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ if $k=\infty$ and for $j=1,2, \ldots, k-1$ if $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq n_{j}$ and for an open neighborhood $U$ of $t$, by [3; Theorem 66.2] we have $C_{Z_{G}\left(G(U) x_{i}\right)}=C_{G(U) Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}=G(U) C_{Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}=G(U) C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=$ $G(U) G\left(e_{i}\right)=G\left(U \cap e_{i}\right) \neq 0$ by Claim 1 as $U \cap e_{i}$ is an open neighborhood of $t$. Consequently, $G(U) x_{i} \neq 0$. Let $k=\infty$. As $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}, U_{0}=e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}$ is a clopen neighborhood of $t$, and as $U_{0} \cap e_{n_{j+1}}=\emptyset$, we have $C_{Z_{G}\left(G\left(U_{0}\right) x_{n_{j}+1}\right)}=$ $C_{G\left(U_{0}\right) Z_{G}\left(x_{n_{j}+1}\right)}=G\left(U_{0}\right) C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{n_{j}+1}\right)\right)=G\left(U_{0}\right) G\left(e_{n_{j+1}}\right)=G\left(U_{0} \cap e_{n_{j+1}}\right)=0$ so that $G\left(U_{0}\right) x_{n_{j}+1}=0$. Thus $m_{c}(t)=n_{j}$ if $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}$ (see Definition 4). When $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one can similarly show that $m_{c}(t)=n_{k}$ for $t \in e_{n_{k}} \backslash e_{n_{k}+1}$ and $m_{c}(t)=n_{j}$ if $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}, 1 \leq j \leq k-1$.

Suppose $t \in e_{0}$. Then $t \in e_{i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently, as in the above, $G(U) x_{i} \neq 0$ for each open neighborhood $U$ of $t$ and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by Definition 4, $m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for $t \in e_{0}$. Conversely, suppose $m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for some $t \in \mathcal{M}$. Then for each open neighborhood $U$ of $t, G(U) x_{i} \neq 0$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, clearly, $G(U) Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right) \neq 0$ so that $0 \neq C_{G(U) Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)}=G(U) C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)=$ $G(U) G\left(e_{i}\right)=G\left(U \cap e_{i}\right)$ and hence $U \cap e_{i} \neq \emptyset$ for each open neighborhood $U$ of $t$ and for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $t \in \bar{e}_{i}=e_{i}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $t \in e_{0}$.

If $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\emptyset$, then by Proposition 3 (iii) we have $M_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{\aleph_{0}\right\}$ and $\rho_{G}\left(x_{1}\right) \equiv$ $\rho_{G}\left(x_{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{A}}{\equiv} \ldots$ Consequently, $C\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=Q_{\aleph_{0}}=\stackrel{\mathcal{A}}{ }$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $e_{0}=\mathcal{M}$.
(iii) If $k=\infty$, then by (5) we have $e_{Q_{n_{j}}}=e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and consequently, by Theorem $1, \phi(t)=n_{j}$ for $t \in e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by (ii), $\phi(t)=m_{c}(t)$ for $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}$. If $k$ is finite, then $e_{0}=e_{n_{k}+1}$ and $\mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1}\left(e_{n_{j}} \backslash e_{n_{j+1}}\right) \cup\left(e_{n_{k}} \backslash e_{n_{k}+1}\right)$ and thus by (6), $\mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}=\bigcup_{1}^{k} e_{Q_{n_{j}}}$. Then by (ii) and Theorem 1 it follows that $\phi(t)=m_{c}(t)$ for $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}$.

Now let $t \in e_{0}$. If $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$ and $k$ is finite, then as seen above, $e_{0}=e_{n_{k}+1}$ and by Proposition $3(\mathrm{i}), \aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $e_{n_{k}+1}=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$. If $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\emptyset$, then as scen above $e_{j}=\mathcal{M}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $e_{0}=\mathcal{M}=e_{Q_{N_{0}}}$ since $Q_{\aleph_{0}}=I$. Then, in both cases, by Theorem $1, \phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$ and consequently, by (ii), $m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ $=\phi(t)$. If $k=\infty$, note that by (i), $e_{0} \neq \emptyset$ and hence such $t$ exists in $e_{0}$. Now we consider the following two cases.
Casc 1. $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$.
Then $Q_{\aleph_{0}} \neq 0$ and by Proposition 3, $e_{0} \supset e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$, and hence, by Theorem 1, $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for $t \in e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$. Let $t \in\left(e_{0} \backslash e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{Q_{n_{j}}} \cup e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}\right)}$,
there exists a net $\left\{t_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{Q_{n_{j}}}\right) \cup e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ such that $t_{\alpha} \rightarrow t$. Since the function $\phi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M}$, we have $\phi(t)=\lim _{\alpha} \phi\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$. If $\phi(t)=n \in \mathbb{N}$, then clearly $\phi\left(t_{\alpha}\right)=n$ eventually and moreover, $n=n_{j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. This means that $t_{\mathrm{a}} \in e_{Q_{n_{j}}}$ eventually and hence $t \in e_{Q_{n_{j}}}$. This is impossible since $\mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{Q_{n_{j}}}$. Thus the cardinals $\phi\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ converge to $\aleph_{0}$ and hence $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$. Then by (ii) we have $\phi(t)=m_{c}(t)$ for all $t \in e_{0}$ and consequently, $\phi=m_{c}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. Since $\phi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M}, m_{c}$ is also continuous on $\mathcal{M}$.
Case 2. $\aleph_{0} \notin M_{\mathcal{A}}$.
Let $t \in e_{0}$. In this case, $\mathcal{M}=\overline{\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{Q_{n_{j}}}\right)}$ and hence there exists a net $\left\{t_{\alpha}\right\} \subset$ $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} e_{Q_{n_{j}}}$ such that $t_{\alpha} \rightarrow t$. As in the previous case, we have $\phi(t)=\lim _{\alpha} \phi\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$ and $\phi(t) \neq n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$. The rest of the argument is as in Case 1 and hence (iii) holds in this case also.
(iv) This is immediate from the second part of (ii) and from (iii).
(v) If $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, then $Q_{\aleph_{0}} \neq 0$ and by Proposition $3, e_{Q_{N_{0}}} \subset e_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence int $e_{0} \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, let $e=\operatorname{int} e_{0} \neq \emptyset$. Then $G(e)=G(\bar{e}) \neq 0$ by Claim 1 , and by (4) we have $G(e) \leq G\left(e_{j}\right)=C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)=I-\sum_{p \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}, p<j} Q_{p}$,
for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$
G(e) \leq \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(I-\sum_{p \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}, p<j} Q_{p}\right)=I-\sum_{n_{j} \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}} Q_{n_{j}}
$$

Since $\sum_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}} Q_{n}=I$, it follows that $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and that $G(e) \leq Q_{\aleph_{0}}$. Morcover, by Proposition 3 we have $e_{Q_{N_{0}}} \subset e_{j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence $e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}} \subset e_{0}$. Consequently, $e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}} \subset e$. Thus $G(e)=Q_{\aleph_{0}}$. As $e_{0} \backslash e$ is nowhere dense, it follows that $G\left(e_{0}\right)=Q_{\aleph_{0}}$.

Now, let $T=M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}$. Then by Proposition $3(\mathrm{vi}), M_{\mathcal{A}}$ is of the form $M_{\mathcal{A}}=$ $\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{1}^{k} \cup\left\{\aleph_{0}\right\}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ when $T \neq \emptyset$ and finite, and $M_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{\aleph_{0}\right\}$ when $T=\emptyset$. Then by (4) we have $e_{n_{k}+1}=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$, where $n_{k}=0$ if $T=\emptyset$. Thus $e_{0}=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ and hence $e_{0}$ is clopen.
(vi) By (ii) and (iii), $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\left\{\phi(t): t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}\right\}=\left\{m_{c}(t): t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash e_{0}\right\}$. By (v), $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if int $e_{0} \neq \emptyset$. Since by (iv), $\phi(t)=m_{c}(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for $t \in e_{0}$, (vi) holds.

This completes the proof of the lemma.
The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 1 and is left to the reader.

Lemma 2. With $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ countably decomposable, suppose the OSD-multiplicity of $G(\cdot)$ is finite and suppose $H=\bigoplus_{1}^{n} Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)$ is an $O S D$ of $H$ relative to $G(\cdot)$. Let the discrete part $p_{G}=\emptyset$ and let $e_{i}=e_{C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the following assertions hold:
(i) Kelley's multiplicity function $\phi$ is the same as $m_{c}$ on $\mathcal{M}$.
(ii) $M_{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by the range of $\phi\left(=m_{c}\right)$.

Lemma 3. Let $Q$ be a non zero projection in $\mathcal{A}$. Then the maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}_{Q}$ of $\mathcal{A} Q$ is homeomorphic to $e_{Q}$, the clopen set in $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to $Q$. Consequently, if $\mathcal{M}_{Q}$ and $e_{Q}$ are identified and if $G_{Q}$ is the canonical spectral measure of $\mathcal{A} Q$, then $G_{Q}(\sigma)=G(\sigma) Q$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}\left(e_{Q}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\Psi: C(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\Psi_{Q}: C\left(\mathcal{M}_{Q}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} Q$ be the inverses of the Gelfand isomorphisms so that $\Psi(f)=T_{f}$ and $\Psi_{Q}(g)=T_{g}$ for $f \in C(\mathcal{M})$ and $g \in C\left(\mathcal{M}_{Q}\right)$. For $h \in C\left(e_{Q}\right)$, let us define: $\hat{h}(t)=h(t)$ if $t \in e_{Q}$ and $\hat{h}(t)=0$ if $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash e_{Q}$. Then, as $e_{Q}$ is clopen in $\mathcal{M}, \hat{h} \in C(\mathcal{M})$ and $\hat{h}=\hat{h}_{\lambda_{e_{Q}}}$. Let $\hat{\Psi}(h)=\Psi(\hat{h})$. Then $\hat{\Psi}(h)=\Psi(\hat{h}) Q$ and clearly, $\hat{\Psi}$ is an involution preserving algebraic isomorphism from $C\left(e_{Q}\right)$ into $\mathcal{A} Q$. Given $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let $f \in C(\mathcal{M})$ such that $\Psi(f)=A$. Let $h=\left.\left(f \chi_{e_{Q}}\right)\right|_{e_{Q}}$. Then $h \in C\left(e_{Q}\right)$ and $\hat{\Psi}(h)=\Psi(f) Q=A Q$. Thus the isomorphism $\hat{\Psi}: C\left(e_{Q}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A} Q$ is onto. Moreover, $\|\hat{\Psi}(h)\|=\|\Psi(\hat{h}) Q\|=\sup _{t \in \mathcal{M}}|\hat{h}(t)|=\sup _{t \in e_{Q}}|h(t)|$ for $h \in C\left(e_{Q}\right)$ and hence $\hat{\Psi}$ is an isometric isomorphism of $C\left(e_{Q}\right)$ onto $\mathcal{A} Q$. Consequently, $F=$ $\hat{\Psi}^{-1} \circ \Psi_{Q}: C\left(\mathcal{M}_{Q}\right) \rightarrow C\left(e_{Q}\right)$ is an onto isometric isomorphism and hence, by [2; Theorem IV.6.26] there exists a bijective bicontinuous mapping $\Phi: e_{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{Q}$ such that $(F f)(t)=f(\Phi(t))$ for $f \in C\left(\mathcal{M}_{Q}\right)$ and $t \in e_{Q}$. Thus, for $e$ clopen in $\mathcal{M}_{Q}$, we have $\left(F \chi_{e}\right)(t)=\chi_{e}(\Phi(t))$ for $t \in e_{Q}$. In other words, $F \chi_{e}=\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(e)}$ for $e$ clopen in $\mathcal{M}_{Q}$. For $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{M}_{Q}\right)$, let $\Upsilon(\sigma)$ be the clopen set associated with $\sigma$ for which $\Upsilon(\sigma) \Delta \sigma$ is meagre. Then evidently, $\Upsilon\left(\Phi^{-1}(\sigma)\right)=\Phi^{-1}(\Upsilon(\sigma))$ as $\Phi$ is a homcomorphism. Consequently, for $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{M}_{Q}\right)$ we have $G_{Q}(\sigma)=$ $\Psi_{Q}\left(\chi_{\Upsilon(\sigma)}\right)=\Psi_{Q} \circ F^{-1}\left(\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Upsilon(\sigma))}\right)=\hat{\Psi}\left(\chi_{\Phi^{-1}(\Upsilon(\sigma))}\right)=G\left(\Phi^{-1}(\Upsilon(\sigma))\right) Q=$ $G\left(\Upsilon\left(\Phi^{-1}(\sigma)\right)\right) Q=G\left(\Phi^{-1}(\sigma)\right) Q$.

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Theorem 5. Suppose the abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{A}$ on $H$ has its commutant countably decomposable. Let $G(\cdot)$ be the canonical spectral measure of $\mathcal{A}$ defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M}), \mathcal{M}$ being the maximal ideal space of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\phi$ is Kelley's mul-
tiplicity function of $\mathcal{A}$ and $m_{p}$ and $m_{c}$ are the multiplicity functions associated with $G(\cdot)$ as in Definition 4, then the following assertions hold:
(i) For $t \in p_{G},\{t\}$ is clopen in $\mathcal{M}$. Consequently, $p_{G}$ is open in $\mathcal{M}$.
(ii) For $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash p_{G}, m_{p}(t)=0$; for $t \in \bar{p}_{G}, m_{c}(t)=0$.
(iii) $m_{p}(t)=\phi(t) \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ for $t \in p_{G} ; m_{c}(t)=\phi(t)$ for $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}$. Thus

$$
\phi(t)=\max \left(m_{p}(t), m_{c}(t)\right), \quad t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash\left(\bar{p}_{G} \backslash p_{G}\right) .
$$

(iv) The following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\phi=\max \left(m_{p}, m_{c}\right)$.
(b) $p_{G}$ is closed.
(c) $\max \left(m_{p}, m_{c}\right)$ is continuous.
(v) The following assertions hold:
(a) $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\{\phi(t): t \in \mathcal{M}\} \cap \mathbb{N}$.
(b) $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $e=\phi^{-1}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ has non void interior.
(c) When the range of $\phi$ is a finite set, $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ if and only if $\phi^{-1}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ is a non void open set.

Proof.
(i) Let $t \in p_{G}$ and let $\Upsilon(\{t\})$ be the clopen set in $\mathcal{M}$ corresponding to $\{t\}$ so that $\{t\} \Delta \Upsilon(\{t\})$ is meagre in $\mathcal{M}$. Then $G(\Upsilon(\{t\}) \backslash\{t\})=0$. As $\Upsilon(\{t\}) \backslash\{t\}$ is open, by Claim 1 in the proof of Lemma 1 we conclude that $\Upsilon(\{t\})=\{t\}$ and hence (i) holds.
(ii) By the definition of $p_{G}, m_{p}(t)=0$ for $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash p_{G}$. Now let $t \in \bar{p}_{G}$. By (i), $\bar{p}_{G}$ is clopen and morcover, $\bar{p}_{G} \backslash p_{G}$ is nowhere dense in $\mathcal{M}$. Thus $G\left(p_{G}\right)=G\left(\bar{p}_{G}\right)$ so that $G\left(c_{G}\right)=G\left(\mathcal{M} \backslash p_{G}\right)=G\left(\mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}\right)$. Since $\bar{p}_{G}$ is clopen, $U=\bar{p}_{G}$ is an open neighborhood of $t$ such that $U \cap\left(\mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}\right)=\emptyset$. Then $G(U) G\left(c_{G}\right)=0$ and hence $m_{c}(t)=0$ by Definition 4 .
(iii) Let $t \in p_{G}$. Suppose $m_{p}(t)=n \in \mathbb{N}$ (resp. $\left.m_{p}(t)=\aleph_{0}\right)$. Then there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ (resp. $\left\{x_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ ) in $G(\{t\}) H$. Then, as $G(\sigma) x_{k}=0$ if $t \notin \sigma$ and $G(\sigma) x_{k}=x_{k}$ if $t \in \sigma$, it follows that $Z_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)=\left[x_{k}\right]$ and hence $G(\{t\}) H=\bigoplus_{1}^{n} Z_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)$ (resp. $=\bigoplus_{1}^{\infty} Z_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)$ ). Moreover, $\rho_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)=\delta_{t}$ where $\delta_{t}$ denotes the Dirac measure at $\{t\}$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{M})$ and hence $\rho_{G}\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $\rho_{G}\left(x_{2}\right) \cdots=\rho_{G}\left(x_{n}\right)$ (resp. $\rho_{G}\left(x_{1}\right)=\rho_{G}\left(x_{2}\right)=\ldots$ ). Thus $G(\{t\}) H=$ $\bigoplus_{1}^{n} Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.=\bigoplus_{1}^{\infty} Z_{G}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)$ is an OSD of $G(\{t\}) H$. Then, as $C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)=$ $G(\{t\})$, we have $C_{Z_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)}=C_{G}\left(\rho_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)=G(\{t\})$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ (resp. for $k=1,2, \ldots)$. Moreover, by [3; Theorem 60.2] of Halmos, $\left\{Z_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}_{1}^{n}$
(resp. $\left\{Z_{G}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ ) is an orthogonal family of abelian projections in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Hence the projection $G(\{t\})$ has UH-multiplicity $n$ (resp. $\aleph_{0}$ ). Thus $n$ (resp. $\aleph_{0}$ ) $\in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and moreover, $G(\{t\}) \leq Q_{n}$ (resp. $\leq Q_{\aleph_{0}}$ ) by Corollary 1. Therefore, $t \in e_{Q_{n}}$ (resp. $\in e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ ) and hence, by Theorem 1 we have $\phi(t)=m_{p}(t)$. Since $e_{G\left(c_{G}\right)}=\mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}$ (see the proof of (ii)), by Lemma 3 the maximal ideal space $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{A} G\left(c_{G}\right)$ can be identified with $\mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}$ and by the last part of Lemma 3 it follows that the discrete part $p_{G G\left(c_{G}\right)}=\emptyset$. Consequently, by Lemmas 1 and 2 we have $m_{c}(t)=\phi(t)$ for $t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}$. Hence (iii) holds.
(iv) From the definition of $\phi$ it is clear that $\phi(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover, (ii) implies that $\max \left(m_{p}(t), m_{c}(t)\right)=0$ for $t \in \bar{p}_{G} \backslash p_{G}$. These observations show that (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b). If $p_{G}$ is closed, then by (iii) we have $\phi=\max \left(m_{p}, m_{c}\right)$ on $\mathcal{M}$ and hence (b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) \& (c). Finally, since $\mathcal{M} \backslash\left(\bar{p}_{G} \backslash p_{G}\right)$ is dense in $\mathcal{M}$ and $\phi$ is continuous on $\mathcal{M}$, (c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a) by (iii).
(v)(a) First let us observe that $M_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}: Q_{n} G\left(p_{G}\right) \neq 0\right\} \cup\left\{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}}\right.$ : $\left.Q_{n} G\left(c_{G}\right) \neq 0\right\}$. Thus $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\left(M_{\mathcal{A} G\left(p_{G}\right)} \cap \mathbb{N}\right) \cup\left(M_{\mathcal{A} G\left(c_{G}\right)} \cap \mathbb{N}\right)$. As shown in the proof of (iii), $\left\{\phi(t): t \in p_{G}\right\} \cap \mathbb{N}=M_{\mathcal{A} G\left(p_{G}\right)} \cap \mathbb{N}$. By Lemmas 1,2 and 3 it follows that $\left\{\phi(t): t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash \bar{p}_{G}\right\} \cap \mathbb{N}=M_{\mathcal{A} G\left(c_{G}\right)} \cap \mathbb{N}$. Thus we have $\left\{\phi(t): t \in \mathcal{M} \backslash\left(\bar{p}_{G} \backslash p_{G}\right)\right\} \cap \mathbb{N}=M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}$. Now let $t \in \bar{p}_{G} \backslash p_{G}$. Then there exists a net $\left\{t_{\alpha}\right\}$ in $p_{G}$ such that $t_{\alpha} \rightarrow t$ and consequently, by the continuity of $\phi, \phi(t)=\lim _{\alpha} \phi\left(t_{\alpha}\right)$. If $\phi(t)=n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\phi\left(t_{\alpha}\right)=n$ eventually and hence $t_{\alpha} \in e_{Q_{n}}$ eventually. Thus $n \in\left\{\phi(u): u \in p_{G}\right\} \cap \mathbb{N} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}$. The other possibility is $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$ and in that case, clearly $\phi(t) \notin \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}$. Thus $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\{\phi(t): t \in \mathcal{M}\} \cap \mathbb{N}$.
(v)(b) Now let $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then the clopen set $e_{Q_{N_{0}}}$ is non void and by Theorem 1 we have $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for all $t \in e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$. Thus $e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}} \subset e$ and hence $e$ has non void interior. Conversely, suppose $e$ has non void interior $U$. Since $\phi$ is continuous, $e$ is closed and hence $\bar{U} \subset e$. Let $Q=G(\bar{U})=G(U)$. Then the non zero projection $Q$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$ and the clopen set $e_{Q}=\bar{U} \subset e$. Hence $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for all $t \in e_{Q}$. If $Q Q_{n} \neq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then for $t \in e_{Q Q_{n}}=e_{Q} \cap e_{Q_{n}}$ we have $\phi(t)=n$ by Theorem 1. This contradiction shows that $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $Q \leq Q_{\aleph_{0}}$. (Note that $Q=Q_{\aleph_{0}}$, since by Theorem 1, $\phi(t)=\aleph_{0}$ for $t \in e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$.)
(v)(c) Let us now suppose that the range of $\phi$ is a finite set. If $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$, then $Q_{\aleph_{0}}=I-\sum_{n \in M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}} Q_{n}$. By hypothesis and by (v)(a) we can assume $M_{\mathcal{A}} \cap \mathbb{N}=\left\{n_{j}\right\}_{1}^{k}$ (resp. $=\emptyset$ ). Then $\mathcal{M}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} e_{Q_{n_{j}}} \cup e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ (resp. $=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ ) so that, by Theorem 1, $\phi^{-1}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)=e_{Q_{\aleph_{0}}}$ is clopen and non void in $\mathcal{M}$. Conversely, if $\phi^{-1}\left(\aleph_{0}\right)$ is a non void open set, then $\aleph_{0} \in M_{\mathcal{A}}$ by (v)(b).

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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