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# ON A CERTAIN TYPE OF FUNCTIONAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 

MICHAL FEČKAN<br>(Communicated by Milan Medved')


#### Abstract

Certain types of advanced functional-differential equations are studied by means of a simple fixed point theorem.


## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give an approach to solve certain functional differential equations similar to the following

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{\prime}(x) & =f(u(u(x)))  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

where $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$. Hence we shall study equations containing the term $u(u(x))$.

To show the existence of solutions of (1.1) we can apply the Leray-Schauder degree theory. But we would like to obtain more. We are interested in the existence of a Picard iteration method for (1.1). Then such a technique will enable us to approximate a solution of (1.1). The difficulty in the derivation of a Lipschitz like inequality for (1.1) is the term $u(u(x))$. Since to obtain this inequality in $C^{0}$-norm we have to use $C^{1}$-norm of $u$. Thus it is impossible to apply the classical implicit function theorem to (1.1). To overcome this difficulty we use a simple fixed point theorem.

Similarly, we study the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\int_{0}^{1} G(x, t) \cdot f(u(u(t))) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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and the bifurcation problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=\lambda \cdot f(u(1+u(x)))+u  \tag{1.3}\\
u(0)=u(\pi)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

with $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0) \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is near to 0.
A related problem is studied in [1]. There is also explained a motivation of the investigation of such advanced differential equations. We refer the paper [2] for a philosophical background.

## 2. A simple fixed point theorem

Let $X \subset C^{0}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ be a closed nonempty subset. Consider an operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ such that
i) $T$ is continuous.
ii) $T(X) \subset C^{1}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$.
iii) $\left\|T\left(u_{1}\right)-T\left(u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \alpha\left(\max \left\{\left\|u_{1}^{\prime}\right\|,\left\|u_{2}^{\prime}\right\|\right\}\right) \cdot\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|$ for $u_{1}, u_{2} \in C^{1}([0,1], \mathbb{R})$.
iv) $\left\|(T(u))^{\prime}\right\| \leq \beta\left(\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|\right)$,
for $\alpha, \beta$ continuous functions. Here $\|\cdot\|$ is the $C^{0}$-norm.
THEOREM 2.1. If there is $r>0$ such that $\beta(t) \leq r$ and $\alpha(t)<1$ for each $0 \leq t \leq r$, then there is a $u$ satisfying $u=T(u)$. Moreover, $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $C^{0}$-norm for $u_{n}=T^{n}(0)$. This convergence is geometrical.

Proof. We take

$$
Y=\left\{u \in X, \quad\left\|u^{\prime}\right\| \leq r\right\}
$$

Then $T(Y) \subset Y$ and

$$
\left\|T\left(u_{1}\right)-T\left(u_{2}\right)\right\| \leq \gamma \cdot\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|
$$

for each $u_{1}, u_{2} \in Y$ with $\gamma=\max _{[0, r]} \alpha$. We know that $\gamma<1$. Hence $T$ is a contraction and the proof is finished.

Remark 2.2. In our applications usually $\beta(\cdot)=\beta$ is constant and $\alpha$ is increasing. Then the assumptions of this theorem have the form $\alpha(\beta)<1$.
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## 3. Results

First of all, we need the following estimate for $u_{1}, u_{2} \in C^{1}([0,1],[0,1])$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|u_{1}\left(u_{1}(x)\right)-u_{2}\left(u_{2}(x)\right)\right| \\
\leq & \left|u_{1}\left(u_{1}(x)\right)-u_{1}\left(u_{2}(x)\right)\right|+\left|u_{1}\left(u_{2}(x)\right)-u_{2}\left(u_{2}(x)\right)\right|  \tag{3.1}\\
\leq & \left\|u_{1}^{\prime}\right\| \cdot\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|+\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\| \\
= & \left(1+\left\|u_{1}^{\prime}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

Now we shall study the problem (1.1).
Theorem 3.1. Let $|f(0)|<1$. Then there is $c>0$ such that (1.1) has a solution $u \in C^{1}([-c, c],[-c, c])$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ uniformly on $[-c, c]$ for

$$
u_{0}=0, \quad u_{n+1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} f\left(u_{n}\left(u_{n}(s)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in[-c, c]
$$

Proof. Since $|f(0)|<1$, there is $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)|<1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|t| \leq c$. We take

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =C^{0}([-c, c],[-c, c]) \\
T(u) & =\int_{0}^{t} f(u(u(s))) \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.2) $T(X) \subset X$. If $c$ is sufficiently small, then Remark 2.2 holds and the proof is finished.

Further, we investigate the equation (1.2) under the following assumptions: $G \geq 0$ and it is $C^{1}$-smooth, $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is continuous, Lipschitz with the constant $M$. We put

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\max _{[0,1]} f(t) \\
K & =\max _{[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} G(x, t) \mathrm{d} t \\
\tilde{K} & =\max _{[0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} G(x, t) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$
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Theorem 3.2. If

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M \cdot K \cdot(1+m \cdot \tilde{K})<1 \\
m \cdot K \leq 1
\end{array}
$$

then (2.1) has a solution $u:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ uniformly on $[0,1]$ for

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{0} & =0 \\
u_{n+1}(x) & =\int_{0}^{1} G(x, t) \cdot f\left(u_{n}\left(u_{n}(t)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t, \quad x \in[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We take the space $X=C^{0}([0,1],[0,1])$ and

$$
T u=\int_{0}^{1} G(\cdot, t) \cdot f(u(u(t))) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Since $m \cdot K \leq 1$, we have $T(X) \subset X$. The first assumption of this theorem ensures the validity of the condition iii) of Section 2. Indeed, by (3.1) we have

$$
\left\|T u_{1}-T u_{2}\right\| \leq M \cdot K \cdot\left(1+\left\|u_{2}^{\prime}\right\|\right) \cdot\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\|
$$

Moreover, it is clear that

$$
\left\|(T u)^{\prime}\right\| \leq \tilde{K} \cdot m
$$

Hence we can choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha(t) & =m \cdot K \cdot(1+t) \\
\beta(t) & =\tilde{K} \cdot m
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha(m \cdot \tilde{K})<1$ the proof is finished.
Finally we shall solve the bifurcation problem (1.3).
We take $w=v+c \cdot \sin t$, where $c$ is small and

$$
v \in X=\left\{y \in C^{0}([0, \pi],[-1 / 2,1 / 2]), \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} y(t) \cdot \sin t \mathrm{~d} t=0\right\}
$$
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We decompose (1.3) in the following way

$$
\begin{align*}
& v=\lambda \cdot \mathcal{K} \cdot \tilde{Q} f(v(1+v+c \cdot \sin t)+c \cdot \sin (1+v+c \cdot \sin t))  \tag{3.3}\\
& 0=P f(v(1+v+c \cdot \sin t)+c \cdot \sin (1+v+c \cdot \sin t)) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is the "inverse" of $u \rightarrow-u^{\prime \prime}-u, u(0)=u(\pi)=0, \tilde{Q}=I d-P$ and $P u=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} u(t) \cdot \sin t \mathrm{~d} t \cdot \sin t$.

For $c$ small we can solve (3.3) by applying Theorem 2.1 to obtain a solution $v(\lambda, c) \in X$. Using the standard arguments we see that $v$ depends on $\lambda, c$ continuously and $v(0, c)=0$. We put this solution into (3.4) and have

$$
Q(\lambda, c)=P f(v(\lambda, c)(1+v(\lambda, c)+c \cdot \sin t)+c \cdot \sin (1+v(\lambda, c)+c \cdot \sin t))=0
$$

Hence $Q(0, c)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} f(c \cdot \sin (1+c \cdot \sin t)) \mathrm{d} t$. We have

$$
Q(0,0)=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial c} Q(0,0)=2 \cdot f^{\prime}(0) \cdot \sin 1 \neq 0
$$

This implies the existence of a small solution $c$ of $Q(\lambda, c)=0$ for each $\lambda$ small. Summing up we obtain

THEOREM 3.3. Under the above conditions the problem (1.3) has a small nontrivial solution bifurcating from $u_{0}=0$.

Of course, a similar approach can be applied to the following problem:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-u^{\prime \prime}=f\left(u^{\prime}(u(x))\right) \\
u(0)=u(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$
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