

Jiří Rachůnek

Radicals in non-commutative generalizations of MV-algebras

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 52 (2002), No. 2, 135--144

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/132142>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## RADICALS IN NON-COMMUTATIVE GENERALIZATIONS OF *MV*-ALGEBRAS

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

**ABSTRACT.** *GMV*-algebras are a non-commutative generalization of *MV*-algebras. In the paper, structure properties of *GMV*-algebras are studied using a duality between *GMV*-algebras and unital  $\ell$ -groups. Three kinds of radicals of *GMV*-algebras are investigated in general and, particularly, in the cases of finite valued and normal-valued *GMV*-algebras. A class of *GMV*-algebras possessing infinitely many state-morphisms is described.

### 1. Introduction

*MV*-algebras were introduced by C. C. Chang in [8] and [9] as an algebraic counterpart of the Łukasiewicz infinite valued propositional logic. Non-commutative logics that have been recently studied (see e.g. [1], [23], [24], [25], [30], [32]) correspond to non-commutative reasoning which can be observed in the theoretical computer science (there are even non-commutative programming languages, e.g. [3]) and also in the human reasoning.

*GMV*-algebras, introduced by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu in [16] and [17] and independently by the author in [27], are a non-commutative generalization of *MV*-algebras and they can be taken as an algebraic semantics for a non-commutative generalization of a multiple valued reasoning.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$  be an algebra of type  $\langle 2, 1, 1, 0, 0 \rangle$ . Set  $x \odot y = \sim(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$  for any  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $A$  is called a *generalized MV-algebra* (briefly: *GMV-algebra*) if for any  $x, y, z \in A$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (A1)  $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$ ;
- (A2)  $x \oplus 0 = x = 0 \oplus x$ ;

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06D35; Secondary: 06F15, 03G20.  
Keywords: *GMV*-algebra, unital  $\ell$ -group, ideal, radical.

Supported by the Council of Czech Government, J 14/98: 15100011.

- (A3)  $x \oplus 1 = 1 = 1 \oplus x$ ;
- (A4)  $\neg 1 = 0 = \sim 1$ ;
- (A5)  $\neg(\sim x \oplus \sim y) = \sim(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$ ;
- (A6)  $x \oplus (y \odot \sim x) = y \oplus (x \odot \sim y) = (\neg y \odot x) \oplus y = (\neg x \odot y) \oplus x$ ;
- (A7)  $(\neg x \oplus y) \odot x = y \odot (x \oplus \sim y)$ ;
- (A8)  $\sim \neg x = x$ .

If we put  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $\neg x \oplus y = 1$ , then  $\leq$  is an order on  $A$ . Moreover,  $(A, \leq)$  is a bounded distributive lattice in which  $x \vee y = x \oplus (y \odot \sim x)$  and  $x \wedge y = x \odot (y \oplus \sim x)$  for each  $x, y \in A$ , and  $0$  is the least and  $1$  is the greatest element in  $A$ , respectively.

(The above definition is that introduced by Georgescu and Iorgulescu in [16] and [17], where they use the name a *pseudo-MV algebra*.)

*GMV*-algebras are in a close connection with unital  $\ell$ -groups. (Recall that a *unital  $\ell$ -group* is a pair  $(G, u)$  where  $G$  is an  $\ell$ -group and  $u$  is a strong order unit of  $G$ .) If  $G$  is an  $\ell$ -group and  $0 \leq u \in G$ , then  $\Gamma(G, u) = ([0, u], \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$ , where  $[0, u] = \{x \in G : 0 \leq x \leq u\}$ , and for any  $x, y \in [0, u]$ ,  $x \oplus y = (x + y) \wedge u$ ,  $\neg x = u - x$ ,  $\sim x = -x + u$ , is a *GMV*-algebra. Conversely, A. Dvurečenskij in [13] proved that every *GMV*-algebra is isomorphic to  $\Gamma(G, u)$  for an appropriate unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$ . Moreover, the categories of *GMV*-algebras and unital  $\ell$ -groups are by [13] equivalent. (These results of Dvurečenskij generalize an analogous representation theorem proved for *MV*-algebras by D. Mundic in [26].) *GMV*-algebras are also equivalent to pseudo-Wajsberg algebras defined and studied by R. Ceterchi in [5], [6] and [7], and to certain dually residuated  $\ell$ -monoids ([27]).

In the paper we use the duality between *GMV*-algebras and unital  $\ell$ -groups to study structure properties of *GMV*-algebras. For any *GMV*-algebra we define three its radicals (the intersection of maximal ideals, essential ideals and closed prime ideals) and describe their mutual connections, especially for finite valued and normal-valued *GMV*-algebras. Moreover, a class of *GMV*-algebras possessing infinitely many state-morphisms is described.

Necessary results concerning the theory of *MV*-algebras can be found in [10], [15], [20] and [31]. Moreover, the book [15] also contains the foundations of the theory of *GMV*-algebras. The corresponding notions and facts of the theory of  $\ell$ -groups are contained in [2], [4], [18] and [22].

## 2. Ideals of *GMV*-algebras

Let us recall the notion of an ideal of a *GMV*-algebra. (See [17].) Let  $A$  be a *GMV*-algebra and  $\emptyset \neq H \subseteq A$ . Then  $H$  is called an *ideal* of  $A$  if

- (i)  $x \oplus y \in H$  for any  $x, y \in H$ ;
- (ii)  $y \leq x$  implies  $y \in H$  for any  $x \in H$  and  $y \in A$ .

An ideal  $I$  of a GMV-algebra  $A$  is called *normal* if

- (iii)  $\neg x \odot y \in I$  if and only if  $y \odot \sim x \in I$  for each  $x, y \in A$ .

If  $A$  is a GMV-algebra, denote by  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  the set of ideals and by  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  the set of normal ideals of  $A$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  ordered by set inclusion are complete lattices. Analogously, for any  $\ell$ -group  $G$  denote by  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(G)$  the complete lattices of convex  $\ell$ -subgroups and  $\ell$ -ideals, respectively.

In the sequel we will frequently use the following theorem. (The first part of the theorem was proved in [29] and the second, in [14].)

**THEOREM 1.**

a) Let  $(G, u)$  be a unital  $\ell$ -group and  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ . Then the correspondence  $\varphi$  which to each ideal  $H$  of the GMV-algebra  $A$  assigns the set  $\{x \in G : |x| \wedge u \in H\}$  is an isomorphism of the lattice  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  onto  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . The inverse isomorphism to  $\varphi$  is the mapping  $\psi$  such that  $\psi(K) = K \cap [0, u]$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ .

b) The restriction of  $\varphi$  to  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  gives an isomorphism between the lattices  $\mathcal{I}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{I}(G)$ .

**Remark.** Theorem 1 generalizes a similar result proved in [11] for MV-algebras and abelian  $\ell$ -groups.

An ideal  $H$  of a GMV-algebra  $A$  is called *prime* (see [17]) if  $H$  is a finitely meet-irreducible element in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}(A)$ . It is known that, analogously, *prime subgroups* of an  $\ell$ -group  $G$  are defined as finitely meet-irreducible elements in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . In the sequel, we will suppose that  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  the set of prime ideals of  $A$  and the set of prime subgroups of  $G$ , respectively. Then by Theorem 1 we immediately obtain that the restriction of  $\varphi$  on  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  is an order isomorphism of  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  onto  $\mathcal{P}(G)$ .

Let  $0 \neq a \in A$  and  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ . Then  $H$  is called a *value* of  $a$  if it is maximal with respect to the property “not containing  $a$ ”. Denote by  $\text{val}_A(a)$  the set of values of  $a$ . Further,  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$  is called a *regular ideal* of  $A$  if  $H$  is meet-irreducible in  $\mathcal{C}(A)$ . By [17],  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$  is regular if and only if  $H \in \text{val}_A(a)$  for some  $0 \neq a \in A$ . If  $G$  is an  $\ell$ -group, then *regular subgroups* and *values* of  $0 \neq a \in G$  are defined in a similar way and they are mutually connected as in GMV-algebras.

An ideal  $H$  of  $A$  is called *essential* if it contains all values of some  $0 \neq a \in A$ . Further,  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$  is said to be *special* if  $H$  is the unique value of some  $0 \neq a \in A$ . The corresponding terms for  $\ell$ -groups are *essential and special subgroups*.

By [14], the isomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(G)$  induces also bijections between the sets of regular, essential and special ideals of  $A$  and regular, essential and special subgroups of  $G$ , respectively. (Using the isomorphism  $\varphi$ , some properties of prime and regular ideals of  $GMV$ -algebras were derived also in [21].)

By the definition of a regular ideal of  $A$  it is obvious that every regular ideal  $H$  of  $A$  has a unique cover  $H^*$  in the lattice  $\mathcal{C}(A)$ . A  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is called *normal-valued* if for any regular ideal  $H$  of  $A$  and any  $x \in H^*$ ,  $x \oplus H = H \oplus x$ . Analogously, for any regular subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  there exists its unique cover  $K^*$  in  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ , and  $G$  is *normal-valued* if every regular subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  is normal in  $K^*$ . Recall that if  $A = (A, \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0, 1)$  is a  $GMV$ -algebra, then it is possible to introduce a partial binary operation  $+$  via:  $x + y$  is defined if and only if  $x \leq \neg y$  (if and only if  $y \leq \sim x$ ), and in this case  $x + y = x \wp y$ . (See e.g. [15; 6.4.1].) If  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$  and  $x \in A$ , set  $x + H = \{x + h : h \in H\}$  and  $H + x = \{h + x : h \in H\}$ . A. Dvurečenskij in [14] defined the notion of a normal-valued  $GMV$ -algebra using the mentioned partial operation  $+$  as follows: A  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is normal-valued if for any regular ideal  $H$  of  $A$  and  $x \in H^*$ ,  $x + H = H + x$ . One can easily see that both definitions are equivalent, because if  $x \in A$  and  $h \in H$ , then by [15; Remark 6.4.5],  $x \oplus h = x + ((x \oplus h) \odot \sim x) = x + (\sim x \wedge h)$ , and  $\sim x \wedge h \in H$ . Similarly  $h \oplus x = h_1 \in H$ , hence  $x \oplus H = H \oplus x$  if and only if  $x + H = H + x$ . Therefore, by [14; Proposition 6.2, the proof of Proposition 6.1],  $A$  is normal-valued if and only if  $G$  is normal-valued.

Finitely, a  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is called *finite valued* ([14]) if  $|\text{val}_A(a)| < \infty$  for every  $0 \neq a \in A$ . A *finite valued  $\ell$ -group* is defined analogously. It holds ([14; Proposition 6.2]) that  $A$  is finite valued if and only if  $G$  is finite valued.

Regular, essential and special ideals of  $MV$ -algebras, as well as finite valued  $MV$ -algebras, were studied by A. Di Nola, G. Georgescu and S. Sessa in [12]. Here we generalize, among others, some of their results to the theory of  $GMV$ -algebras.

### 3. Three kinds of radicals of $GMV$ -algebras

Let  $A$  be a  $GMV$ -algebra. An element  $0 \neq a \in A$  is called *infinitesimal* if  $a$  satisfies condition

$$n \odot a \leq \neg a \quad \text{for each } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us recall that if  $G$  is an  $\ell$ -group and  $a, b \in G$ , then  $a$  is said to be *infinitary small with respect to  $b$*  (notation  $a \ll b$ ) if  $na \leq b$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$  is a  $GMV$ -algebra and  $a \in A$ , then  $a$  is infinitesimal in  $A$  if and only if  $a \ll u$  in  $G$ .*

**P r o o f.** Let  $n \odot a \leq \neg a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $na \leq u - a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , thus  $a \ll u$  in  $G$ .

Conversely, let  $a \ll u$ . Then  $na \leq u$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $na \leq u - a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and therefore  $n \odot a \leq \neg a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $GMV$ -algebra and  $a \in A$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- a)  $a$  is infinitesimal.
- b)  $n \odot a \leq \sim a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $A$  be any  $GMV$ -algebra. Let us denote by  $\text{Infin}(A)$  the set of all infinitesimal elements in  $A$  and by  $\text{Rad}(A)$  the intersection of all maximal ideals of  $A$ . Analogously,  $\text{Rad}(G)$  will denote the intersection of all maximal convex  $\ell$ -subgroups of a unital  $\ell$ -group  $G$ .

**THEOREM 4.**

- a) Let  $A$  be any  $GMV$ -algebra. Then  $\text{Rad}(A) \subseteq \text{Infin}(A)$ .
- b) If  $A$  is normal-valued, then  $\text{Rad}(A) = \text{Infin}(A)$ .

**P r o o f.**

a) Let  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ . Then by Theorem 1 we have  $\text{Rad}(A) = \psi(\text{Rad}(G))$ , and  $x \ll u$  for any  $x \in \text{Rad}(G)$  by [4; Proposition 4.3.9]. Hence, if  $x \in \text{Rad}(G) \cap G^+$ , then  $x \in A$  and by Lemma 2  $x \in \text{Infin}(A)$ .

b) If  $A$  is normal-valued, then by [14; Proposition 6.2],  $G$  is also normal-valued, and thus all its maximal convex  $\ell$ -subgroups are  $\ell$ -ideals. Hence by [4; Proposition 4.3.9],  $\text{Rad}(G) = \{x \in G : x \ll u\}$ , therefore  $\text{Rad}(A) = \text{Infin}(A)$ .  $\square$

A  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is called *archimedean* (see e.g. [15]) if  $\text{Infin}(A) = \{0\}$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *If a  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is normal-valued, then  $\text{Rad}(A) = \{0\}$  if and only if  $A$  is an archimedean  $GMV$ -algebra (and hence  $A$  is an archimedean (= semisimple)  $MV$ -algebra).*

**P r o o f.** Let  $\text{Rad}(A) = \{0\}$ . Then by Theorem 4, if  $a \in A$  is such that  $n \odot a \leq \neg a$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $a = 0$ . Hence  $A$  is an archimedean  $GMV$ -algebra and thus by [13; Theorem 4.2],  $A$  is an archimedean  $MV$ -algebra.

This also implies, conversely, that for any archimedean  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$ ,  $\text{Rad}(A) = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 6.** *A  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is finite valued if and only if each regular ideal of  $A$  is special.*

**P r o o f.** If  $A$  is finite valued, then every its regular ideal is special by [14; Proposition 6.4].

Conversely, let every regular ideal of  $A$  be special. Let  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ . If  $K$  is a regular subgroup of  $G$ , then  $K \in \text{val}_G(g)$  for some  $0 \neq g \in G$ , and hence by [14; Proposition 6.2],  $\psi(K) \in \text{val}_A(|g| \wedge u)$ . Thus there exists  $0 \neq a \in A$  such that  $\text{val}_A(a) = \{\psi(K)\}$ . Hence, again by [14; Proposition 6.2],  $\text{val}_G(a) = \{K\}$ , and so  $K$  is special in  $G$ . Therefore by [4; Théorème 6.4.3],  $G$  is finite valued, thus  $A$  is by [14; Proposition 6.2] finite valued, too.  $\square$

**Remark.** In [12; Remark 5.2], it is noted that if an  $MV$ -algebra  $A$  is finite valued, then  $A$  has a finite number of maximal ideals (i.e.  $A$  is semilocal). The converse implication is in [12; Proposition 5.2], proved under the assumption that  $A$  is semisimple. Nevertheless, by [14; Proposition 6.4], the converse implication is valid even for arbitrary  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$ . Therefore a  $GMV$ -algebra is finite valued if and only if it has a finite number of maximal ideals.

Let  $A$  be a  $GMV$ -algebra and  $B \subseteq A$ . Then  $B$  is called *closed* if for any subset  $C \subseteq B$  such that  $c = \sup C$  in  $A$  exists, the element  $c$  belongs to  $B$ . (See [29].)

(Recall that closed ideals of  $MV$ -algebras were investigated in [12].)

**LEMMA 7.** *If  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$  is a  $GMV$ -algebra and  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ , then  $H$  is an essential ideal of  $A$  if and only if  $\varphi(H)$  is an essential subgroup of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The assertion follows from [14; Proposition 6.2(1)].  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 8.**

- a) *Every essential ideal of any  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  is closed.*
- b) *Every special ideal of  $A$  is closed.*

*Proof.*

a) Let  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$  be a  $GMV$ -algebra. If  $H$  is an essential ideal of  $A$ , then by Lemma 7,  $\varphi(H)$  is an essential subgroup of  $G$ , thus  $\varphi(H)$  is closed in  $G$  by [4; 6.1.3], and hence  $H$  is a closed ideal of  $A$  by [29; Proposition 13].

b) The second assertion is a particular case of the first one.  $\square$

In the sequel, we will compare the radical  $\text{Rad}(A)$  of a  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$  with further two kinds of radicals of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is any  $GMV$ -algebra, then the intersection  $D(A)$  of all closed prime ideals of  $A$  will be called the *distributive radical of  $A$* . (See also [29].) Let us recall that analogously it is defined the *distributive radical  $D(G)$*  of any  $\ell$ -group  $G$ , as the intersection of all closed prime subgroups of  $G$ .

Further, let denote by  $R(A)$  the intersection of all essential ideals of any  $GMV$ -algebra  $A$ . Analogously, for any  $\ell$ -group  $G$ ,  $R(G)$  is defined as the intersection of all essential subgroups of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 9.**

- a) For any GMV-algebra  $A$ ,  $D(A) \subseteq R(A)$ .
- b) If  $A$  is normal-valued, then  $D(A) = R(A)$ .

*Proof.*

a) Let  $H$  be an essential ideal of  $A$ . Then  $H$  is a prime ideal which is closed by Proposition 8. Hence  $D(A) \subseteq R(A)$ .

b) Let  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ . Then by the proof of [29; Proposition 20],  $D(G) = \varphi(D(A))$ . By [4; 6.2.3],  $D(G)$  is equal to the intersection of all closed regular subgroups of  $G$ . Thus by [29; Proposition 13], [14; Proposition 6.2] and Theorem 1,  $D(A)$  is equal to the intersection of all closed regular ideals of  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be normal-valued. Then by [14; Proposition 6.2],  $G$  is also normal-valued, hence each its closed regular subgroup is essential by [4; 6.1.14], therefore  $D(G) = R(G)$ . From this, using once more [14; Proposition 6.2], we get  $D(A) = R(A)$ . □

**THEOREM 10.** *If a GMV-algebra  $A$  is finite valued, then  $R(A) \subseteq \text{Rad}(A)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is finite valued, then each maximal ideal of  $A$  is essential by Theorem 6. This gives the assertion. □

**COROLLARY 11.** *If a GMV-algebra  $A$  is finite valued, then every element in the radical  $R(A)$  (and thus also in  $D(A)$ ) is infinitesimal.*

*Proof.* By [14; Proposition 6.4], every finite valued GMV-algebra is normal-valued. Hence  $R(A) \subseteq \text{Rad}(A) = \text{Infinit}(A)$  by Theorems 4 and 10. □

**PROPOSITION 12.** *If  $A$  is a finite valued GMV-algebra and  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$ , then  $H$  is closed.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6, every regular ideal of  $A$  is special, and thus, by Proposition 8, also closed. The assertion now follows from the fact that every  $H \in \mathcal{C}(A)$  is an intersection of regular ideals. □

**COROLLARY 13.** ([29; Proposition 16]) *If  $A$  is a linearly ordered GMV-algebra, then every ideal of  $A$  is closed.*

Let us recall that a lattice is called *completely distributive* if the equality

$$\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bigvee_{\beta \in \Delta} x_{\alpha\beta} = \bigvee_{f \in \Delta^\Gamma} \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} x_{\alpha f(\alpha)}$$

holds for any elements  $x_{\alpha\beta} \in L$ ,  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $\beta \in \Delta$ , if the above  $\bigwedge$  and  $\bigvee$  exist.

A GMV-algebra  $A$  is called *completely distributive* (see also [29]) if the lattice  $(A, \vee, \wedge)$  is completely distributive.



**PROPOSITION 14.** *Every finite valued GMV-algebra is completely distributive.*

*Proof.* If  $A$  is any GMV-algebra, then by [29; Theorem 21],  $A$  is completely distributive if and only if  $D(A) = \{0\}$ . Since in the case of a finite valued GMV-algebra  $A$  (by Proposition 12)  $\{0\}$  is a closed ideal,  $D(A) = \{0\}$ , and therefore we obtain the assertion.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 15.** *If a GMV-algebra  $A$  is not completely distributive, then  $A$  has infinitely many maximal ideals.*

*Proof.* Let a GMV-algebra  $A$  be not completely distributive. Then there exists  $0 \neq a \in A$  which have infinitely many values, therefore also the greatest element 1 has by [14; Proposition 6.4] infinitely many values.  $\square$

Dvurečenskij introduced in [14] the notion of a state-morphism on any GMV-algebra  $A$  as a homomorphism of  $A$  into the standard MV-algebra  $[0, 1] = \Gamma(\mathbb{R}, 1)$ . In the same time he showed ([14; Propositions 4.3, 4.5, 4.6]) that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the state-morphisms on  $A$  and the maximal ideals of  $A$  which are normal. Hence we get, as a consequence, the following assertion.

**COROLLARY 16.** *If a GMV-algebra  $A$  is not completely distributive and is normal-valued, then  $A$  possesses infinitely many state-morphisms.*

**Remark.** Let  $G$  be an  $\ell$ -group which possesses a strong unit  $u$ . By [29; Theorem 21],  $G$  is completely distributive if and only if the GMV-algebra  $\Gamma(G, u)$  is completely distributive. Thus by Theorem 1 and Corollary 15, if an  $\ell$ -group  $G$  which has a strong unit is not completely distributive, then  $G$  has infinitely many maximal convex  $\ell$ -subgroups.

Moreover, let us recall that a *state* on a unital  $\ell$ -group  $(G, u)$  is any mapping  $s: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s(g_1 + g_2) = s(g_1) + s(g_2)$ ,  $g_1 \in G^+ \implies s(g_1) \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for every  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , and  $s(u) = 1$ . (See [14], and for abelian unital  $\ell$ -groups [19]). By [14], the states on  $G$  are in a one-to-one correspondence with the so-called states on the GMV-algebra  $\Gamma(G, u)$ . Since the state-morphisms on  $\Gamma(G, u)$  are special cases of the states on  $\Gamma(G, u)$ , Corollary 16 with Theorem 1 imply the following assertion: If a normal-valued  $\ell$ -group  $G$  has a strong unit and is not completely distributive, then  $G$  possesses infinitely many states.

As one is known, the finite valued  $\ell$ -groups can be characterized by means of properties of the lattices of their convex  $\ell$ -subgroups. Let us show that a similar characterization exists also for GMV-algebras.

**THEOREM 17.** *Let  $A$  be a GMV-algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- a)  $A$  is finite valued.

- b) *The lattice  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  is completely distributive.*
- c) *The lattice  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  is dually Brouwerian.*
- d) *The lattice  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  is freely generated by the root system of regular ideals.*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$ . Then by Theorem 1, the lattices  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  are isomorphic and by [14; Proposition 6.2],  $A$  is finite valued if and only if  $G$  is finite valued. Hence all conditions a), b) and c) are equivalent by [4; Théorème 6.4.8].

Conditions a) and d) are equivalent by Theorem 1 and [2; Theorem 10.12]. □

**THEOREM 18.** *A GMV-algebra  $A$  is finite valued if and only if any element  $0 \neq a \in A$  is a supremum of a finite number of pairwise orthogonal special elements in  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = \Gamma(G, u)$  and let  $0 \neq a \in A$ . By [4; Théorème 6.4.1],  $|\text{val}_G(a)| < \infty$  if and only if there exist pairwise orthogonal special elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G^+$  such that  $a = a_1 + \dots + a_n$  in  $G$ . The sum of pairwise orthogonal elements in  $G^+$  is equal to their join, hence  $a = a_1 \vee \dots \vee a_n$ . At the same time, every  $a_i$  belongs to  $A$  and by [14; Proposition 6.2],  $a_i$  is special also in  $A$ . □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] ABRUSCI, V. M.—RUET, P.: *Non-commutative logic I: the multiplicative fragment*, Ann. Pure Appl. Logic **101** (2000), 29–64.
- [2] ANDERSON, M.—FEIL, T.: *Lattice-Ordered Groups*, D. Reidel Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.
- [3] BAUDOT, R.: *Non-commutative logic programming language NoCLog*. In: Symposium LICS 2000 (Santa Barbara). Short Presentations.
- [4] BIGARD, A.—KEIMEL, K.—WOLFENSTEIN, S.: *Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1977.
- [5] CETERCHI, R.: *On algebras with implications, categorically equivalent to pseudo-MV algebras*. In: Proc. Fourth Inter. Symp. Econ. Inform., May 6–9, 1999, INFOREC Printing House, Bucharest, 1999, pp. 912–917.
- [6] CETERCHI, R.: *Pseudo-Wajsberg algebras*, Multiple-Valued Logic **6** (2001), 67–88.
- [7] CETERCHI, R.: *The lattice structure of pseudo-Wajsberg algebras*, J. UCS **6** (2000), 22–38.
- [8] CHANG, C. C.: *Algebraic analysis of many valued logic*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **88** (1958), 467–490.
- [9] CHANG, C. C.: *A new proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1959), 74–80.
- [10] CIGNOLI, R. O. L.—D’OTTAVIANO, I. M. L.—MUNDICI, D.: *Algebraic Foundations of Many-Valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000.
- [11] CIGNOLI, R. TORRENS, A.: *The poset of prime  $\ell$ -ideals of an abelian  $\ell$ -group with a strong unit*, J. Algebra **184** (1996), 604–614.

JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK

- [12] DI NOLA, A.—GEORGESCU, G.—SESSA, S.: *Closed ideals of MV-algebras*. In: *Advances in Contemporary Logic and Computer Science*. Contemp. Math. 235, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 99–112.
- [13] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.: *Pseudo MV-algebras are intervals in  $\ell$ -groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **72** (2002) (To appear).
- [14] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.: *States on pseudo MV-algebras*, Studia Logica **68** (2001), 301–327.
- [15] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.—PULMANNOVÁ, S.: *New Trends in Quantum Structures*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000.
- [16] GEORGESCU, G.—IORGULESCU, A.: *Pseudo-MV algebras: A non-commutative extension of MV-algebras*. In: Proc. Fourth Inter. Symp. Econ. Inform., May 6–9, 1999, INFOREC Printing House, Bucharest, 1999, pp. 961–968.
- [17] GEORGESCU, G.—IORGULESCU, A.: *Pseudo-MV algebras*, Multiple-Valued Logic **6** (2001), 95–135.
- [18] GLASS, A. M. W.: *Partially Ordered Groups*, World Scientific, Singapore-New Jersey-London-Hong Kong, 1999.
- [19] GOODEARL, K. R.: *Partially Ordered Abelian Groups with Interpolation*. Math. Surveys Monographs 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [20] HÁJEK, P.: *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [21] HORT, D.—RACHŮNEK, J.: *Lex ideals of generalized MV-algebras*. In: Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. (DTMCS01), Springer, London, 2001, pp. 125–136.
- [22] KOPYTOV, V. M.—MEDVEDEV, N. YA.: *The Theory of Lattice Ordered Groups*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1994.
- [23] LAMBEK, J.: *Some lattice models of bilinear logic*, Algebra Universalis **34** (1995), 541–550.
- [24] LAMBEK, J.: *Bilinear logic and Grishin algebras*. In: Logic at Work. Essays Dedicated to the Memory of Helena Rasiowa (E. Orłowska, ed.), Physica-Verlag Comp., Heidelberg, 1999, pp. 604–612.
- [25] MAIELI, R.—RUET, P.: *Non-commutative logic III: focusing proofs*. Preprint.
- [26] MUNDICI, D.: *Interpretation of AF  $C^*$ -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. **65** (1986), 15–63.
- [27] RACHŮNEK, J.: *A non-commutative generalization of MV-algebras*, Czechoslovak Math. J. (To appear).
- [28] RACHŮNEK, J.: *Prime ideals and polars in generalized MV-algebras*, Multiple-Valued Logic (To appear).
- [29] RACHŮNEK, J.: *Prime spectra of non-commutative generalizations of MV-algebras* (Submitted).
- [30] RUET, P.: *Non-commutative logic II: sequent calculus and phrase semantics*, Math. Structures Comput. Sci. **10** (2000), 277–312.
- [31] TURUNEN, E.: *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*, Physica-Verlag, A Springer-Verlag Comp., Heidelberg-New York, 1999.
- [32] YETTER, D. N.: *Quantales and (non-commutative) linear logic*, J. Symbolic Logic **55** (1990), 41–64.

Received June 7, 2001

*Department of Algebra and Geometry  
Palacký University  
Tomkova 40  
CZ-779 00 Olomouc  
CZECH REPUBLIC  
E-mail: rachunek@risc.upol.cz*