Grażyna Kwiecińska On the measurability of real functions defined on product-spaces

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 31 (1981), No. 3, 319--331

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/132271

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1981

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON THE MEASURABILITY OF REAL FUNCTIONS DEFINED ON PRODUCT-SPACES

GRAŻYNA KWIECIŃSKA

Let $(X_1, \varrho_1, \mathfrak{M}_1, \mu_1)$ and $(X_2, \varrho_2, \mathfrak{M}_2, \mu_2)$ be complete metric spaces with metrics ϱ_1 and ϱ_2 , respectively, and with σ -finite regular complete measures μ_1 and μ_2 , defined over a σ -field \mathfrak{M}_1 and \mathfrak{M}_2 of subsets of X_1 and X_2 , respectively.

(1) Let $\mathscr{F}_1 \subset \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $\mathscr{F}_2 \subset \mathfrak{M}_2$ be families of closed sets with nonempty interiors and positive and finite measures μ_1, μ_2 .

Definition 1. The sequence $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of sets from $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{F}_2)$ is said to converge to the point $x_0 \in X_1$ ($y_0 \in X_2$) iff $x_0 \in \text{Int}(I_n)$ ($y_0 \in \text{Int}(I_n)$) for n = 1, 2, ... and the sequences of diameters $d(I_n)$ converge to zero while $n \to \infty$.

The convergence of $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ to x_0 will be denoted by $I_n \rightarrow x_0$.

(2) Assume that the family \mathscr{F}_1 (\mathscr{F}_2) is countable and that for every $x_0 \in X_1$ $(y_0 \in X_2)$ there is a sequence of sets $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ from F_1 (F_2) converging to x_0 (y_0).

Let $A_1 \subset X_1$, $A_2 \subset X_2$, $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_2 \in X_2$. Denote by μ_1^* and μ_2^* (μ_1 and μ_2 .) the outer (inner) measures corresponding to μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively.

Definition 2. The upper (lower) vound of the set of numbers $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\mu_1^*(A_1 \cap I_n)}{\mu_1(I_n)}$ taken from all the sequences $\{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converging to x_1 is called the upper (lower) external density of A_1 in x_1 with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 and is denoted by $D_u^*(x_1, A_1)$ ($D_i^*(x_1, A_1)$).

If $D_{u}^{*}(x_{1}, A_{1}) = D_{1}^{*}(x_{1}, A_{1})$, then their common value is called the external density of the set A_{1} in x_{1} with respect to \mathcal{F}_{1} and is denoted by $D^{*}(x_{1}, A_{1})$.

If we replace in the above definitions set A_1 by A_2 point x_1 by x_2 , we get the upper external density $D_u^*(x_2, A_2)$, the lower external density $D_1^*(x_2, A_2)$ and the external density $D^*(x_2, A_2)$ of the set A_2 in the point x_2 with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 .

If $A_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ $(A_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2)$, then the respective external densities are called densities with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 (\mathcal{F}_2) and denoted by $D_u(x_1, A_1)$, $D_1(x_1, A_1)$, and $D(x_1, A_1)$ $(D_u(x_2, A_2), D_1(x_2, A_2)$ and $D(x_2, A_2)$, respectively. Point x_1 is called a density point of the set A with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 if there exists a set $B \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ such that $B \subset A$ and $D(x_1, B) = 1$.

Moreover assume that

(3) for every set $A_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ $(A_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_2)$ the μ_1 (μ_2) -measure of the set $\{x: x \in A_1, D_1(x, A_1) < 1\}$ $(\{y: y \in A_2, D_1(y, A_2) < 1\})$ is equal to zero.

Examples of the spaces that satisfy (1), (2) and (3):

1. If $X_1 = R^2$, ϱ_1 is the Euclidean metric R^2 , \mathfrak{M}_1 a family of Lebesque measurable sets, μ_1 the Lebesque measure and \mathcal{F}_1 consists of circle centres with rational centre coordinates and radius, then the conditions (1), (2), (3) are met.

2. Let $X_1 = R^1$, $\varrho_1(x, y) = |x - y|$ for $x, y \in R^1$, let \mathfrak{M}_1 be a σ -field of Borel sets of the straight line, μ_1 -a regular σ -finite measure, such that all intervals are μ_1 -measurable and their μ_1 -measure is non-zero and let \mathscr{F}_1 be a family of closed intervals with rational ends. Then the conditions (1, (2), (3) are satisfied.

In order to show this it suffices to prove that for every set $A \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ condition (3) holds.

Let $E \in \mathfrak{M}_1$. Since μ_1 is σ -finite, we may assume that $\mu_1(E) < \infty$. Since $\frac{u_1(I \cap E)}{\mu_1(I)} \le 1$ for $I \in \mathscr{F}_1$, the set Z of those points $x \in E$, for which $D_i(x, E) < 1$ is equal to $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)$, where $H = H(\alpha) = E \cap \{x : D_1(x, E) < \alpha\}$. And so it is sufficient to prove that $0 < \alpha < 1$ implies $\mu_1(H) = 0$.

Let ε be any positive number and G be an open set containing H and such that

$$\mu_1(G) \leq \mu_1(H) + \varepsilon.$$

It follows from the definition of the set H that the family of closed intervals I contained in G and such that $\frac{\mu_1(I \cap E)}{\mu_1(I)} < \alpha$ is a Vitaly cover of H, and so (see [4]) there exists a sequence $\{I_v\}$ of mutually disjoint intervals of this family, for which $\mu_1(H - \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} I_v) = 0$. Since $H = \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} (H \cap I_v) \cup (H - \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} I_v) \subset \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} (E \cap I_v) \cup (H - \bigcup_{v=1}^{\infty} I_v)$,

then

$$\mu_1(H) \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(E \cap I_{\nu}) < \alpha \cdot \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \mu_1(I_{\nu}) \leq \alpha \cdot \mu_1(G) \leq \alpha \cdot (\mu_1(H) + \varepsilon).$$

Hence, while $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get $\mu_1(H) \le \alpha \cdot \mu_1(H)$ and since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we get $\mu_1(H) = 0$.

Lemma 1. ([2], Lemma 4.1) Let $(X_3, \varrho_3, \mathfrak{M}_3, \mu_3) = (X_1 \times X_2, \varrho_1 \times \varrho_2, \overline{\mathfrak{M}_1 \times \mathfrak{M}_2}, \overline{\mu_1 \times \mu_2})$ $(\overline{\mu_1 \times \mu_2}$ stands for the completion of the measure $\mu_1 \times \mu_2$). 320 Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ and $\mu_3(A) < \infty$. Then the set B of all points $(x, y) \in A$, for which the section $A_x = \{y: y \in X_2, (x, y) \in A\}$ is μ_2 -measurable of positive measure μ_2 and $D(y, A_x) = 1$ is μ_3 -measurable and $\mu_3(A - B) = 0$.

Definition 3. Let $A \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ and $B \in \mathfrak{M}_3$. By $A \subset B$ we denote the statement that (i) $A \subset B$

(ii) any point y_0 belonging to $A_{x_0} = \{y: y \in X_2, (x_0, y) \in A\}$ is a density point of the set $B_{x_0} = \{y: y \in X_2, (x_0, y) \in B\}$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 and

(iii) any point x_0 belonging to $A = \{x : x \in X_1, (x, y_0) \in A\}$ is a density point of the set $B^{y_0} = \{x : x \in X_1, (x, y_0) \in B\}$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 .

Lemma 2. If $A \in \mathfrak{M}_3$, then there exists an F_{σ} set $B \subset A$ such that $\mu_3(A - B) = 0$ and $B \subset B$.

Proof. If $\mu_3(A) = 0$, then we may take the empty set for *B*. Otherwise let *A'* be such a F_{σ} that $\mu_3(A - A') = 0$. Let B_1 be the set of all points $(x, y) \in A'$ such that the section A'' belongs to \mathfrak{M}_1 , the measure $\mu_1(A'')$ is positive and *x* is a density point of A'' with respect to \mathscr{F}_1 . In accordance with Lemma 1 $B_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ and $\mu_3(A' - B_1) = 0$. Let B_2 be a G_{δ} which contains $A' - B_1$ with the μ_3 -measure equal to zero.

Let $A_1 = \{y: y \in X_2, \mu_2(B_2^y) > 0\}$. Evidently $\mu_2(A_1) = 0$.

Let $A_2 \subset X_2$ be a G_{δ} which contains the set A_1 with the μ_2 -measure equal to zero. We put $B_3 = A - ((X_1 \times A_2) \cup B_2)$. The set $B_3 \subset X_3$ is an F_{σ} and $\mu_3(B_1 - B_3) =$ 0 and any point $x \in (B_3)^{\nu}$ is a density point of the section $(B_3)^{\nu}$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 . Let B_4 be a set of all points $(x, y) \in B_3$ for which the section $(B_3)_x$ is μ_2 -measurable, $\mu_2((B_3)_x) > 0$ and y is a density point of the section $(B_3)_x$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 . Once more $\mu_3(B_3 - B_4) = 0$. Denote by B_5 a G_{δ} of the μ_3 -measure equal to zero containing $B_3 - B_4$. Let $A_3 = \{x: x \in X_1, \mu_2((B_5)_x) > 0\}$. It is clear that $\mu_1(A_3) = 0$.

Let $A_4 = \{y: y \in X_2, \mu_1(B_5^v) > 0\}$. Let $A_5 \subset X_1$ be a G_6 with the μ_1 -measure equal to zero containing A_3 and let A_6 be a G_6 of μ_2 -measure equal to zero containing A_4 . For B take $B = B_3 - [(A_5 \times X_2) \cup (X_1 \times A_6) \cup B_5]$. By this definition B meets the conditions of the Lemma and this completes the proof.

Definition 4. The function $f: X_1 \rightarrow R$ is called approximately upper (lower) semicontinous in the point $x_1 \in X_1$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 iff for every $a \in R$ if $f(x_1) < a$ $f(x_1) > a$, then there exists the set $F \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ such that $F \subset \{x: x \in X_1, f(x) < a\}, (F \subset \{x: x \in X_1, f(x) > a\})$ and $D(x_1, F) = 1$.

A function that is simultaneously approximately lower and upper semicontinuous in $x_1 \in X_1$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 , is called approximately continuous in x_1 with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 .

A function that is approximately continuous (approximately lower semicontinuous) ((approximately upper semicontinuous)) in any $x \in X_1$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 is called approximately continuous (approximately lower semicontinuous) (approximately upper semicontinuous)) with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 . **Lemma 3.** A function $f: X_1 \rightarrow R$ that is almost everywhere approximately lower semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 , is μ_1 -measurable.

In order to prove Lemma 3 we first show

Lemma 3'. The set $M \subset X_1$, whose almost every point is its density point with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 is μ_1 -measurable.

Proof. Decompose the set M into two disjoint sets M_1 and M_2 such that $M = M_{11} \cup M_2$, $M_1 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $\mu_{1*}(M_2) = 0$. The set M_1 belongs to \mathfrak{M}_1 , so that by property (3) of the family \mathcal{F}_1 its density with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 equals 1 in almost every of its points and equals 0 in almost every point of the set M_2 . Since the inner density of M with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 is positive in almost every of its points, the μ_1 -measure of the M_2 equals zero, i. e. $M = M_1 \cup M_2 \in \mathfrak{M}_1$.

Now we shall prove Lemma 3. Let us fix an $a \in R$. It remains to be shown that the set $M = \{x: x \in X_1, f(x) > a\}$ belongs to \mathfrak{M}_1 .

Let $x_1 \in M$ and let the function f be approximately lower semicontinuous in x_1 with respect to \mathscr{F}_1 . Hence $f(x_1) > a$ and there exists a set $F \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ such that $F \subset M$ and $D(x_1, F) = 1$. Thus the set M has the density 1 with respect to \mathscr{F}_1 in almost each of its points and thus, by Lemma 3', $M \in \mathfrak{M}_1$. With that proof Lemma 3 is completed.

Definition 5. ([2], def. 4.2) The function $f: X_2 \rightarrow R$ has the property (K) with respect to F_2 iff it is pointwise noncontinuous over any closed set, whose set of density points is dense in it with respect to F_2 .

It follows from the above definition that

(4) every function belonging to the Baire class I has the property (K).

Lemma 4. ([2], Lemma 4.2) If the function $g: X_2 \to R$ has the property (K) with respect to \mathscr{F}_2 , then for every set $F \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ of positive μ_2 -measure and for every positive ε there exists a set $J \in \mathscr{F}_2$ such that $\mu_2(J \cap F) > 0$ and $\underset{U \cap J}{\text{osc}} g \leq \varepsilon$, where U is the set of density points of F with respect to \mathscr{F}_2 .

Denote by $\Phi(f)$ the set of all points $(x_0, y_0) \in X_3$ such that either the function $f^{y_0}(x) = f(x, y_0)$ (called section) is not approximately continuous in x_0 with respect to \mathscr{F}_1 or the section $f_{x_0}(y) = f(x_0, y)$ is not approximately continuous in y_0 with respect to \mathscr{F}_2 .

Lemma 5. Let $f: X_3 \rightarrow R$ be a μ_2 -measurable function. Then $\mu_3(\Phi(f)) = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of all open intervals with rational endpoints such that $U_i \neq U_j$ for $i \neq j$. We put $A_n = f^{-1}(U_n)$. Lemma 2 implies that every set A_n contains a subset B_n such that $\mu_3(A_n - B_n) = 0$ and $B_n \subset B_n$. Let $C_n = A_n - B_n$ and

$$(*) D = X_3 - \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$$

Let $(x_0, y_0) \in D$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that $f(x_0, y_0) \in U_{n_0} \subset (f(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon,$ $f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon$). The point (x_0, y_0) belongs to B_{n_0} and x_0 is a density point of the counterimage $(f^{y_0})^{-1} \in (f(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon, f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon)$. Therefore the function f^{y_0} is approximately continuous in x_0 with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 . The proof that the section f_{x_0} is approximately continuous in y_0 with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 is similar. Hence $D \cap \Phi(f) = \emptyset$. By (*) $\Phi(f) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_n - B_n)$. The latter set has the measure 0, and so

 $\mu_3(\Phi(f)) = 0.$

Lemma 6. ([1], lemma 2) Let (X, \mathfrak{M}, μ) be a measurable space with the σ -finite measure μ . Let $g: X \rightarrow R$ be such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ for class of sets

 $D_{\varepsilon}\{D: D \in \mathfrak{M}, \text{ osc } g \leq \varepsilon\}$ satisfies the following condition:

(d) for any set $B \subset X$ with a positive measure μ there exists a set $D \in D_{\varepsilon}$ such that $D \subset B$ and $\mu(D) > 0$.

Then the function g is $\bar{\mu}$ -measurable, where $\bar{\mu}$ stands for the completion of μ .

(Davies has proved the Lemma under the assumption that μ is finite, whereas σ -finiteness is sufficient).

Definition 6. The function $g: X_1 \rightarrow R$ is said to be degenerated in the point $x_1 \in X_1$ when there exists a closed interval I such that $g(x_1) \in Int(I)$ and the external density with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 of the counterimage $g^{-1}(I)$ is in x_1 equal to zero.

For the function $f: X_3 \rightarrow R$ we define A(f) as the set of all points $(x, y) \in X_3$ such that the section f^{y} is degenerated in x.

Let B(f) denote the set of all points $(x, y) \in X_3$ such that the section f_x is not approximately continuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 in y.

Theorem 1. Let $f: X_3 \rightarrow R$ be a function such that all its sections f^{y} are μ_1 -measurable. The function f is measurable if and only if $\mu_3(A(f) \cup B(f)) = 0$.

Proof. The necessity of the condition follows from Lemma 5 as $A(f) \cup B(f) \subset B(f)$ $\Phi(f)$. We shall therefore now show the sufficiency of the condition. Let A = $X_3 - [A(f) \cup B(f)]$. Let $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of closed sets with a μ_3 positive

measure such that $A_i \subset A_{i+1}$ for i = 1, 2, ... and $\mu_3 \left(A - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \right) = 0$.

Put

$$f_n(x, y) = \begin{cases} f(x, y) & \text{for } (x, y) \in A_n \\ 0 & \text{for } (x, y) \notin A_n \end{cases}.$$

323

As almost everywhere $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(x, y) = f(x, y)$ with respect to the measure μ_3 , it is

sufficient to show that the functions f_n satisfy the assumptions concerning the function g of Lemma 6 in the case when $X = X_3$ and $\mu = \mu_3$. Let $E \in \mathfrak{M}_3$, $0 < \mu_3(E) < \infty$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Denote by Q the set of all points $x \in X_1$ such that the sections $E_x \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ and $\mu_2(E_x) > 0$ and $\mu_2([A(f) \cup B(f)]_x) = 0$. It follows from Fubini's Theorem that $Q \subset X_1$ is a μ_1 -measurable set with a positive measure μ_1 . For $x \in Q$ the sections $f_x(y)$ are almost everywhere approximately continuous and therefore by Lemma 3:

(1.1) For any $x \in Q$ the sections f_x are μ_2 -measurable.

Let $\{J_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of all sets belonging to \mathcal{F}_2 and let $\{K_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of all closed intervals with rational ends and lengths smaller then ε . Denote by $Q_{r,s}$ the set of all points $x \in Q$ such that

(i)
$$\mu_2(J_r \cap E_x) > 0$$

(ii) if $D(y, E_x) = 1$ and $y \in J_r$, then $f_n(x, y) \in K_r$.

Notice that

(1.2) for any x ∈ Q, any n ∈ N and any set Z ∈ M₂ with a positive measure μ₂₂ and for any δ>0 there exists a set J ∈ F₂ such that μ₂(J∩Z)>0 and osc (f_n)_x ≤ δ, where U is the set of density points of Z with respect to F₂.

Indeed. Let $Z \in \mathfrak{M}_2$ be a set with a positive measure μ_2 and $\delta > 0$. We discuss two cases.

1. If $\mu_2(Z - (A_n)_x) > 0$, then there exists a point $y' \in X_2$ such that $y' \in Z - (A_n)_x$ and $D(y', Z - (A_n)_x) = 1$. As the set $(A_n)_x$ is closed, it follows from property (2) of the family \mathscr{F}_2 that there exists $J \in \mathscr{F}_2$ such that $y' \in Int(J)$ and $J \cap (A_n)_x = \emptyset$.

Therefore for $y \in J$ we have $f_n(x, y) = (f_n)_x(y) = 0$. Hence $\underset{U \in J}{\operatorname{osc}}(f_n)_x = 0 < \delta$.

2. If $\mu_2(Z-(A_n)_x)=0$, then we notice that in this case all density points of Z belong to $(A_n)_x$. In order to show that

(1.2) holds in this case it is sufficient to show that

(1.3) there exists a set $I \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $\mu_2(I \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x) > 0$ and $\underset{U_1 \cap I}{\text{osc}} (f_n)_x \leq \delta$, where U_1 is the set of density points of $Z \cap (A_n)_x$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 .

Assume that (1.3) does not hold. Then we have

(1.4) if for the set $J \in \mathcal{F}_2$ the inequality $\mu_2(J \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x) > 0$ holds, then $\underset{U_1 \cap J}{\operatorname{osc}} (f_n)_x > \delta.$ Let $y_1 \in Z \cap (A_n)_x$ and

(1.5) $D(y_1, Z \cap (A_n)_x) = 1.$

Such a point y_1 exists according to property (3) of \mathcal{F}_2 . Let $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ be a set such that

(1.6)
$$y_1 \in Int(I_1)$$

(1.7)
$$\frac{\mu_2(I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x)}{\mu_2(I_1)} > \frac{3}{4}$$

(1.8)
$$\frac{\mu_2(I_1 \cap \{y \colon y \in X_2, |(f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_1)| < \frac{\delta}{8}\})}{\mu_2(I_1)} > \frac{3}{4}.$$

The existence of I follows from (1.5) and from the fact that y_1 is a point of approximative continuity of the section $(f_n)_x$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 .

Let
$$G_1 = \{ y: y \in I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x, |(f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_1)| > \frac{\delta}{2} \}.$$

(1.9) $\mu_2(G_1) > 0.$

Indeed. Assume that $\mu_2(G_1) = 0$. Then for points $y \in [I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x] - G_1$ the inequality $|(f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_1)| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ holds and therefore

(1.10) $\underset{[I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x] = G_1}{\operatorname{osc}} (f_n) \leq \delta \text{ and}$

(1.11) {
$$y: y \in Int(I_1), D(y_1, Z \cap (A_n)_x) = 1$$
} $\subset [I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x] - G_1.$

Indeed. Assume that (1.11) does not hold. Then there exists a point $y'_1 \in$ Int $(I_1) \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x$ such that $D(y'_1, Z \cap (A_n)_x) =$ and $y'_1 \in G_1$.

Then $|(f_n)_x(y'_1) - (f_n)_x(y_1)| > \frac{\delta}{2}$. The point y'_1 is a density point of the set $(A_n)_x$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 and therefore it is a point of approximative continuity of the section $(f_n)_x$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 .

Assume that $(f_n)_x(y'_1) > (f_n)_x(y_1)$. Denote $\eta = (f_n)_x(y'_1) - (f_n)_x(y_1) - \frac{\delta}{2}$. The number η chosen in this way is positive. Consider the set

$$H_1 = \{ y \colon y \in I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x, | (f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_1') | < \eta \}$$

being a subset of G_1 . As y'_1 is a point of approximative continuity of the function $(f_n)_x$, it is also a density point of the set $H_1 \subset G_1$ which contradicts the condition $\mu_2(G_1) = 0$. In the case when $(f_n)_x(y'_1) < (f_n)_x(y_1)$, the reasoning is analogous. Thus

we have shown that the nodensity point of $Z \cap (A_n)_x$ belonging to Int (I_1) can belong to G_1 too. We have proved (1.11) in spite of the assumption that (1.11) does not hold. Therefore (1.11) must be true. Thereform and from (1.10) we infer that

(1.12)
$$\operatorname{osc}_{U_1 \circ I_1} (f_n)_x \leq \delta$$

As $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and because of (1.7) $\mu_2(I_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x) > 0$, therefore by (1.4) we obtain $\underset{U_1 \cap I_1}{\text{osc}} (f_n)_x > \delta$, which contradicts (1.12). Thus negation of (1.9) leads to a contradiction and therefore (1.9) must be true.

(1.13) There exists a point $y_2 \in G_1 \cap \text{Int}(I_1)$ such that $D(y_2, G_1) = 1$.

Indeed. Assume that (1.13) does not hold. Then

 $\{y: y \in G_1 \cap \text{Int} (I_1), D(y, G_1) = 1\} = \emptyset$ and therefore

(1.14)
$$\mu_2(G_1 \cap \operatorname{Imt} (I_1)) = 0.$$

The inequality $|(f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_1)| \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ must hold for all $y \in [\text{Int}(I_1) \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x] - G_1$ and therefore

(1.15)
$$\operatorname{OSC}_{[\operatorname{Int}(I_1) \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x] - G_1} (f_n)_x \leq \delta.$$

On the other hand (1.6) and (1.5) hold true. Therefore there exist $I'_1 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ such that $I'_1 \subset \text{Int}(I_1)$ and

(1.16)
$$\mu_2(I'_1 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x) > 0.$$

We shall prove that

$$(1.17) G_1 \cap (U_1 \cap I_1') = \emptyset.$$

Assume that there exists a point $y_1' \in G_1 \cap I_1 \cap U_1$. Then the inequality $|(f_n)_x(y_1') - (f_n)_x(y_1)| > \frac{\delta}{2}$ holds. Point y_1'' is a density point of $(A_n)_x$ and is therefore a point of approximative continuity of the section $(f_n)_x$. Assume that $(f_n)_x(y_1'') > (f_n)_x(y_1)$. Denote $\eta = (f_n)_x(y_1'') - (f_n)_x(y_1) - \frac{\delta}{2}$. The number chosen in this way is positive. Define

$$H_2 = \{y: y \in \text{Int} (I_1) \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x, |(f_n)_x(y_1') - (f_n)_x(y)| < \eta \}$$

Obviously $H_2 \subset G_1 \cap \text{Int}(I_1)$. As y_1'' is a point of approximative continuity of the section $(f_n)_x$ it is also a density point of $H_2 \subset G_1 \cap \text{Int}(I_1)$, which contradicts (1.14).

326

In the case when $(f_n)_x(y_1^r) < (f_n)_x(y_1)$ the reasoning is analogous. The assumption that (1.17) does not hold leads to a contradiction. Thus (1.17) holds. From this and from (1.15) we get

(1.18)
$$\operatorname{osc}_{U_1 \cap U'} (f_n)_x \leq \delta.$$

As $I'_1 \in \mathcal{F}_2$ and (1.16), we obtain by (1.4) $\underset{U_1 \cap I_1}{\text{osc}} (f_n)_x > \delta$, which contradicts (1.18). We have shown that the negation of (1.13) leads to a contradiction. Therefore (1.13) leads to a contradiction. Therefore (1.13) houlds true. Thus $|(f_n)_x(y_2) - (f_n)_x(y_1)| > \frac{\delta}{2}$.

Let $I_{22} \subset \text{Int}(I_1)$ be such a set belonging to the family \mathscr{F}_2 that $y_2 \in \text{Int}(I_2)$ and $d(I_2) < \frac{1}{2}$ and

(1.19)
$$\frac{\mu_2(I_2 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x)}{\mu_2(I_2)} > \frac{3}{4} \text{ and }$$

(1.20)
$$\frac{\mu_2(I_2 \cap \left\{ y: y \in X_2, |(f_n)_x(y_2) - (f_n)_x(y)| < \frac{\delta}{8} \right\} \right)}{\mu_2(I_2)} > \frac{3}{4}.$$

The existence of I_2 follows from the fact that y_2 is a point of approximative continuity of the section $(f_n)_x$. Similarly as before the set

$$G_2 = \left\{ y: y \in I_2 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x, |(f_n)_x(y_2) - (f_n)_x(y)| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\},\$$

being a subset of $I_2 \cap Z \cap (A_n)_x$, is μ_2 -measurable and has a positive measure μ_2 .

Let $y_3 \in G_2 \cap \text{Int}(I_2)$ be a density point of G_2 with respect to \mathscr{F}_2 . Evidently $|(f_n)_x(y_3) - (f_n)_x(y_2)| > \frac{\delta}{2}$. Proceeding analogously we define the sequence $\{I_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of the sets from \mathscr{F}_2 such that $I_{i+1} \subset \text{Int}(I_i)$, $d(I_i) < \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}$ for i = 1, 2, ... and the sequence points $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $y_k \in \text{Int}(I_k)$ (k = 1, 2, ...) and

(1.21)
$$|(f_n)_x(y_{i+1}) - (f_n)_x(y_i)| > \frac{\delta}{2}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ...$

The set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} I_i$ consists of one point y_0 . As the section f_x is approximately continuous in y_0 (as $y_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (I_i \cap (A_n)_x)$), we have $D(y_0, K) = 1$, where $K = \{y: y \in X_2, |f_x(y_0) - f_x(y)| < \frac{\delta}{8}.$

327

Moreover $\frac{\mu_2(I_k \cap (A_n)_x)}{\mu_2(I_k)} > \frac{3}{4}$, hence there exists N such that for k > N $\frac{\mu_2(I_k \cap (A_n)_x \cap K)}{\mu_2(I_k)} \ge \frac{3}{4}$ and consequently also

$$\frac{\mu_2\left(I_k \cap \left\{y: y \in X_2, \left|(f_n)_x(y_0) - (f_n)_x(y)\right| < \frac{\delta}{8}\right\}\right)}{\mu_2(I_k)} > \frac{1}{2}$$

Cn the other hand

$$\frac{\mu_2\left(I_k \cap \left\{y: y \in X_2 | (f_n)_x(y) - af_n)_x(y_k) | < \frac{\delta}{8}\right\}\right)}{\mu_2(I_k)} > \frac{3}{4}$$

(cf. (18) and (1.20)).

Therefore for

$$k > N\left\{y: y \in X_2, \left|(f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_0)\right| < \frac{\delta}{8}\right\} \cap \left\{y: y \in X_2, \left|(f_n)_x(y) - (f_n)_x(y_k)\right| < \frac{\delta}{8}\right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Thence for k > N the following inequality holds $|(f_n)_x(y_k) - (f_n)_x(y_0)| < \frac{\delta}{4}$, which contradicts (1.21). Thus the negation of (1.3) leads to a contradiction. Therefore (1 3) holds true and also (1.2) holds true, because both possible cases have been proved. Therefore $Q = \bigcup_{r,s} Q_{r,s}$. Thus there exists a couple of positive integers (r_0, s_0) such that $\mu_1(Q_{r_0, s_0}) > 0$. Put $P = \{x: x \in X_1, D^*(x, Q_{r_0, s_0}) = 1\}$. Set $P \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ and $\mu_1(P) > 0$. Let $F = E \cap (P \times I_{r_0})$. Set $F \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ and $\mu_3(F) > 0$, because for any $x \in Q_{r_0, s_0} \mu_2(F_x) > 0$. By Lemma 2 there exists the sets $G \subset A_n$, $H \subset (X_3 - A_n)$ and $L \subset F$ of the F_o type such that $\mu_3(A_n - G) = 0$, $\mu_3((X_3 - A_n) - H) = 0$, $\mu_3(F - L) =$ 0 and $G \subset G$, $H \subset H$ and $L \subset L$. Let $M = L \cap (G \cup H)$. Notice that $M \in \mathfrak{M}_3$ has a positive measure $(\mu_3(X_3 - (G \cup H)) = 0$ and $\mu_3(L) > 0)$.

To prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that $f_n(x, y) \in K_{s_0}$ for any point $(x, y) \in M$. Let $(\xi, \eta) \in M$ and let $\delta > 0$. Denote by α the upper density of $(f_n^n)^{-1}(f_n(\xi, \eta) - \delta, f_n(\xi, \eta) + \delta)$ in ξ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 . Evidently $\alpha > 0$. Discuss the case when $(\xi, \eta) \in G$. Then ξ is a density point of G^n and therefore also of $(A_n)^n$. As the upper density of the counterimage $(f^n)^{-1}(f(\xi, \eta) - \delta, f(\xi, \eta) + \delta)$ is positive in ξ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 , the same must hold for the density of the counterimage $(f_n^n)^{-1}(f_n(\xi, \eta) - \delta, f_n(\xi, \eta) + \delta)$ in ξ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 . In the case when $(\xi, \eta) \in H$, then ξ is a density point of H^n with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 and therefore also of $(X_3 - A_n)^n$.

On this set f_n is a constant equal to zero. The density of the counterimage $(f_n^{\eta})^{-1}(f_n(\xi, \eta) - \delta, f_n(\xi, \eta) + \delta)$ in ξ with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 is in this case equal to 1.

For $\delta > 0$ there exists a set $I \in \mathcal{F}_1$ containing ξ such that $\frac{\mu_1(I \cap M^n)}{\mu_1(I)} > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{4}$,

$$\frac{\mu_1(I \cap \{x: x \in X_1, |f_n(x, \eta) - f_n(\xi, \eta)| < \delta)}{\mu_1(I)} > 1 - \frac{\alpha}{4}.$$

Hence all these three sets have a common point $x_0 \in I$. As $(x_0, \eta) \in M$, the section F_{x_0} is μ_2 -measurable and has a positive measure μ_2 and η is a density point of F_{x_0} with respect to \mathcal{F}_2 . Moreover $\eta \in I_{r_0}$ and $x_{00} \in Q_{r_0,s_0}$. As $x_0 \in \{x: |f_n(x, \eta) - f_n(\xi, \eta) < \delta\}$, we have $f_n(x_0, \eta) \in K_{s_0}$. From this we infer that the distance from $f_n(\xi, \eta)$ to K_{s_0} is smaller than δ . As δ is an arbitrary number and K_{s_0} is closed, there is $f_n(\xi, \eta) \in K_{s_0}$. The proof of the theorem is completed. Theorem 1 is a generalization of Theorem 6 of [3].

Let $f: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow R$ be founded, where $X_2 = R^n$. Let ϱ_2 be a Euclidean metric in R^n and μ_2 an arbitrary regular complete measure σ -finite and defined on some σ -field \mathfrak{M}_2 enclosing Borel sets.

Denote by $M_k^y(x_0, y_0)$ the upper bound of the functions $\varphi(y) = f(x_0, y)$ in the open sphere $K\left(y_0, \frac{1}{k}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $A \subset X_1 \times X_2$ and $f: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow R$. The definition of density of the set $A \subset X_1 \times X_2$ in (x, y) with respect to \mathcal{F}_3 is analogous to Definition 2.

Also similar to Definition 4 is the definition of the approximative lower semicontinuity of the function $f: X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow R$ with respect to \mathcal{F}_3 .

Lemma 7. If all sections f^y are approximately lower semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 , then M_k^y considered as a function of two variables x and y is approximately lower semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_3 , where

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2 = \{F: F = F_1 \times F_2, F_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}_2\}.$$

Proof. Fix the point $(x_0, y_0) \in X_1 \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and a number $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $M_k^{\gamma}(x_0, y_0) > a$. Let $0 < \varepsilon < M_k^{\gamma}(x_0, y_0) - a$. It follows from the definition of $M_k^{\gamma}(x_0, y_0)$ that in the sphere $K\left(y_0, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ there exists a point y_1 for which the inequality

(1)
$$f(x_0, y_1) > M_k^y(x_0, y_0) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ holds.}$$

According to the approximative lower semicontinuity of the function f^{y_1} with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 in x_0 for the set $E = \{x: x \in X_1, f^{y_1}(x) > b\}$, where $b = f^{y_1}(x_0) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, 329 there exists the set $F \in \mathfrak{M}_1$ such that $F \subset E$ and $D(x_0, F) = 1$. Therefore for any $x \in F$ the inequality

(2)
$$f(x, y_1) > f(x_0, y_1) - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ holds.}$$

Let $\beta = \varrho(y_1, \operatorname{Fr} K\left(y_0, \frac{1}{k}\right))$, where $\operatorname{Fr} K\left(y_0, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ denotes the border of $K\left(y_0, \frac{1}{k}\right)$. Then $\varrho(y, y_0) < \beta$ implies $y_1 \in K\left(y, \frac{1}{k}\right)$. As $y_1 \in K\left(y, \frac{1}{k}\right)$ for $y \in K(y_0, \beta)$, for these points y the inequality

$$(3) M_k^y(x, y) \ge f(x, y)$$

holds (according to the definition of M_k^y in (x, y)).

From (1), (2), (3) we obtain $M_k^y(x, y) > M_k^y(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon$ for all points (x, y) belonging to the set

$$\mathbf{A} = (F \times X_2) \cap (X_1 \times \mathbf{K}(y_0, \beta))$$

As $D((x_0, y_0), A) = 1$ and

$$A \subset \{(x, y): (x, y) \in X_1 \times \mathbb{R}^n, M_k^y(x, y) > a\},\$$

because $0 < \varepsilon < M_k^{\vee}(x_0, y_0) - a$ we come to the conclusion that M_k^{\vee} is approximately lower semicontinuous in (x_0, y_0) with respect to \mathcal{F}_3 . The proof of the Lemma is completed.

Theorem 2. If all sections f^{y} of the function $f: X_1 \times R^n \to R$ are approximately lower semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_1 and all sections f_x of this function are upper semicontinuous, then f is a point limit of a non-increasing sequence of functions approximately lower semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_3 .

If we assume that the family \mathcal{F}_3 satisfied (3) for the families \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 , then f would be μ_3 -measurable.

Proof. Denote $M^{y}(x_{0}, y_{0}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} M^{y}_{k}(x_{0}, y_{0})$. As the sections f_{x} are upper semicontinuous, $M^{y}(x_{0}, y_{0}) = f(x_{0}, y_{0})$. The function $M^{y}: (x, y) \to M^{y}_{k}(x, y)$ is the point limit of a non-increasing sequence of functions M^{y}_{k} , which are according to Lemma 7 approximately lower semicontinuous with respect to \mathcal{F}_{3} . This ends the proof of the Theorem.

Theorem 2 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [2].

REFERENCES

- DAVIES, R. O.: Separate approximate continuity implies measurability, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 73, 1973, 461–465.
- [2] GRANDE, Z.: Mierzalność funkcji określonyh na pewnych przestrzeniach produktownych, Gdańsk, 1974, (thesis, University of Gdańsk).
- [3] GRANDE, Z.: Les functions qui ont la proprieté (K) et la mesurabilité des fonctions de deux variables, Fund. Math. 93, 1976, 155-160.
- [4] DE GUZMAN MIGUEL.: A general form of the Vitali Lemma, Coll. Math. 34, 1975, 69-78.

Received June 26, 1979

University of Gdańsk Institute of Mathematics 80-952 Gdańsk POLAND

ИЗМЕРИМОСТЬ ДЕИСТВИТЕЛЬНЫХ ФУНКЦИЙ, ЗАДАНЫХ НА ДЕКАРТОВОМ ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИИ МЕТРИЧЕСКИХ ПРОСТРАНСТВ

Гражина Квециньска

Резюме

В настоящей работе находится необходимое и достаточное условие измеримости функций, заданных на декартовом произведении двух метрических пространств с мерами, которы удовлетворяют некоторым дополнительным условиям.