Ivan Dobrakov Remarks on the integrability in Banach spaces

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 3, 323--327

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133053

# Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

# REMARKS ON THE INTEGRABILITY IN BANACH SPACES

# IVAN DOBRAKOV

Z. Lipecki in [8] pointed out that the proof of Theorem 1 in [10] is invalid. In fact, the measure  $\mu$  constructed there is countably additive only in the strong operator topology, see [11]. In the proof of Theorem 2 below, using the Dvoretz-ky—Rogers theorem, see Theorem IV.1.2 in [2], we construct the required measure countably additive in the uniform operator topology. Thus Theorems 1 and 2 below give a correct proof of Theorem 1 in [10]. Although our Theorem 1 is equivalent to Theorem 6 in [10], we give a very simple proof of it. Finally in Theorem 3, which is a complement to [9], we characterize the integrability of a measurable function using its weak (in [9] called scalar) integrability.

Let  $\mathscr{P}$  be a  $\delta$ -ring of subsets of a non empty set T, let X and Y be Banach spaces (both real, or complex) and let L(X, Y) be the Banach space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y. We say that a set function m:  $\mathscr{P} \to L(X, Y)$  is an operator valued measure countably additive in the strong operator topology, if for every  $x \in X$  the set function  $E \to m(E)x$ ,  $E \in \mathscr{P}$ , is a countably additive vector measure. In [3] we started to develop a Lebesgue type integration theory for functions on Twith values in X with respect to such a measure. The basic quantity of the theory is the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  of the measure m, which is defined by the equality

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}(E) = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{m}(E \cap E_{i}) \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \right|, \ \boldsymbol{x}_{i} \in \boldsymbol{X}, \ |\boldsymbol{x}_{i}| \leq 1, \ E_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{i} \right\}$$
$$E_{i} \cap E_{j} = \emptyset \text{ for } i \neq j, \ i, j = 1, ..., r, \ r = 1, 2, ... \right\}$$
$$= \sup_{|\boldsymbol{y}^{r}| \leq 1} v(\boldsymbol{y}^{*} \boldsymbol{m}, E), \ E \in \sigma(\mathcal{P}),$$

where  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  denotes the smallest  $\sigma$ -ring containing  $\mathcal{P}$ , and  $v(y^*m, .), y^* \in Y^* =$ the dual of Y, is the variation of the measure  $A \rightarrow y^*m(A) \in X^*$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ . We immediately see that  $\hat{m}(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\hat{m}$  is monotone, subadditive and has the Fatou property:  $E_n \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ , n = 1, 2, ... and  $E_n \nearrow E$  implies  $\hat{m}(E_n) \nearrow \hat{m}(E)$ . We say that  $\hat{m}$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $E_n \in \mathcal{P}$ , n = 1, 2, ... and  $E_n \searrow \emptyset$  implies  $\hat{m}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ . It is easy to see that  $\hat{m}$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{P}$  if and only if it is locally exhaustive on  $\mathcal{P}$ , i.e.,  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ ;  $E_n \in \mathcal{P}$ , n = 1, 2, ... pairwise disjoint implies  $\hat{m}(A \cap E_n) \rightarrow 0$ . The basic assumption of the theory is the requirement of finiteness of the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ . In Theorem 5 in [3] we proved that if the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{P}$ , if  $f: T \to \mathbf{X}$  is a bounded measurable function, and  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ , then the function  $f. \chi_A$  is integrable. As Theorem 6 in [10] shows, the result is in a sense the best possible. We now give a very simple proof of Theorem 6 from [10].

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  is not continuous on  $\mathcal{P}$  (equivalently, not locally exhaustive on  $\mathcal{P}$ ). Then there is a set  $A \in \mathcal{P}$  and a bounded  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary function  $f: T \to X$  such that the function  $f \cdot \chi_A$  is not integrable.

Proof. By assumption there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  a set  $A \in \mathcal{P}$ , and a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $E_n \in \mathcal{P}$ , n = 1, 2, ... such that  $\hat{m}(A \cap E_n) > \varepsilon$  for each n = 1, 2, ...According to the definition of the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  for each n = 1, 2, ... there is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -simple function  $f_n: T \to X$ ,  $\sup_{t \in A \cap E_n} |f_n(t)| \leq 1$  such that  $\left| \int_{E_n \cap A} f_n dm \right| > \varepsilon$ . Now  $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n \cdot \chi_{E_n}$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -elementary, and  $f \cdot \chi_A$  cannot be integrable, since the indefinite integral  $E \to \int_E f dm$ ,  $E \in \sigma(\mathcal{P})$ , of an integrable function f is a countably additive vector measure, see Theorem 3 in [3].

If the Banach space  $\mathbf{Y}$  contains no subspace isomorphic to  $c_0$ , see pp. 160 and 161 in [1], then the finiteness of the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$  is equivalent to its continuity on  $\mathcal{P}$ , see the \*-Theorem in [3] and the Corollary of Theorem 5 in [4]. We now show that the assumption  $c_0 \not\subset \mathbf{Y}$  is essential for the finiteness of  $\hat{m}$  to imply its continuity.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be an infinite dimensional Banach space and let  $\mathcal{P} = 2^{N}$  be the power set of the set N of positive integers. Then there exists a measure  $m: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow L(\mathbf{X}, c_0)$  countably additive in the uniform operator topology with finite but not continuous semivariation  $\hat{\mathbf{m}}$  on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

Proof. Since  $X^*$ , the dual of X, is also infinite dimensional, according to the Dvoretzky—Rogers theorem (see Theorem IV. 1.2 in [2]) there is a sequence  $\mathbf{x}_n^* \in X^*$ , n = 1, 2, ... such that the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{x}_n^*$  is unconditionally convergent in  $X^*$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mathbf{x}_n^*| = +\infty$ . Without loss of generality we may suppose that  $|\mathbf{x}_n^*| \leq 1$  for each n = 1, 2, ... Put  $n_0 = 0$  and let  $n_1$  be the first positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |\mathbf{x}_i^*| > 1$ . Clearly  $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |\mathbf{x}_i^*| \leq 2$ . Similarly, let  $n_2$  be the first positive integer such that  $\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_1} |\mathbf{x}_i^*| \leq 2$ . Continuing in 324

this way we obtain a subsequence  $n_k$ , k = 1, 2, ... such that  $1 < \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} |\mathbf{x}^*_i| \leq 2$  for each k = 1, 2, ..., where  $n_0 = 0$ . Put  $I_k = \{n_{k-1} + 1, ..., n_k\}$  and let  $e_k = (0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ...) \in c_0$ , k = 1, 2, ... Clearly  $T = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$  and  $I_k \cap I_i = \emptyset$  for  $k \neq j$ , j, k = 1, 2, ... For  $i \in I_k$  put  $\mathbf{y}_i = e_k$  and  $U_i \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^* \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}_i \in c_0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ . Obviously  $U_i \in L(\mathbf{X}, c_0)$  for each i = 1, 2, ... and  $\sum_{i \in E} U_i \mathbf{x} = \sum_{i \in E} \mathbf{x}^*_i \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}_i \in c_0$  for any  $E \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X}$ . Evidently  $\sum_{i \in E} U_i$ :  $\mathbf{X} \to c_0$  is linear and  $\left|\sum_{i \in E} U_i\right| \leq \left|\sum_{i \in E} \mathbf{x}^*_i\right|$ . Hence if we put  $m(E) = \sum_{i \in E} U_i$  for  $E \in \mathcal{P}$ , then  $m: \mathcal{P} \to L(\mathbf{X}, c_0)$  is countably additive in the uniform operator topology.

Now according to the definition of the norm in  $X^*$  there are  $x_i \in X$ ,  $|x_i| \leq 1$ , i=1, 2, ... such that  $\sum_{i=n_{k-1+1}}^{n_k} x^*_i x_i > 1$  for each k=1, 2, ..., From the definition of the semivariation  $\hat{m}$  we have

$$\hat{m}(T) = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{r} m(E_{i}) \mathbf{x}_{i} \right|, \ \mathbf{x}_{i} \in \mathbf{X}, \ |\mathbf{x}_{i}| \leq 1, \ E_{i} \in \mathcal{P}, \ E_{i} \cap E_{j} = \emptyset \right.$$
  
for  $i \neq j$ ,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r} E_{i} = T$ ,  $i, j = 1, ..., r, r = 1, 2, ... \right\}$   
 $= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{t \in E_{i}} U_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i} \right|, ... \right\}$   
 $= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{t \in E_{i}} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{i} \right|, ... \right\}$   
 $= \max_{k} \left\{ \left| \sum_{i \in I_{k}} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{x}_{i} \right|, ... \right\}, \text{ where } i_{i} = i \text{ for } t \in E_{i}$   
 $\leq \max_{k} \sum_{i \in I_{k}} |\mathbf{x}^{*}| \leq 2.$ 

On the other hand  $\hat{m}(I_k) \ge \left| \sum_{i=n_{k-1}+1}^{n_k} x^* x_i \right| > 1$  for each k = 1, 2, ... Since  $I_k$ , k = 1, 2, ... are pairwise disjoint elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  with union equal to  $T \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\hat{m}$  is not continuous on  $\mathcal{P}$ . The theorem is proved.

The next theorem, a complement to [9], characterizes the integrability of a measurable function using its weak (in [9] called scalar) integrability. It may be proved similarly as Theorem 17 in [3].

**Theorem 3.** A measurable function  $f: T \to X$  is integrable with respect to  $m: \mathcal{P} \to L(X, Y)$  if and only if f is integrable with respect to  $y^*m: \mathcal{P} \to X^*$  for each  $y^* \in Y^*$  and the scalar measures  $\{\int f d(y^*m), y^* \in Y^*, |y^*| \leq 1\}$  are uniform-

ly countably additive on  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  (equivalently, uniformly exhaustive on  $\mathcal{P}$ ).

Note a certain similarity between integrable functions and the elements of  $\mathscr{L}_1(\mathbf{m})$ , see Definition 4 in [4]. Namely, a measurable function  $f: T \to \mathbf{X}$  belongs to  $\mathscr{L}_1(\mathbf{m})$  if and only if the function |f| is integrable with respect to the measure

 $v(y^*m, .): \mathcal{P} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  for each  $y^* \in \mathbf{Y}^*$  and the integrals  $\{\int |f| dv(y^*m, .), v(y^*m, .)\}$ 

 $y^* \in Y^*$ ,  $|y^*| \leq 1$  are uniformly  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\sigma(\mathcal{P})$  (equivalently, uniformly exhaustive on  $\mathcal{P}$ ).

Let us note also that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is generated by a ring  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e., if  $\mathcal{P} = \delta(\mathcal{R})$ , or if  $\mathcal{P} = \delta(\mathscr{C}_0)$  is the  $\delta$ -ring of relatively compact Baire subsets of a locally compact Hausdorff topological space, then according to Theorem 11 and Lemma 7 in [6] the above mentioned uniform exhaustivity on  $\mathcal{P}$  may be replaced by a uniform exhaustivity on  $\mathcal{R}$ , or on  $\mathscr{C}_0$ , respectively. ( $\mathscr{C}_0$  denotes the lattice of all compact  $G_\delta$  subsets).

#### REFERENCES

- BESSAGA, C., PELCZYŃSKI, A.: On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 17, 1958, 151–164.
- [2] DAY, M. M.: Normed-Linear Spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1958.
- [3] DOBRAKOV, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, I., Czech. Math. J. 20 (95), 1970, 511-536.
- [4] DOBRAKOV, I.: On integration in Banach spaces, II., Czech. Math. J. 20 (95), 1970, 680-695.
- [5] DOBRAKOV, I.: On representation of linear operators on  $C_0(T, X)$ , Czech. Math. J. 21 (96), 1971, 13-30.
- [6] DOBRAKOV, I.—FARKOVÁ, J.: On submeasures II, Math. Slovaca 30, 1980, 65-81.
- [7] DUNFORD, N.—SCHWARTZ, J.: Linear Operators, Part I, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1958.
- [8] LIPECKI, Z.: Math. Review 85b: 46049.
- [9] SWARTZ, CH.: Integrability for the Dobrakov integral, Czech. Math. J. 30 (105), 1980, 640-646.
- [10] SWARTZ, CH.: Integrating bounded functions for the Dobrakov integral, Math. Slovaca 33, 1983, 141-144.
- [11] SWARTZ, CH.: Correction to [10], Math. Slovaca 35, 1985, 98.

Received December 6, 1ó84

Matematický ústav SAV Obrancov mieru 49 814 73 Bratislava

# ЗАМЕТКИ ОБ ИНТЕГРИРУЕМОСТИ В ПРОСТРАНСТВАХ БАНАХА

# Ivan Dobrakov

### Резюме

Основным результатом работы является доказательство следующей

Теоремы 2. Пусть X бесконечномерное пространство банаха и пусть  $\mathscr{P}$  семейство всех подмножеств множества натуральных чисел. Тогда существует мера  $m: \mathscr{P} \to L(\mathbf{X}, c_0)$  счетно аддитивная в равномерной операторной топологии, имеющая конечную полувариацию  $\hat{m}$  на  $\mathscr{P}$ , которая не является непрерывной сверху на пустом множестве.

Из этого результата вытекает корректное доказательство Теоремы 1 из [10].