Ján Jakubík Weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity of lattice ordered groups

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 126 (2001), No. 1, 151-159

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133918

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2001

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# WEAK $\sigma$ -DISTRIBUTIVITY OF LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

JÁN JAKUBÍK, Košice

(Received April 8, 1999)

*Abstract.* In this paper we prove that the collection of all weakly distributive lattice ordered groups is a radical class and that it fails to be a torsion class.

Keywords: lattice ordered group, weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity, radical class

MSC 2000: 06F20

The notion of weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity was applied by Riečan and Neubrunn in the monograph [10] to MV-algebras and to lattice ordered groups; in Chapter 9 of [10] it was systematically used in developing the probability theory in MV-algebras. For a Dedekind complete Riesz space the notion of weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity has been applied by A. Boccuto [2].

It is well known that each MV-algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can be constructed by means of an appropriately chosen abelian lattice ordered group G with a strong unit (this result is due to Mundici [9]). In [10] it was proved that  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive if and only if G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive.

For the notions of a radical class and a torsion class of a lattice ordered groups cf., e.g., [1], [3], [5], [8]. Radical classes of MV-algebras were dealt with in [7].

In the present paper we prove that the collection of all weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive lattice ordered groups is a radical class and that it fails to be a torsion class. Consequently, it fails to be a variety.

Supported by Grant 2/6087/99.

Let L be a lattice. If  $x \in L$  and  $(x_n)_{n \in N}$  is a sequence in L such that  $x_n \ge x_{n+1}$ for each  $n \in N$  and

$$\bigwedge_{n \in N} x_n = x_i$$

then we write  $x_n \searrow x$ .

For lattice ordered groups we use the standard notation.

**1.1. Definition.** (Cf. [10], 9.4.4 and 9.4.5.) A lattice ordered group G is called weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) G is  $\sigma$ -complete.
- (ii) Whenever  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  is a bounded double sequence in G such that  $a_{ij} \searrow 0$  for each  $i \in N$  (where  $j \to \infty$ ), then

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)} = 0.$$

We denote by W the class of all lattice ordered groups which are weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive.

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the class of all lattice ordered groups. For  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  let c(G) be the system of all convex  $\ell$ -subgroups of G; this system is partially ordered by the set-theoretical inclusion. Then c(G) is a complete lattice. The lattice operations in c(G) will be denoted by  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{c} c$  and  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{c} I$  is a nonempty subsystem of c(G), then

$$\bigwedge_{i\in I} H_i = \bigcap_{i\in I} H_i.$$

Further,  $\bigvee_{i \in I} H_i$  is the subgroup of the group H (where we do not consider the lattice operations) which is generated by the set  $\bigcup_{i \in I} H_i$ .

**1.2. Definition.** A nonempty class  $X \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  which is closed with respect to isomorphisms is called a radical class if it satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) If  $G_1 \in X$  and  $G_2 \in c(G_1)$ , then  $G_2 \in X$ .
- 2) If  $H \in G$  and  $\emptyset \neq \{G_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq c(H) \cap X$ , then  $\bigvee_{i \in I}^c G_i \in X$ .

A radical class which is closed with respect to homomorphisms is called a torsion class. In view of 1.2, for each radical class X and each  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  there exists the largest element of the set  $\{G_i \in c(G): G_i \text{ belongs to } X\}$ ; we denote it by X(G). It is said to be the radical of G with respect to X.

**1.3. Definition.** Let G be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice ordered group. We denote by B(G) the set of all elements  $b \in G$  such that the following conditions are valid:

- (i) b > 0.
- (ii) There exists a bounded double sequence  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  in G such that  $a_{ij} \searrow 0$  for each  $i \in N$  (where  $j \to \infty$ ) and

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)} = b.$$

**1.4. Lemma.** Let G be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice ordered group. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive.
- (ii)  $B(G) = \emptyset$ .

Proof. In view of 1.1 we have (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that (ii) holds. By way of contradiction, assume that G is not weakly distributive. Then there exists a bounded double sequence  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  in G such that  $a_{ij} \searrow 0$  for each  $i \in N$  (where  $j \to \infty$ ) and the relation

(1) 
$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^c a_{i\varphi(i)} = 0$$

fails to be valid.

Since G is  $\sigma$ -complete, for each  $\varphi \in N^N$  there exists an element  $x_{\varphi}$  in G such that

$$x_{\varphi} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}.$$

For each  $i, j \in N$  we have  $a_{ij} \ge 0$ , whence  $x_{\varphi} \ge 0$  for each  $\varphi \in N^N$ . Since the relation (1) does not hold, there exists  $z \in G$  such that  $x_{\varphi} \ge z$  for each  $\varphi \in N^N$  and  $z \not\leq 0$ . Denote  $y = z \lor 0$ . Then

$$0 < y \leq x_{\varphi}$$
 for each  $\varphi \in N^N$ .

Put  $a'_{ij} = a_{ij} \wedge y$  for each  $i, j \in N$ . Then  $a'_{ij} \searrow 0$  for each  $i \in I$  (where  $y \to \infty$ ). Further, for each  $\varphi \in N^N$  we have

$$y = y \wedge x_{\varphi} = y \wedge \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)} = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (y \wedge a_{i\varphi(i)}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a'_{i\varphi(i)}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\widetilde{\varphi}} a'_{i\varphi(i)} = y,$$

which contradicts the assumption (ii) in 1.1.

**1.5. Lemma.** Let G be as in 1.4. Suppose that  $b \in B(G)$  and  $b_1 \in G$ ,  $0 < b_1 \leq b$ . Then  $b_1 \in B(G)$ .

Proof. In view of 1.3 we have

$$b_1 = b_1 \wedge b = b_1 \wedge \left(\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}\right) = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (b_1 \wedge a_{i\varphi(i)})$$

Put  $b_1 \wedge a_{ij} = a'_{ij}$  for each  $i, j \in N$ . Then the double sequence  $(a'_{ij})_{ij}$  is bounded in G and  $a'_{ij} \searrow 0$  for each  $i \in N$  (where  $j \to \infty$ ). Hence  $b_1 \in B$ .

From the definition of W we immediately obtain

**1.6. Lemma.** W satisfies condition 1) from 1.2.

**1.7. Lemma.** W satisfies condition 2) from 1.2.

Proof. Let  $H \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $\emptyset \neq \{G_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq c(H) \cap W$ . Put

$$\bigvee_{i\in I}^{c} G_i = K$$

By way of contradiction, suppose that K does not belong to W. It is clear that K is  $\sigma$ -complete. Thus in view of 1.4,  $B(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Choose  $b \in B(K)$ .

It is well-known that for each element  $k \in K^+$  there exist  $n \in N$ ,  $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_n \in I$ and  $x_n \in G_{i_1}^+, x_2 \in G_{i_2}^+, \ldots, x_n \in G_{i_n}^+$  such that

$$k = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n.$$

Put k = b. Since b > 0, at least one of the elements  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  is strictly positive. Let  $x_i > 0$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ . In view of 1.5 we have  $x_i \in B(G)$ . This yields  $x_i \in B(G_i)$ , a contradiction.

154

In view of 1.6 and 1.7 we have

## **1.8.** Proposition. *W* is a radical class of lattice ordered groups.

1.9. Example. Let us denote by  $R^+$  the set of all non-negative reals and let F be the set of all real functions defined on the set  $R^+$ . The partial order and the operation + on F are defined coordinate-wise. Then F is a complete lattice ordered group. Moreover, F is completely distributive. Hence, in particular, F is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive. Thus F belongs to W. Let H be the system of all  $f \in F$  such that the set

$$\{x \in R^+ \colon f(x) \neq 0\}$$

is finite. Then H is an  $\ell$ -ideal of F. It is easy to verify that the factor lattice ordered group F/H fails to be archimedean, hence it is not  $\sigma$ -complete. Thus W is not closed with respect to homomorphisms. Consequently, it fails to be a torsion class.

Radical classes which satisfy some additional conditions were investigated in [11]. In connection with W let us mention two such properties. First, it is obvious that the class W is closed with respect to direct products.

For a subset X of a lattice ordered group G the polar  $X^{\delta}$  of X in G is defined by

$$X^{\delta} = \{ g \in G \colon |g| \land |x| = 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in X \}.$$

We say that a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of lattice ordered groups is closed with respect to double polars if, whenever  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $H \in c(G) \cap \mathcal{C}$ , then  $H^{\delta\delta} \in \mathcal{C}$ .

1.10. Proposition. The class W is closed with respect to double polars.

Proof. Let  $G \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $H \in c(G) \cap W$ . Put  $H^{\delta\delta} = K$ . By way of contradiction, assume that K does not belong to W. Thus in view of 1.4,  $B(K) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $b \in B(K)$ . Then b > 0. If  $h \wedge b = 0$  for each  $h \in H^+$ , then  $b \in H^\delta$ ; since  $H^\delta \cap H^{\delta\delta} = \{0\}$ , we would obtain b = 0, which is impossible. Therefore there is  $h \in H^+$  such that  $h \wedge b > 0$ . Thus in view of 1.5,  $h \wedge b \in B(K)$ . Consequently,  $h \wedge b \in B(H)$  and therefore  $B(H) \neq \emptyset$ . In view of 1.4 we arrived at a contradiction.

**1.11. Corollary.**  $(W(G))^{\delta\delta} = W(G)$  for each lattice ordered group G.

**1.12. Corollary.** Let G be a strongly projectable lattice ordered group. Then W(G) is a direct factor of G.

The assertion of 1.12 is valid, in particular, for each complete lattice ordered group.

#### 2. A modification of weak $\sigma$ -distributivity

In this section we deal with a modification of the notion of weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity; this can be applied also to lattice ordered groups which are not  $\sigma$ -complete.

Let L be a lattice. We say that L satisfies the condition  $(\alpha)$  if, whenever  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  is a bounded double sequence in L such that

- (a)  $a_{ij} \ge a_{i,j+1}$  for each  $i, j \in N$ ;
- (b) all the joins and meets in the expressions

(\*) 
$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}, \ \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}$$

exist in L, then the expressions in (\*) are equal.

It is obvious that  $\sigma$ -distributivity of L implies that condition ( $\alpha$ ) is valid for L.

**2.1.** Proposition. Let G be a  $\sigma$ -complete lattice ordered group. Then G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive if and only if it satisfies condition ( $\alpha$ ).

Proof. i) Assume that G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive. Let  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  be a bounded double sequence in G such that conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied. Put

$$u = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}, \quad v = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}.$$

Denote  $a'_{ij} = (a_{ij} \lor u) \land v$ . Since G is infinitely distributive, we get

(1) 
$$u = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} a'_{ij}, \quad v = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a'_{i\varphi(i)}.$$

Also, for each  $i, j \in N$  the relations  $a'_{ij} \ge a'_{i,j+1}$  and  $a'_{ij} \in [u, v]$  are valid. Thus

$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} a'_{ij} \geqslant u \quad \text{for each } i \in N.$$

Hence by the first of the relations (1) we get

(2) 
$$\bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} a'_{ij} = u$$

Further, we denote  $a''_{ij} = a'_{ij} - u$  for all  $i, j \in N$ . Then  $a''_{ij} \ge a''_{i,j+1}$  for all  $i, j \in N$ , whence according to (2)

$$a_{ij}^{\prime\prime} \searrow 0 \quad (\text{as } j \to \infty) \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Since G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive, we obtain

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}'' = 0.$$

This yields

$$u = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} (a_{i\varphi(i)}'' + u) = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}' = v.$$

Therefore G satisfies condition  $(\alpha)$ .

ii) Conversely, assume that condition  $(\alpha)$  is valid for G. Let  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  be a bounded double sequence in G such that, for each  $i \in N$ , we have  $a_{ij} \searrow 0$  (where  $j \to \infty$ ). Thus

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty}\bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty}a_{ij}=0$$

Since G is  $\sigma$ -complete, in view of condition ( $\alpha$ ) we obtain

$$\bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)} = 0,$$

whence G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive.

We denote by  $W_1$  the class of all lattice ordered groups G such that G satisfies condition ( $\alpha$ ).

In view of 2.1 we have  $W \subseteq W_1$ . The following example shows that  $W \neq W_1$ .

Let Q be the additive group of all rationals with the natural linear order. Then Q is a completely distributive lattice ordered group, whence  $Q \in W_1$ . Since Q fails to be  $\sigma$ -complete, it does not belong to W.

We obviously have

**2.2. Lemma.** Let *L* be a lattice. Suppose that condition ( $\alpha$ ) is not valid for *L*. Then there exists a bounded double sequence  $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$  in *L* such that assumptions (a), (b) of ( $\alpha$ ) are satisfied and there are  $u, v \in L$  with

$$u < v, \quad u = \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}, \quad v = \bigwedge_{\varphi \in N^N} \bigvee_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i\varphi(i)}.$$

157

**2.3.** Corollary. Let L be as in 2.2. Assume that L is infinitely distributive. Then there are  $u, v \in L$ , u < v such that condition ( $\alpha$ ) is not satisfied for the interval [u, v] of L.

Proof. It suffices to consider the double sequence  $(a'_{ij})_{i,j}$ , where  $a'_{ij} = (a_{ij} \lor u) \land v$  for each  $i, j \in N$ .

**2.4. Lemma.** Let L, u and v be as in 2.3. Assume that  $u_1, v_1 \in L$ ,  $u \leq u_1 < v_1 \leq v$ . Then the interval  $[u_1, v_1]$  does not satisfy condition  $(\alpha)$ .

Proof. Let  $(a'_{ij})_{i,j}$  be as in the proof of 2.3. Now it suffices to take into account the double sequence  $(a''_{ij})_{i,j}$ , where

$$a_{ij}'' = (a_{ij}' \lor u_1) \land v_1$$

for each  $i, j \in N$ .

Since each lattice ordered group G is infinitely distributive, from 2.3, 2.4 and by using a translation we obtain

**2.5.** Corollary. Let G be a lattice ordered group which does not satisfy condition ( $\alpha$ ). Then there is  $v \in G$  with 0 < v such that, whenever  $v_1 \in G$ ,  $0 < v_1 \leq v$ , then the interval  $[0, v_1]$  of G does not satisfy condition ( $\alpha$ ).

Now by an analogous argument as in the proofs of 1.6 and 1.7 and by applying 2.5 we infer

## **2.6.** Proposition. $W_1$ is a radical class of lattice ordered groups.

Also, similarly as in the case of W, the class  $W_1$  is closed with respect to direct products and with respect to double polars.

We conclude by the following remarks on MV-algebras.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an MV-algebra with the underlying set A. We apply the notation from [5]. There exists an abelian lattice ordered group G with a strong unit u such that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_0(G, u)$  (cf. Mundici [9]). In particular, A is the interval [0, u] of G. Hence we can consider the lattice operations  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  on A; thus we can apply the notion of weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity and the condition ( $\alpha$ ) for the case when instead of a lattice ordered group we have an MV-algebra. We denote by  $W^m$  and  $W_1^m$  the classes of all MV-algebras which satisfy the condition of weak  $\sigma$ -distributivity or the condition ( $\alpha$ ), respectively. The notion of a radical class of MV-algebras was introduced and studied in [7].

In [10], (9.4.5) it was proved that  $\mathcal{A}$  is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive if and only if G is weakly  $\sigma$ -distributive. By a similar argument we can show that  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies the

condition ( $\alpha$ ) if and only if G satisfies this condition. Thus we obtain from 1.8, 2.6 and from [7], Lemma 3.4 that both  $W^m$  and  $W_1^m$  are radical classes of MV-algebras.

# References

- P. Conrad: K-radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Algebra, Proc. Conf. Carbondale 1980, Lecture Notes Math. vol. 848, 1981, pp. 186–207.
- [2] A. Boccuto: Integration in Riesz spaces with respect to (D)-convergence. Tatra Mountains Math. Publ. 10 (1997), 33–54.
- [3] M. Darnel: Closure operations on radicals of lattice ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 37 (1987), 51–64.
- [4] D. Gluschankof: Cyclic ordered groups and MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 43 (1993), 249–263.
- J. Jakubík: Radical mappings and radical classes of lattice ordered groups. Symposia Math. vol. 21, Academic Press, New York-London, 1977, pp. 451–477.
- [6] J. Jakubík: Direct product decompositions of MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 44 (1994), 725–739.
- [7] J. Jakubík: Radical classes of MV-algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 49 (1999), 191–211.
- [8] J. Martinez: Torsion theory for lattice-ordered groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 25 (1975), 284–299.
- [9] D. Mundici: Interpretation of AFC\*-algebras in Lukasziewicz sentential calculus. J. Functional Anal. 65 (1986), 15–53.
- [10] B. Riečan, T. Neubrunn: Integral, Measure and Ordering. Kluwer Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
- [11] Dao-Rong Ton: Product radical classes of l-groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 43 (1992), 129–142.

Author's address: Ján Jakubík, Matematický ústav SAV, Grešákova 6, 04001 Košice, Slovakia, e-mail: musavke@mail.saske.sk.