

Applications of Mathematics

Nguyen Van Ho; Nguyen Thi Hoa

Random n -ary sequence and mapping uniformly distributed

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 40 (1995), No. 1, 33–46

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134276>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1995

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

RANDOM n -ARY SEQUENCE AND MAPPING
UNIFORMLY DISTRIBUTED

NGUYEN VAN HO and NGUYEN THI HOA, Hanoi

(Received April 28, 1993)

Summary. Višek [3] and Culpin [1] investigated infinite binary sequence $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ with X_i taking values 0 or 1 at random. They investigated also real mappings $H(X)$ which have the uniform distribution on $[0; 1]$ (notation $\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$).

The problem for n -ary sequences is dealt with in this paper.

Keywords: Random n -ary sequences, uniform distribution

AMS classification: 60G99, 60F99

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ be an infinite sequence of random variables taking values in

$$(1) \quad \underline{K} = \{0; 1; 2; \dots; K\} \quad \text{for a given } K \in \mathbb{N} = \{1; 2; \dots\},$$

X is called a n -ary sequence.

If $X_1; X_2; \dots$ are independently identically distributed (i.i.d.), i.e.

$$(2) \quad P(X_i = j) = p_j \geq 0, \quad \forall j \in \underline{K}, \quad \sum_{j=0}^K p_j = 1, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$P(X_{i_1} = j_1, \dots, X_{i_n} = j_n) = \prod_{s=1}^n p_{j_s}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, j_s \in \underline{K}, i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_n \in \mathbb{N},$$

the sequence is called multinomial. Denote

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{X} = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots), x_i \in \underline{K}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

An order relation \leq in \mathcal{X} and the distribution function (d.f.) $F(x)$ of X according to a law P will be defined. Conditions under which $F(X)$ is uniformly distributed will be studied. The results are given in Part 2, first for n -ary sequences, then for multinomial sequences and for Markov chains. For $K = 1$ these results reduce to those of Culpin in a more precise form: in Theorem 3 of Culpin [1] it suffices to require $F(x)$ to be increasing instead of strictly increasing and P to be continuous instead of positive continuous. For X being a real random variable this result is well-known, see e.g. [4], p. 34.

2. RESULTS

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X}$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} x \equiv y &\text{ iff } x_i = y_i, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \\ x \sim y &\text{ iff } \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}, x_n = y_n - 1, \\ & x_{n+1} = x_{n+2} = \dots = K, y_{n+1} = y_{n+2} = \dots = 0, \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently, $x \sim y$ iff x, y are of the form

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n - 1, \bar{K}), \text{ where } \bar{K} = (K, K, \dots), \\ y &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, \bar{O}), \text{ where } \bar{O} = (O, O, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Define an order relation \leq in \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} x = y &\iff \text{either } x \equiv y \text{ or } x \sim y \\ x < y &\iff x \neq y \text{ and } x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}, x_n < y_n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the ordering \leq is linear, the set of pairs $x \sim y$ is denumerable and \mathcal{X} is the continuum.

Lemma 1. *Let $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, $x < y$. There exist $z' = (z_1, \dots, z_r, \bar{O})$ and $z'' = (z_1, \dots, z_r, \bar{K}) \in \mathcal{X}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$x \leq z' < z'' \leq y.$$

Proof. Since $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) < y = (y_1, y_2, \dots)$, there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

- (i) either $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}, x_n \leq y_n - 2$,
- (ii) or $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}, x_n = y_n - 1$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
 $x_{n+1} = \dots = x_{n+m-1} = K, y_{n+1} = \dots = y_{n+m-1} = 0$
and $x_{n+m} \leq K - 1$ or $y_{n+m} \geq 1$.

In case (i) one can choose $r = n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z' &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + 1, \bar{O}), \\ z'' &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + 1, \bar{K}). \end{aligned}$$

In case (ii), if $x_{n+m} \leq K - 1$, one can put $r = n + m$, and

$$\begin{aligned} z' &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{K, \dots, K}_m, \bar{O}), \\ z'' &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{K, \dots, K}_m, \bar{K}) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \bar{K}), \end{aligned}$$

or if $y_{n+m} \geq 1$, one puts $r = n + m$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z' &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + 1, \underbrace{O, \dots, O}_m, \bar{O}) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + 1, \bar{O}), \\ z'' &= (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + 1, \underbrace{O, \dots, O}_m, \bar{K}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1. A mapping F of \mathcal{X} into $[0; 1]$ is called unique, increasing or continuous iff the following condition (i), (ii) or (iii) is satisfied, respectively:

- (6) (i) $x \sim y \implies F(x) = F(y)$,
(ii) $x \leq y \implies F(x) \leq F(y)$,
(iii) $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \dots) \rightarrow F(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1. If F is increasing, then F is continuous iff for every $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X}$

- (7) $F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{K})$ and $F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{O}) \rightarrow F(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
or equivalently, $F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{O}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition 2. F is said to have "Property D" iff it is unique, increasing, continuous and $F(\bar{O}) = 0$, $F(\bar{K}) = 1$.

Theorem 1. Let F be a mapping of \mathcal{X} into $[0; 1]$. F has "Property D" iff it is of the form

$$(8) \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X},$$

where we use the convention $\sum_{j=0}^{-1} a_j = 0$, and the f_n 's defined on \underline{K}^n satisfy

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} (i) \quad & f_n \geq 0, \\ (ii) \quad & \sum_{j=0}^K f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) = f_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}), \text{ where } f_0 = 1, \\ (iii) \quad & f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The f_n 's are uniquely determined from F by

$$(10) \quad f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{O}).$$

Proof. Let F have "Property D". Defining f_n by (10), one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} \{F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{O})\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} \{F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j+1, \bar{O}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{O})\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \{F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{O}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \bar{O})\} \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_N, \bar{O}) - F(\bar{O}) = F(x_1, \dots, x_N, \bar{O}) \rightarrow F(x) \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (8). Conditions (9) (i) and (iii) follow from (10), (6) and (7). One gets (9) (ii) by direct calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^K f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) &= \sum_{j=0}^K \{F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{O})\} \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, K, \bar{O}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \{F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{O})\} \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, K, \bar{O}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} \{F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j+1, \bar{O}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j, \bar{O})\} \\ &= F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, \bar{O}) \\ &= f_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that F is of the form (8) with f_n satisfying (9). The conventions $\sum_0^{-1} a_j = 0$ and $f_0 = 1$ imply $F(\bar{O}) = 0$ and $F(\bar{K}) = 1$.

Let $x \sim y$, i.e. x, y are of the form (4). Then $F(y) - F(x) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) + A - B$, where

$$A = \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{y_s-1} f_s(y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, j) = 0, \quad \text{since } \sum_0^{-1} = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{x_s-1} f_s(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, j) \\ &= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^K f_s(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, j) - f_s(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, K) \right\}, \\ &\quad \text{since for } s \geq n+1, x_s = K, \\ &= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \{ f_{s-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}) - f_s(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, K) \} \\ &= f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $F(y) - F(x) = 0$, i.e. F is unique. Let $x \neq y, x \leq y$. Then $x_1 = y_1, \dots, x_{n-1} = y_{n-1}, x_n \leq y_n - 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} F(y) - F(x) &= \sum_{j=x_n}^{y_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) + A - B, \quad \text{where} \\ A &= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{y_s-1} f_s(y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}, j) \geq 0 \\ B &= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{x_s-1} f_s(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, j) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^K f_s(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, j) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$F(y) - F(x) \geq \sum_{j=x_n}^{y_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) - f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \geq 0,$$

i.e. F is increasing.

For $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{K}) - F(x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{O}) &= \\
&= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{K-1} f_s(x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{K, \dots, K}_s, j) \\
&= \sum_{s=n+1}^{\infty} \{f_{s-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{K, \dots, K}_s) - f_s(x_1, \dots, x_n, \underbrace{K, \dots, K}_s)\} \\
&= f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (10), and the continuity of F follows by (9) (iii). \square

Corollary 1. *Let F have “Property D”. F is strictly increasing iff*

$$f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) > 0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1 and (10). \square

Theorem 2. *F has “Property D” iff F is an increasing mapping of \mathcal{X} onto $[0; 1]$.*

Proof. Let F be an increasing mapping of \mathcal{X} onto $[0; 1]$. Clearly, $F(\bar{O}) = 0$, $F(\bar{K}) = 1$.

Suppose there exist $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $x \sim y$ and $F(x) < F(y)$. There must be a $z \in \mathcal{X} : F(x) < F(z) < F(y)$. Then $x \leq z \leq y$ and $z \neq x$, $z \neq y$, which implies, by the definition (4), that $z \approx x$, $z \approx y$. Thus $x < z < y$. Hence $x < y$. This contradiction proves the uniqueness of F . It remains to verify the continuity of F .

For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X}$, let us denote

$$x'_{(m)} = (x_1, \dots, x_m, \bar{O}), \quad x''_{(m)} = (x_1, \dots, x_m, \bar{K}), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then $x'_{(m)} \leq x \leq x''_{(m)}$ and $F(x'_{(m)}) \leq F(x) \leq F(x''_{(m)})$. Since $x'_{(m)}$ ($x''_{(m)}$) is increasing (decreasing) with m , there exist a' and $a'' \in [0; 1]$, such that

$$F(x'_{(m)}) \nearrow a' \leq F(x) \quad \text{and} \quad F(x''_{(m)}) \searrow a'' \geq F(x).$$

If $a' < F(x)$ there would be $y \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $a' < F(y) < F(x)$. Thus, $y < x$. Therefore $y_1 = x_1, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}$, $y_n < x_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, for $m \geq n$, $y \leq x'_{(m)}$ and

$$F(y) \leq F(x'_{(m)}) \leq a', \quad \text{i.e. } F(y) \leq a'.$$

This contradiction yields that $a' = F(x)$. In the same way, $a'' = F(x)$. This implies (7).

Suppose now that F has "Property D". By Theorem 1, F is of the form (8) with f_n satisfying (9). For a given $t \in [0; 1]$ we will determine two sequences $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X}$ and (v_0, v_1, v_2, \dots) such that

$$\begin{aligned} t &= v_0 \geq v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots, \\ 0 &\leq v_n \leq f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= t, \\ x_1 &= \max \left\{ i: i \in \underline{K}, \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f_1(j) \leq v_0 = t \leq \sum_{j=0}^i f_1(j) \right\}, \\ v_1 &= v_0 - \sum_{j=0}^{x_1-1} f_1(j), \\ &\vdots \\ x_n &= \max \left\{ i: i \in \underline{K}, \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) \leq v_{n-1} \leq \sum_{j=0}^i f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) \right\}, \\ v_n &= v_{n-1} - \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{x_n} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) - \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} t &= v_0 = v_N + \sum_{n=1}^N (v_{n-1} - v_n) \\ &= v_N + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j), \quad N \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $v_N \leq f_N(x_1, \dots, x_N) \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore

$$t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, j) = F(x).$$

This proves that F is a mapping of \mathcal{X} onto $[0; 1]$. □

Law and distribution function of X .

Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ be an infinite n -ary sequence with X_i taking values in \underline{K} , $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let P be a probability law of X . A law P of X is given iff there is a system \mathcal{P} of probabilities $P(X_{i_1} = x_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m} = x_{i_m}), \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X}$, satisfying the well-known consistency conditions which imply (9) (i)–(ii) with f_n defined by

$$(11) \quad f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n).$$

Conversely, from a family of $P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying (9) (i)–(ii) one can get the system \mathcal{P} satisfying the consistency conditions by putting, for $i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P(X_{i_1} = x_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m} = x_{i_m}) = \sum_{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_{n-m}} \in \underline{K}} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n),$$

where $n = \max(i_1, \dots, i_m), \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-m}\} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$, i.e. a law P of X is given.

Definition 3. A law P of X is said to be continuous iff

$$P(X = x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = 0,$$

i.e. iff the f_n 's defined by (11) satisfy (9) (iii).

Since the f_n 's satisfy (9) (i)–(ii) as mentioned above, Definition 3 is equivalent to

Definition 3*. P is continuous iff the f_n 's defined from (11) satisfy (9) (i)–(iii).

Definition 4. The mapping $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0; 1]$ defined from

$$(12) \quad F(x) = P(X < x), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

is called the distribution function of X according to the law P , (abbr.: d.f. of $X|P$).

Remark 2. For the case of a continuous P ,

$$(13) \quad F(x) = P(X < x) = P(X \leq x).$$

Definition 5. A law P of X is called positive iff the system \mathcal{P} is positive, i.e.

$$(14) \quad P(X_{i_1} = x_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_m} = x_{i_m}) > 0, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall i_1 \neq \dots \neq i_m \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Theorem 3. Let $F: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0; 1]$.

(i) F has “Property D” iff F is d.f. of X according to a continuous law P . F and P are determined uniquely from each other:

$$(15) F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, j), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X},$$

$$(16) P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = F(\bar{x}) - F(\underline{x}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{x} &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{O}), \\ \bar{x} &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{K}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{X}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Moreover, for F and P as in part (i), F is strictly increasing iff P is positive.

PROOF. (i) Let P be a continuous law of X . Since

$$\{X < x\} \subset \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} \{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, X_n = j\} \subset \{X \leq x\},$$

with the convention $\sum_0^{-1}\{\cdot\} = \emptyset$, one gets (15) by virtue of (13), i.e. F is of the form (8) with f_n defined from (11) satisfying (9). Thus, F has “Property D” by Theorem 1.

Let now F have “Property D”. By Theorem 1, F is of the form (8) with f_n satisfying (9) and (10). Defining a family of $P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$ by (11) which yields a system \mathcal{P} and then a continuous law P , one gets (15) and (16) from (8) and (10), respectively. F is the d.f. of $X|P$ by the first part of the proof.

(ii) This is a consequence of Corollary 1. □

Corollary 2. Let P be a continuous law of $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$. Then

$$(17) \quad P\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = P\{\underline{x} \leq X \leq \bar{x}\}$$

with \underline{x} , \bar{x} defined in Theorem 3.

PROOF. Let F denote the d.f. of $X|P$. It is easily seen that

$$(18) \{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} = \{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n, 0 \leq X_{n+i} \leq K, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ \subset \{\underline{x} \leq X \leq \bar{x}\}.$$

Thus,

$$P\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\} \leq P\{\underline{x} \leq X \leq \bar{x}\} = F(\bar{x}) - F(\underline{x})$$

by (13). This fact and (16) prove (17). □

Theorem 4. Let F be an increasing mapping of \mathcal{X} into $[0; 1]$. Let P be a continuous law of $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$. Then $F(X) \mathcal{LQ}(0; 1)$ under P iff $F(x)$ is the d.f. of $X|P$.

Proof. Let F be the d.f. of $X|P$, where P is continuous. By Theorems 2 and 3, F has "Property D" and maps \mathcal{X} onto $[0; 1]$. Then

$$\forall t \in [0; 1], F^{-1}(t) = \{x; x \in \mathcal{X}, F(x) = t\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Denote $x^t = \sup F^{-1}(t)$, where the supremum is taken according to the ordering \leq defined in (5). Since F has "Property D" and P is continuous, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \{F(X) \leq t\} &= \{X \leq x^t\}, \\ P\{F(X) \leq t\} &= P\{X \leq x^t\} = P\{X < x^t\} = F(x^t) = t, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $F(X) \mathcal{LQ}(0; 1)$ under P .

Conversely, let $F(X) \mathcal{LQ}(0; 1)$ under the continuous law P . Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$. One has

$$\lambda\{[0; 1] \setminus F(\mathcal{X})\} = 1 - \lambda\{F(\mathcal{X})\} = 1 - P\{F(X) \in F(\mathcal{X})\} = 1 - 1 = 0.$$

Thus $F(\mathcal{X})$ is everywhere dense in $[0; 1]$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} F(\bar{O}) &= \inf F(\mathcal{X}) = 0, \\ F(\bar{K}) &= \sup F(\mathcal{X}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $0 \in F(\mathcal{X})$, $1 \in F(\mathcal{X})$.

For $t \in (0; 1)$ there exist $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\} \subset F(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 < a_2 < \dots, & \quad \lim a_n = t, \\ b_1 > b_2 > \dots, & \quad \lim b_n = t. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exist $\{x^n\}$ and $\{y^n\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x^1 \leq x^2 \leq \dots & \quad F(x^n) = a_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ y^1 \geq y^2 \geq \dots & \quad F(y^n) = b_n, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $x = \sup\{x^n\}$, $y = \inf\{y^n\}$. Hence $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, $F(x) = F(y) = t$, i.e. $t \in F(\mathcal{X})$. This proves that F maps \mathcal{X} onto $[0; 1]$. By Theorems 2 and 3, F has "Property D" and it is a d.f. of X according to a continuous law, say Q , which is determined from

$$(19) \quad Q(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = F(\bar{x}) - F(\underline{x}).$$

It remains to prove that $Q = P$, or equivalently, to show that

$$(20) \quad Q(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

From (18) and $\{\underline{x} \leq X \leq \bar{x}\} \subset \{F(\underline{x}) \leq F(X) \leq F(\bar{x})\}$ one gets $P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \leq F(\bar{x}) - F(\underline{x})$, since $F(X) \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$ under P . Thus, by (19),

$$(21) \quad P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \leq Q(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n).$$

On the other hand,

$$\{X < \underline{x}\} \subset \{F(X) \leq F(\underline{x})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{X > \bar{x}\} \subset \{F(X) \geq F(\bar{x})\}$$

imply

$$\begin{aligned} P(X < \underline{x}) &\leq F(\underline{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad P(X > \bar{x}) \leq 1 - F(\bar{x}) \\ \text{or} \quad P(X \leq \bar{x}) &\geq F(\bar{x}), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$P(X \leq \bar{x}) - P(X < \underline{x}) \geq F(\bar{x}) - F(\underline{x}),$$

or, by Corollary 2 and (19),

$$(22) \quad P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) \geq Q(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n).$$

The desired result (20) is obtained from (21) and (22). □

Corollary 3. *Let P be a continuous law of $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$. The only decreasing mapping $G: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0; 1]$ such that $G(X) \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$ under P is determined from*

$$(23) \quad G(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=x_n+1}^K P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{n-1} = x_{n-1}, j), \quad \sum_{K+1}^K \doteq 0.$$

Proof. Let F be the d.f. of $X|P$. By Theorem 4, F is the only increasing mapping such that $F(X) \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$ under P . Thus $1 - F(x)$ is the only decreasing mapping such that $1 - F(X) \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$ under P . By Theorem 3 $F(x)$ is of the form (15). Thus $1 - F(x)$ is defined by (23). □

Remark 3. Consider

$$(24) \quad M(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{(K+1)^n}, \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{X}.$$

M is of the form (8) with

$$f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(K+1)^n} > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{X},$$

satisfying (9). Thus M has “Property D”. Moreover, it is strictly increasing by Corollary 1. Also, $M(X) \mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0; 1)$ only under P such that

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{(K+1)^n} > 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{X},$$

i.e. $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ is an i.i.d. sequence with

$$(25) \quad P(X_i = j) = \frac{1}{K+1}, \quad j \in \underline{K}, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

APPLICATION TO n -ARY SEQUENCES

Corollary 4. Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ be an independent sequence such that

$$(26) \quad P(X_i = j) = p_{ij} \geq 0, \quad j \in \underline{K}, \sum_{j \in \underline{K}} p_{ij} = 1, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Moreover, let

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\exists \alpha \in (0; 1), \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that} \\ &0 \leq p_{ij} \leq 1 - \alpha, \quad \forall j \in \underline{K}, \forall i \geq N. \end{aligned}$$

Then

(i) the d.f. of $X|P$ is determined from

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} F(x) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} p_{ix_i} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{x_n-1} p_{nj} \right\}, \\ x \in \mathcal{X}, \text{ where } \prod_1^0 &\doteq 1, \sum_0^{-1} \doteq 0; \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) $F(X)\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0;1)$ under P ;
 (iii) the additional assumption $p_{ij} > 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \underline{K}$, ensures the positivity of P as well as the strict increasing of F .

Proof. Note that

$$P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{ix_i}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{X}$$

and the f_n 's defined by (11) satisfy (9). □

Remark 4. For X being an i.i.d. sequence, i.e., $p_{ij} = p_j, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}, \forall j \in \underline{K}$, the condition (27) is replaced by

$$(27^*) \quad 0 \leq p_j < 1, \quad j \in \underline{K}.$$

APPLICATION TO MARKOV CHAINS

Corollary 5. Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ be a Markov chain with a finite state space $\underline{E} = \{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_K\}$ which is identically denoted by $\underline{K} = \{0, 1, \dots, K\}$. Let $\pi_0 = \{p_0, p_1, \dots, p_K\}$ be the initial probabilities and let $\pi = (p_{ij}), i, j \in \underline{K}$ be the matrix of transition probabilities: $p_i \geq 0, p_0 + \dots + p_K = 1, p_{ij} \geq 0, \sum_{j \in \underline{K}} p_{ij} = 1, i \in \underline{K}$.

Suppose that

$$(29) \quad 0 \leq p_{ij} < 1, \quad i \in \underline{K}, j \in \underline{K}.$$

Then

- (i) the distribution function of X is determined by

$$(30) \quad F(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(p_{x_1} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} p_{x_i, x_{i+1}} \right) \sum_{j=0}^{x_{n-1}-1} p_{x_{n-1}, j} \right\},$$

$$x \in \mathcal{X}, \text{ where } \prod_1^{-1} \doteq 1, \prod_1^0 \doteq 1, \sum_0^{-1} \doteq 0;$$

- (ii) $F(X)\mathcal{L}\mathcal{U}(0;1)$;
 (iii) moreover, if $0 < p_i < 1, 0 < p_{ij} < 1, i, j \in \underline{K}$, the law P is positive and F is strictly increasing. —

Proof. Since $P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n) = p_{x_1} \cdot p_{x_1, x_2} \dots p_{x_{n-1}, x_n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathcal{X}$, and the f_n 's defined from (11) satisfy (9) provided (29) holds. □

References

- [1] *D. Culpin*: Distribution of random binary sequence. *Aplikace matematiky* 25 (1980), 408–416.
- [2] *I.I. Gichman, A.V. Skorochod*: Introduction to the theory of random processes. Moskva, 1977.
- [3] *J.A. Višek*: On properties of binary random numbers. *Aplikace matematiky* 19 (1974), 375–385.
- [4] *J. Hájek, Z. Šidák*: Theory of rank tests. Prague, 1967.
- [5] *W. Feller*: An introduction to probability theory and its applications. New York, 1971.
- [6] *D. Dacunha-Castelle, M. Duflo*: Probabilités et statistiques. Paris, 1983.

Author's address: Nguyen van Ho, Department of Mathematics, Polytechnic Institute of Hanoi, Hanoi, Vietnam.