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Abstract. We study the vector $p$-Laplacian

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\nabla F(t, u) \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{*}\\
u(0)=u(T), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T), \quad 1<p<\infty .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We prove that there exists a sequence ( $u_{n}$ ) of solutions of $(*)$ such that $u_{n}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$ and another sequence ( $u_{n}^{*}$ ) of solutions of $(*)$ such that $u_{n}^{*}$ is a local minimum point of $\varphi$, where $\varphi$ is a functional defined below.
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## 1. Introduction and main results

Consider the second order system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\nabla F(t, u) \quad \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(T), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(T), \quad 1<p<\infty
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T>0$ and $F:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumption:

[^0](A) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and continuously differentiable in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that
$$
|F(t, x)| \leqslant a(|x|) b(t), \quad|\nabla F(t, x)| \leqslant a(|x|) b(t)
$$
for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$; here $\mathbb{R}^{+}=[0, \infty)$.
Recently some papers have appeared discussing scalar periodic problems driven by the one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian. We refer the reader to the works of Del Pino-Manasevich-Murua [3], Fabry-Fayyad [4], Gao [5] and Dang-Oppenheimer [6]. In all of these works the approach is degree theoretical and the existence of one solution is established. In [2], J. Mawhin generalized the Hartman-Knobloch results to perturbations of a vector $p$-Laplacian ordinary operator.

For $p=2$, Mawhin-Willem [1] proved the existence of solutions for the problem (1.1) under the conditions
(M1) there exists $g \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that $|\nabla F(t, x)| \leqslant g(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and
(M2) $\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow+\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ or $\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow-\infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.
In this paper we study the existence of periodic solutions of a vector $p$-Laplacian with potential oscillating around the first eigenvalue. Our arguments are based on a result by Habets-Manasevich-Zanolin [8] related to the two-point boundary value problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+u+f(t, u)=0, \quad u(0)=u(1)=0 .
$$

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose
(H1) there exists $g \in L^{q}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$(here $q$ is the conjugate of $p$ ) such that $|\nabla F(t, x)| \leqslant g(t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{R \rightarrow+\infty} \inf _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|a|=R} \int_{0}^{T} F(s, a) \mathrm{d} s=+\infty \tag{H2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \sup _{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|b|=r} \int_{0}^{T} F(s, b) \mathrm{d} s=-\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then
i) there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ of solutions of (1.1) such that $u_{n}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)=+\infty$;
ii) there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)$ of solutions of (1.1) such that $u_{n}^{*}$ is a local minimum point of $\varphi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)=-\infty$; here $\varphi$ is a functional defined below.

Throughout the paper, for $N \geqslant 1$ and $I=[0, T]$, we will set $C=C\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, $L^{p}=L^{p}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), W^{1, p}=W^{1, p}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and $X=W_{T}^{1, p}=\left\{u \in W^{1, p} ; u(0)=u(T)\right\}$. The norm in $C$ will be defined by $\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)|$, the norm in $L^{p}$ by

$$
\|u\|_{p}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right)^{1 / p}
$$

and the norm in $X$ by $\|u\|_{X}=\|u\|_{p}+\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}$. Note that for each $p>1, X$ is compact embedded in $C$. Let $B_{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x| \leqslant r\right\}$.

Each $u \in L^{1}$ can be written as $u(t)=\bar{u}+\tilde{u}(t)$ with

$$
\bar{u}:=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad \int_{0}^{T} \tilde{u}(t) \mathrm{d} t=0 .
$$

We will use the Sobolev inequality

$$
\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leqslant T^{1 / q}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \quad \text { for each } u \in X \text { (here } q \text { is the conjugate of } p \text { ) }
$$

and Wirtinger's inequality

$$
\|\tilde{u}\|_{p}^{p} \leqslant T^{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p} \quad \text { for each } u \in X
$$

The proof of the theorem is given in Section 3. In Section 2 we present some preliminary results on the variational setting of $p$-Laplacian equations in $X$ and the related Palais-Smale compactness.

## 2. Preliminaries

We first recall some facts about the eigenvalue problem for the $p$-Laplacian, see [9]. A $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be an "eigenvalue" of the $p$-Laplacian with periodic boundary conditions, if the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda|u|^{p-2} u=0 \quad \text { a.e. on }[0, T] \\
u(0)-u(T)=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a nontrivial solution $u \in C^{1}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, known as the corresponding one to $\lambda$ "eigenfunction". Let $S$ denote the set of these eigenvalues. Evidently $0 \in S$, each element
of $S$ is nonnegative and 0 is the smallest (first) eigenvalue. If $N=1$ (scalar case), by direct integration of the equation we see that all the eigenvalues are

$$
\lambda_{n}=\left(\frac{2 \pi_{p} n}{T}\right)^{p}, \quad n=0,1,2,3, \ldots
$$

where

$$
\pi_{p}=2(p-1)^{1 / p} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1-t^{p}\right)^{-1 / p} \mathrm{~d} t .
$$

In the case $N>1$ (vector case), $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \geqslant 1} \subseteq S$ but $S$ contains more elements.
It follows from assumption (A) that the functional $\varphi$ on $X$ given by

$$
\varphi(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t
$$

is continuously differentiable and weakly lower semicontinuous on $X$ (the proof is similar to the case $p=2$, see [1]). Moreover, one has

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p-2}\left(u^{\prime}(t), v^{\prime}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for all $u, v \in X$. It is easy to see that the solutions of problem (1.1) correspond to the critical points of $\varphi$.

Let us write

$$
I(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t, \quad G(u)=\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u(t)) \mathrm{d} t, \quad \forall u \in X .
$$

Proposition 2.1. The mapping $I^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is of type ( $S_{+}$) (see [7]), i.e. any sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ satisfying $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ and

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leqslant 0
$$

contains a convergent subsequence.
Proof. Assume that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$ and $\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leqslant 0$. Then we get

$$
\varlimsup_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leqslant 0
$$

and together with the monotonicity property of $I^{\prime}$ this implies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-I^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle=0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\int_{0}^{T}\langle | u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}^{\prime}-\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}, u_{n}^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ the following inequalities hold:

$$
\left.\left.\langle | x\right|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y, x-y\right\rangle \geqslant\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1}|x-y|^{p} \quad \text { when } p \geqslant 2
$$

and

$$
\left.\left.(|x|+|y|)^{2-p}\langle | x\right|^{p-2} x-|y|^{p-2} y, x-y\right\rangle \geqslant(p-1)|x-y|^{2} \quad \text { when } 1<p \leqslant 2 .
$$

Hence, by (2.1), one has that $u_{n}^{\prime}$ converges to $u^{\prime}$ in $[0, T]$ in measure. Thus there exists a subsequence (without loss of generality assume it to be the whole sequence) with

$$
u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \rightarrow u^{\prime}(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

One also has

$$
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle I^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle & =\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u_{n}^{\prime} u^{\prime} \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p-1}\left|u^{\prime}\right| \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T}\left[\frac{p-1}{p}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\frac{1}{p}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right] \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p}-\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right) \mathrm{d} t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now the lower semi-continuity of $I$ yields

$$
\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \geqslant \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

Thus

$$
\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\left\{p^{-1} \int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right\}$ is equi-absolutely continuous on $[0, T]$. From the inequality

$$
\frac{1}{p}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} \leqslant \frac{2^{p}}{p}\left(\left|u_{n}^{\prime}\right|^{p}+\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p}\right)
$$

we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{t}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t\right\} \text { is equi-absolutely continuous on }[0, T] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (2.2), (2.3) and the convergence theorem of Vitali (see [7], p. 1017) guarantee that

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{p}\left|u_{n}^{\prime}(t)-u^{\prime}(t)\right|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus $u_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow u^{\prime}$ in $L^{p}$.
Also $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$, which implies that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p}$. Hence $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$, and the proof is complete.

Since the sum of a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$with a weakly-strongly continuous mapping is still a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 2.2. $\varphi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$.
Proposition 2.3. Suppose that if a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ is such that

$$
\left\{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right\} \text { is bounded and } \varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ has a bounded subsequence. Then $\varphi$ satisfies the PS-condition.
Proof. Assume that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset X,\left\{\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Now we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ contains a bounded subsequence and for simplicity we denote it again by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$. Since $X$ is reflexive we can extract a subsequence (again denoting it by $\left.\left\{u_{n}\right\}\right)$ such that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u$ in $X$. Since $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, one has

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since $\varphi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$we have that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $X$. Hence $\varphi$ satisfies the PS-condition.

## 3. Proofs of theorems

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 from a sequence of claims.
Consider the direct sum decomposition $X=\mathbb{R}^{N} \oplus V$ with $V=\{v \in X$ : $\left.\int_{0}^{T} v(t) \mathrm{d} t=0\right\}$. So for all $u \in X$ we can write $u=\bar{u}+\tilde{u}$ with $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\tilde{u} \in V$.

Claim 1. $\varphi$ is coercive on $V$.
Proof. Combining hypotheses (H1) with the Mean Value Theorem, we see that for almost all $t \in I$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|F(t, x)| \leqslant g(t)|x|$. If $\tilde{u} \in V$, then

$$
\varphi(\tilde{u}) \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} g(t)|\tilde{u}(t)| \mathrm{d} t \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\|g\|_{q}\|\tilde{u}\|_{p} \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-T\|g\|_{q}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}
$$

by Wirtinger's inequality (here $q$ is the conjugate of $p$ ). The above inequality and $\tilde{u} \in V$ imply that $\varphi(\tilde{u}) \rightarrow \infty$ as $\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p} \rightarrow \infty$. Now it follows that $\varphi(\tilde{u}) \rightarrow \infty$, as $\|\tilde{u}\|_{X} \rightarrow 0$.

Claim 2. There exists a sequence $\left(R_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n}=+\infty, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sup _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|a|=R_{n}} \varphi(a)\right]=-\infty
$$

Proof. This follows from (1.2) since

$$
\varphi(a)=-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, a) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Claim 3. There exists a sequence $\left(r_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} r_{m}=+\infty, \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left[\inf _{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|b|=r_{m}, \tilde{u} \in V} \varphi(b+\tilde{u})\right]=+\infty
$$

Proof. For any $b \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|b|=r_{m}$, and $\tilde{u} \in V$, let $u=b+\tilde{u}$. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T}[F(t, u)-F(t, b)] \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, b) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1}(\nabla F(t, b+s \tilde{u}(t)), \tilde{u}(t)) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, b) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\left(\int_{0}^{T} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, b) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-C_{1}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, b) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

by Sobolev's inequality; here $C_{1}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) T^{1 / q}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\inf _{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|b|=r_{m}, \tilde{u} \in V} \varphi(b+\tilde{u}) \geqslant & \inf _{\tilde{u} \in V}\left(\frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-C_{1}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\right) \\
& +\inf _{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|b|=r_{m}}\left(-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, b) \mathrm{d} t\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\inf _{\tilde{u} \in V}\left(p^{-1}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-C_{1}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}\right) \geqslant \beta$. The claim now follows from (1.3).

Consider now the set

$$
S_{n}=\left\{\gamma \in C\left(B_{R_{n}}, X\right),\left.\gamma\right|_{\partial B_{R_{n}}}=\left.i\right|_{\partial B_{R_{n}}}\right\}
$$

and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=\inf _{\gamma \in S_{n}}\left[\max _{x \in B_{R_{n}}} \varphi(\gamma(x))\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that each $\gamma$ intersects the hyperplane $V$. Let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be the (continuous) projection of $X$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, defined by

$$
\pi(u)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u \mathrm{~d} t \quad \text { for } u \in X
$$

Let $\gamma$ be any continuous map such that $\left.\gamma\right|_{\partial B_{R_{n}}}=\left.i\right|_{\partial B_{R_{n}}}$. We have to show that $0 \in \pi\left(\gamma\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)\right)$.

For $t \in[0,1], u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ define

$$
\gamma_{t}(u)=t \pi(\gamma(u))+(1-t) u
$$

Note that $\gamma_{t} \in C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ defines a homotopy of $\gamma_{0}=\mathrm{id}$ with $\gamma_{1}=\pi \circ \gamma$. Moreover, $\left.\gamma_{t}\right|_{\partial B_{R_{n}}}=\mathrm{id}$ for all $t$. By homotopy invariance and normalization of the degree (see for instance Deimling [10, Theorem 1.3.1]) we have

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(\pi \circ \gamma, B_{R_{n}}, 0\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathrm{id}, B_{R_{n}}, 0\right)=1
$$

Hence $0 \in \pi\left(\gamma\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)\right)$. Thus each $\gamma$ intersects the hyperplane $V$.
Now since $\varphi$ is coercive on $V$, there is a constant $K$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in B_{R_{n}}} \varphi(\gamma(x)) \geqslant \inf _{\tilde{u} \in V} \varphi(\tilde{u}) \geqslant K
$$

Hence $c_{n} \geqslant K$ and, for all large values of $n$,

$$
c_{n}>\sup _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|a|=R_{n}} \varphi(a) .
$$

Let us now fix such $n$ and apply Theorem 4.3 in [1]. This proves the next claim (see also [1, Corollary 4.3]).

Claim 4. If $n$ is large enough there exist sequences $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$ in $S_{n}$ and $\left(v_{n}\right)$ in $X$ such that

$$
\max _{x \in B_{R_{n}}} \varphi\left(\gamma_{k}(x)\right) \rightarrow c_{n} \quad(k \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

Then there exists a sequence $\left(v_{k}\right)$ in $X$ such that

$$
\varphi\left(v_{k}\right) \rightarrow c_{n}, \quad \operatorname{dist}\left(v_{k}, \gamma_{k}\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad\left|\varphi^{\prime}\left(v_{k}\right)\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

when $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Claim 5. The sequence $\left(v_{k}\right)$ is bounded in $X$.
Proof. For any $k$ large enough,

$$
c_{n} \leqslant \max _{x \in B_{R_{n}}} \varphi\left(\gamma_{k}(x)\right) \leqslant c_{n}+1
$$

and we can find $w_{k} \in \gamma_{k}\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|v_{k}-w_{k}\right\|_{X} \leqslant 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Claim 3, for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_{m}>R_{n}$. As in the proof above, let $\pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be the (continuous) projection of $X$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then $\left|\pi\left(\gamma_{k}\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)\right)\right| \leqslant R_{n}$. Let $H_{r_{m}}=\left\{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|b|=r_{m}\right\}+V$. Then $\left|\pi\left(H_{r_{m}}\right)\right|=r_{m}$, so $\gamma_{k}\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)$ cannot intersect the hyperplanes $H_{r_{m}}$. Hence, if we write $w_{k}=\bar{w}_{k}+\tilde{w}_{k}$, where $\bar{w}_{k} \in \gamma_{k}\left(B_{R_{n}}\right)$ and $\tilde{w}_{k} \in V$, we have

$$
\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right|<r_{m} .
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{n}+1 & \geqslant \varphi\left(w_{k}\right)=\frac{1}{p}\left\|\left(\bar{w}_{k}+\tilde{w}_{k}\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, w_{k}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, w_{k}(t)\right)-F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} t-\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}+s \tilde{w}_{k}(t)\right), \tilde{w}_{k}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t-\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\left(\int_{0}^{T} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}\right\|_{\infty}-\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-C_{2}\left\|\tilde{w}_{k}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}-\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t
\end{aligned}
$$

by Sobolev's inequality; here $C_{2}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) T^{1 / q}$. Notice also that since $\left|\bar{w}_{k}\right|<$ $r_{m}$, the integral $\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{w}_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t$ is bounded. Consequently, $\tilde{w}_{k}$ is bounded in $X$. Thus the sequence $w_{k}$ is bounded and the claim follows from 3.2.

Claim 6. $c_{n}$ is a critical value.
Proof. From Proposition 2.3 and the last claim (recall also Claim 4) it follows that $\left(v_{k}\right)$ contains a convergent subsequence, which we rename as $\left(v_{k}\right)$. Let $u_{n}=$ $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{k}$, then (see Claim 4)

$$
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^{\prime}\left(v_{k}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(v_{k}\right)=c_{n}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (a) Claim 6 proves that, for each $n$ large enough, there exists at least one solution $u_{n}$ of (1.1) such that $\varphi\left(u_{n}\right)=c_{n}$, where $c_{n}$ is given by (3.1). If $0<r_{k} \leqslant R_{n}$ and $H_{r_{k}}=\left\{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|b|=r_{k}\right\}+V$, then for any $\gamma \in S_{n}$ we have that $\gamma$ intersects the hyperplane $H_{r_{k}}$ (the proof is similar to the above). Then

$$
\max _{x \in B_{R_{n}}} \varphi(\gamma(x)) \geqslant \inf _{b \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|b|=r_{k}, \tilde{u} \in V} \varphi(b+\tilde{u}) .
$$

Thus, using Claim 3, we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=+\infty
$$

and (a) follows.
(b) For $n \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$, define a subset $P_{n}$ of $X$ by

$$
P_{n}=\left\{u \in X: u=\bar{u}+\tilde{u}, \bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|\bar{u}| \leqslant r_{n}, \tilde{u} \in V\right\} .
$$

We note that for $u \in P_{n}$ we have, proceeding as in Claim 3,

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi(u) & =\frac{1}{p}\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, u) \mathrm{d} t  \tag{3.3}\\
& \geqslant \frac{1}{p}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}^{p}-C_{1}\left\|\tilde{u}^{\prime}\right\|_{p}-\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t
\end{align*}
$$

by Sobolev's inequality; here $C_{1}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} g(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) T^{1 / q}$. Notice also that $\int_{0}^{T} F(t, \bar{u}) \mathrm{d} t$ is bounded. Thus $\varphi$ is bounded below on $P_{n}$.

Let us set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}=\inf _{u \in P_{n}} \varphi(u) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $\left(u_{k}\right)$ be a sequence in $P_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u_{k}\right) \rightarrow \mu_{n} \quad \text { as } k \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
u_{k}=\bar{u}_{k}+\tilde{u}_{k}, \quad \bar{u}_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \quad \text { and }\left|\bar{u}_{k}\right| \leqslant r_{n} .
$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\bar{u}_{k} \rightarrow \bar{u} \in B_{r_{n}}$. From (3.3) and (3.5) we obtain that $\left(u_{k}\right)$ is a bounded sequence in $X$ and thus passing to a subsequence, which we rename $\left(u_{k}\right)$, we have that

$$
u_{k} \rightharpoonup u_{n}^{*} \quad \text { in } X
$$

Since $P_{n}$ is a convex closed subset of $X, u_{n}^{*} \in P_{n}$.
Note that $\varphi$ is weakly lower semi-continuous, so

$$
\mu_{n}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{k}\right) \geqslant \varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

and, since $u_{n}^{*} \in P_{n}$, we must have

$$
\mu_{n}=\varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)
$$

Next we want to show that $u_{n}^{*} \in \operatorname{Int} P_{n}$ for large $n$, where

$$
\operatorname{Int} P_{n}:=\left\{u \in X: u=\bar{u}+\tilde{u},|\bar{u}|<r_{n}\right\} .
$$

Indeed, taking

$$
0<R_{n}<r_{n}
$$

it follows from Claim 2 and Claim 3 that $u_{n}^{*} \notin\left\{b+\tilde{u}, b \in Y,|b|=r_{n}\right\}$ for large $n$ and hence $u_{n}^{*} \in \operatorname{Int} P_{n}$. This fact and (3.4) imply that

$$
\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)=0
$$

and $u_{n}^{*}$ is a solution of (1.1).
Finally, from the fact that

$$
\varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right) \leqslant \inf _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|a|=R_{n}} \varphi(a)
$$

and from Claim 2 we obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)=-\infty
$$

Remark 1. Going through the above proof, it is easy to see that the existence of critical points $u_{n}$ still holds if assumption (1.2) is weakened to

$$
\limsup _{R \rightarrow+\infty}\left[\inf _{a \in \mathbb{R}^{N},|a|=R} \int_{0}^{T} F(s, a) \mathrm{d} s\right] \quad \text { is bounded from below. }
$$

In that case, however, the existence of the minima $u_{n}^{*}$ is no longer guaranteed.
Example 1. Let us consider the scalar problem

$$
\begin{align*}
-\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}= & a \sin \left(\ln \left(u^{2}+1\right)\right)+\frac{2 a u^{2}}{1+u^{2}} \cos \left(\ln \left(u^{2}+1\right)\right)+e(t)  \tag{3.6}\\
& u(0)-u(T)=u^{\prime}(0)-u^{\prime}(T)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where $1<p<\infty, a>0, e \in L^{1}(0, T)$ and $\int_{0}^{T} e(t) \mathrm{d} t=0$. In this case

$$
F(t, u)=a u \sin \left(\ln \left(u^{2}+1\right)\right)+e(t) u
$$

and hence

$$
\int_{0}^{T} F(t, x) \mathrm{d} t=a x \sin \left(\ln \left(x^{2}+1\right)\right)
$$

Let $R_{k}=\sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{2 k \pi+1 / 2 \pi}-1}, r_{k}=R_{k}=\sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{2 k \pi+3 / 2 \pi}-1}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$ Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, R_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t & =\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} a R_{k} \sin \left(\ln \left(R_{k}^{2}+1\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} a \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{2 k \pi+1 / 2 \pi}-1}=+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, r_{k}\right) \mathrm{d} t & =\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} a r_{k} \sin \left(\ln \left(r_{k}^{2}+1\right)\right) \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty}-a \sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{2 k \pi+3 / 2 \pi}-1}=-\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, (1.2) and (1.3) are satisfied. Moreover, $\left|F_{u}^{\prime}(t, u)\right|$ is clearly bounded by $3 a+|e(t)|$ and so we have the following result:
(i) there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ of solutions of (3.6) such that $u_{n}$ is a critical point of $\varphi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}\right)=+\infty$;
(ii) there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)$ of solutions of (3.6) such that $u_{n}^{*}$ is a local minimum point of $\varphi$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi\left(u_{n}^{*}\right)=-\infty$.
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