Štefan Znám Decomposition of complete graphs into factors of diameter two

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 30 (1980), No. 4, 373--378

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136251

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

DECOMPOSITION OF COMPLETE GRAPHS INTO FACTORS OF DIAMETER TWO

ŠTEFAN ZNÁM

We say that the system $F_1, ..., F_m$ of factors of a graph G presents an edge decomposition of G if every edge of G belongs to exactly one of the factors F_i . Let f(k) be the smallest such natural that the complete graph $K_{f(k)}$ of f(k) vertices can be decomposed into k factors of diameter two. The problem of consideration of the number f(k) has been introduced in [4], where also f(2)=5, f(3)=12 or 13 are proved. In [3] it is shown that f(k) is finite for any $k \ge 2$ and that $f(k) \ge 4k-1$ holds for $k \ge 3$. N. Sauer showed in [5] that $f(k) \le 7k$ for $k \ge 2$. In [2] J. Bosák showed that for every $k \ge 2$ we have

$$6k-52 \leq f(k) \leq 6k$$
.

Finally B. Bollobás in [1] proved that for $k \ge 6$ we have

$$f(k) \ge 6k - 9$$

In our article we prove that for $k \ge 664$ the inequality $f(k) \ge 6k - 7$ holds. It is very probable that using very similar methods as here (however considering more precisely) the inequality $f(k) \ge 6k - 6$ can be proved.

By the neighbourhood of a set S of vertices in a graph we mean the set of all vertices not belonging to S but adjacent to some vertices of S in this graph.

Now suppose that for a $k \ge 664$ the complete graph K_{6k-8} is decomposed into k factors of diameter two. Then there exists at least one factor F containing at most

$$\left[\frac{(6k-8)(6k-9)}{2k}\right] = 18k - 51$$

edges. We shall state some properties of the factor F.

Lemma 1. The neighbourhood of any two vertices x, y in F is of cardinality at most 5k-8.

Proof. Suppose, the cardinality of the neighbourhood of two vertices x, y in F is at least 5k-7. Then in the remaining k-1 factors there exist at most

$$(6k-10) - (5k-7) = k-3$$

373

paths of the length 2 between x and y. This is a contradiction with the fact that all factors are of diameter two.

Corollary F does not contain any vertex of degree <3.

Lemma 2. The maximal degree of vertex in F is at most 3k - 6.

Proof. A vertex v is of degree at least 3 in all the remaining k - 1 factors, hence we have

$$3(k-1) + \deg_F v \leq 6k - 9$$

and our assertion follows.

Lemma 3. Let v be a vertex of degree 3 in F and let it be adjacent to vertices x, y, z. Suppose

$$\deg_F x \leq \deg_F y \leq \deg_F z.$$

Then:

a) x is of degree at least 2k-3;

b) y is of degree at least $\frac{1}{2}(3k-3)$;

c) all three are of degree at least k-2.

Proof. F is of diameter two, hence every vertex of F belongs to the neighbourhood of $\{x, y, z\}$; therefore it has to contain v and 6k - 12 other vertices and so x must be of degree at least $\frac{1}{3}(6k - 12) + 1$ and the assertion a) follows. Owing to Lemma 2 the vertex x is of degree at most 3k - 6, therefore the neighbourhood of the set $\{z, y\}$ in F contains v and at least (6k - 12) - (3k - 7) = 3k - 5 vertices. Both y and z are adjacent to v, hence the sum of degrees of y and z is at least 3k - 3 and the assertion b) follows.

The neighbourhood of the set $\{x, y\}$ is (according to Lemma 1) of cardinality at most 5k-8 and v belongs to this neighbourhood. Hence there exist at least (6k-12) - (5k-9) = k-3 vertices connected with v by a path of length 2 containing z. Therefore z is of degree at least k-2. The proof is complete.

Lemma 4. Let v be a vertex of degree 4 in F adjacent to the vertices x, y, z and t. Suppose

$$\deg x \ge \deg y \ge \deg z \ge \deg t.$$

Then:

a) deg $y \ge k - 1$; b) deg $z \ge \frac{1}{2}(k - 4)$.

Proof. Owing to Lemma 2 there exist at least (6k - 13) - (3k - 7) = 3k - 6

vertices connected with v by a path of length 2 containing one of the vertices y, z, t. Hence the sum of degrees of these three vertices is at least 3k - 5 and a) follows.

Owing to Lemma 1 there exist at least (6k - 13) - (5k - 9) = k - 4 vertices connected with v by a path of the length 2 containing z or t and b) follows.

Lemma 5. Let v be a vertex of degree 5 in F. Then v is adjacent to a vertex of

degree at least k-2 and to three vertices of degree at least $\frac{1}{3}(k-4)$.

The proof is very similar to that of Lemma 4.

Theorem. $f(k) \ge 6k - 7$ for $k \ge 664$.

Proof. We shall show that K_{6k-8} cannot be decomposed into k factors of diameter 2. Namely we prove the impossibility of the existence of a factor F having the properties stated in Lemmas 1—5 with at most 18k - 51 edges.

Suppose F is such a factor of K_{6k-8} . Denote by A the set of all vertices of degree

3,4 or 5 in *F*,
$$|A| = a$$
; by *B* the set of all vertices of degree 6, 7, ..., $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{3} (k-5) \right\rfloor$, $|B| = b$; by *C* the set of all vertices of degree at least $\frac{1}{3} (k-4)$, $|C| = c$.

If $c \ge 55$, then the sum of degrees in F is at least

$$3(6k-8) + \frac{55}{3}(k-13) = \frac{109}{3}k - \frac{787}{3},$$

which is a contradiction with the fact that the number of edges is at most 18k - 51. Hence we have $c \le 54$.

Now there exist at least 3a edges between the sets A and C (see Lemmas 3—5). To every vertex of A choose three edges starting from it to the set C and denote this set of edges by U. Every vertex from B contributes to the sum s of all degrees in F by at least 6 (hence the set B by at least 6b), the contribution of edges of U is 6a and further we have some other edges incident with the vertices of degree 4 or 5 but not considered above.

First suppose there exist at least 325 vertices of degree 4 or 5 in F. Then we have

$$s \ge 6a + 6b + 325 > 6(a + b + c) = 6(6k - 8) = 36k - 48$$
.

However, this is a contradiction, because the factor F has at most 18k - 51 edges.

Now we shall consider the more complicated case if in F there exist less than 325 vertices of degree 4 or 5. Denote by D the set of vertices of degree 6, 7, ..., k-3 in F, |D| = d and by E the set of vertices of degree at least k-2, |E| = e.

Obviously $e \le c \le 54$. Suppose $e \ge 19$. Then the sum of degrees in F is at least e(k-2) + 3(6k-8-54) = (18k+ek) - 2e - 186, which is for $k \ge 664$ a

contradiction considering the fact that F contains at most 18k - 51 edges. Hence $e \le 18$.

However, we shall show that $e \leq 12$.

We shall distinguish two cases, again.

If $d \ge 2k$, then the sum of degrees in A and D is at least $3(6k - 26) + 3d \ge 24k - 78$. Therefore the sum of degrees in E is at most (36k - 102) - (24k - 78) = 12k - 24. Hence $e \le 12$.

If $d \leq 2k$, then we prove first $e \leq 14$. In this case the number t of vertices of degree 3 in F is at least

$$6k - 8 - d - 18 - 324 = 6k - d - 350.$$

The sum of degrees in E is at most 36k - 102 - 3(6k - 26) - 3d = 18k - 3d - 24 = w, hence there exist at most 11 vertices of degree at least $\frac{1}{2}(3k - 3) = m$ in F.

On the other hand, according to Lemma 3 at least 2t edges from vertices of degree 3 go into vertices of degree at least m. Hence the sum of degrees of vertices of E having degree smaller than m is at most

$$w - 2t \leq (18k - 3d - 24) - 2(6k - d - 350) = 6k - d - 676.$$

Hence there exist in E at most 5 vertices of this kind.

Now if the number of vertices of degree at least m in E is ≤ 8 , we get $e \leq 14$. However, if the number of vertices of degree at least m is n = 9, 10, 11, then the sum of degrees of vertices with smaller degree in E is at most

$$w - nm = (18k - 3d - 24) - \frac{n}{2}(3k - 3) = \left(18 - \frac{3}{2}n\right)k - 3d - 24 + \frac{3}{2}n;$$

hence there exist at most $18 - \frac{3}{2}n$ vertices of this kind. Therefore, the number of vertices in E is at most $18 - \frac{n}{2}$, which is less than 14.

Hence, in all cases we have $e \leq 14$. Further we can consider starting from this new information and show that $e \leq 12$.

Because $e \leq 14$, the sum of degrees in E is at most

$$36k - 102 - 3(6k - 8 - 14) - 3d = 18k - 36 - 3d.$$

Under these conditions there exist at least $6k-8-324-2k-14 \ge 3k-5$ vertices of degree 3 in F, thus due to Lemmas 2 and 3 in E at least two vertices of degree at least 2k-3=r exist. We shall deal with two cases.

1. Suppose there exist exactly two vertices of degree at least r. Any vertex of degree 3 is connected with at least one of them. There exist at least 5 vertices of

degree smaller than r but not smaller than m in E. Choose 5 vertices of this kind. Thus the sum of degrees of remaining vertices of E (without those two vertices and the chosen 5 vertices) is at most

$$18k - 3d - 36 - t - 5m \leq \\ \leq 18k - 3d - 36 - (6k - d - 350) - \frac{15}{2}k - \frac{15}{2} = \\ = 4,5k - 2d - 321,5.$$

Hence, according to the condition $k \ge 664$ we get that E contains at most 4 further vertices and in this case we have $e \le 11$.

2. If E contains at least 3 vertices of degree at least r and at least 4 further vertices of degree at least m, then the sum of degrees of 7 vertices with the greatest degree in F is at least 3r + 4m = 12k - 15, hence the sum of the degrees of the remaining vertices in E is at most 18k - 3d - 36 - 12k + 15 = 6k - 21 - 3d < 6(k-2). Therefore, there exist at most 5 further vertices in E. Hence in all cases we have $e \leq 12$.

All the vertices of degree 3 are connected with 3 vertices of E, all the vertices of degree 4 with at least 2 vertices of E and every vertex of degree 5 is adjacent to some vertex in E. If we denote F_1 the factor of F which arises deleting the edges connecting two vertices of E from F, then the sum of degrees of vertices in F_1 is at least

$$(6k-8-e)6 = 36k-48-6e$$
.

For $e \le 8$ this gives a contradiction, because for such an e we have 36k - 48 - 6e > 36k - 102.

Suppose $e \ge 9$. Let v_0 be a vertex of degree 3 in F. Then every vertex of E not adjacent to v_0 is adjacent to at least one vertex of the neighbourhood of v_0 . Hence there exist at least e - 3 edges with both endopoints in E and the sum of degrees in F is at least

$$(36k - 48 - 6e) + 2(e - 3) = 36k - 4e - 54$$

which is for e = 9, 10, 11 more than 36k - 102.

Suppose e = 12. If there exist at least 10 edges with both endpoints in E, then we get a contradiction again. Suppose, there exist exactly 9 such edges. Denote by H the factor of F induced by the set E. Let $V = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ be the neighbourhood of v_0 in F and let

$$\deg_H v_1 \ge \deg_H v_2 \ge \deg_H v_3. \tag{I}$$

Then we have the only possibility:

$$\deg_H v_1 + \deg_H v_2 + \deg_H v_3 = 9 \tag{II}$$

377

and all the vertices not belonging to V are of degree one in H. We supposed $d \leq 2k$, hence t > 3k - 6. Thus due to Lemma 2 there exists a vertex v_4 of degree 3 in F not adjacent to v_1 . Let $\{v_5, v_6, v_7\}$ be the neighbourhood of v_4 in F. Then due to (I) and (II) the sum of degrees of vertices v_5 , v_6 and v_7 is at most 7 in H, which is a contradiction, because then the diameter of F would be greater than 2. Thus, according to Theorem 1 of [4], the proof of out theorem is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOLLOBÁS, B.: Extremal grapf theory. Academic Press, London 1978.
- [2] BOSÁK, J.: Disjoint factors of diameter two in complete graphs, J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B, 16, 1974, 57–63.
- [3] BOSÁK, J.-ERDÖS, P.-ROSA, A.: Decomposition of complete graphs into factors with diameter two, Mat. Čas., 21, 1971, 14-28.
- [4] BOSÁK, J.—ROSA, A.—ZNÁM, Š.: On decomposition of complete graphs into factors with given diameters. In Theory of Graphs (proc. Colloq. Tihany, 1966) Academic Press, New York and Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1968, 37—56.
- [5] SAUER, N.: On the factorization of complete graphs into factors of diameter 2, J. Combinatorial Theory, 9, 1970, 423–426.

Received October 31, 1978

Katedra algebry a teórie čísel Prírodovedeckej fakulty UK Mlynská dolina 816 31 Bratislava

РАЗЛОЖЕНИЕ ПОЛНОГО ГРАФА НА ФАКТОРЫ ДИАМЕТРА ДВА

Штефан Знам

Резюме

Доказывается, что полный граф с 6k - 8 вершинами невозможно разложить на k факторы диаметра 2, если $k \ge 664$.

Пользуясь теми же методами, но рассуждая точнее, вероятно возможно показать: полный граф с 6k - 7 вершинами тоже невозможно разложить на k факторы диаметра 2.