Bohdan Zelinka Neighbourhood tournaments

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 3, 241--244

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136424

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

NEIGHBOURHOOD TOURNAMENTS

BOHDAN ZELINKA

A tournament is a directed graph in which any two distinct vertices are joined by exactly one edge.

Let T be a tournament, let v be its vertex. By the symbol $N_T(v)$ we denote the subtournament of T induced by the set of all vertices of T which are terminal vertices of edges outgoing from v; this tournament will be called the neighbourhood tournament of v in T.

At the Symposium on Graph Theory in Smolenice in 1963 A. A. Zykov [1] has suggested a problem concerning neighbourhood graphs in undirected graphs. We shall study the tournament variant of this problem:

Characterize the tournaments T_0 with the property that there exists a tournament T such that $N_T(v) \cong T_0$ for each vertex v of T.

We shall give a partial solution of this problem.

Theorem 1. Let a tournament T_0 with *n* vertices, where *n* is a positive integer, have the property that there exists a tournament T such that $N_T(v) \cong T_0$ for each vertex v of T. Then T has 2n+1 vertices.

Proof. Let p be the number of vertices of T. As each tournament $N_T(v)$ for a vertex v of T has n vertices, the outdegree of any vertex of T is n and its indegree is p-n-1. The number of edges of T is the sum of outdegrees of all vertices of T, namely np. However it is equal also to the sum of indegrees of all vertices of T, namely p(p-n-1). Hence np = p(p-n-1); as evidently $p \neq 0$, this implies p = 2n+1.

For any positive integer n by $\mathcal{T}(n)$ we denote the class of all tournaments with the following structure. For any tournament $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ there exists a subset $A(T_0)$ of the number set $\{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$ which has n elements and the property that $x + y \neq 2n + 1$ for any two elements x, y of $A(T_0)$. The vertices of T_0 can be labelled by the elements of $A(T_0)$ in such a way that for each edge of T_0 the difference of the label of the terminal vertex and the label of the initial vertex is congruent to an element of $A(T_0)$ modulo 2n + 1.

Theorem 2. Let $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ for a positive integer *n*. Then there exists a tournament *T* such that $N_T(v) \cong T_0$ for each vertex *v* of *T*.

Proof. Let the vertex set of T be $V(T) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2n+1}\}$. There exists an edge $\overline{v_i v_j}$ in T if and only if j - i is congruent to an element of $A(T_0)$ modulo 2n + 1. Evidently if i and j are distinct numbers from the set $\{1, 2, ..., 2n + 1\}$, then j - i is not congruent to 0 modulo 2n + 1. As $|A(T_0)| = n$, any number $x \in \{1, 2, ..., 2n\}$ has the property that exactly one of the numbers x, 2n + 1 - x belongs to $A(T_0)$. Hence exactly one of the numbers j - i, i - j is congruent modulo 2n + 1 to an element of $A(T_0)$ and T is a tournament. For each vertex v_i the vertex set of $N_T(v_i)$ consists of exactly all vertices v_j such that j - i is congruent to an element of $A(T_0)$ modulo 2n + 1. If we label each vertex v_j of $N_T(v_i)$ by j - i if j > i and by j - i + 2n + 1 if j < i, we see that $N_T(v_i) \cong T_0$.



A tournament $T_0 \in \mathcal{T}(n)$ can be given by a 2*n*-dimensional Boolean vector $v(T_0) = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_{2n})$ such that $a_i = 1$ for $i \in A(T_0)$ and $a_i = 0$ for $i \notin A(T_0)$. Obviously $v(T_0)$ must contain *n* coordinates equal to one and *n* coordinates equal to zero.

For an acyclic tournament with *n* vertices we may put $A(T_0) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and label its vertices in such a way that the label of the terminal vertex of any edge is greater than the label of its initial vertex. Thus we have a corollary.

Corollary. Each finite acyclic tournament T_0 has the property that there exists a tournament T such that $N_T(v) \cong T_0$ for each vertex v of T.

A cycle with three vertices is given by the vector (1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0), the tournament obtained from it by adding a source is given by (1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0), the tournament with four vertices having a Hamiltonian cycle is given by (1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0). The labelling of vertices of these tournaments is shown in Figs. 1, 2, 3.

Theorem 3. Let T_0 be a non-acyclic tournament having a sink. Then no tournament T has the property that $N_T(v) \cong T_0$ for each vertex v of T.

Remark. A sink of a directed graph is a vertex which is an initial vertex of no edge.

Proof. Let u be the sink of T_0 . Suppose that the tournament T with the required property exists. Let v_1 be a vertex of T. Consider the tournament $N_T(v_1)$; let v_2 be the vertex of $N_T(v_1)$ which is the image of u in an isomorphic mapping of T_0 onto

 $N_T(v_1)$. To the vertex v_2 the edges go from v_1 and from all vertices of $N_T(v_1)$ which are distinct from v_2 . Thus the vertex set of $N_T(v_2)$ is disjoint with the vertex set of $N_T(v_1)$ and does not contain v_1 . As the number of vertices of T is 2n + 1 (see Theorem 1), the vertex sets of $N_T(v_1)$ and $N_T(v_2)$ and the one-element set $\{v_1\}$ form a partition of the vertex set of T. Let v_3 be the vertex of $N_T(v_2)$ which is the image of u in an isomorphic mapping of T_0 onto $N_T(v_2)$. To the vertex v_3 the edges go from v_2 and from all vertices of $N_T(v_2)$ distinct from v_3 . As the outdegree of v_3 must be n (see the proof of Theorem 1), the vertex set of $N_T(v_3)$ consists of v_1 and all vertices of $N_T(v_1)$ which are distinct from v_2 . Hence $N_T(v_3)$ is isomorphic to the graph T_0' obtained from T_0 by reversing the orientations of all edges incident with



u. For an integer $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$ let d(k) (or d'(k)) be the number of vertices which have the outdegree k in T_0 (or in T_0 respectively). Evidently for each $k \neq 0$ we have d'(k-1) = d(k) and d'(n-1) = 1. If $T_0 \cong T_0$, then evidently d'(k) = d(k)for any $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$. This is possible only if d(k) = 1 for all $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$. However in this case T_0 is acyclic, which is a contradiction with the assumption of the theorem.

REFERENCE

 ZYKOV, A. A.: Problem 30. In: Theory of graphs and its applications. Proc. Symp. Smolenice 1963 (ed. M. Fiedler), Prague 1964, 164—165.

Received March 1, 1984

Katedra iváření a plastů Vysoké školy strojní a textilní Studentská 1292 461 17 Liberec

турниры окрестностей

Bohdan Zelinka

Резюме

Если *T* есть турнир и v есть его вершина, тогда $N_T(v)$ есть подтурнир турнира *T*, порожденный множеством всех концевых вершин ребер, выходящих из v в *T*. Статья исследует турниры T_0 , обладающее тем свойством, что существует турнир *T* такой, что $N_T(v) \cong T_0$ для каждой вершины v из *T*. Описан определенный класс турниров (содержащий также все ациклические турниры), все элементы которого обладают требуемым свойством. Доказано, что неациклический турнир, цодержащий вершину с полустепенью выхода равной нулю, не обладает требуемым свойством.