

Marek Balcerzak

On the generalized property  $(K)$

*Mathematica Slovaca*, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 4, 417--423

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136431>

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## ON THE GENERALIZED PROPERTY (K)

MAREK BALCERZAK

Let  $X$  be a complete separable dense in itself metric space.

For any family  $T$  of real-valued functions defined on  $X$ , let  $B_0(T) = T$  and, for each ordinal number  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $B_\alpha(T)$  be the family of all pointwise limits of sequences with terms taken from  $\bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} B_\gamma(T)$ . The first number  $\alpha$  such that  $B_\alpha(T) = B_{\alpha+1}(T)$  is called the Baire order of the family  $T$  and will be denoted by  $r(T)$ . Evidently,  $r(T) \leq \omega_1$  where  $\omega_1$  is the first uncountable ordinal number. If  $T$  is the family of all continuous functions on  $X$ , then  $B_\alpha(T)$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , are the usual Baire classes which will be shortly denoted by  $B_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

In [4] Grande considered a Borel,  $G_\delta$  — regular, complete and  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $X$  such that  $\mu(X) > 0$ . He introduced the following definition

**Definition I.** A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to have the property (K) if and only if the set of points of continuity of the function  $f|A$  is dense in  $A$  for each closed set  $A$  such that  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  implies  $\mu(U \cap A) > 0$  for all open sets  $U$ .

Denote by  $\mathbf{K}$  the family of all functions possessing the property (K). Let  $\mathbf{I}_\mu$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all sets of measure  $\mu$  zero. Denote by  $\mathbf{C}_\mu$  the family of all functions whose sets of points of discontinuity belong to  $\mathbf{I}_\mu$ .

In [4] Grande proved that  $\mathbf{K} \subset B_1(\mathbf{C}_\mu)$ ,  $B_1(\mathbf{K}) = B_2(\mathbf{C}_\mu)$ . Using similar methods, we shall extend these results to the case when  $\mathbf{I}_\mu$  is replaced by an arbitrary  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathbf{I}$  with some natural properties.

Throughout the paper, we shall assume that  $\mathbf{I}$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of  $X$ , all singletons  $\{x\}$  belong to  $\mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  does not contain open nonempty sets.

**Definition II.** (comp. [1]). We say that a nonempty closed set  $A$  is  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect if and only if  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  implies  $U \cap A \notin \mathbf{I}$  for all open sets  $U$ .

Remarks. (a) Of course, every  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect set  $A$  is perfect. Each nonempty set, open in  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect  $A$ , does not belong to  $\mathbf{I}$ .

(b) For any  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\mathbf{I}$ , let  $\mathbf{I}_1$  denote the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all sets which are contained in sets of type  $F_\sigma$  belonging to  $\mathbf{I}$  (for example, if  $\mathbf{I}$  is the  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of the Lebesgue measure zero on  $\mathbf{R}$ , then  $\mathbf{I}$ ,  $\mathbf{I}_1$  are distinct). Observe that the notions of an  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect set and an  $\mathbf{I}_1$ -perfect set are identical.

**Definition III.** ([5], [11]; comp. also [1], [7], [8]). For any subset  $A$  of  $X$ , let

$$A^* = \{x \in X: U \cap A \notin \mathbf{I} \text{ for each neighbourhood } U \text{ of } x\}.$$

We give a few properties of the operation  $A \mapsto A^*$ :

**Proposition I.** For any subsets  $A, B$  of  $X$ , we have

- (a)  $A^* = \bar{A}^* = A^{**} \subset \bar{A}$ ;
- (b)  $A \setminus A^* \in \mathbf{I}$ ;
- (c)  $A \subset B$  implies  $A^* \subset B^*$ ;
- (d)  $B \in \mathbf{I}$  implies  $(A \cup B)^* = (A \setminus B)^* = A^*$ ;
- (e)  $A \in \mathbf{I}$  if and only if  $A^* = \emptyset$ ;
- (f) if  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A$  is  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect if and only if  $A^* = A$ ;
- (g)  $A \notin \mathbf{I}$  if and only if  $A^*$  is  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect.

Proof. Properties (a)–(e) were proved in [5], [11] and property (f) in [1]. It remains to show (g). Assume that  $A \notin \mathbf{I}$ . Then, by (e), we have  $A^* \neq \emptyset$ . In virtue of (a),  $A^{**} = A^*$ . So, by (f),  $A^*$  is  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect. Assume now that  $A \in \mathbf{I}$ . Then, by (e), we have  $A^* = \emptyset$ , whence  $A^*$  cannot be  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect.

**Definition 1.** A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to have the property  $(K_{\mathbf{I}})$  if and only if the set of points of continuity of the function  $f|_A$  is dense in  $A$  for every  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect set  $A$ .

Remarks. (a) Assume that  $\mathbf{I}$  contains a nonempty perfect set  $A$ . Then there exists a function which is nonborel and has the property  $(K_{\mathbf{I}})$  (comp. [2], Example 1). Indeed, let  $B$  be a subset of  $A$  such that  $B, A \setminus B$  are totally imperfect (see [7], p. 422). We shall show that the characteristic function  $\chi_B$  of  $B$  has the required properties.  $B$  is nonborel, so is  $\chi_B$ . To prove that  $\chi_B$  has the property  $(K_{\mathbf{I}})$ , consider an  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect set  $E$ . We easily check that  $\chi_B$  restricted to  $E$  is continuous at each point of the set  $E \setminus A$  which is dense in  $E$  since, by Proposition I (a), (d), (f), we have

$$\overline{E \setminus A} \supset (E \setminus A)^* = E^* = E.$$

(b) Assume that  $\mathbf{I}$  does not contain any nonempty perfect set. Then, every nonempty perfect set is  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect. Indeed, let  $A$  be a nonempty perfect set and suppose that  $A$  is not  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect. There exists an open set  $U$  such that  $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and  $U \cap A \in \mathbf{I}$ . But  $U \cap A$  is uncountable, thus it contains a nonempty perfect set (see [7], p. 355), which is impossible. Thus, in this case, the notions of an  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect set and a nonempty perfect set are identical. Consequently, a function has the property  $(K_{\mathbf{I}})$  if and only if it is in the Baire class 1 (see [7], p. 326).

**Definition 1'.** A function  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is said to have the property  $(K'_{\mathbf{I}})$  if and only if  $f|_A$  has a point of continuity for every  $\mathbf{I}$ -perfect set  $A$ .

Let  $\omega$  denote the ordinal type of the set of natural numbers.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and assume that  $f$  has the property  $(K'_1)$ . There are an ordinal number  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and a sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n < \alpha}$  of nonempty, open, pairwise disjoint sets, such that

- (1)  $X_n \cap U_n \notin I$  for all  $n < \alpha$ , where  $X_n = \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} U_i\right)^*$ ;
- (2)  $\text{osc } f \leq \varepsilon$  on  $X_n \cap U_n$  for all  $n < \alpha$ ;
- (3)  $X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} U_n \in I$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X_1 = X^*$ . Notice that  $X_1$  is  $I$ -perfect by Proposition I (g). From the assumption it follows that  $f|X_1$  has a point of continuity we denote by  $x_1$ . Hence, there is a neighbourhood  $U_1$  of  $x_1$  such that  $\text{osc } f \leq \varepsilon$  on  $X_1 \cap U_1$ . If  $X \setminus U_1 \in I$ , then put  $\alpha = 2$ ; if not, let  $X_2 = (X \setminus U_1)^*$ . As previously, observe that  $f|X_2$  has a point of continuity we denote by  $x_2$ . In virtue of Proposition I (a), we have  $X_2 \subset X \setminus U_1$ , so  $x_2 \notin U_1$ . Then there exists a neighbourhood  $U_2$  of  $x_2$ , disjoint from  $U_1$ , such that  $\text{osc } f \leq \varepsilon$  on  $X_2 \cap U_2$ . If  $X \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2) \in I$ , then put  $\alpha = 3$ ; if not, we repeat the construction. In this way, we define, by transfinite induction, a sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n < \alpha}$  of nonempty, open, pairwise disjoint sets fulfilling conditions (1), (2), (3). In a separable space such a sequence cannot contain an uncountable number of sets, thus  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let a sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n < \alpha}$  fulfil the assertion of Lemma 1. Then there is a set  $E \in I$  of type  $F_\sigma$  such that  $X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n) \subset E$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y_n = X \setminus \bigcup_{i < n} U_i$ ,  $n < \alpha$ . The sets  $U_n$  are pairwise disjoint, so  $U_n \subset Y_n$ ,  $n < \alpha$ . Hence

$$X_n \cap U_n = U_n \setminus (Y_n \setminus X_n), \quad n < \alpha.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n) &= X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (U_n \setminus (Y_n \setminus X_n)) \\ &= \bigcap_{n < \alpha} ((X \setminus U_n) \cup (Y_n \setminus X_n)) \subset \bigcap_{n < \alpha} ((X \setminus U_n) \cup \bigcup_{m < \alpha} (Y_m \setminus X_m)) \\ &= \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} U_n\right) \cup \bigcup_{m < \alpha} (Y_m \setminus X_m). \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 1 (3) and Proposition I (b) we deduce that the set

$$E = \left(X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} U_n\right) \cup \bigcup_{m < \alpha} (Y_m \setminus X_m)$$

is of type  $F_\sigma$  and belongs to  $I$ .

**Proposition 1.** A function  $f$  has the property  $(K_I)$  if and only if it has the property  $(K'_I)$ .

*Proof.* Necessity is evident. To prove sufficiency, consider an arbitrary  $I$ -perfect set  $A$ . In virtue of the Baire theorem, it is enough to demonstrate that the set  $D_f$  of points of discontinuity of the function  $f|A$  is of the first category in  $A$ . Let  $s(x)$  denote the oscillation of the function  $f|A$  at a point  $x$ . Since  $D_f = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \{x \in A: s(x) > 1/n\}$ , we only need to show that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $Z_\varepsilon = \{x \in A: s(x) > \varepsilon\}$  is nowhere dense in  $A$ . Let  $U \neq \emptyset$  be an open set in the subspace  $A$ . We shall find a set  $V \neq \emptyset$ , open in  $A$ , such that  $V \subset U \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ . Consider a sequence  $\{U_n\}_{n < \alpha}$  fulfilling the assertion of Lemma 1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (U \cap X_n \cap U_n) \cup \left( U \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n) \right) \\ &\subset \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (U \cap X_n \cap U_n) \cup (U \cap E) \end{aligned}$$

where  $E$  fulfils the assertion of Lemma 2. The set  $U$  is of the second category in  $A$  (by the Baire theorem). The set  $U \cap E$  is of the first category in  $A$  since it is of type  $F_\sigma$  in  $A$  and does not contain any nonempty set, open in  $A$  (if it contained such a set, we would have  $U \cap E \notin I$  and  $E \notin I$ ). Consequently, the set  $\bigcup_{n < \alpha} (U \cap X_n \cap U_n)$  is of the second category in  $A$ . Since all the sets  $U \cap X_n \cap U_n$ ,  $n < \alpha$ , are of type  $F_\sigma$  in  $A$ , there exist  $n_0 < \alpha$  and a set  $V \neq \emptyset$ , open in  $A$ , such that  $V \subset U \cap X_{n_0} \cap U_{n_0}$ . In virtue of Lemma 1 (2), we have  $\text{osc } f \leq \varepsilon$  on  $V$ , thus  $V \subset U \setminus Z_\varepsilon$ . The proof has been completed.

Let  $K_I$  denote the family of all functions with the property  $(K_I)$ , and  $C_I$  — the family of all functions whose sets of points of discontinuity belong to  $I$ . It is easily seen that  $C_I \subset K_I$ .

**Theorem I** ([9], th. 3). Let  $0 < \alpha < \omega_1$ . A function  $f$  belongs to  $B_\alpha(C_I)$  if and only if there exists a function  $g$  in  $B_\alpha$  such that the set  $\{x \in X: f(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is contained in a set of type  $F_\sigma$  belonging to  $I$ .

**Theorem 1.**  $K_I \subset B_1(C_I)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f \in K_I$ . Since  $B_1(C_I)$  is closed with respect to uniform convergence, it suffices to show that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $f_\varepsilon \in B_1(C_I)$  such that  $|f(x) - f_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\{U_n\}_{n < \alpha}$  be the sequence constructed in Lemma 1. For each  $n < \alpha$ , choose  $x_n \in X_n \cap U_n$  and define

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \begin{cases} f(x_n) & \text{if } x \in X_n \cap U_n, \quad n < \alpha \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n); \end{cases}$$

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f_\varepsilon(x) & \text{if } x \in X_n \cap U_n, \quad n < \alpha \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n). \end{cases}$$

Observe that condition (2) of lemma 1 gives  $|f(x) - f_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$ . We shall prove that  $f_\varepsilon \in B_1(C_I)$ . By Lemma 2, the set  $\{x \in X: f_\varepsilon(x) \neq g(x)\}$  is contained in a set of type  $F_\sigma$  belonging to  $I$ . Hence, in virtue of Theorem I, it remains to show that  $g \in B_1$ . Consider a nonempty closed set  $A$ . We shall find a nonempty set, open in  $A$ , such that  $g$  is constant on it. Consequently,  $g$  will belong to  $B_1$ . The following cases are possible:

1°  $A \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} U_n$ . Then  $A \subset X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n)$ , and so  $g$  is constant on  $A$ .

2° There exists  $n_0 < \alpha$  such that  $A \cap U_{n_0} \neq \emptyset$ . If  $A \cap U_{n_0} \subset U_{n_0} \cap X_{n_0}$ , then  $g$  is constant on  $A \cap U_{n_0}$ . If  $A \cap U_{n_0} \setminus X_{n_0} \neq \emptyset$ , we have

$$A \cap U_{n_0} \setminus X_{n_0} \subset \left( X \setminus \bigcup_{n \neq n_0} U_n \right) \cap (X \setminus X_{n_0}) \subset \\ \left( X \setminus \bigcup_{n \neq n_0} (X_n \cap U_n) \right) \cup (X \setminus (X_{n_0} \cap U_{n_0})) = X \setminus \bigcup_{n < \alpha} (X_n \cap U_n).$$

Hence  $g$  is constant on  $A \cap U_{n_0} \setminus X_{n_0}$ . This ends the proof.

Remark. We have always  $K_I \neq B_1(C_I)$ . Indeed, let  $Y$  be a countable subset of  $X^*$ , dense in  $X^*$ , and let  $f$  be the characteristic function of the set  $Y$ . It is not difficult to check that  $f \in B_1(C_I) \setminus K_I$ .

**Theorem 2.**  $B_1(K_I) = B_2(C_I)$ .

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have  $B_1(K_I) \subset B_2(C_I)$ . We shall prove that  $B_2(C_I) \subset B_1(K_I)$ . Let  $f \in B_2(C_I)$ . In virtue of Theorem I, there exist a function  $g \in B_2$  and a set  $H \in I$  of type  $F_\sigma$ , such that  $\{x \in X: f(x) \neq g(x)\} \subset H$ . Let  $H = \bigcup_{n < \omega} H_n$  where all the sets  $H_n$  are closed and  $H_n \subset H_{n+1}$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Let  $\{g_n\}_{n < \omega}$  be a sequence of functions of the Baire class 1 which tends to  $g$  pointwise on  $X$ . For each  $n < \omega$ , let

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) & \text{if } x \in X \setminus H_n \\ f(x) & \text{if } x \in H_n. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n < \omega}$  tends to  $f$  pointwise on  $X$ . Moreover, all the functions  $f_n$  belong to  $K_I$ . Indeed, let  $A$  be an  $I$ -perfect set and consider an arbitrary set  $U \neq \emptyset$ , open in the subspace  $A$ . Since  $g_n \in B_1$ , the set of points of continuity of  $g_n|_A$  is dense in  $A$ . We have  $U \notin I$ ,  $H_n \in I$ ; hence the set  $V = U \setminus H_n$  is nonempty. Moreover,  $V$  is open in  $A$ , thus  $f_n|_A$  has a point of continuity  $x_0 \in V$ .

But  $g_n|V = f_n|V$ , thus  $f_n|A$  is continuous at the point  $x_0$ . We have shown that  $f \in B_1(K_I)$ . This completes the proof.

From Theorem 2 we can deduce relationships between  $r(K_I)$  and  $r(C_I)$ . In particular, we have

**Corollary.** *If  $r(K_I)$  or  $r(C_I)$  is infinite, then  $r(K_I) = r(C_I)$ .*

**Remarks.** (a) Let  $I$  denote the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all countable sets. In this case,  $K_I = B_1$  (compare Remark (b) following Definition 1). Thus the inclusion  $K_I \subset B_1(C_I)$  is obvious. Moreover,  $B_2(C_I) = B_2$  (see [10]), so  $B_1(K_I) = B_2(C_I)$  follows immediately, as well.

(b) Let  $I$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal of sets of the first category. Since  $I$  does not contain any nonempty open set and  $X \setminus X^*$  belonging to  $I$  is open (see Proposition I (a), (b)), therefore  $X^* = X$ . It follows that  $K_I \subset C_I$ . Indeed, if  $f \in K_I$ , then  $f = f|X^*$  is pointwise discontinuous, and so  $f \in C_I$ . Hence we have  $K_I = C_I$ , and thus  $r(K_I) = 1$  (comp. [6]). We can similarly check that if  $I$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal which contains all sets of the first category and does not contain any nonempty open set, then the equation  $K_I = C_I$  holds, as well.

(c) Let  $I = I_\mu$  where  $\mu$  denotes the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Grande in [3] proved that  $C_I \neq K_I$  and  $r(K_I) = \omega_1$ . Moreover, it was shown in [4] that  $C_I$  is a nowhere dense subset of  $K_I$  with the metric of uniform convergence.

(d) Let  $I$  be the  $\sigma$ -ideal constructed by Mycielski in [11]. It was shown in [1] that  $r(C_I) = \omega_1$ . Thus, by corollary,  $r(K_I) = \omega_1$ .

(e) We proved in [1] that if  $J$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal included in  $I$ , then  $r(C_J) \geq r(C_I)$ . Hence, by Corollary,  $J \subset I$  and  $r(K_J) = \omega_1$  imply  $r(K_I) = \omega_1$ .

(f) For any  $\sigma$ -ideal  $I$ , the notion of an  $I$ -perfect set is identical with the notion of a nonempty perfect set in the topology generated by the operation of the derived set  $A \mapsto A^*$  (see [8], [1]). This topology is stronger than the previous one. However, the family of continuous functions in either topology is the same (see [8]).

(g) It seems interesting whether, for every  $\sigma$ -ideal  $I$ , there exists a topology  $\tau_I$  on  $X$  such that the family  $C(\tau_I)$  of functions  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  continuous with respect to  $\tau_I$  fulfils the condition  $K_I = B_1(C(\tau_I))$  (note that if  $I$  denotes the  $\sigma$ -ideal of all countable sets, the answer is affirmative).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BALCERZAK, M.: A generalization of the theorem of Mauldin. *Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline* 26, 1985.
- [2] GRANDE, Z.: Sur la mesurabilité des fonctions de deux variables. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* 21, 1973, 813—816.
- [3] GRANDE, Z.: Le rang de Baire de la famille de toutes les fonctions ayant la propriété (K). *Fund. Math.* 96, 1977, 9—15.

- [4] GRANDE, Z.: Sur le rang de Baire de certaine famille de fonctions. *Demonstratio mathematica* 10, 1977, 385—393.
- [5] HASHIMOTO, H.: On the  $*$  topology and its application. *Fund. Math.* 91, 1976, 5—10.
- [6] KURATOWSKI, K.: Sur les fonctions représentables analytiquement et les ensembles de première catégorie. *Fund. Math.* 5, 1924, 75—86.
- [7] KURATOWSKI, K.: *Topologie I.* Warszawa 1958.
- [8] MARTIN, N. F. G.: Generalized condensation points. *Duke Math. J.* 28, 1961, 507—514.
- [9] MAULDIN, R. D.:  $\sigma$ -ideals and related Baire systems. *Fund. Math.* 71, 1971, 171—177.
- [10] MAULDIN, R. D.: Some examples of  $\sigma$ -ideals and related Baire systems, *Fund. Math.* 71, 1971, 179—184.
- [11] MYCIELSKI, J.: Some new ideals of the sets on the real line. *Colloq. Math.* 20, 1969, 71—76.

Received March 11, 1985

*Institute of Mathematics  
University of Łódź  
S. Banacha 22, POLAND.*

## ОБ ОБОБЩЕННОМ СВОЙСТВЕ $(K)$

Marek Balcerzak

### Резюме

Пусть  $I$  —  $\sigma$ -идеал множеств в полном, сепарабельном, плотном в себе метрическом пространстве. В статье рассматривается свойство  $(K_I)$ , которое обобщает свойство  $(K)$ , определенное в [4]. Теоремы из [4] о точечных пределах последовательностей функций со свойством  $(K)$  расширены на случай  $(K_I)$ . Приведены примеры и замечания.