Rudolf Oláh On positive solutions of nonlinear retarded differential equations

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 42 (1992), No. 2, 201--210

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136548

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1992

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz



Math. Slovaca, 42 (1992), No. 2, 201-210

ON POSITIVE SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR RETARDED DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

RUDOLF OLÁH

ABSTRACT. In this paper there are given for nonlinear retarded differential equations $y^{(n)}(t) = f(t, y(\tau(t)))$ the conditions of the absence and the existence of solutions that have the property

$$(-1)^i y^{(i)}(t) > 0$$
 for $t \ge t_1 > 0$ $(i = 0, ..., n-1)$.

We will consider the nonlinear differential equation with retarded argument

$$y^{(n)}(t) = f\left(t, y(\tau(t))\right), \tag{1}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, and $\tau : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions, $\tau(t) \leq t$ for $t \geq 0$, $\lim_{t \to \infty} \tau(t) = \infty$.

Below we will assume that there exist $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$ and a continuous function $p: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that one of the next inequalities holds:

$$(-1)^n f(t, y) \ge p(t)y^{\lambda} \tag{2}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $y \in [0, \delta]$ or

$$0 < (-1)^n f(t, y) \le p(t)y^{\lambda}$$
(3)

for $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $y \in (0, \delta]$. It is the well-known fact (see. e.g., [2, 4]) that if (2) holds, $p(t) \neq 0$ in any neighbourhood of ∞ and $\tau(t) \equiv t$, then the equation (1) has a solution $y: [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(-1)^{i} y^{(i)}(t) > 0 \qquad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_{1} \ge t_{0} \ge 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1, \\ 0 \le \lim_{t \to \infty} y(t) < \delta.$$
(4)

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 34K25. Secondary 34K15.

Key words: Differential equations. Nonlinear, Retarded, Positive solutions, Asymptotic properties.

RUDOLF OLÁH

If $\tau(t) < t$, then the solutions that satisfy (4) can be absent (see [3, 5]).

In this paper such conditions will be established under which the equation (1) has not the solutions that satisfy (4) and likewise conditions that guarantee the existence of a solution which has the property (4) (cf. [3]).

Let $r : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be continuous and increasing on $[t_0, \infty), t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+, r(t) < t$ for $t \ge t_0$.

For $t > r^{-1}(t_1)$, $t_1 \ge t_0$, we define the function

$$m(t) = m$$
, $x_m < t \le x_{m+1}$, $m = 0, 1, ...,$

where $x_0 = r^{-1}(t_1)$, $x_{m+1} = r^{-1}(x_m)$ and $r^{-1}(t)$ denotes the inverse function of r(t).

We denote the *m*th iteration of the function $r(t) = r_0(t)$ as $r_m(t)$, m = 1, 2, ... Thus with regard to the function m(t) for arbitrary $t > r^{-1}(t_1)$ we have

$$t_1 < r_{m(t)}(t) \le r^{-1}(t_1)$$
.

THEOREM 1. Suppose that (2) holds for some $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$ and

 $\tau(t) < r(t) < t$

for $t \geq t_0$,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{r^{-1}(\tau(t))}^{t} \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(t)) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = \infty \,. \tag{5}$$

Then the equation (1) has not a solution which satisfies (4).

P r o o f. Assume the contrary, i.e. the equation (1) has a solution that satisfies (4) and

$$y(t) < \delta \qquad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_1 \ge t_0 ,$$

$$\tau(t) \ge t_1 \qquad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_2 \ge t_1 .$$
(6)

From the identity

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \frac{y^{(j)}(s)}{j!} (s-t)^j + \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_t^s (\xi-t)^{n-1} y^{(n)}(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,,$$

where s > t, with regard to (1), (2), (6) we have

$$y(t) \ge \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} (s-t)^{n-1} p(s) \left[y(\tau(s)) \right]^{\lambda} \mathrm{d}s \tag{7}$$

for $t \ge t_2$. We choose c > 0 such that

$$\int_{r^{-1}(\tau(t))}^{t} \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(t)) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \ge c(n-1)! \tag{8}$$

for $t \geq t_2$ and if for arbitrary $t > r^{-1}(t_2)$ we take ξ such that

$$au(\xi) = r(t), \qquad au(s) \le r(t)$$

for $s \leq \xi$, then according to (7), (8) we get

$$y(t) \ge \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{r^{-1}(\tau(\xi))}^{\xi} \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(\xi)) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \left[y(\tau(s)) \right]^{\lambda} ds$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{r^{-1}(\tau(\xi))}^{\xi} \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(\xi)) \right]^{n-1} p(s) ds \left[y(r(t)) \right]^{\lambda}$$
$$\ge c \left[y(r(t)) \right]^{\lambda}, \quad r(t) > t_2.$$

Using the last inequality we find by iteration

$$y(t) \ge c^{1+\lambda+\dots+\lambda^m} \Big[y\big(r_m(t)\big) \Big],^{\lambda^{m+1}} r_m(t) > t_2, \quad m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$$

Thus there follows:

$$y(t) \ge \exp\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda^{i} \ln c + \lambda^{m+1} \ln y(r_{m}(t))\right], \qquad r_{m}(t) > t_{2}, \quad m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$
(9)

For arbitrary $t > r^{-1}(t_2)$ we define

$$m(t) = m$$
, $x_m < t \le x_{m+1}$, $m = 0, 1, ...,$
 $x_0 = r^{-1}(t_2)$, $x_{m+1} = r^{-1}(x_m)$

and

$$C_{m(t)} = \sup\left\{C: \int_{r^{-1}(\tau(t))}^{t} \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(t))\right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \ge C, \quad x_m < t \le x_{m+1}\right\},$$

where $m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}, x_0 = r^{-1}(t_2), x_{m+1} = r^{-1}(x_m).$

Hence we have

$$t_2 < r_{m(t)}(t) \le r^{-1}(t_2) \tag{10}$$

for $t > r^{-1}(t_2)$ and by virtue of (9), (10) we obtain

$$y(t) \ge \exp\left[\sum_{i=0}^{m(t)} \lambda^i \ln C_{m(t)} + \lambda^{m(t)+1} \ln y(r^{-1}(t_2))\right]$$

for $t > r^{-1}(t_2)$. Therefore $y(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$ and this contradicts (6).

COROLLARY 1. Assume that for some $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$, (2) holds and

$$au^{\gamma}(t) < t$$
 for $\gamma > 1$, $t \ge t_0$,
 $\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\tau^{\gamma}(t)}^{t} \left[s - \tau^{\gamma}(t) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s = \infty$.

Then the equation (1) has not a solution with the property (4).

P r o o f . The assertion of the Corollary 1 follows from the Theorem 1 if we put $r(t) = t^{\gamma^{-1}}$.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that for some $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$ (2) holds and

$$\tau(t) < r(t) < t \qquad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_0 ,$$

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(\tau(t))} \ln \int_{r^{-1}(\tau(t))}^t \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(t)) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s > 0 , \qquad (12)$$

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^{m(t)}}{\sum\limits_{i=0}^{m(t)} \lambda^{i} \varphi(r_{i}(t))} = 0, \qquad (13)$$

where $\varphi(t)$ is a continuous function such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \varphi(t) = \infty \,. \tag{14}$$

Then the equation (1) has not a solution with the property (4).

Proof. We continue as in the proof of Theorem 1. With regard to (12), (14) there is $t_2 \ge t_0$ and c > 0 such that

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(\tau(t))} \ln \int_{r^{-1}(\tau(t))}^{t} \left[s - r^{-1}(\tau(t)) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \ge \frac{\ln(n-1)!}{\varphi(\tau(t))} + c$$

for $t \ge t_2$ and if for arbitrary $t > r^{-1}(t_2)$ we take ξ such that

 $\tau(\xi)=r(t)\,,\quad \tau(s)\leq r(t)\qquad \text{for}\quad s\leq \xi\,,$

we get

$$y(t) \ge \exp\left[c\,arphi\left(au(\xi)
ight)
ight]\left[y(r(t))
ight]^{\lambda} = \exp\left[c\,arphi\left(r(t)
ight)
ight]\left[y(r(t))
ight]^{\lambda}, \qquad r(t) > t_{2}\,.$$

Using the last inequality we find

$$y(t) \ge \exp\left[c\sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda^{i} \varphi(r_{i}(t))\right] \left[y(r_{m}(t))\right]^{\lambda^{m+1}}, r_{m}(t) > t_{2}, \quad m \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}.$$

With regard to the last inequality and (10) we get

$$y(t) \ge \exp\left[c \sum_{i=0}^{m(t)} \lambda^{i} \varphi(r_{i}(t)) + \lambda^{m(t)+1} \ln y(r^{-1}(t_{2}))\right], \qquad t > r^{-1}(t_{2}).$$

According to (13) and the above inequality we find that

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \infty \,,$$

which is a contradiction to (4).

COROLLARY 2. Assume that for some $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$, $\gamma > 1$ and $\mu \in (0, 1)$ (2) holds and

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\gamma}(t) < t & \text{for } t \ge t_0 \,, \\ \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\varphi(\tau(t))} \ln \int_{\tau^{\gamma}(t)}^{t} \left[s - \tau^{\gamma}(t) \right]^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s > 0 \,, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi(t) = (\ln \ln t)^{-\mu} (\ln t)^{\frac{\ln \lambda}{\ln \gamma}}$. Then the equation (1) has not a solution which satisfies (4).

P r o o f. We will show that the condition (13) is satisfied. If we put $r(t) = t^{\gamma^{-1}}$, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda^{i} \varphi(r_{i}(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda^{i-1} \varphi(t^{\gamma^{-i}}), \qquad t > t_{2}^{\gamma^{m}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

RUDOLF OLÁH

According to the fact that

$$\varphi(t) = (\ln \ln t)^{-\mu} (\ln t)^{\frac{\ln \lambda}{\ln \gamma}}, \qquad (15)$$

we get (cf. [3])

$$\varphi(t^{\gamma^{-m}}) > \lambda^{-m}\varphi(t), \qquad t > t_2^{\gamma}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

So we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda^{i} \varphi(r_{i}(t)) > \frac{m}{\lambda} \varphi(t), \qquad t > t_{2}^{\gamma^{m}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(16)

We have

$$t_2 < t^{\gamma^{-m(t)}} \le t_2^{\gamma}$$
, for $t > t_2^{\gamma}$,

and

$$\ln \ln t - \ln \ln t_2 - \ln \gamma \le m(t) \ln \gamma < \ln \ln t - \ln \ln t_2.$$
(17)

With regard to (15), (16), (17) we conclude that

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^{m(t)-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{m(t)-1} \lambda^i \varphi(r_i(t))} \le \liminf_{t \to \infty} \frac{\lambda^{m(t)}}{m(t)\varphi(t)} = 0$$

THEOREM 3. Let

$$au(t) < t \qquad for \quad t \ge 0 \,,$$

and let there be $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$, $\mu \in (0, \lambda)$ such that (3) holds and

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} p(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \left(\int_{0}^{\tau(t)} s^{n-1} p(s) \,\mathrm{d}s \right)^{-\mu} < \infty \,. \tag{18}$$

Then the equation (1) has a solution with the property (4).

Proof. According to (18) there is c>0 and $t_0>0$ such that $\tau(t)>0$ for $t\geq t_0$ and

$$\int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \le c \left(\int_{0}^{\tau(t)} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\mu}, \qquad t \ge t_0 \, .$$

We put

$$v(t) = c_0 \left(\int_0^t s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{\mu}{\mu - \lambda}} \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_0 \,,$$

where

$$c_0 = \left[(n-1)! \, \mu (\lambda - \mu)^{-1} c^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu - \lambda}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\lambda - 1}}$$

and c is so large that $v(t) \leq \delta$ for $t \geq t_0$.

By $C_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ we denote the space of continuous functions $x : [t_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the topology of local uniform convergence. $S \subset C_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ is the set of functions which satisfy inequalities $0 \le x(t) \le v(t)$ for $t \ge t_0$ and $F \colon S \to C_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ is the operator which is defined by

$$F(x)(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n}{(n-1)!} \int_t^\infty (s-t)^{n-1} f\left(s, x(\tau(s))\right) ds & \text{for } t \ge t_1, \\ v(t) - v(t_1) + F(x)(t_1) & \text{for } t \in [t_0, t_1). \end{cases}$$

where we take $t_1 > t_0$ such that $\tau(t) \ge t_0$ for $t \ge t_1$.

If $x \in S$, we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq F(x)(t) \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \Big[x\big(\tau(s)\big) \Big]^{\lambda} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \frac{c_{0}^{\lambda}}{(n-1)!} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \Big[\int_{0}^{\tau(s)} \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \Big]^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\mu-\lambda}} \,\mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \frac{c_{0}^{\lambda}}{(n-1)! \, c^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}}} \int_{t}^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \Big[\int_{0}^{s} \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \Big]^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}} \,\mathrm{d}s \leq v(t) \,, \\ &\quad t \geq t_{1} \,, \end{split}$$

since

$$h'(t)=0\,,\qquad t\geq t_1\,,$$

where

$$h(t) = v(t) - \frac{c_0^{\lambda} c^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \left[\int_0^s \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \right]^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}} \,\mathrm{d}s \,.$$

Thus $F(S) \subset S$. The operator F is continuous and the functions belonging to the set F(S) are equicontinuous on every compact subinterval of $[t_0, \infty)$. Since

RUDOLF OLÁH

the set S is closed and convex, according to the Schauder-Tychonoff fixed point theorem (cf., e.g. [1, p. 231]) F has an element $y \in S$ such that y = F(y). It is easy to see that y satisfies (1) on $[t_1, \infty)$ and has the property (4). The proof is complete.

THEOREM 4. Let

$$au(t) < t \qquad \textit{for} \quad t \geq 0$$
 ,

and let there exist $\delta > 0$, $\lambda > 1$, $\mu > \lambda$, $T \in [0, \infty)$ such that (3) holds and

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} t^{n-1} p(t) \left(\int_{T}^{t} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}} \, \mathrm{d}t \le A = \left(\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\mu}\right)^{\left(\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\lambda}\right)},$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{T} \int_{T}^{t} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \left(\int_{T}^{\tau(t)} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{-\mu} < \infty.$$
(19)

Then the equation (1) has a solution with the property (4).

Proof. With regard to (19) there exist $c \ge 1$ and $t_0 > T$ such that $\tau(t) > T$ for $t \ge t_0$ and

$$\int_{T}^{t} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \le c \bigg(\int_{T}^{\tau(t)} s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \bigg)^{\mu}, \qquad t \ge t_0 \, .$$

We put

$$v(t) = c_0 \left(A + \int_T^t s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda - \mu}} \quad \text{for} \quad t \ge t_0 \,,$$

where

$$c_0 = \left[(n-1)! \, c^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}} A^{\frac{2\mu-\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\lambda-1}}$$

and we choose c so large that

$$v(t) \leq \delta$$
 for $t \geq t_0$.

Now we proceed as in the proof of the above Theorem and we define the operator $F: S \to C_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ in the same way.

If $x \in S$, we have

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq F(x)(t) \leq \frac{c_0^{\lambda}}{(n-1)!} \int\limits_t^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \left(A + \int\limits_T^{\tau(s)} \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right)^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda-\mu}} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \frac{c_0^{\lambda}}{(n-1)!} \int\limits_t^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \left[A + c^{-\frac{1}{\mu}} \left(\int\limits_T^s \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}\right]^{\frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda-\mu}} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \frac{c_0^{\lambda}}{(n-1)!} \int\limits_t^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \left(A + c^{-1} \int\limits_T^s \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}} \mathrm{d}s \\ &\leq \frac{c_0^{\lambda} c^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}}}{(n-1)!} \int\limits_t^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \left(A + \int\limits_T^s \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}} \mathrm{d}s \leq v(t) \,, \\ &\quad t \geq t_1 > t_0 \,, \end{split}$$

since

$$h(T) \ge 0$$
, $h'(t) \ge 0$, $t \ge T$,

where

$$h(t) = c_0 \left(A + \int_T^t s^{n-1} p(s) \, \mathrm{d}s \right)^{\frac{\mu}{\lambda-\mu}} - \frac{c_0^{\lambda} c^{\frac{\lambda}{\mu-\lambda}}}{(n-1)!} \int_t^{\infty} s^{n-1} p(s) \left(A + \int_T^s \xi^{n-1} p(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right)^{\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-\mu}} \, \mathrm{d}s \,,$$
$$t \ge T \,.$$

Thus $F(S) \subset S$.

Now we continue as in the proof of Theorem 3 and we can prove the existence of a solution y(t) of (1) which has the property (4). The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] EDWARDS, R. E: Functional Analysis. (Russian translation), Mir, Moscow, 1969.
- [2] HARTMAN, P.: Ordinary Differential Equations. (Russian translation), Mir, Moscow, 1970.
- [3] CHANTURIA, T. A.: On positive decreasing solutions of nonlinear differential equations with retarded argument. (Russian), Differentsial'nye Uravneniya 6 (1988), 993-999.
- [4] KIGURADZE, I. T: Some Singular BVPs for Ordinary Differential Equations. (Russian), Izd. Univ., Tbilisi, 1975.

[5] TRAMOV, M. I: Oscillatory conditions of solutions of the first order retarded differential equations. (Russian), Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 3 (1975), 92-96.

Received December 20, 1989 Revised June 3, 1991

Department of Mathematics Technical University of Transport and Telecomunication Services J. M. Hurbana 25 010 26 Žilina Czecho-Slovakia