

József Bukor

A note on the Folkman number $F(3, 3; 5)$

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 44 (1994), No. 4, 479--480

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136618>

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON THE FOLKMAN NUMBER $F(3, 3; 5)$

JOZEF BUKOR

(Communicated by Martin Škoviera)

ABSTRACT. For $r > \max\{p, q\}$, let $F(p, q; r)$ denote the minimum number of vertices in a graph G that has the following properties:

- (1) G contains no complete subgraph on r vertices,
- (2) in any green–red colouring of the edges of G there is a green complete subgraph on p vertices or a red complete subgraph on q vertices.

We show that $F(3, 3; 5) \leq 16$, which improves a recent result due to Erickson.

For $r > \max\{p, q\}$, let the *Folkman number* $F(p, q; r)$ be the minimum number of vertices in a graph G that has the following properties:

- (1) G contains no complete subgraph on r vertices,
- (2) in any green–red colouring of the edges of G there is a green complete subgraph on p vertices or a red complete subgraph on q vertices.

The existence of such a non-negative integer was proved by Folkman [2]. If $r > R(p, q)$ ($R(p, q)$ is the *Ramsey number*), then clearly $F(p, q; r) = R(p, q)$.

Very little is known about the Folkman numbers in the case $r \leq R(p, q)$. The only known precise result $F(3, 3; 6) = 8$ was established by Graham [3]. The corresponding graph is $C_5 + C_3$, the join of a cycle of length 5 and a cycle of length 3. Note that the join $G_1 + G_2$ of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph whose vertex set is the union of the vertex sets of G_1 , G_2 , and whose edge set is the union of the edge sets of G_1 , G_2 , together with the set of all possible edges joining a vertex of G_1 to a vertex of G_2 .

The only Folkman number that has been bounded reasonably is $F(3, 3; 5)$. The lower bound $F(3, 3; 5) \geq 10$ is due to Lin [6]. Graham and Spencer [4] have shown $F(3, 3; 5) \leq 23$. Later the upper bound was improved to 18 by Irving [5] and recently to 17 by Erickson [1]. Erickson conjectured that $F(3, 3; 5) = 17$. The aim of this note is to disprove his conjecture by showing that $F(3, 3; 5) \leq 16$.

As in [1], our proof is based on the following observation.

LEMMA. [1] *If C is a connected graph and $C_5 + C$ has been green–red coloured with no monochromatic triangle, then C is monochromatic.*

Proof. Suppose C is not monochromatic. Then in C there is a green edge vw adjacent to a red edge wx . At least two edges of the same colour (say green) from the vertex w have

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 05C55.

Key words: Ramsey number.

to be joined to some adjacent vertices y and z in C_5 . As $C_5 + C$ has no green triangle, each of the edges vy , vz , yz is forced to be red which is a contradiction. \square

THEOREM. *We have:*

$$F(3, 3; 5) \leq 16.$$

Proof. Denote by W the union of three cycles $X = abcde$, $Y = afgdh$, $Z = aijdk$ of length 5 whose vertices are given in cyclic order. Denote by H the graph with vertices 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and edges 12, 13, 23, 24, 35. Let $H(2, 3, 4, 5)$, $H(1, 2, 4)$, and $H(1, 3, 5)$ denote the vertex-induced graph of H for the vertex sets $\{2, 3, 4, 5\}$, $\{1, 2, 4\}$ and $\{1, 3, 5\}$, respectively.

We construct a graph G of order 16 to be the union of the graphs $X + H(2, 3, 4, 5)$, $Y + H(1, 2, 4)$, and $Z + H(1, 3, 5)$.

As W is triangle free, any complete subgraph K_5 of G must contain the vertices 1, 2, 3 which form a triangle in H . But in W there is no edge whose vertices are joined with each of the vertices 1, 2, 3. Therefore G contains no K_5 .

Suppose G has been coloured with no monochromatic triangle. By applying Lemma we get that:

- the edges 24, 23, 35 are of the same colour (in $X + H(2, 3, 4, 5)$).
- the edges 12, 24 are of the same colour (in $Y + H(1, 2, 4)$).
- the edges 13, 35 are of the same colour (in $Z + H(1, 3, 5)$).

These facts yield the existence of the monochromatic triangle 123 which is a contradiction proving the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] ERICKSON, M.: *An upper bound for the Folkman number $F(3, 3; 5)$* . J. Graph Theory **17** (1993), 679–681.
- [2] FOLKMAN, J.: *Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge-coloring*. SIAM J. Appl. Math. **18** (1970), 19–24.
- [3] GRAHAM, R. L.: *On edgewise 2-colored graphs with monochromatic triangles and containing no complete hexagon*. J. Combin. Theory **4** (1968), 300.
- [4] GRAHAM, R. L.—SPENCER, J. H.: *On small graphs with forced monochromatic triangles*. In: Recent Trends in Graph Theory. Lecture Notes in Math. 186. Springer, New York-Berlin, 1971, pp. 137–141.
- [5] IRVING, R. W.: *On a Bound of Graham and Spencer for graph-coloring constant*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **15** (1973), 200–203.
- [6] LIN, S.: *On Ramsey numbers and K_r -coloring of graphs*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **12** (1972), 89–72.

Received March 9, 1994

*Department of Mathematics
Pedagogical University
Farská 19
SK-949 01 Nitra
Slovakia
E-mail: bukor@unitra.sk*