Paul J. Allen; Hee Sik Kim; Joseph Neggers Companion *d*-algebras

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 2, [93]--106

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136939

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

VERSITA



DOI: 10.2478/s12175-007-0001-z Math. Slovaca, **57** (2007), No. 2, 93–106

COMPANION *d*-ALGEBRAS

P. J. Allen^{*} — Hee Sik Kim^{**} — J. Neggers^{*}

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we develop a theory of companion d-algebras in sufficient detail to demonstrate considerable parallelism with the theory of BCK-algebras as well as obtaining a collection of results of a novel type. Included among the latter are results on certain natural posets associated with companion d-algebras as well as constructions on Bin(X), the collection of binary operations on the set X, which permit construction of new companion d-algebras from companion d-algebras X also in natural ways.

> © 2007 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

1. Introduction

Y. I m ai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras ([Is], [IsTa]). It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. In [HL1], [HL2] Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstract algebras: BCH-algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. BCH-algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. BCK-algebras also have some connections with other areas: A. Dvurečenskij and M. G. Graziano [DvGr], C. S. Hoo [Hoo], J. M. Font, A. J. Rodrígez and A. Torrens [FRT], D. Mundici [Mun] proved that MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK-algebras, and J. Meng [Me] proved that implicative commutative semigroups are equivalent to a class of BCK-algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim introduced the notion of d-algebras which is another useful

^{**)} Supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2002-041-C00003).



²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06F35.

Keywords: *BCK*-algebra, d/d^* -algebra, companion, *BCK/d*-ideal, \odot -subalgebra, *dsu* condition, complete, transitivity, pogroupoid.

generalization of BCK-algebras, and then investigated several relations between d-algebras and BCK-algebras as well as several other relations between d-algebras and oriented digraphs ([NK3]). After that some further aspects were studied ([LK], [NJK], [JNK]). As a generalization of BCK-algebras d-algebras are obtained by deleting identities. Given one of these deleted identities a related identities are constructed by replacing one of the terms involving the original operation by an identical term involving a second (companion) operation, thus producing the notion of companion d-algebra which (precisely) generalizes the notion of BCK-algebra and is such that not every d-algebra is one of these. In this paper we develop a theory of companion d-algebras in sufficient detail to demonstrate considerable parallelism with the theory of BCK-algebras as well as obtaining a collection of results of a novel type. Included among the latter are results on certain natural posets associated with companion d-algebras as well as constructions on Bin(X), the collection of binary operations on the set X, which permit construction of new companion d-algebras from companion d-algebras X also in natural ways.

2. Companion *d*-algebras

A *d*-algebra ([NK3]) is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation "*" satisfying the following axioms:

- (I) x * x = 0,
- (II) 0 * x = 0,
- (III) x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y
- for all x, y in X.

A *BCK*-algebra is a *d*-algebra (X; *, 0) satisfying the following additional axioms:

(IV) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,

(V)
$$(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$$

for all x, y, z in X.

A BCK-algebra (X; *, 0) is said to have a condition (S) ([MeJu]) if

 $A(a,b) := \{ x \in X : x * a \le b \}$ has a greatest element for any $a, b \in X$.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let (X; *, 0) be a *d*-algebra. Define a binary operation \cdot on X by

 $(VI) \quad ((x \odot y) * x) * y = 0$

for any $x, y \in X$, which is called a *subcompanion operation* of X. A subcompanion operation \odot is said to be a *companion operation* of X if

(VII) if (z * x) * y = 0, then $z * (x \odot y) = 0$ for any $x, y, z \in X$.

COMPANION *d*-ALGEBRAS

	0	1	2	3	\odot	0	1	2	
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	
	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	
2		2	0	0	2	2	2	3	
2 2 2 0	2 2 0	2 0	0		3	3	3	3	

Example 2.2. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a set with the following tables:

Then (X; *, 0) is a *d*-algebra, which is not a BCK/BCI-algebra, and the binary operation \odot defined above is a companion operation on X.

A d-algebra X is said to be a *companion* d-algebra if it has a companion operation.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let (X; *, 0) be a *d*-algebra. If X has a companion operation \odot , then it is unique.

Proof. Assume the binary operations \odot_1 and \odot_2 are companion operations on X. Then $((x \odot_i y) * x) * y = 0$ for any $x, y \in X$ (i = 1, 2). By (VII) we obtain

$$(x \odot_1 y) * (x \odot_2 y) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Interchange \odot_1 with \odot_2 . Then

$$(x \odot_2 y) * (x \odot_1 y) = 0.$$
⁽²⁾

By (III) we obtain $\odot_1 = \odot_2$. Hence the operation \odot is unique.

Example 2.4. Every BCK-algebra with condition (S) is a companion d-algebra.

Example 2.2 is a companion d-algebra which is not a BCK/BCI-algebra. This means that a companion d-algebra is a generalization of a BCK/BCI-algebra with condition (S).

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. Then for any $x, y, z \in X$, we have

- (i) if x * z = 0, then $x * (z \odot y) = 0$,
- (ii) $x * (x \odot y) = 0$,
- (iii) $x \odot 0 = x$.

Proof.

(i) Since (x * z) * y = 0 * y = 0, by (VII), $x * (z \odot y) = 0$.

(ii) Put z := x in (i).

(iii) We claim that if x * 0 = 0, then x = 0. In fact, since 0 * x = 0, by (III) we have x = 0. Since X is a companion d-algebra, $((x \odot 0) * x) * 0 = 0$ and so $(x \odot 0) * x = 0$. If we put y := 0 in (ii), then $x * (x \odot 0) = 0$. By (III) we have $x \odot 0 = x$.

THEOREM 2.6. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. Let \diamond be a binary operation on X such that

$$(x*y)*z = x*(y\diamond z). \tag{3}$$

Then X is a companion d-algebra and \diamond is exactly the operation \odot .

P r o o f. By applying (3) and (I), we have

$$((x \diamond y) * x) * y = (x \diamond y) * (x \diamond y)$$
 [by (3)]

$$=0, [by (I)]$$

proving the condition (VI). Let $z \in X$ with (z * x) * y = 0. Then by (3), $z * (x \diamond y) = (z * x) * y = 0$, proving the condition (VII). Hence \diamond is a companion operation, which is unique by Proposition 2.3.

Given a *d*-algebra (X; *, 0), we define a partial binary relation \leq by $x \leq y \iff x * y = 0$, where $x, y \in X$.

PROPOSITION 2.7. If $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ is a bounded companion d-algebra, i.e., there is an element $1 \in X$ such that x * 1 = 0 for any $x \in X$, then $x \odot 1 = 1$ for any $x \in X$.

Proof. Since $u * x \leq 1$ for any $u \in X$, (u * x) * 1 = 0. By applying (VII) we have $u \leq x \odot 1$, for any $u \in X$, which implies $1 = x \odot 1$.

A d-algebra (X; *, 0) is said to be *positive implicative* if (x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z) for any $x, y, z \in X$.

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra.

(i) $0 < x \odot y$, $x \le x \odot y$, for any $x, y \in X$,

(ii) if X is positive implicative, then $y \leq x \odot y$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof.

(i) Since (0 * x) * y = 0, $0 \le x \odot y$. From (x * x) * y = 0 * y = 0, we obtain $x \le x \odot y$.

(ii) Since X is positive implicative, (y*x)*y = (y*y)*(x*y) = 0*(x*y) = 0and hence $y \le x \odot y$. **THEOREM 2.9.** Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. Assume that x * 0 = x for any $x \in X$.

(i) X is positive implicative,

(ii) if $x \leq y$, then $x \odot y = y$,

(iii) $x \odot x = x$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Then (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii).

 $\begin{array}{l} P \mbox{ r o o f }. \\ (i) \implies (ii). \mbox{ If } x \leq y \mbox{, then} \end{array}$

 $\begin{aligned} 0 &= ((x \odot y) * x) * y \\ &= [(x \odot y) * y] * (x * y) \quad [X: \text{ positive implicative}] \\ &= [(x \odot y) * y] * 0 \quad [x * y = 0] \\ &= (x \odot y) * y, \quad [x * 0 = x] \end{aligned}$

which means that $x \odot y \le y$. By applying Proposition 2.8-(ii), we have $x \odot y = y$. (ii) \implies (iii). Let y := x in (ii).

DEFINITION 2.10. ([NJK]) Let (X; *, 0) be a *d*-algebra and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq X$. *I* is called a *d*-subalgebra of *X* if $x * y \in I$ whenever $x \in I$ and $y \in I$. *I* is called a *BCK*-ideal of *X* if it satisfies:

 $(D_0) \quad 0 \in I,$

 $(D_1) \ x \ast y \in I \text{ and } y \in I \text{ imply } x \in I.$

I is called a *d*-ideal of X if it satisfies (D_1) and

 $(D_2) \ x \in I \text{ and } y \in X \text{ imply } x \ast y \in I \text{, i.e., } I \ast X \subseteq I \text{.}$

DEFINITION 2.11. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion *d*-algebra and $\emptyset \neq I \subseteq X$. *I* is called a \odot -subalgebra if $x \odot y \in I$ for any $x, y \in I$.

In Example 2.2, the set $I_1 := \{0, 1\}$ is a \odot -subalgebra of X, while $I_2 := \{0, 1, 2\}$ is not a \odot -subalgebra of X.

THEOREM 2.12. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. If I is a BCK-ideal of X, then I is a \odot -subalgebra of X.

Proof. If X is a companion d-algebra, then $((x \odot y) * x) * y = 0 \in I$ for any $x, y \in I$. Since I is a BCK-ideal of X and $y \in I$, $(x \odot y) * x \in I$. Moreover, since $x \in I$, we obtain $x \odot y \in I$, proving the theorem.

The converse of Theorem 2.12 need not be true in general. For example, $J := \{0, 1, 3\}$ is a \odot -subalgebra of X, but not a *BCK*-ideal of X, since $2 * 3 = 0 \in J$, $3 \in J$, but $2 \notin J$ in Example 2.2.

PROPOSITION 2.13. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra and let I be a BCK-ideal of X. If $x \odot y \in I$, then $x \in I$ where $x, y \in X$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5-(ii), $x * (x \odot y) = 0 \in I$. Since $x \cdot y \in I$ and I is a *BCK*-ideal of X, we have $x \in I$.

COROLLARY 2.14. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra and let I be a BCK-ideal of X. If $x \odot y = y \odot x \in I$, then $x, y \in I$ where $x, y \in X$.

COROLLARY 2.15. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra and let I be a BCK-ideal of X. Then $x \in I \iff x \odot x \in I$.

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 2.12 and Proposition 2.13. $\hfill \Box$

3. dsu condition

In a *d*-algebra X, the identity (x * y) * x = 0 does not hold in general.

DEFINITION 3.1. ([NJK]) A *d*-algebra X is called a d^* -algebra if it satisfies the identity (x * y) * x = 0 for all $x, y \in X$.

Clearly, a BCK-algebra is a d^* -algebra, but the converse need not be true.

Example 3.2. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ and let the binary operation * be defined as follows:

$$x * y := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \le y, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then (X, *, 0) is a *d*-algebra which is not a *BCK*-algebra (see [NK3, Example 2.8]). We can easily see that (X, *, 0) is a *d*^{*}-algebra.

THEOREM 3.3. ([NJK]) In a d*-algebra, every BCK-ideal is a d-ideal.

The following corollary is obvious.

COROLLARY 3.4. ([NJK]) In a d*-algebra, every BCK-ideal is a d-subalgebra.

For companion d-algebras the condition $(x * y) * (x \cdot y) = 0$ is also one which is not unusual, since in 'usual' circumstances we expect the difference to be smaller than the usual (dsu condition).

DEFINITION 3.5. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion *d*-algebra. X is said to have a *dsu condition* if

$$(x * y) * (x \odot y) = 0 \tag{4}$$

for any $x, y \in X$.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra having the dsu condition. If I is a BCK-ideal of X, then it is a d-subalgebra of X.

Proof. By Theorem 2.12, $x \odot y \in I$ for any $x, y \in I$. Since X has the dsu condition, $(x * y) * (x \odot y) = 0 \in I$ and I is a BCK-ideal of X, we obtain $x * y \in I$.

Let (X; *, 0) be a *d*-algebra and $x \in X$. Define $x * X := \{x * a : a \in X\}$. X is said to be *edge* ([NK3]) if for any x in X, $x * X = \{x, 0\}$.

LEMMA 3.7. ([NJK]) If (X; *, 0) is a edge d-algebra, then (x * (x * y)) * y = 0and x * 0 = x for any $x, y \in X$.

THEOREM 3.8. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion edge d^* -algebra. If

$$(z * (x \odot y)) * ((z * x) * y) = 0, \tag{5}$$

then X has a dsu condition.

Proof. Let z := x * y in (5). Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= ((x * y) * (x \odot y)) * (((x * y) * x) * y) \\ &= ((x * y) * (x \odot y)) * (0 * y) & [X: d^*\text{-algebra}] \\ &= ((x * y) * (x \odot y)) * 0 \\ &= ((x * y) * (x \odot y)), & [X: \text{edge}] \end{aligned}$$

proving the theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion edge d-algebra. If

$$(z * (x \odot y)) * ((x * z) * y) = 0, \tag{6}$$

then X has a dsu condition.

Proof. Let z := x * y in (6). Then by Lemma 3.7 $0 = ((x * y) * (x \odot y)) * ((x * (x * y)) * y)$ $= ((x * y) * (x \odot y)) * 0$

$$=((x*y)*(x\odot y)),$$

proving the proposition.

4. Completeness

A companion d-algebra $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ is said to be *complete* if for any $x \in X$, there exists an x^* in X such that $x \odot x^* = x$. Note that such an x^* need not be unique. For such an example, we find $2 \odot 0 = 2 \odot 1 = 2$, $3 \odot 1 = 3 \odot 2 = 3$ in Example 2.2.

PROPOSITION 4.1. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. If we define a partial binary relation \ll by

$$x \ll y \iff (x \odot z) * (y \odot z) = 0 \quad for all \quad z \in X,$$
 (7)

then \ll is reflexive and anti-symmetric.

Proof. Clearly, \ll is reflexive. If $x \ll y$, $y \ll x$, then $(x \odot z) * (y \odot z) = 0 = (y \odot z) * (x \odot z)$ for any $z \in X$. By applying (III) we have

$$x \odot z = y \odot z \tag{8}$$

for any $z \in X$. Since X is complete, there exist $x^*, y^* \in X$ such that $x = x \odot x^*$, $y = y \odot y^*$. If we let $z := x^*$ and $z := y^*$ in (8), respectively, then $x = x \odot x^* = y \odot x^*$, $y = y \odot y^* = x \odot y^*$. Thus by Proposition 2.5-(ii), $x * y = x * (x \odot y^*) = 0$ and $y * x = y * (y \odot x^*) = 0$ and hence x = y, proving the proposition.

For any BCK/BCI-algebras the following transitivity condition holds:

if
$$x * y = 0$$
 and $y * z = 0$, then $x * z = 0$ (9)

(see [MeJu, Theorem 1.2-(b)]). This condition does not hold in d-algebra in general.

Example 4.2. Let $X := \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a set with the following tables:

*	0	a	b	с
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	a
b	b	b	0	0
с	c	с	a	0

Then (X; *, 0) is a *d*-algebra, which is not a BCK/BCI-algebra (see [NJK]), and a * b = 0 = b * c, but $a * c = a \neq 0$.

Thus, if a *d*-algebra satisfies the transitivity condition, then the natural order \leq given by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0 is a partial order.

PROPOSITION 4.3. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a complete companion d-algebra. If X satisfies the transitivity condition, then $(X; \ll)$ is a poset.

PROPOSITION 4.4. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a complete companion d-algebra. If $x \ll y$, then $x \leq y$ in X.

Proof. If $x \ll y$, then $(x \odot \alpha) * (y \odot \alpha) = 0$ for any $\alpha \in X$. This implies $(x \odot 0) * (y \odot 0) = 0$ and hence x * y = 0 by Proposition 2.5-(iii).

The converse of Proposition 4.4 need not be true in general.

COMPANION *d*-ALGEBRAS

*	0	a	b	c	d	1	\odot	0	a	b	c	d	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	a	b	с	d	1
a	a	0	0	a	0	0	a	a	b	b	d	1	1
b	b	a	0	b	a	0	b	b	b	1	b	b	1
c	c	с	b	0	0	0	c	c	1	1	c	1	1
d	d	с	b	a	0	0	d	d	1	1	d	1	1
1	1	d	b	a	a	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1

Example 4.5. Let $X := \{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}$ be a set with the following table:

Then $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ is a companion *d*-algebra, which is not a BCK/BCI-algebra, since $(c * b) * d = a \neq 0 = (c * b) * b$. We know that $a \leq b$, but $a \odot c = d$ and $b \odot c = b$, and *d* and *b* are incomparable. Hence $a \ll b$ does not hold.

The converse of Proposition 4.4 holds for BCK/BCI-algebras (see [Hu, BCI-algebras, p. 98, Theorem 8]).

5. Pogroupoid and subcompanion operators

In [Ne], J. Neggers defined a groupoid $S(\cdot)$ to be a pogroupoid if

(i) $x \cdot y \in \{x, y\};$

(ii)
$$x \cdot (y \cdot x) = y \cdot x;$$

(iii) $(x \cdot y) \cdot (y \cdot z) = (x \cdot y) \cdot z$

for all $x, y, z \in S$. For a given pogroupoid $S(\cdot)$ he defined an associated partial order po(S) by $x \leq y$ iff $y \cdot x = y$ and he then demonstrated that po(S)is a poset. On the one hand, for a given poset $S(\leq)$ he also defined a binary operation on S by $y \cdot x = y$ if $x \leq y, y \cdot x = x$ otherwise, and proved that $S(\cdot)$ is a pogroupoid. Thus, denoting this pogroupoid by pogr(S), it may be shown that $pogr(po(S)) = S(\cdot)$ and $po(pogr(S)) = S(\leq)$ provide a natural isomorphism between the category of pogroupoids and the category of posets.

Given a poset $P(\leq)$ it is *A*-free if there is no full-subposet $X(\leq)$ of $P(\leq)$ which is order isomorphic to the poset $A(\leq)$. If C_n denotes a chain of length n and if \underline{n} denotes an antichain of cardinal number n, while + denotes the disjoint union of posets, then the poset $(C_2 + \underline{1})$ (or $C_2 + C_1$) has Hasse-diagram:

and may be represented as $\{p \leq q, p \circ r, q \circ r\}$, where $a \circ b$ denotes the relation of not being comparable (i.e., $a \circ b$ iff $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ are both false) (see [NK2]). J. Neggers and H. S. Kim [NK1] proved that the pogroupoid $S(\cdot)$ is a semigroup if and only if $S(\cdot) = \text{pogr}(P)$ where $P(\leq)$ is $(C_2 + \underline{1})$ -free as a poset.

Given a d-algebra (X; *, 0), we define a binary operation \star on X by

$$x \star y = y \star x = y$$
 if $x \star y = 0$,
 $x \star y = y$, $y \star x = x$ otherwise.

The operation \star described above is said to be a *pogroupoid*. Even though the derived digraph from a *d*-algebra may have no $(C_2 + \underline{1})$ -full subposet, its derived algebra (X, \star) need not be a pogroupoid.

Example 5.1. Consider a *d*-algebra (X; *, 0) with the following left table:

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0	b
b	b	b	0	0
с	c	с	c	с

Then (X; *, 0) is a *d*-algebra, which is not a BCK/BCI-algebra. It is easy to see that its derived digraph has no $(C_2 + 1)$ -full subposet, but $(X; \star)$ is not a pogroupoid, since $(c \star b) \star a = c \star a = a$, while $(c \star b) \star (b \star a) = c \star b = c$.

PROPOSITION 5.2. Let (X; *, 0) be a d^* -algebra. Then $((x \star y) * x) * y = 0$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. It follows immediately from the definition of pogroupoid.

PROPOSITION 5.3. Let (X; *, 0) be a d^* -algebra. Assume (y * x) * y = 0 provided x * y = 0. Then ((y * x) * x) * y = 0 for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. If x * y = 0, then $y \star x = y$ and hence $((y \star x) * x) * y = (y * x) * y - 0$. If $x * y \neq 0$, then $y \star x = x$ and $((y \star x) * x) * y = (x * x) * y = 0 * y = 0$. proving the proposition.

There exists an example of non- d^* -algebra satisfying (y * x) * y = 0 when x * y = 0. The *d*-algebra X in Example 5.1 is such an algebra, since $(a * c) * a = b * a \neq 0$. Propositions 5.2 and 5.3 hold for any BCK/BCI/BCH-algebras. The notion of a subcompanion operation is a generalized concept of Proposition 5.2.

PROPOSITION 5.4. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion *d*-algebra. If (X; *) is a pogroupoid, then $(x * y) * (x \odot y) = 0$ for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Since X is a d^* -algebra, by Proposition 5.2, $((x \star y) \star x) \star y = 0$ for any $x, y \in X$. Since \odot is a companion operation, by (VII), $(x \star y) \star (x \odot y) = 0$.

Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a *d*-algebra. If we define $x \star y = 0$, then \star is a (trivial) subcompanion operation on X.

Let (X; *, 0) be a *d*-algebra and \diamond_i be a binary operation on X (i = 1, 2). Define a relation:

$$\diamond_1 \leq \diamond_2 \iff (x \diamond_1 y) \ast (x \diamond_2 y) = 0$$

for any $x, y \in X$. Then it is reflexive and anti-symmetric. Let $Bin(X) := \{\diamond : \diamond binary operation on X\}$. Define a binary operation \oplus on Bin(X) by

$$x(\diamond_1\oplus\diamond_2)y:=(x\diamond_1y)*(x\diamond_2y).$$

Denote by \diamond_a , $a \in X$, the binary operation $x \diamond_a y := a$ for any $x, y \in X$.

THEOREM 5.5. If (X; *, 0) is a *d*-algebra, then $(Bin(X), \oplus, \diamond_0)$ is also a *d*-algebra and the mapping $a \mapsto \diamond_a$ is an injection of (X; *, 0) into $(Bin(X); \oplus, \diamond_0)$ which is a *d*-morphism.

Proof. Clearly, Bin(X) satisfies the conditions (I) and (III). For any $\diamond \in Bin(X)$ and for any $x, y \in X$, $x(\diamond_0 \oplus \diamond)y = (x \diamond_0 y) * (x \diamond y) = 0 * (x \diamond y) = 0 = x \diamond_0 y$, which means that $\diamond_0 \oplus \diamond = \diamond_0$, proving that $(Bin(X), \oplus, \diamond_0)$ is a *d*-algebra. We claim that $\diamond_a * \diamond_b = \diamond_{a*b}$ for any $a, b \in X$. In fact, $x(\diamond_a * \diamond_b)y = (x \diamond_a y) * (x \diamond_b y) = a * b = x \diamond_{a*b} y$ for any $x, y \in X$. If we define a map $\varphi \colon X \to Bin(X)$ by $\varphi(a) \coloneqq \diamond_a$, then $\varphi(a*b) = \diamond_{a*b} = \diamond_a \oplus \diamond_b = \varphi(a) \oplus \varphi(b)$ for any $a, b \in X$, proving the theorem.

THEOREM 5.6. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. If we define a binary operation \Box by

$$x(\diamond_1 \Box \diamond_2)y := (x \diamond_1 y) \odot (x \diamond_2 y)$$

for any $x, y \in X$, then $(Bin(X); \oplus, \Box, \diamond)$ is also a companion d-algebra containing $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ via the identification $a \mapsto \diamond_a$.

Proof. Since X is a companion d-algebra, $x[((\diamond_1 \Box \diamond_2) \oplus \diamond_1)\diamond_2]y = [\{(x \diamond_1 y) \oplus (x \diamond_2 y)\} * (x \diamond_1 y)] * (x \diamond_2 y) = 0$ for any $x, y \in X$. Since the proof of (VII) is similar, we omit it. \Box

PROPOSITION 5.7. If d-algebra (X; *, 0) has the transitivity condition, then $(Bin(X), \oplus, \diamond_0)$ has also the transitivity condition.

Proof. Straightforward.

PROPOSITION 5.8. Let (X; *, 0) be a d-algebra. If $\diamond \in Bin(X)$ is commutative with $x * (x \diamond y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$, then $(x \star y) * (x \diamond y) = 0$.

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, either $x \star y = x$ or $x \star y = y$. If $x \star y = x$, then $(x \star y) \star (x \diamond y) = x \star (x \diamond y) = 0$. If $x \star y = y$, since \diamond is commutative, $(x \star y) \star (x \diamond y) = y \star (x \diamond y) = y \star (y \diamond x) = 0$, proving the proposition.

A d-algebra (X; *, 0) is said to be a d-chain if $x * y \neq 0$, then y * x = 0. $x, y \in X$.

Note that Bin(X) need not be a *d*-chain, even though X is a *d*-chain. Consider a BCK/BCI/d-algebra $X := \{0, a, b\}$ with the following table:

*	0	a	b
0	0	0	0
a	a	0	0
b	b	a	0

Then (X; *, 0) is a *d*-chain. Define maps $f: X \to X$ by f(0) = b, f(a) = a, f(b) = 0, $g: X \to X$ by g(0) = 0, g(a) = a, f(b) = b. If we define binary operations on X by $x \diamond_f y := f(x)$, $x \diamond_g y := g(x)$, for all $x \in X$, then $(0 \diamond_f a) * (0 \diamond_g a) = f(0) * g(0) = b * 0 = b \neq 0$ and $(b \diamond_g 0) * (b \diamond_f 0) = g(b) * f(b)$ $b * 0 = b \neq 0$. Hence $\diamond_f * \diamond_g \neq \diamond_0 \neq \diamond_g * \diamond_f$, showing that $\operatorname{Bin}(X)$ is not a *d*-chain.

PROPOSITION 5.9. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a d-algebra and \star be a pogroupoid operation on X. Then X is a d-chain if and only if $x \star y = y \star x$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Let X be a d-chain. If x * y = 0, then x * y = y * x = y. If $x * y \neq 0$, then y * x = 0, since X is a d-chain, and hence x * y = y * x = xConversely, assume that there are $x, y \in X$ such that $x * y \neq 0 \neq y * x$. Then y = x * y = y * x = x, a contradiction.

THEOREM 5.10. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. If the companion operation is the pogroupoid operation, then the algebra (X; *, 0) is a d-chain and companion operation is commutative.

Proof. Assume that (X; *, 0) is not a *d*-chain. Then there are $x, y \in X$ such that $x*y \neq 0 \neq y*x$. This means that x*y = y and $x*(x*y) = x*y \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.5-(ii), we have $0 = x*(x \odot y) = x*(x*y)$, a contradiction. Hence, (X; *, 0) is a *d*-chain. When (X; *, 0) is a *d*-chain, at least one of x*y, y*x is zero, and hence by definition of *, the companion operation is commutative.

COMPANION *d*-ALGEBRAS

COROLLARY 5.11. Let $(X; *, \odot, 0)$ be a companion d-algebra. If the companion operation is the pogroupoid operation, then (X; *, 0) is a d^{*}-algebra.

Proof. By Theorem 5.10, the situation $x * y \neq 0$, $y * x \neq 0$ does not occur. If x * y = 0, then $x \odot y = x * y = y$ and hence $(y * x) * y = ((x \odot y) * x) * y = 0$, (x * y) * x = 0 * x = 0. The case y * x = 0 is the same case to the above case.

Consider the following example. Let $X := \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a set with

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	b	0
b	b	0	0	a
c	c	a	0	0

Then (X; *, 0) is a *d*-chain, but $(b * c) * b = a * b = b \neq 0$, i.e., X is not a d^* -algebra. Note that X is not a companion *d*-algebra, since $a \odot c$ is not defined.

REFERENCES

- [DvGr] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.—GRAZIANO, M. G.: Commutative BCK-algebras and lattice ordered groups, Math. Japon. 32 (1999), 227–246.
- [FRT] FONT, J. M. RODRÍGEZ, A. J.—TORRENS, A.: Wajsberg algebras, Stochastica 8 (1984), 5–31.
- [HL1] HU, Q. P. LI, X.: On BCH-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 11 (1983), 313 320.
- [HL2] HU, Q. P. LI, X.: On proper BCH-algebras, Math. Japon. 30 (1985), 659 661.
- [Hoo] HOO, C. S.: Unitary extensions of MV and BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 37 (1992), 683-686.
- [Hu] HU, Q.: BCI-algebras, Shaanxi Scientific and Technological Press, Xian, 1984. (Chinese)
- [Is] ISÉKI, K.: On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 8 (1980), 125 130.
- [ISTa] ISÉKI, K. TANAKA, S.: An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), 1 26.
- [JNK] JUN, Y. B.—NEGGERS, J.—KIM, H. S.: Fuzzy d-ideals of d-algebras, J. Fuzzy Math. 8 (2000), 123 130.
 - [LK] LEE, Y. C. KIM, H. S.: On d-subalgebras of d-transitive d* -algebras, Math. Slovaca 49 (1999), 27–33.
 - [Me] MENG, J.: Implicative commutative semigroups are equivalent to a class of BCK-algebras, Semigroup Forum 50 (1995), 89 96.
- [MeJu] MENG, J. JUN, Y. B.: BCK-algebras, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 1994.

- [Mun] MUNDICI, D.: MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to bounded commutative BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. **31** (1986), 889–894.
- [NJK] NEGGERS, J.—JUN, Y. B.—KIM, H. S.: On d-ideals in d-algebras, Math. Slovaca 49 (1999), 243–251.
- [Ne] NEGGERS, J.: Partially ordered sets and pogroupoids, Kyungpook Math. J. 16 (1976), 7-20.
- [NK1] NEGGERS, J.-KIM, H. S.: Basic Posets, World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore, 1998.
- [NK2] NEGGERS, J.—KIM, H. S.: Modular posets and semigroups, Semigroup Forum 53 (1996), 57-62.
- [NK3] NEGGERS, J.-KIM, H. S.: On d-algebras, Math. Slovaca 49 (1999), 19-26.

Received August 19, 2004 Revised April 18, 2005 * Department of Mathematics University of Alabama Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0350 U. S. A.

E-mail: pallen@as.ua.edu jneggers@as.ua.edu

** Department of Mathematics Hanyang University Seoul 133-791 KOREA E-mail: heekim@hanyang.ac.kr