Tuo-Yeong Lee Bounded linear functionals on the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 59 (2009), No. 4, 1005-1017

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140532

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2009

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

BOUNDED LINEAR FUNCTIONALS ON THE SPACE OF HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

TUO-YEONG LEE, Singapore

(Received May 12, 2008)

Abstract. Applying a simple integration by parts formula for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral, we obtain a simple proof of the Riesz representation theorem for the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions. Consequently, we give sufficient conditions for the existence and equality of two iterated Henstock-Kurzweil integrals.

Keywords: Henstock-Kurzweil integral, bounded linear functional, bounded variation *MSC 2010*: 26A39, 46E99

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that if f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on a compact interval [a, b] of \mathbb{R} and g is of bounded variation on [a, b], then fg is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on [a, b] and the integration by parts formula holds; see, for example, [2, Chapter 11]. Denoting the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions by HK[a, b], it is not difficult to see that every function g of bounded variation on [a, b] induces a bounded linear functional on the space HK[a, b]. On the other hand, it is also known that if T is a bounded linear functional on HK[a, b], then there exist functions $g: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_0 \in BV[a, b]$ such that $g = g_0$ almost everywhere on [a, b] and

$$T(f) = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{a}^{b} f(t)g(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

for every $f \in HK[a, b]$; see, for example, [6] for details.

In 1973, Kurzweil [5] proved an integration by parts formula for higher-dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral. More precisely, he proved that if f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on a compact interval E of a multidimensional Euclidean space and g is

of bounded variation (in the sense of Hardy-Krause) on E, then fg is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on E and the integration by parts formula holds. Furthermore, the function

$$T_g \colon \operatorname{HK}(E) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \colon f \mapsto (\operatorname{HK}) \int_E f(t)g(t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is a bounded linear functional on HK(E). More recently, various authors [8], [12], [14], [17] have shown that the converse is also true; that is, if T is a bounded linear functional on HK(E), then there exist a function $g: E \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a function g_0 of bounded variation (in the sense of Hardy-Krause) on E with the following properties: $g = g_0$ almost everywhere on E and

(1)
$$T(f) = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E} f(t)g(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

for every $f \in HK(E)$. Nevertheless, the above proofs of (1) are non-elementary: either Kurzweil's multidimensional integration by parts formula or the measure theory is involved. One of the aims of this paper is to give a simpler proof of this representation theorem.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we state a number of useful results concerning functions of bounded variation (in the sense of Vitali), with proofs where necessary. In Section 3 we give a simple proof of the Riesz representation theorem for the space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions; see Theorem 3.7 for details. In Section 4 we prove the corresponding Riesz representation theorem for the space of Cauchy-Lebesgue integrable functions. In Section 5 we employ our results to obtain a "Tonelli's theorem" for Henstock-Kurzweil integrals; see Theorem 5.10 for details.

2. Functions of bounded variation

Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer and let \mathbb{R}^m denote the *m*-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with the maximum norm $\| \cdot \|$. For $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and r > 0, set $B(\boldsymbol{x}, r) := \{ \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m : \| \boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x} \| < r \}$. An *interval* in \mathbb{R}^m is a set of the form $[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}] := \prod_{i=1}^m [u_i, v_i]$, where $\boldsymbol{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_m), \ \boldsymbol{v} = (v_1, \ldots, v_m)$ with $u_i, v_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_i < v_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$. Throughout this paper $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] := \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$ denotes a fixed interval and $\mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$ the family of all subintervals of $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$.

A division of [a, b] is a finite collection $\{I_1, \ldots, I_p\}$ of non-overlapping intervals such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_i = [a, b]$. For any given real-valued function g defined on [a, b], the

total variation of g over [a, b] is defined by

$$\operatorname{Var}(g, [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]) := \sup \bigg\{ \sum_{[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}] \in P} |\Delta_g([\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}])| : P \text{ is a division of } [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \bigg\},$$

where

$$\Delta_g([\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}]) := \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{t} \in [\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}] \\ t_i \in \{u_i, v_i\} \,\forall \, i \in \{1, \dots, m\}}} g(\boldsymbol{t}) \prod_{i=1}^m \operatorname{sgn}\left(t_i - \frac{u_i + v_i}{2}\right)$$

for each $[\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}] \in \mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]).$

Definition 2.1. A function $g: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be of bounded variation (in the sense of Vitali) on [a, b] if Var(g, [a, b]) is finite.

The space of functions of bounded variation (in the sense of Vitali) on [a, b] is denoted by BV[a, b]. Set

$$BV_0[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] := \{g \in BV[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \colon g(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \text{ whenever } \boldsymbol{x} \in [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \setminus (\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \},$$

where $(\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] := \prod_{i=1}^{m} (a_i, b_i].$

Let μ_m denote Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^m . The following theorem, which asserts that every bounded linear functional on $C[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}]$ can be represented by Riemann-Stieltjes integration, is an *m*-dimensional analogue of [3, Theorem 2].

Theorem 2.2 (Riesz Representation Theorem). Let $T: C[a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded linear functional. Then there exists $g \in BV_0[a, b]$ such that

$$T(F) = (RS) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} F(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}g(\boldsymbol{x})$$

for every $F \in C[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$. Moreover, $||T|| = \operatorname{Var}(g, [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$.

Proof. Let B[a, b] denote the space of bounded functions on [a, b] and assume that B[a, b] is equipped with the L^{∞} -norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{\infty}[a, b]}$, where

$$||f||_{L^{\infty}[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} = \inf\{M \in \mathbb{R} \colon |f(\boldsymbol{x})| \leqslant M \text{ for } \mu_m \text{-almost all } \boldsymbol{x} \in [\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]\}.$$

Let $B[a, b]^*$ denote the dual space of B[a, b]. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, T has an extension $\widetilde{T} \in B[a, b]^*$ with $||T|| = ||\widetilde{T}||$.

Let $g(\boldsymbol{x}) := \widetilde{T}(\chi_{(\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{x}]})$. Then we can follow the proof of Riesz's theorem (cf. [4]) to get

$$\operatorname{Var}(g, [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]) \leq ||T|| < \infty$$

and

$$T(F) = (RS) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} F(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}g(\boldsymbol{x})$$

for every $F \in C[a, b]$. It is now easy to check that Var(g, [a, b]) = ||T||. The proof is complete.

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.2 can be proved without using the Hahn-Banach Theorem; consult [3, Theorem 2].

3. The Henstock-Kurzweil integral

A partial partition of the interval [a, b] is a collection $\{(I_1, t_1), \ldots, (I_p, t_p)\} [a, b]$, where I_1, \ldots, I_p are nonoverlapping intervals and $t_i \in I_i \subset [a, b]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$. If δ is a gauge (i.e. a positive function) on a set $Z \subseteq [a, b]$, we say that a partial partition $\{(I_1, t_1), \ldots, (I_p, t_p)\}$ of [a, b] is δ -fine whenever $t_i \in Z$ and diam $(I_i) < \delta(t_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, p$, where diam(A) denotes the diameter of a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [7, Lemma 6.2.6]). If δ is a gauge on [a, b], then there exists a δ -fine partial partition $\{(I_1, t_1), \ldots, (I_p, t_p)\}$ of [a, b] such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i = [a, b]$.

Definition 3.2. A function $f: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *Henstock-Kurzweil* integrable on [a, b] if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}$ with the following property: given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on [a, b] such that

(2)
$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(\boldsymbol{t}_{i})\mu_{m}(I_{i}) - A\right| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine partial partition $\{(I_1, t_1), \ldots, (I_p, t_p)\}$ of $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ with $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_i = [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$. Here A is called the Henstock-Kurzweil integral of f over $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$, and we write A as $(\text{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$.

The collection of all functions that are Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on [a, b] will be denoted by HK[a, b]. The following properties are known for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral; see [7] for the proofs, where the term "Kurzweil-Henstock integral" is used to describe this integral.

Theorem 3.3.

- (a) HK[a, b] is a linear space.
- (b) If $f \in HK[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$, then $f \in HK(J)$ for each $J \in \mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$.
- (c) If $f \in HK[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$, then the interval function $J \mapsto (HK) \int_J f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$ is additive on $\mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$. This interval function is known as the *indefinite Henstock-Kurzweil integral*, or in short the indefinite HK-integral, of f.
- (d) If $f \in HK[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$, then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|(HK) \int_J f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}| < \varepsilon$ whenever $J \in \mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$ and $\mu_m(J) < \eta$.
- (e) If $f \in L^1[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ and f is real-valued, then $f \in HK[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ and $\int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\mu_m(\boldsymbol{x}) = (HK) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}.$
- (f) If $\{f, |f|\} \subset \operatorname{HK}[a, b]$, then $f \in L^1[a, b]$.

For the rest of this paper, the space HK[a, b] will be equipped with the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{_{HK[a,b]}}$, where

$$\|f\|_{_{\mathrm{HK}[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]}} := \sup\left\{ \left| (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{I} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \right| : I \in \mathcal{I}_{m}([\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]) \right\}.$$

The following theorem, which is an improvement of Theorem 3.3(e), is also important.

Theorem 3.4 ([9, Theorem 6]). $L^1[a, b]$ is dense in HK[a, b].

For further properties of the space HK[a, b], consult, for example, [11], [14], [18], [19].

As a consequence of Theorem 3.4 and the absolute continuity of the indefinite Lebesgue integrals we obtain the following result of Kurzweil [5].

Corollary 3.5. If $f \in HK[a, b]$, then the map

$$oldsymbol{x}\mapsto (\mathrm{HK})\int_{[oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{b}]}f(oldsymbol{t})\,\mathrm{d}oldsymbol{t}$$

is continuous on [a, b].

The following theorem is a simple version of Kurzweil's multiple integration by parts formula (cf. [5, Theorem 2.10]).

Theorem 3.6 ([16, Theorem 4.8]). If $f \in HK[a, b]$ and $g \in BV_0[a, b]$, then $fg \in HK[a, b]$ and

(3) (HK)
$$\int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x})g(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = (RS) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} \left\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t} \right\} \mathrm{d}g(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

We observe that when m = 1, the following result of Alexiewicz [1] is known.

Theorem. Let m = 1 and let T be a bounded linear functional on HK[a, b]. Then there exists $g \in BV[a, b]$ such that

$$T(f) = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_a^b f(t)g(t) \,\mathrm{d}t$$

for every $f \in HK[a, b]$.

As a simple application of Theorem 3.6 we obtain the following refinement of [8, Theorem 3.2] and the above-mentioned result of Alexiewicz.

Theorem 3.7. If T is a bounded linear functional on HK[a, b], then there exists $g \in BV_0[a, b]$ such that ||T|| = Var(g, [a, b]) and

$$T(f) = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) g(\boldsymbol{t}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t}$$

for every $f \in HK[a, b]$.

Proof. Since the function $\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto (\text{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t}$ is continuous on $[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]$, the theorem follows from the Hahn-Banach Theorem, Theorems 2.2 and 3.6. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.8. Let $g: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $fg \in HK[a, b]$ for every $f \in HK[a, b]$, then the linear functional

$$f \mapsto (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) g(\boldsymbol{t}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t}$$

is $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HK}[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]}$ -bounded.

Proof. Since the proof is similar to that of [10, Theorem 4.4], we give only a sketch of the proof.

Suppose that the linear functional

$$f \mapsto (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) g(\boldsymbol{t}) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t}$$

is not $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{HK}[a,b]}$ -bounded. Following the argument of [10, Theorem 4.4], we can construct a function $f \in \mathrm{HK}[a,b]$ such that $fg \notin \mathrm{HK}[a,b]$. This contradiction completes the proof.

The following theorem is an m-dimensional analogue of a result of Sargent [20].

Theorem 3.9 (cf. [8, Theorem 5.1]). Let $g: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $fg \in HK[a, b]$ for every $f \in HK[a, b]$, then there exists $g_0 \in BV_0[a, b]$ such that $g = g_0 \ \mu_m$ -almost everywhere on [a, b].

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorems 3.8 and 3.7.

4. The Cauchy-Lebesgue integral

The aim of this section is to study the Cauchy-Lebesgue integral, which is the Cauchy extension of the Lebesgue integral.

Definition 4.1 (cf. [10]). An interval function $F: \mathcal{I}_m[a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be continuous if

$$\lim_{\substack{\mu_m(I)\to 0\\I\in\mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}])}}F(I)=0.$$

Definition 4.2 (cf. [10]). A function $f: [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Cauchy-Lebesgue integrable on $[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ if there exist an additive continuous interval function F and a finite set $Q \subset [\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ such that $f \in L^1(I)$ and $F(I) = \int_I f$ for every interval $I \in \mathcal{I}_m([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$ satisfying $I \cap Q = \emptyset$. In this case, we write $F([\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}])$ as (CL) $\int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x}$.

It is easy to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. If $f \in CL[a, b]$, then $f \in HK[a, b]$ and

(CL)
$$\int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.$$

In view of Theorem 4.3 we can equip the space $\operatorname{CL}[a, b]$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{HK}[a, b]}$. In order to prove an analogous version of Theorem 3.7 for the space $\operatorname{CL}[a, b]$, we need the following results.

Lemma 4.4 ([15, Lemma 2.3]). If $f \in CL[a, b]$, $g \in L^{\infty}[a, b]$ and $fg \in HK[a, b]$, then $fg \in CL[a, b]$ and

(CL)
$$\int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x})g(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x})g(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.4.

Theorem 4.5 ([16, Remark 4.11(ii)]). If $f \in CL[a, b]$ and $g \in BV_0[a, b]$, then $fg \in CL[a, b]$ and

(4) (CL)
$$\int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{x})g(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} = (RS) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b}]} \left\{ (CL) \int_{[\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t} \right\} \mathrm{d}g(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

Following the proof of Theorem 3.7 we get a refinement of [10, Corollary 4.6].

Theorem 4.6. If T is a bounded linear functional on CL[a, b], then there exists $g \in BV_0[a, b]$ such that ||T|| = Var(g, [a, b]) and

$$T(f) = (CL) \int_{[\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}]} f(\boldsymbol{t}) g(\boldsymbol{t}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{t}$$

for all $f \in CL[a, b]$.

Theorem 4.7. Let $g: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $fg \in CL[a, b]$ for every $f \in CL[a, b]$, then there exists $g_0 \in BV_0[a, b]$ such that $g = g_0 \mu_m$ -almost everywhere on [a, b].

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.9. We omit it. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 4.8. Let $g: [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) If $f \in HK[a, b]$, then $fg \in HK[a, b]$.
- (ii) If $f \in CL[a, b]$, then $fg \in CL[a, b]$.

Proof. The implication "(i) \implies (ii)" is a consequence of Theorem 3.9 and Lemma 4.4. The converse follows from Theorems 4.7, 3.3(e) and 3.6.

5. An application to iterated Henstock-Kurzweil integrals

For the rest of this paper we let r and s be positive integers. For $q \in \{r, s\}$ we let E_q be a compact interval in \mathbb{R}^q . If f and g are functions defined on E_r and E_s respectively, we let

$$(f \otimes g)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = f(\boldsymbol{x})g(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

The main result (Theorem 5.10) is motivated by the following problem in [15]:

Problem 5.1. Let f and g be Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on intervals $E_r \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ and $E_s \subset \mathbb{R}^s$ respectively. Is $f \otimes g$ Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on the interval $E_r \times E_s$? For the case when r = 1 or s = 1, it is known that $f \otimes g \in \text{HK}(E_r \times E_s)$ whenever $f \in \text{HK}(E_r)$ and $g \in \text{HK}(E_s)$; see [13, Theorem 4.5]. If, in addition, h belongs to $BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then it follows from Theorem 3.6 that $(f \otimes g)h \in \text{HK}(E_r \times E_s)$; Fubini's theorem for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral yields

(5)
$$(\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_r \times E_s} f(\boldsymbol{x}) g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \\ = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \Big\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \, h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \Big\} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \\ = (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \Big\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \Big\} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

While it is unclear whether (5) holds when r, s > 1 (cf. Problem 5.1), a weaker result is known.

Theorem 5.2 ([13, Theorem 4.6]). If $f \in CL(E_r)$ and $g \in HK(E_s)$, then $f \otimes g \in HK(E_r \times E_s)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{HK}) & \int_{E_r \times E_s} (f \otimes g)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \\ &= \left\{ (\mathrm{CL}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \right\} \left\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we shall prove that another result holds for the function $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto f(\boldsymbol{x})g(\boldsymbol{y})h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$; see Theorem 5.10 for details. We need some lemmas.

Lemma 5.3. If $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then $(HK) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y})h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) d\boldsymbol{y}$ exists for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in E_r$. Moreover, the function

$$\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \,\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y}$$

belongs to $L^{\infty}(E_r)$.

Proof. We observe that if $\boldsymbol{x} \in E_r$ is fixed, then the function $\boldsymbol{y} \mapsto h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ belongs to $BV_0(E_s)$. An appeal to Theorem 3.6 gives the first part of the theorem.

Next we infer from Theorems 5.2, 3.6 and Fubini's theorem that the function

$$oldsymbol{x}\mapsto(\mathrm{HK})\int_{E_s}g(oldsymbol{y})h(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}oldsymbol{y}$$

is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on E_r . In particular, the function

$$oldsymbol{x}\mapsto(\mathrm{HK})\int_{E_s}g(oldsymbol{y})h(oldsymbol{x},oldsymbol{y})\,\mathrm{d}oldsymbol{y}$$

is μ_r -measurable.

Finally, we let $f_0 \in L^1(E_r)$ be given. Clearly it suffices to prove that the function

$$\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \bigg\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \,\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \bigg\}$$

belongs to $L^1(E_r)$. Using Theorems 5.2, 3.6 and Fubini's theorem again, we see that $f_0 \in L^1(E_r)$ implies

(HK)
$$\int_{E_r} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \left\{ (HK) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

exists. Now, since the function

$$\boldsymbol{x} \mapsto (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y}$$

is μ_r -measurable and $|f_0| \in L^1(E_r)$, a similar argument shows that

(HK)
$$\int_{E_r} \left| f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) \left\{ (\text{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \right\} \right| \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}$$

exists. It is now clear that the lemma holds.

Lemma 5.4. If
$$f \in CL(E_r)$$
, $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then
(6) $(HK) \int_{E_r \times E_s} f(\boldsymbol{x}) g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) d(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$

and

exist and coincide.

Proof. We infer from Theorems 5.2 and 3.6 that the Henstock-Kurzweil integral (6) exists. Hence, by Fubini's theorem, the iterated Henstock-Kurzweil integral

exists and is equal to the Henstock-Kurzweil integral (6). As a consequence of Lemmas 5.3 and 4.4, the Cauchy-Lebesgue integral (7) exists and is equal to the Henstock-Kurzweil integral (8). The proof is complete. \Box

The following lemma is a consequence of Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 4.8.

Lemma 5.5. If $f \in HK(E_r)$, $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then the iterated Henstock-Kurzweil integral

(HK)
$$\int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \left\{ (HK) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

exists.

Lemma 5.6. If $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then the functional

$$S_g \colon \operatorname{HK}(E_r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \colon f \mapsto (\operatorname{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \left\{ (\operatorname{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

is linear and bounded.

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 3.8.

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.7. If $f \in HK(E_r)$, $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then the iterated Henstock-Kurzweil integral

(HK)
$$\int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \left\{ (HK) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

exists.

On the other hand, the proof of the following lemma is more involved than that of Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.8. If $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then the functional

$$T_g \colon \mathrm{HK}(E_r) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \colon f \mapsto (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \left\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

is linear and bounded.

Proof. According to Theorem 3.4 there exists a sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $L^1(E_s)$ such that

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|g_n - g\|_{\mathrm{HK}(E_s)} = 0.$

For each $f \in \text{HK}(E_r)$ we argue as in the proof of Lemma 5.6 to conclude that the function $\boldsymbol{y} \mapsto (\text{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$ induces a bounded linear functional on

 $\operatorname{HK}(E_s)$. Therefore T_g is bounded on $\operatorname{HK}(E_r)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |T_g(f)| &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_s} g_n(\boldsymbol{y}) \left\{ (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \right\} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right| \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| (\mathrm{HK}) \int_{E_r \times E_s} (f \otimes g_n)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \right| \text{(by Theorems 5.2 and 3.6)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathrm{HK}(E_r)} \|g\|_{\mathrm{HK}(E_s)} \|h\|_{BV_0(E_r \times E_s)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds by Theorem 3.6 and our choice of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 5.9. Let $g \in HK(E_s)$ and let $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$. If S_g and T_g are given as in Lemmas 5.6 and 5.8 respectively, then

$$S_g(f_0) = T_g(f_0)$$

for every $f_0 \in CL(E_r)$.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.4 and Fubini's theorem. The proof is complete. $\hfill \Box$

Theorem 5.10 (Main Theorem). If $f \in HK(E_r)$, $g \in HK(E_s)$ and $h \in BV_0(E_r \times E_s)$, then the iterated Henstock-Kurzweil integrals

$$(\text{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) \left\{ (\text{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x},$$

$$(\text{HK}) \int_{E_s} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \left\{ (\text{HK}) \int_{E_r} f(\boldsymbol{x}) h(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \right\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{y}$$

exist and coincide.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 5.5–5.9 and Theorem 3.4.

References

- A. Alexiewicz: Linear functionals on Denjoy-integrable functions. Colloq. Math. 1 (1948), 289–293.
- [2] R. A. Gordon: The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock. Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 4, AMS, 1994.
- [3] T. H. Hildebrandt and I. J. Schoenberg: On linear functional operations and the moment problem for a finite interval in one or several dimensions. The Annals of Mathematics (2) 34, 317–328.

- [4] Erwin Kreyszig: Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications. John Wiley & Sons, New York-London-Sydney, 1978.
- [5] J. Kurzweil: On multiplication of Perron integrable functions. Czech. Math. J 23 (1973), 542–566.
- [6] Lee Peng-Yee: Lanzhou Lectures on Henstock Integration. World Scientific, 1989.
- [7] Lee Peng-Yee and R. Výborný: The integral, An Easy Approach after Kurzweil and Henstock. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 14 (Cambridge University Press, 2000).
- [8] Lee Tuo-Yeong, Chew Tuan-Seng and Lee Peng-Yee: Characterisation of multipliers for the double Henstock integrals. Bull. Austral. Math Soc. 54 (1996), 441–449.
- [9] Lee Tuo-Yeong, Chew Tuan-Seng and Lee Peng-Yee: On Henstock integrability in Euclidean spaces. Real Anal. Exchange 22 (1996/97), 382–389.
- [10] Lee Tuo-Yeong: Multipliers for some non-absolute integrals in the Euclidean spaces. Real Anal. Exchange 24 (1998/99), 149–160.
- [11] Lee Tuo-Yeong: A full descriptive definition of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the Euclidean space. Proc. London Math. Soc. 87 (2003), 677–700.
- [12] Lee Tuo-Yeong: A full characterization of multipliers for the strong ρ-integral in the Euclidean space. Czech. Math. J. 54 (2004), 657–674.
- [13] Lee Tuo-Yeong: Product variational measures and Fubini-Tonelli type theorems for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 298 (2004), 677–692.
- [14] Lee Tuo-Yeong: A characterisation of multipliers for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 138 (2005), 487–492.
- [15] Lee Tuo-Yeong: Product variational measures and Fubini-Tonelli type theorems for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral II. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 323 (2006), 741–745.
- [16] Lee Tuo-Yeong: A multidimensional integration by parts formula for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Math. Bohem. 133 (2008), 63–74.
- [17] G. Q. Liu: The dual of the Henstock-Kurzweil space. Real Anal. Exchange 22 (1996/97), 105–121.
- [18] Piotr Mikusiński and K. Ostaszewski: The space of Henstock integrable functions II. In New integrals, (P.S. Bullen, P. Y. Lee, J. L. Mawhin, P. Muldowney and W. F. Pfeffer, Editors), Lecture Notes in Math. 1419 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heideberg, New York 1990), 136–149.
- [19] K. M. Ostaszewski: The space of Henstock integrable functions of two variables. Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 11 (1988), 15–22.
- [20] W. L. C. Sargent: On the integrability of a product. J. London Math. Soc. 23 (1948), 28–34.
- [21] W. L. C. Sargent: On linear functionals in spaces of conditionally integrable functions. Quart. J. Math., Oxford Ser. 1 (1950), 288–298.

Author's address: Lee Tuo-Yeong, Mathematics and Mathematics Education, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616, Republic of Singapore, e-mail: tuoyeong.lee@nie.edu.sg.