

M. R. Jabbarzadeh; S. Khalil Sarbaz  
Lambert multipliers between  $L^p$  spaces

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 60 (2010), No. 1, 31–43

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/140547>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

LAMBERT MULTIPLIERS BETWEEN  $L^p$  SPACES

M. R. JABBARZADEH and S. KHALIL SARBAZ, Tabriz

(Received June 26, 2008)

*Abstract.* In this paper Lambert multipliers acting between  $L^p$  spaces are characterized by using some properties of conditional expectation operator. Also, Fredholmness of corresponding bounded operators is investigated.

*Keywords:* conditional expectation, multipliers, multiplication operators, Fredholm operator

*MSC 2010:* 47B20, 47B38

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $L(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For any complete  $\sigma$ -finite sub-algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is abbreviated by  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . We view  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  as a Banach sub-space of  $L^p(\Sigma)$ . The support of a measurable function  $f$  is defined by  $\sigma(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a  $\mu$ -null set.

To examine the weighted composition operators efficiently, Alan Lambert in [9] associated with each transformation  $T$  the so-called conditional expectation operator  $E(\cdot|\mathcal{A}) = E(\cdot)$  which is defined for each non-negative measurable function  $f$  or for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ , and is uniquely determined by the conditions

- (i)  $E(f)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable and
- (ii) if  $A$  is any  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable set for which  $\int_A f \, d\mu$  converges then

$$\int_A f \, d\mu = \int_A E(f) \, d\mu.$$

---

This research is supported by a grant from Tabriz University.

This operator will play a major role in our work, and we list here some of its useful properties:

- If  $g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable then  $E(fg) = E(f)g$ .
- $|E(f)|^p \leq E(|f|^p)$ .
- $\|E(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ .
- If  $f \geq 0$  then  $E(f) \geq 0$ ; if  $f > 0$  then  $E(f) > 0$ .
- $E(|f|^2) = |E(f)|^2$  if and only if  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$ .

As an operator on  $L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $E(\cdot)$  is contractive idempotent and  $E(L^p(\Sigma)) = L^p(\mathcal{A})$ . A real-valued  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f$  is said to be conditionable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\mu(\{x \in X: E(f^+)(x) = E(f^-)(x) = \infty\}) = 0$ . In this case  $E(f) := E(f^+) - E(f^-)$ . If  $f$  is complex-valued, then  $f$  is conditionable if both the real and imaginary parts of  $f$  are conditionable and their respective expectations are not both infinite on the same set of positive measure. In this case,  $E(f) := E(\operatorname{Re} f) + iE(\operatorname{Im} f)$  (see [4]). We denote the linear space of all conditionable  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions on  $X$  by  $L^0(\Sigma)$ . For  $f$  and  $g$  in  $L^0(\Sigma)$ , we define  $f \star g = fE(g) + gE(f) - E(f)E(g)$ . Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . A measurable function  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$  for which  $u \star f \in L^q(\Sigma)$  for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  is called a Lambert multiplier. In other words,  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$  is a Lambert multiplier if and only if the corresponding  $\star$ -multiplication operator  $T_u: L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)$  defined as  $T_u f = u \star f$  is bounded. Note that if  $u$  is a  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function or  $\mathcal{A} = \Sigma$ , then  $u \in K_p^*$  if and only if the multiplication operator  $M_u: L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)$  is bounded.

In the next section, Lambert multipliers acting between two different  $L^p(\Sigma)$  spaces are characterized by using some properties of the conditional expectation operator. In Section 3, Fredholmness of the corresponding  $\star$ -multiplication operators will be investigated.

## 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF LAMBERT MULTIPLIERS

Let  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Define  $K_{p,q}^*$ , the set of all Lambert multipliers from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^q(\Sigma)$ , as follows:

$$K_{p,q}^* = \{u \in L^0(\Sigma): u \star L^p(\Sigma) \subseteq L^q(\Sigma)\}.$$

$K_{p,q}^*$  is a vector subspace of  $L^0(\Sigma)$ . Put  $K_{p,p}^* = K_p^*$ . In the following theorem we characterize the members of  $K_{p,q}^*$  in the case  $1 \leq p = q < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ . Then  $u \in K_p^*$  if and only if  $E(|u|^p) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $E(|u|^p) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  and take  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Since  $|E(u)|^p \leq E(|u|^p)$  a.e., we have

$$\|E(u)f\|_p^p = \int_X |E(u)f|^p d\mu \leq \int_X E(|u|^p)|f|^p d\mu \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty \|f\|_p^p.$$

Hence  $\|E(u)f\|_p \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p$ . A similar argument, using the fact that  $E(fE(g)) = E(f)E(g)$ , reveals that we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(u)E(f)\|_p^p &= \|uE(f)\|_p^p = \int_X |uE(f)|^p d\mu \leq \int_X |u|^p E(|f|^p) d\mu \\ &= \int_X E(|u|^p)E(|f|^p) d\mu \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty \int_X |f|^p = \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_p = \|uE(f)\|_p \leq \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p$ . Accordingly, we get that

$$\|u \star f\|_p \leq \|E(u)f\|_p + \|uE(f)\|_p + \|E(u)E(f)\|_p \leq 3\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p.$$

It follows that  $u \star f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and hence  $u \in K_p^*$ .

Now, suppose only that  $u \in K_p^*$ . An easy consequence of the closed graph theorem and the result guaranteeing a pointwise convergent subsequence for each  $L^p(\Sigma)$  convergent sequence ensures that the operator  $T_u: L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\Sigma)$  given by  $T_u f = u \star f$  is bounded. Define a linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $L^1(\mathcal{A})$  by

$$\varphi(f) = \int_X E(|u|^p)f d\mu, \quad f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

We shall show that  $\varphi$  is bounded. To this end, since for each  $f \in L^1(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $E(|f|^{1/p}) = |f|^{1/p} \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(f)| &\leq \int_X E(|u|^p)|f| d\mu = \int_X (E(|u||f|^{1/p})^p) d\mu \\ &= \int_X (|u||f|^{1/p})^p d\mu = \|T_u f\|_p^p \\ &\leq \|T_u\|^p \|f\|_1^p = \|T_u\|^p \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\varphi$  is a bounded linear functional on  $L^1(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|\varphi\| \leq \|T_u\|^p$ . By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique function  $g \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  such that

$$\varphi(f) = \int_X gf d\mu, \quad f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

Therefore, we have  $g = E(|u|^p)$  a.e. on  $X$  and hence  $E(|u|^p) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . □

Let  $\mathfrak{S} := \{T_u : u \in K_p^*\}$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}'$  be the commutant of  $\mathfrak{S}$  in the algebra of all bounded linear operators. Still proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.6 given in [2] and Theorem 4.1 given in [6], one establishes that  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{S}''$  (see also [3]). Thus  $\mathfrak{S}$  is maximal abelian and hence it is norm closed.

For  $u \in K_p^*$  define  $\|u\|_{K_p^*} = \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p}$ . Then precisely the same calculation as that shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1 yields that

$$\|u \star f\|_p \leq 3(\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p} \|f\|_p) < \infty, \quad f \in L^p(\Sigma),$$

and

$$\int_X E(|u|^p)|f| \, d\mu \leq \|T_u\|^p \|f\|_1, \quad f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}).$$

It follows that

$$(2.1) \quad \|T_u\| \leq 3\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty^{1/p}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty = \sup_{\|f\|_1 \leq 1} \int_X E(|u|^p)|f| \, d\mu \leq \|T_u\|^p.$$

It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{K_p^*} \leq \|T_u\| \leq 3\|u\|_{K_p^*}.$$

Consequently,  $\|\cdot\|_{K_p^*}$  and the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  are equivalent norms on  $\mathfrak{S}$ . Also, since  $\mathfrak{S}$  is norm closed, it follows from (2.3) that  $K_p^*$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{K_p^*}$ .

Let  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ . Our second task is the description of the members of  $K_{p,q}^*$  in terms of the conditional expectation induced by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  and  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ . Then  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$  if and only if  $(E(|u|^q))^{1/q} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $1/p + 1/r = 1/q$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(E(|u|^q))^{1/q} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$ . Let  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\|E(u)f\|_q^q \leq \int_X E(|u|^q)|f|^q \, d\mu = \|E(|u|^q)\|^{1/q} \|f\|_q^q \leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|f\|_p^q.$$

By similar computation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|uE(f)\|_q^q &\leq \int_X |u|^q E(|f|^q) \, d\mu = \int_X E(|u|^q)E(|f|^q) \, d\mu \\ &\leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|E(|f|^q)\|_{p/q} \leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|f\|_p^q \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(u)E(f)\|_q^q &\leq \int_X E(|u|^q)E(|f|^q) d\mu \\ &\leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|(E(|f|^q))^{1/q}\|_p^q \leq \|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r^q \|f\|_p^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have  $\|T_u f\| \leq 3\|(E(|u|^q))^{1/q}\|_r \|f\|_p$  for all  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Consequently,  $T_u$  is bounded and hence  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ .

Now, suppose only that  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ . Define  $\varphi: L^{p/q}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by  $\varphi(f) = \int_X E(|u|^q)f d\mu$ . Clearly  $\varphi$  is a linear functional. We shall show that  $\varphi$  is bounded. For each  $f \in L^{p/q}(\mathcal{A})$  we get that

$$|\varphi(f)| \leq \int_X E(|u|^q)|f| d\mu = \int_X E((|u||f|^{1/q})^q) d\mu = \|T_u |f|^{1/q}\|_q^q \leq \|T_u\|_q^q \|f\|_{p/q}^q.$$

It follows that  $\|\varphi\| \leq \|T_u\|_q^q$  and hence  $\varphi$  is bounded. By the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a unique  $g \in L^{r/q}(\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\varphi(f) = \int_X g f d\mu$  for each  $f \in L^{p/q}(\mathcal{A})$ . Hence  $g = E(|u|^q)$  a.e. on  $X$ . That is,  $(E|u|^q)^{1/q} \in L^r(\mathcal{A})$  and hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

Recall that an  $\mathcal{A}$ -atom of the measure  $\mu$  is an element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that for each  $F \in \Sigma$ , if  $F \subseteq A$  then either  $\mu(F) = 0$  or  $\mu(F) = \mu(A)$ . A measure with no atoms is called non-atomic. It is a well-known fact that every  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  can be partitioned uniquely as

$$X = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right) \cup B,$$

where  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint  $\mathcal{A}$ -atoms and  $B$ , being disjoint from each  $A_n$ , is non-atomic (see [13]).

In the following theorem we characterize the members of  $K_{p,q}^*$  in the case  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$  and  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$ . Then  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$  if and only if*

- (i)  $E(|u|^q) = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ ;
- (ii)  $M := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{E(|u|^q)(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)^{q/r}} < \infty$ , where  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p}$ .

Proof. Suppose that both (i) and (ii) hold. Then, for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  with  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|E(u)f\|_q^q &\leq \int_X E(|u|^q)|f|^q \, d\mu = \left( \int_B + \int_{\bigcup A_n} \right) (E(|u|^q)|f|^q) \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{A_n} E(|u|^q)|f|^q \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E(|u|^q)(A_n) |f(A_n)|^q \mu(A_n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{(E(|u|^q)(A_n))}{\mu(A_n)^{q/r}} (|f(A_n)|^p \mu(A_n))^{q/p} \leq M \|f\|_p^q \leq M, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $E(|u|^q)$  is a constant  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function on each  $A_n$  (see [5, Theorem I.7.3]). Consequently,  $\|E(u)f\|_q \leq M^{1/q}$ . Since the conditional expectation operator  $E$  is a contraction, similar computation shows that  $\|uE(f)\|_q \leq M^{1/q}$  and  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_q \leq M^{1/q}$ . It follows that  $\|T_u\| \leq 3M^{1/q} < \infty$  and hence  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $u \in K_{p,q}^*$ . First we show that  $E(|u|^q) = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ . Assuming the contrary, we can find some  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in B: E(|u|^q)(x) \geq \delta\}) > 0$ . Put  $F = \{x \in B: E(|u|^q)(x) \geq \delta\}$ . Since  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, we can suppose that  $\mu(F) < \infty$ . Also, since  $F$  is non-atomic so for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $F_n \subseteq F$  such that  $\mu(F_n) = \mu(F)/2^n$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f_n = 1/((\mu(F_n))^{1/p}) \chi_{F_n}$ . It is clear that  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$ . Since  $q/p > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \|T_u\|^q \geq \|T_u f_n\|_q^q = \|u \star f_n\|_q^q = \|u f_n\|_q^q \\ &= \int_X |u f_n|^q \, d\mu = 1/(\mu(F_n)^{q/p}) \int_{F_n} |u|^q \, d\mu = 1/(\mu(F_n)^{q/p}) \int_{F_n} E(|u|^q) \, d\mu \\ &\geq \delta \mu(F_n) / (\mu(F_n)^{q/p}) = \delta \left( \frac{\mu(F)}{2^n} \right)^{1-q/p} = \delta \left( \frac{2^n}{\mu(F)} \right)^{q/p-1} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we conclude that  $\mu(\{x \in B: E(|u|^q)(x) \neq 0\}) = 0$ . Next, we examine the supremum in (ii). For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f_n = 1/(\mu(A_n)^{1/p}) \chi_{A_n}$ . Then it is clear that  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \|T_u\|^q \geq \|T_u f_n\|_q^q = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{q/p}} \int_{A_n} E(|u|^q) \, d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)^{q/p}} E(|u|^q)(A_n) \mu(A_n) = \frac{E(|u|^q)(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)^{q/r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get that  $M < \infty$ . □

**Theorem 2.4.**

- (i)  $u \in K_\infty^*$  if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ .
- (ii) If  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $u \in K_{\infty,q}^*$  if and only if  $|u| \in L^q(\Sigma)$ .
- (iii) If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , then  $u \in K_{p,\infty}^*$  if and only if  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$  and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (|u|^p(A_n)/\mu(A_n)) < \infty.$$

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that for each  $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ ,  $u \star f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Since the conditional expectation operator  $E$  is a contraction, we obtain

$$\|u\|_\infty = \|u\chi_X\|_\infty = \|T_u\chi_X\|_\infty \leq \|T_u\| < \infty.$$

Conversely, suppose that  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Then for each  $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  we have  $\|T_u f\|_\infty \leq 3\|u\|_\infty\|f\|_\infty$ . Thus  $\|T_u\| \leq 3\|u\|_\infty$  and hence  $u \in K_\infty^*$ . Consequently, we get (i).

(ii) Let  $|u| \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . Then we have

$$\|uE(f)\|_q^q = \int_X |uE(f)|^q d\mu \leq \|f\|_\infty^q \int_X |u|^q d\mu = \|f\|_\infty^q \|u\|_q^q.$$

Hence,  $\|uE(f)\|_q \leq \|f\|_\infty\|u\|_q$ . Similarly, we get  $\|E(u)f\|_q \leq \|f\|_\infty\|u\|_q$  and  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_q \leq \|f\|_\infty\|u\|_q$ . Thus  $\|T_u\| \leq 3\|u\|_q$  and hence  $u \in K_{\infty,q}^*$ . Conversely, suppose that  $T_u(L^\infty(\Sigma)) \subseteq L^q(\Sigma)$ . Since  $T_u\chi_X \in L^q(\Sigma)$ , it follows that

$$\infty > \|T_u\chi_X\|_q^q = \int_X |T_u\chi_X|^q d\mu = \int_X |u|^q d\mu = \|u\|_q^q.$$

Thus we get (ii).

(iii) Suppose that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$  and  $M := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (|u|^p(A_n)/\mu(A_n)) < \infty$ . Then for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  with  $\|f\|_p \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|uE(f)\|_\infty^p &= \inf\{b \geq 0: |uE(f)|^p \leq b\} \\ &= \inf\{b \geq 0: |u|^p|E(f)|^p \leq b\} \\ &= \inf\{b \geq 0: |u|^p(A_n)|E(f)(A_n)|^p \leq b, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \inf\{b \geq 0: |u|^p(A_n)(E|f|^p)(A_n) \leq b, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|u|^p(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)} = M < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\|uE(f)\|_\infty \leq M^{1/p}$ . Similarly, since

$$|u(A_n)|^p = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} |u|^p d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} E(|u|^p) d\mu = (E(|u|^p))(A_n),$$

we get that  $\|fE(u)\|_\infty \leq M^{1/p}$  and  $\|E(u)E(f)\|_\infty \leq M^{1/p}$ . Therefore  $\|T_u\| \leq 3M^{1/p}$  and hence  $u \in K_{p,\infty}^*$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $u \in K_{p,\infty}^*$ . First we show that  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ . Assuming the contrary, we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\mu(\{x \in X: |u(x)| \geq \delta\}) > 0$ . Put  $F = \{x \in X: |u(x)| \geq \delta\}$ . Since  $F$  is non atomic, choose a number  $a$  such that  $0 < a < \mu(F)$  and a sequence  $F_1, F_2, \dots \in \mathcal{A}$  of disjoint subsets of  $F$  such that  $\mu(F_k) = a/2^{pk}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define a function  $f_0$  on  $X$  by

$$f_0 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{k/2p} \chi_{F_k}.$$

It is easy to show that  $f_0 \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , but that it is not in  $L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . It follows that

$$\infty = \delta^{1/p} \|f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} = \|\delta^{1/p} f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|T_u f_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} \leq \|T_u\| \|f_0\|_{L^p(\mathcal{A})} < \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mu(\{x \in X: |u(x)| \neq 0\}) = 0$ , in other words,  $u = 0$  a.e. on  $B$ .

Now, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , put  $f_n = 1/(\mu(A_n)^{1/p}) \chi_{A_n}$ . It is clear that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\|f_n\|_p = 1$ . Then we obtain

$$\infty > \|T_u\|^p \geq \|T_u f_n\|_\infty^p = \|u f_n\|_\infty^p \geq \frac{|u|^p(A_n)}{\mu(A_n)}.$$

Therefore  $M < \infty$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3. FREDHOLMNESS OF $\star$ -MULTIPLICATION OPERATORS

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , and  $u \in K_p^*$ . Then, for each  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $f \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have*

- (i)  $T_u^n g = (E(u))^{n-1} (E(u)g + nuE(g) - nE(u)E(g))$ ,
- (ii)  $T_u^{*n} f = (\overline{E(u)})^{n-1} \{nE(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - nE(f))\}$ .

*Proof.* (i) is trivial.

(ii) We will prove the result by induction. Since  $E(g)f = fE(g)$  for each  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and  $f \in L^q(\Sigma)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g, T_u^* f) &= (T_u g, f) = \int (uE(g) + gE(u) - E(g)E(u)) \bar{f} \, d\mu \\ &= \int (gE(u\bar{f}) + E(u)g\bar{f} - gE(u)E(\bar{f})) \, d\mu \\ &= \int g \left( \overline{E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}f - \overline{E(u)}E(f)} \right) \, d\mu \\ &= (g, E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}f - \overline{E(u)}E(f)), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Assume now that it holds for  $n = k$  and calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
T_u^{*(k+1)}f &= T_u^* \left( \overline{E(u)}^{k-1} \{kE(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - kE(f))\} \right) \\
&= \overline{E(u)}^k \{ (k+1)E(\bar{u}f) - kE(f)\overline{E(u)} \} \\
&\quad + \overline{E(u)}^k \{ kE(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - kE(f)) \} \\
&\quad - \overline{E(u)}^k \{ kE(\bar{u}f) - (k-1)\overline{E(u)}E(f) \} \\
&= \overline{E(u)}^k \{ (k+1)E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - (k+1)E(f)) \}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the proposition is proved.  $\square$

In what follows we use the symbols  $\mathcal{N}(T_u)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  to denote the kernel and the range of  $T_u$ , respectively. We recall that  $T_u$  is said to be a Fredholm operator if  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  is closed,  $\dim \mathcal{N}(T_u) < \infty$ , and  $\text{codim } \mathcal{R}(T_u) < \infty$ .

The next result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $\star$ -multiplication operator  $T_u$  on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  to be a Fredholm operator, thereby generalizing the result in [11] for multiplication operators.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $u \in K_p^*$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is a non-atomic measure space. Then the operator  $T_u$  is Fredholm on  $L^p(\Sigma)$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) if and only if  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$  almost everywhere on  $X$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T_u$  is a Fredholm operator. We first claim that  $T_u$  is onto. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists  $f_0 \in L^p(\Sigma) \setminus \mathcal{R}(T_u)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  is closed, there exists  $g_0 \in L^q(\Sigma)$ , the dual space of  $L^p(\Sigma)$ , such that

$$(3.1) \quad (g_0, f_0) = \int \bar{f}_0 g_0 \, d\mu = 1$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad (g_0, T_u f) = \int g_0 \overline{T_u f} \, d\mu = 0, \quad f \in L^p(\Sigma).$$

Now (3.1) yields that the set  $B_r = \{x \in X : |E(\bar{f}_0 g_0)(x)| \geq r\}$  has positive measure for some  $r > 0$ . As  $\mathcal{A}$  is non-atomic, we can choose a sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of subsets of  $B_r$  with  $0 < \mu(A_n) < \infty$  and  $A_m \cap A_n = \emptyset$  for  $m \neq n$ . Put  $g_n = \chi_{A_n} g_0$ . Clearly,  $g_n \in L^q(\Sigma)$  and is nonzero, because

$$\int_X |\bar{f}_0 g_n| \, d\mu \geq \int_{A_n} |\bar{f}_0 g_n| \, d\mu = \int_{A_n} E(|\bar{f}_0 g_0|) \geq \int_{A_n} |E(\bar{f}_0 g_0)| \, d\mu \geq r\mu(A_n) > 0$$

for each  $n$ . Also, for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $\chi_{A_n} f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  and so (3.2) implies that

$$(T_u^* g_n, f) = (g_n, T_u f) = \int_{A_n} g_0 \overline{T_u f} \, d\mu = \int_X g_0 \overline{T_u(\chi_{A_n} f)} \, d\mu = (g_0, T_u(\chi_{A_n} f)),$$

which implies that  $T_u^* g_n = 0$  and so  $g_n \in \mathcal{N}(T_u^*)$ . Since all the sets in  $\{A_n\}$  are disjoint, the sequence  $\{g_n\}$  forms a linearly independent subset of  $\mathcal{N}(T_u^*)$ . This contradicts the fact that  $\dim \mathcal{N}(T_u^*) = \text{codim } \mathcal{R}(T_u) < \infty$ . Hence  $T_u$  is onto. Let  $Z(E(u)) := \sigma(E(u))^c = \{x \in X : E(u)(x) = 0\}$ . Then  $\mu(Z(E(u))) = 0$ . Since  $\mu(Z(E(u))) > 0$ , there is an  $F \subseteq Z(E(u))$  with  $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$ . If  $\chi_F \in \mathcal{R}(T_u)$ , then there exists  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  such that  $T_u f = \chi_F$ . Then

$$\mu(F) = \int_X \chi_F \, d\mu = \int_F T_u f \, d\mu = \int_F E(T_u f) \, d\mu = \int_F E(u)E(f) \, d\mu = 0,$$

and this is a contradiction. So  $\chi_F \in L^p(\Sigma) \setminus \mathcal{R}(T_u)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $T_u$  is onto. For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , let

$$H_n = \left\{ x \in X : \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{(n+1)^2} < |E(u)|^p(x) \leq \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{n^2} \right\}$$

and  $H = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(H_n) > 0\}$ . Then the  $H_n$ 's are pairwise disjoint,  $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n$  and  $\mu(H_n) < \infty$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{|E(u)|}{\mu(H_n)^{1/p}}, & x \in H_n, n \in H, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int_X |f|^p \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} \int_{H_n} \frac{|E(u)|^p}{\mu(H_n)} \, d\mu \leq \sum_{n \in H} \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{n^2} < \infty.$$

Therefore  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and so there exist  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$  such that  $T_u g = f$ . Hence  $E(u)E(g) = E(T_u g) = f$ . Since  $E(g) = f/E(u)$  off  $Z(E(u))$  and  $\mu(Z(E(u))) = 0$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |g|^p \, d\mu &= \int_X E(|g|^p) \, d\mu \geq \int_X |E(g)|^p \, d\mu \\ &= \int_X \frac{|f|^p}{|E(u)|^p} \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} \int_{H_n} \frac{1}{\mu(H_n)} \, d\mu = \sum_{n \in H} 1. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $H$  must be a finite set. So there is an  $n_0$  such that  $n \geq n_0$  implies  $\mu(H_n) = 0$ . Together with  $\mu(Z(E(u))) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X: |E(u)|^p(x) \leq \frac{\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty}{n_0^2}\right\}\right) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{n=n_0}^{\infty} H_n \cup Z(E(u))\right) = 0,$$

that is  $|E(u)| \geq ((\|E(|u|^p)\|_\infty)/n_0^2)^{1/p} := \delta$  almost everywhere on  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$  a.e. on  $X$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{N}(T_u^*)$ . We have  $T_u^*f = E(\bar{u}f) + \overline{E(u)}(f - E(f)) = 0$  and so  $E(\bar{u}f) = E(T_u^*f) = 0$ . Thus

$$\int_X \bar{u}f \, d\mu = \int_X E(\bar{u}f) \, d\mu = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\mathcal{N}(T_u^*) \subseteq \left\{f \in L^p(\Sigma): \int_X \bar{u}f \, d\mu = 0\right\} \subseteq L^p(Z(u), \Sigma_{Z(u)}, \mu|_{Z(u)}).$$

Also, since  $E(|u|) \geq |E(u)| \geq \delta$  and  $X$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, we have  $|u| \geq \delta$  and hence  $\mu(Z(u)) = 0$ . It follows that

$$\text{codim } \mathcal{R}(T_u) = \dim \mathcal{N}(T_u^*) = 0.$$

Now, we shall show that  $T_u$  has closed range. Let  $\{T_u f_n\}$  be an arbitrary sequence in  $\mathcal{R}(T_u)$  and let  $\|T_u f_n - g\|_p \rightarrow 0$  for some  $g \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Hence we have  $E(u)E(f_n) = E(T_u f_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} E(g)$ . Since by hypothesis  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$ , it follows that  $E(g)/E(u) \in L^p(\mathcal{A})$  and  $E(f_n) \xrightarrow{L^p} E(g)/E(u)$ . Consequently,

$$f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} \frac{1}{E(u)} \left\{g + E(g) - \frac{uE(g)}{E(u)}\right\} := f$$

and hence  $T_u f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} T_u f$ . Therefore  $g = T_u f$ , which implies that  $T_u$  has closed range. Thus the theorem is proved.  $\square$

Now, we consider the particular case when  $p = 2$ . An operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space  $H$  is normal if  $TT^* = T^*T$ , and  $T$  is self-adjoint if  $T = T^*$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $u \in K_2^*$ . Then the following claims are true:*

- (i)  $T_u$  is a normal operator if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ .
- (ii)  $T_u$  is a self-adjoint operator if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  is real valued.

*Proof.* (i) Assume  $T_u$  is normal. Then for each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  we have  $E(T_u T_u^* f) = E(u)E(\bar{u}f)$  and  $E(T_u^* T_u f) = E(f)E(|u|^2) + E(u)E(\bar{u}f) - E(\bar{u})E(u)E(f)$ . Therefore we obtain that  $E(|u|^2) = |E(u)|^2$ . Consequently  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . Conversely, suppose that  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  and take  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ . Then  $T_u^* T_u f = T_u T_u^* f = |u|^2 f$ , and hence  $T_u$  is normal.

(ii) follows from (i). □

**Example 3.4.** Let  $X = [-1, 1]$ ,  $d\mu = dx$ , let  $\Sigma$  be the Lebesgue sets, and  $\mathcal{A}$  the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra generated by the sets symmetric about the origin. Put  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Then for each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a}^a E(f)(x) dx &= \int_{-a}^a f(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \left\{ \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} + \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2} \right\} dx \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $(Ef)(x) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$ . Now, if we take  $u(x) = \cos x + \sin x$ , then the  $\star$ -multiplication operator  $T_u: L^2(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\Sigma)$  has the form

$$(T_u f)(x) = \left( \cos x + \frac{1}{2} \sin x \right) f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sin x f(-x).$$

Direct computation shows that  $(T_u^* f)(x) = (\cos x + \sin x/2)f(x) - \sin x/2 f(-x)$  and  $|E(u)| \geq \cos 1$ . Therefore,  $T_u$  is a Fredholm but not a normal operator. □

### References

- [1] *J. Campbell and J. Jamison*: On some classes of weighted composition operators. *Glasg. Math. J.* 32 (1990), 87–94.
- [2] *J. B. Conway*: A course in Functional Analysis, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [3] *B. de Pagter and W. J. Ricker*: Bicommutants of algebras of multiplication operators. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 72 (1996), 458–480.
- [4] *J. Herron*: Weighted conditional expectation operators on  $L^p$  spaces. UNC Charlotte Doctoral Dissertation.
- [5] *S. Kantorovitz*: Introduction to Modern Analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [6] *A. Lambert and T. G. Lucas*: Nagata’s principle of idealization in relation to module homomorphisms and conditional expectations. *Kyungpook Math. J.* 40 (2000), 327–337.

- [7] *A. Lambert*:  $L^p$  multipliers and nested sigma-algebras. *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* 104 (1998), 147–153.
- [8] *A. Lambert and B. M. Weinstock*: A class of operator algebras induced by probabilistic conditional expectations. *Mich. Math. J.* 40 (1993), 359–376.
- [9] *A. Lambert*: Hyponormal composition operators. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 18 (1986), 395–400.
- [10] *M. M. Rao*: *Conditional Measures and Applications*. Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [11] *H. Takagi*: Fredholm weighted composition operators. *Integral Equations Oper. Theory* 16 (1993), 267–276.
- [12] *H. Takagi and K. Yokouchi*: Multiplication and composition operators between two  $L^p$ -spaces. *Contemp. Math.* 232 (1999), 321–338.
- [13] *A. C. Zaanen*: *Integration*, 2nd ed. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

*Authors' addresses*: M. R. Jabbarzadeh, S. Khalil Sarbaz, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Tabriz, P. O. Box 5166615648, Tabriz, Iran, e-mail: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir, skhsarbaz@tabrizu.ac.ir.