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## 1. Introduction

In this paper we consider the existence and non-existence of sign-changing solutions for the one-dimensional $p$-Laplacian boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda a(x) f(u)=0, \quad 0<x<1,  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=u(1)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $p>1$ and $\lambda>0$ is a parameter. Problems of the form (1.1)-(1.2) describe some nonlinear phenomena in mathematical sciences and have been studied in recent years by many authors (see [1], [2], [6], [7], [9], [11], [13], [14] and references therein).

In (1.1) we assume that $a$ satisfies

$$
a \in C^{1}[0,1], \quad a(x)>0 \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1
$$

and that $f$ satisfies $f \in C(\mathbb{R}), s f(s)>0$ for $s \neq 0, f$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$; moreover, there exist limits $f_{0}$ and $f_{\infty}$ with $f_{0}, f_{\infty} \in[0, \infty]$ such that

$$
f_{0}=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-2} s} \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\infty}=\lim _{|s| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-2} s} .
$$

By a solution $u$ of (1.1) we mean a function $u \in C^{1}[0,1]$ with $\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime} \in C^{1}[0,1]$ which satisfies (1.1) at all points in $(0,1)$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $S_{k}^{+}\left(S_{k}^{-}\right)$ the set of all solutions $u$ for (1.1)-(1.2) which have exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ and satisfy $u^{\prime}(0)>0\left(\right.$ respectively, $\left.u^{\prime}(0)<0\right)$.

Let $\lambda_{k}$ be the $k$-th eigenvalue of

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\left|\varphi^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} \varphi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\lambda a(x)|\varphi|^{p-2} \varphi=0, \quad 0<x<1  \tag{1.3}\\
\varphi(0)=\varphi(1)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

and let $\varphi_{k}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{k}$. It is known that

$$
0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots<\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{k+1}<\ldots, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{k}=\infty
$$

and that $\varphi_{k}$ has exactly $k-1$ zeros in ( 0,1 ). (See, e.g., [3], [4], [8].) For convenience, we put $\lambda_{0}=0$.

By [12, Theorem 1], if there exists an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either

$$
\lambda f_{0}<\lambda_{k}<\lambda f_{\infty} \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda f_{\infty}<\lambda_{k}<\lambda f_{0}
$$

then $S_{k}^{+} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-} \neq \emptyset$. As a consequence, in the case $f_{0} \neq f_{\infty}$, if either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{\infty}, \lambda_{k} / f_{0}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{0}, \lambda_{k} / f_{\infty}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $S_{k}^{+} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-} \neq \emptyset$. Here, we agree that $1 / 0=\infty$ and $1 / \infty=0$.

In this paper we will consider the non-existence of solutions with prescribed numbers of zeros, and also investigate the existence of solutions in the case $f_{0}=f_{\infty} \in$ $(0, \infty)$. To this end we define $f_{*}$ and $f^{*}$ by

$$
f_{*}=\inf _{s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-2} s} \quad \text { and } \quad f^{*}=\sup _{s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-2} s},
$$

respectively. Then it follows that $f_{0}, f_{\infty} \in\left[f_{*}, f^{*}\right]$.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right) \cup\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{*}, \infty\right)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $S_{k}^{+}=\emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$.

Corollary 1.1. Assume that $\lambda_{k-1} / f_{*}<\lambda_{k} / f^{*}$ for some integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lambda \in$ ( $\lambda_{k-1} / f_{*}, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}$ ), then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has no nontrivial solution.

Remark 1.1. Let us consider, for instance, the case where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}=f_{0}<f_{\infty}=f^{*} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, by (1.4) and Theorem 1.1, we find that $S_{k}^{+} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-} \neq \emptyset$ if $\lambda \in$ $\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{\infty}, \lambda_{k} / f_{0}\right)$, and that $S_{k}^{+}=S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$ if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k} / f_{\infty}\right) \cup\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{0}, \infty\right)$. Hence, $\lambda_{k} / f_{\infty}$ and $\lambda_{k} / f_{0}$ are critical values for the existence of solutions in $S_{k}^{+}$and $S_{k}^{-}$. For example, if $f(s) /|s|^{p-2} s$ is nondecreasing, then (1.5) holds.

Next, let us consider the existence of solutions in the case $f_{0}=f_{\infty} \in(0, \infty)$. In this case we require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-2} s} \not \equiv \text { constant } \quad \text { for any interval }(-\delta, \delta) \text { with } \delta>0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that we have $f_{*}<f^{*}$, if (1.6) holds.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=f^{*} \in(0, \infty)$ and (1.6) holds. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) If $\lambda=\lambda_{k} / f^{*}$ then $S_{k}^{+}=\emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$.
(ii) There exists $\delta_{k} \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \lambda_{k} / f_{*}\right)$ such that, if $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \delta_{k}\right)$, then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least four solutions $u_{k}^{+}, v_{k}^{+}, u_{k}^{-}$, and $v_{k}^{-}$such that $u_{k}^{+}, v_{k}^{+} \in S_{k}^{+}$ and $u_{k}^{-}, v_{k}^{-} \in S_{k}^{-}$.

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=f_{*} \in(0, \infty)$ and (1.6) holds. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(i) If $\lambda=\lambda_{k} / f_{*}$ then $S_{k}^{+}=\emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$.
(ii) There exists $\delta_{k} \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \lambda_{k} / f_{*}\right)$ such that, if $\lambda \in\left(\delta_{k}, \lambda_{k} / f_{*}\right)$, then the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has at least four solutions $u_{k}^{+}, v_{k}^{+}, u_{k}^{-}$, and $v_{k}^{-}$such that $u_{k}^{+}, v_{k}^{+} \in S_{k}^{+}$ and $u_{k}^{-}, v_{k}^{-} \in S_{k}^{-}$.

Remark 1.2. In Theorems 1.2 and 1.3, if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right) \cup\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{*}, \infty\right)$, then $S_{k}^{+}=\emptyset$ and $S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$ by Theorem 1.1.

In the proofs of Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3, we first consider the solution $u(x ; \mu)$ of (1.1) satisfying the initial condition with a parameter $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and then we investigate the behavior of $u(x ; \mu)$ as $\mu \rightarrow 0$ and $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. We will show the non-existence of solutions by employing variants of the Sturm comparison theorem for half-linear
differential equations, and prove the existence of solutions with prescribed numbers of zeros by making use of the half-linear Prüfer transformation which involves the generalized trigonometric functions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some variants of the Sturm comparison theorem, and in Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we give the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

## 2. Comparison lemmas

Let us consider a pair of half-linear differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+c(x)|u|^{p-2} u=0, \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|U^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} U^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+C(x)|U|^{p-2} U=0, \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c, C \in C[0,1]$ satisfy $C(x) \geqslant c(x)$ for $x \in[0,1]$. The Sturm comparison theorem for the half-linear differential equation is formulated as follows: [4, Theorem 1.2.4] (See also [3], [5] and [10].)

Lemma 2.1. Assume that a nontrivial solution $u$ of (2.1) satisfies $u\left(x_{1}\right)=$ $u\left(x_{2}\right)=0$ with some $0 \leqslant x_{1}<x_{2} \leqslant 1$. Then every nontrivial solution $U$ of (2.2) has a zero in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ or it is a multiple of the solution $u$ on $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$. The latter possibility is excluded if $C(x) \not \equiv c(x)$ for $x \in\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]$.

We will give some variants of Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.2. Assume that a solution $u$ of (2.1) satisfies $u(0)=u(1)=0$ and has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$. Let $U$ be a solution of (2.2) satisfying $U(0)=0$ and $U^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Then $U$ possesses one of the following properties:
(i) $U$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$;
(ii) $U$ is a constant multiple of $u$ on $[0,1]$ and $c \equiv C$ on $[0,1]$.

In both cases (i) and (ii), $U$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1]$.
Proof. In the case where $c \equiv C$ on $[0,1]$, it is clear that (ii) holds. Hence it suffices to show that (i) must hold in the case $c \not \equiv C$ on $[0,1]$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{k}$ be zeros of $u$ satisfying $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{k-1}<x_{k}=1$. Assume that $c \not \equiv C$ on $\left[x_{i_{0}-1}, x_{i_{0}}\right]$ for some $i_{0} \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Then Lemma 2.1 implies that $U$ has at least one zero in $\left(x_{i_{0}-1}, x_{i_{0}}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $U$ has at least one zero in each interval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$ for $i=i_{0}+1, i_{0}+2, \ldots, k$ and $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, i_{0}-1$. Thus $U$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$.

Lemma 2.3. Assume that a solution $U$ of (2.2) satisfies $U(0)=U(1)=0$ and has exactly $k-1$ zeros in ( 0,1 ). Let $u$ be a solution of (2.1) satisfying $u(0)=0$ and $u^{\prime}(0) \neq 0$. Then $u$ possesses one of the following properties:
(i) $u$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1]$;
(ii) $u$ is a constant multiple of $U$ on $[0,1]$ and $c \equiv C$ on $[0,1]$.

In both cases (i) and (ii), $u$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in ( 0,1 ).
Proof. We will show that $u$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1]$ when $c \not \equiv C$ on $[0,1]$. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{k}$ be zeros of $U$ satisfying $0=x_{0}<x_{1}<\ldots<x_{k-1}<x_{k}=1$. Assume to the contrary that $u$ has $k$ zeros in $(0,1]$. Let $\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{k}$ be zeros of $u$ satisfying $0=y_{0}<y_{1}<\ldots<y_{k-1}<y_{k} \leqslant 1$. By applying Lemma 2.2 on the interval $\left(0, y_{k}\right)$, we conclude that the solution $U$ has at least $k$ zeros in $\left(0, y_{k}\right) \subset(0,1)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $u$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in ( 0,1 ], and (i) holds.

We will need the following lemma [12, Lemma 3.3] in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\lambda_{k}$ be the $k$-th eigenvalue of (1.3), and let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{k}$ be the zeros of the corresponding eigenfunction $\varphi_{k}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\ldots<x_{k-1}<x_{k}=1 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\tilde{\lambda}>\lambda_{k}$. Then for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ there is a solution $w_{i}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|w^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} w^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\tilde{\lambda} a(x)|w|^{p-2} w=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has at least two zeros in $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$.

## 3. Proof of theorem 1.1

Let $\lambda>0$. We denote by $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ the solution of the problem (1.1) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad u^{\prime}(0)=\mu \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter. By [12, Proposition 2.1] we obtain the following:

Lemma 3.1. For each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda>0$, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ exists on $[0,1]$ and is unique. Furthermore, $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ and $u^{\prime}(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ are continuous on $(x, \mu, \lambda) \in$ $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$, and the number of zeros of $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ in $[0,1]$ is finite for each $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\lambda>0$.

The generalized sine function $\sin _{p}$ is defined by the solution to the problem

$$
\left(\left|S^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} S^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+(p-1)|S|^{p-2} S=0, \quad S(0)=0 \text { and } S^{\prime}(0)=1
$$

The function $\sin _{p}$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and is periodic with period $2 \pi_{p}$, where $\pi_{p}=$ $(2 \pi) /(p \sin (\pi / p))$. The generalized cosine function $\cos _{p}$ is defined by $\cos _{p} x=$ $\left(\sin _{p} x\right)^{\prime}$. For simplicity, we denote by $u(x ; \mu)$ the solution of the problem (1.1) and (3.1) with fixed $\lambda>0$. We define functions $r(x ; \mu)$ and $\theta(x ; \mu)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x ; \mu)=r(x ; \mu) \sin _{p} \theta(x ; \mu) \\
u^{\prime}(x ; \mu)=r(x ; \mu) \cos _{p} \theta(x ; \mu)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where ${ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$. It can be shown that

$$
\theta^{\prime}(x ; \mu)=\left|\cos _{p} \theta(x ; \mu)\right|^{p}+\frac{\lambda a(x) f\left(r(x ; \mu) \sin _{p} \theta(x ; \mu)\right) \sin _{p} \theta(x ; \mu)}{(p-1)[r(x ; \mu)]^{p-1}}>0
$$

for $x \in[0,1]$, which implies that $\theta(x ; \mu)$ is strictly increasing in $x \in[0,1]$ for each fixed $\mu>0$. (See, for example, [3] or [4].) The initial condition (3.1) yields that $\theta(0 ; \mu) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2 \pi_{p}\right)$. For simplicity we take $\theta(0 ; \mu)=0$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\theta(x ; \mu)$ is continuous in $(x ; \mu) \in[0,1] \times(0, \infty)$. We easily see that $u(x ; \mu)$ has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ if and only if $(k-1) \pi_{p}<\theta(1 ; \mu) \leqslant k \pi_{p}$.

Lemma 3.2. (i) Assume that $\lambda f(s) /\left(|s|^{p-2} s\right)>\lambda_{k}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ with some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $\mu \neq 0$ the solution $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$.
(ii) Assume that $\lambda f(s) /\left(|s|^{p-2} s\right)<\lambda_{k}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ with some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $\mu \neq 0$ the solution $u(x ; \mu)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1]$.

Proof. (i) We observe that $u=u(x ; \mu)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left|u^{\prime}\right|^{p-2} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+b(x ; \lambda)|u|^{p-2} u=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x ; \lambda)=\lambda a(x) \frac{f(u(x ; \mu))}{|u(x ; \mu)|^{p-2} u(x ; \mu)} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f(s) /\left(|s|^{p-2} s\right)$ is continuous at $s=0$ if $f_{0}<\infty$. Then the function $b(x ; \lambda)$ is continuous for $x \in[0,1]$ if $f_{0}<\infty$.

First, assume that $f_{0}<\infty$. Then $b(x ; \lambda)$ given by (3.3) is continuous for $x \in[0,1]$, and satisfies

$$
b(x ; \lambda) \geqslant \lambda_{k} a(x), b(x ; \lambda) \not \equiv \lambda_{k} a(x) \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1
$$

By Lemma 2.2, the solution $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least $k$ zeros in ( 0,1 ).
Next, assume that $f_{0}=\infty$. Let $\varphi_{k}$ be an eigenfunction corresponding to $\lambda_{k}$, and let $\left\{x_{j}\right\}_{j=0}^{k}$ be zeros of $\varphi_{k}$ satisfying (2.3). We will show that $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least one zero in each interval $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, which implies that $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$. Assume to the contrary that $u(x ; \mu)$ has no zero in $\left(x_{i_{0}-1}, x_{i_{0}}\right)$ for some $i_{0} \in\{0,1,2, \ldots, k\}$. Then $b(x ; \lambda)$ given by (3.3) is continuous for $x \in\left(x_{i_{0}-1}, x_{i_{0}}\right)$ and satisfies $b(x ; \lambda)>\lambda_{k} a(x)$ for $x_{i_{0}-1}<x<x_{i_{0}}$. We observe that, due to $f_{0}=\infty$, there exists $\tilde{\lambda}>\lambda_{k}$ such that

$$
b(x ; \lambda)>\tilde{\lambda} a(x) \quad \text { for } x_{i_{0}-1}<x<x_{i_{0}}
$$

even if $u\left(x_{i_{0}-1} ; \mu\right)=0$ or $u\left(x_{i_{0}} ; \mu\right)=0$. By Lemma 2.4, Eq. (2.4) has a nontrivial solution $w$ such that $w\left(t_{1}\right)=w\left(t_{2}\right)=0$ with $t_{1}, t_{2} \in\left(x_{i_{0}-1}, x_{i_{0}}\right)$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least one zero in $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \subset\left(x_{i_{0}-1}, x_{i_{0}}\right)$. This is a contradiction. Thus $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least one zero in each interval $\left(x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$, and hence $u(x ; \mu)$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$.
(ii) By the assumption, $f_{0}<\infty$. Then the function $b(x ; \lambda)$ given by (3.3) is continuous for $x \in[0,1]$ and satisfies

$$
b(x ; \lambda) \leqslant \lambda_{k} a(x), b(x ; \lambda) \not \equiv \lambda_{k} a(x) \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1
$$

By Lemma 2.3, the solution $u(x ; \mu)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1]$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right)$. In this case, we have

$$
\lambda f(s) /\left(|s|^{p-2} s\right)<\lambda_{k} \quad \text { for } s \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}
$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2 (ii), the solution $u(x ; \mu)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1]$ for every $\mu \neq 0$. This implies that $S_{k}^{+}=S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$. In the case $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f_{*}, \infty\right)$ we obtain $S_{k}^{+}=S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$ by a similar argument with a slight modification.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. Note that $\lambda_{k}<\lambda_{k+1}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. Then Theorem 1.1 implies that, if $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k-1} / f_{*}, \infty\right)$, then $S_{j}^{+}=S_{j}^{-}=\emptyset$ for each $j=$
$1,2, \ldots, k-1$, and that, if $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right)$, then $S_{j}^{+}=S_{j}^{-}=\emptyset$ for each $j=k, k+1, \ldots$. By Lemma 3.1, the number of zeros of nontrivial solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) is finite. Hence (1.1)-(1.2) has no nontrivial solution.

## 4. Proof of theorems 1.2 and 1.3

We denote by $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ the solution of the problem (1.1) and (3.1). As in Section 3, we define functions $r(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ and $\theta(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(x ; \mu, \lambda)=r(x ; \mu, \lambda) \sin _{p} \theta(x ; \mu, \lambda) \\
u^{\prime}(x ; \mu, \lambda)=r(x ; \mu, \lambda) \cos _{p} \theta(x ; \mu, \lambda)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $\theta(0 ; \mu, \lambda)=0$, where ${ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$. We see that $\theta(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ is continuous in $(x, \mu, \lambda) \in$ $[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times(0, \infty)$ by Lemma 3.1 , and that $\theta(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ is strictly increasing in $x \in[0,1]$ for each fixed $\mu>0$ and $\lambda>0$. From $\theta(0 ; \mu, \lambda)=0$ it follows that $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ if and only if $(k-1) \pi_{p}<\theta(1 ; \mu, \lambda) \leqslant k \pi_{p}$.

By Lemmas 4.1-4.4 in [12] we obtain the following.

## Lemma 4.1. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

(i) Assume that $\lambda f_{0}<\lambda_{k}$. Then there exists $\mu_{*}>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{*}\right]$, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$.
(ii) Assume that $\lambda f_{0}>\lambda_{k}$. Then there exists $\mu_{*}>0$ such that, for each $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{*}\right]$, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$.
(iii) Assume that $\lambda f_{\infty}>\lambda_{k}$. Then there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that, for each $\mu \geqslant \mu^{*}$, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$.
(iv) Assume that $\lambda f_{\infty}<\lambda_{k}$. Then there exists $\mu^{*}>0$ such that, for each $\mu \geqslant \mu^{*}$, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$.

We will prove Theorem 1.2 only, since Theorem 1.3 can be shown by an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2 with a slight modification.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) We observe that $u=u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ satisfies (3.2) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x ; \lambda)=\lambda a(x) \frac{f(u(x ; \mu, \lambda))}{|u(x ; \mu, \lambda)|^{p-2} u(x ; \mu, \lambda)} \quad \text { for } 0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f_{0}<\infty$, then the function $b(x ; \lambda)$ is continuous for $x \in[0,1]$.
Let $\mu>0$. Due to $f_{0}=f_{\infty}=f^{*} \in(0, \infty)$, the function $b(x ; \lambda)$ given by (4.1) satisfies

$$
b\left(x ; \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{k} a(x) \quad \text { for } x \in[0,1] .
$$

By Lemma 2.3, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has at most $k-1$ zeros on $(0,1)$, that is, $\theta\left(1 ; \mu, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right) \leqslant k \pi_{p}$. Assume that $\theta\left(1 ; \mu, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right)=k \pi_{p}$ with some $\mu>0$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
b\left(x ; \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right) \equiv \lambda_{k} a(x) \quad \text { for } x \in[0,1],
$$

which implies that

$$
\frac{f(s)}{|s|^{p-2} s} \equiv f^{*} \quad \text { for } 0<s \leqslant \max _{x \in[0,1]} u(x ; \mu, \lambda) .
$$

This contradicts (1.6). Thus we obtain $\theta\left(1 ; \mu, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right)<k \pi_{p}$ for any $\mu>0$. This implies that $S_{k}^{+}=\emptyset$ if $\lambda=\lambda_{k} / f^{*}$. By a similar argument, we obtain $\theta\left(1 ; \mu, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right)<$ $k \pi_{p}$ for any $\mu<0$, and hence $S_{k}^{-}=\emptyset$ if $\lambda=\lambda_{k} / f^{*}$.
(ii) Put $\mu_{0}>0$. By (i) we have $\theta\left(1 ; \mu_{0}, \lambda_{k} / f^{*}\right)<k \pi_{p}$. By the continuity of $\theta\left(1 ; \mu_{0}, \lambda\right)$ with respect to $\lambda>0$ there exists $\delta_{k}^{+}>\lambda_{k} / f^{*}$ such that $\theta\left(1 ; \mu_{0}, \lambda\right)<k \pi_{p}$ for $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \delta_{k}^{+}\right)$. Let $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \delta_{k}^{+}\right)$. Then we have $\lambda f_{0}=\lambda f_{\infty}>\lambda_{k}$. By Lemmas 4.1 (ii), (iii) there are $\mu_{*}, \mu^{*}>0$ such that, if either $\mu \in\left(0, \mu_{*}\right]$ or $\mu \in$ [ $\left.\mu^{*}, \infty\right)$, the solution $u(x ; \mu, \lambda)$ has at least $k$ zeros in $(0,1)$. This implies that

$$
\theta(1 ; \mu, \lambda)>k \pi_{p} \quad \text { for } \mu \in\left(0, \mu_{*}\right] \cup\left[\mu^{*}, \infty\right)
$$

and that $\mu_{0} \in\left(\mu_{*}, \mu^{*}\right)$. Since $\theta(1 ; \mu, \lambda)$ is continuous in $\mu \in(0, \infty)$, there exist $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ such that

$$
0<\mu_{1}<\mu_{0}<\mu_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta\left(1 ; \mu_{1}, \lambda\right)=\theta\left(1 ; \mu_{2}, \lambda\right)=k \pi_{p}
$$

which means $u\left(x ; \mu_{1}, \lambda\right), u\left(x ; \mu_{2}, \lambda\right) \in S_{k}^{+}$.
By an argument similar to the above, there exists a sequence $\delta_{k}^{-}>\lambda_{k} / f^{*}$ such that, if $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \delta_{k}^{-}\right)$, then (1.1)-(1.2) has two solutions $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ which have exactly $k-1$ zeros in $(0,1)$ and satisfy $v_{1}^{\prime}(0)<0$ and $v_{2}^{\prime}(0)<0$. This implies that $v_{1}, v_{2} \in S_{k}^{-}$.

Finally, put $\delta_{k}=\min \left\{\delta_{k}^{+}, \delta_{k}^{-}\right\}$. If $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{k} / f^{*}, \delta_{k}\right)$, then (1.1)-(1.2) has at least four solutions $u_{k}^{+}, v_{k}^{+}, u_{k}^{-}, v_{k}^{-}$which satisfy $u_{k}^{+}, v_{k}^{+} \in S_{k}^{+}$and $u_{k}^{-}, v_{k}^{-} \in S_{k}^{-}$.
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