Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Xueqing Chen; Ming Ding; Jie Sheng

Bar-invariant bases of the quantum cluster algebra of type $A_2^{(2)}$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 61 (2011), No. 4, 1077–1090

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141808`

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* `http://dml.cz`

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 61 (136) (2011), 1077–1090

BAR-INVARIANT BASES OF THE QUANTUM CLUSTER ALGEBRA OF TYPE $A_2^{(2)}$

Xueqing Chen, Whitewater, Ming Ding, Beijing,
Jie Sheng, Beijing

(Received October 3, 2010)

Cordially dedicated to Prof. Vlastimil Dlab on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Abstract. We construct bar-invariant $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases of the quantum cluster algebra of the valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$, one of which coincides with the quantum analogue of the basis of the corresponding cluster algebra discussed in P. Sherman, A. Zelevinsky: Positivity and canonical bases in rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine types, Moscow Math. J., 4, 2004, 947–974.

Keywords: quantum cluster algebra, $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-basis, valued quiver

MSC 2010: 16G20, 20G42, 14M17

1. Introduction

Cluster algebras were invented by S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky [11], [12] in order to study total positivity in algebraic groups and canonical bases in quantum groups. The study of $\mathbb{Z}$-bases of cluster algebras has become important. There are many results involving the construction of $\mathbb{Z}$-bases of cluster algebras (for example, see [17] and [4] for cluster algebras of rank 2, [3] for finite type, [10] for type $\tilde{A}$, [5] for $\tilde{A}_2^{(1)}$, [6] for affine type and [13] for acyclic quivers). As the quantum analogue of cluster algebras, quantum cluster algebras were defined by A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky in [1]. A quantum cluster algebra is generated by the so-called (*quantum*) *cluster variables* inside an ambient skew-field $\mathscr{F}$. Under the specialization $q = 1$, quantum cluster algebras degenerate to cluster algebras.

Recently, D. Rupel [16] defined a quantum analogue of the Caldero-Chapoton formula [2] and conjectured that cluster variables could be expressed in terms of the refined Caldero-Chapoton formula, and then proved the conjecture for those in almost acyclic clusters. This conjecture has been proved for acyclic equally valued quivers in [15]. Naturally, one may hope to construct $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases of quantum cluster algebras. For simply-laced finite and affine quivers, the bases have been constructed in [7] and [8].

In this paper, we deal with the quantum cluster algebra of the simplest non-simply-laced valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$ and construct various bar-invariant $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases by applying the quantum analogue of the Caldero-Chapoton formula defined in [16]. Under the specialization $q = 1$, one of these $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases is exactly the canonical basis of the cluster algebra of the valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$ discussed in [17]. Moreover, the elements $\{s_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in the basis $\mathscr{S}$ (see Definition 3.4) possess representation-theoretic interpretations.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Quantum cluster algebras

In what follows, we will give a short review on quantum cluster algebras, for details one can refer to [1]. Let L be a lattice of rank m and $\Lambda \colon L \times L \to \mathbb{Z}$ a skew-symmetric bilinear form. Let q be a formal variable and let us consider the ring of integer Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$. The *based quantum torus* associated with a pair (L, Λ) is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-algebra $\mathscr{T}$ with a distinguished $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-basis $\{X^e \colon e \in L\}$ and the multiplication given by

$$X^e X^f = q^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda(e,f)} X^{e+f}.$$

Obviously $\mathscr{T}$ is associative and the basis elements satisfy the relations

$$X^e X^f = q^{\Lambda(e,f)} X^f X^e, \quad X^0 = 1, \quad (X^e)^{-1} = X^{-e}.$$

It is well known that $\mathscr{T}$ is an Ore domain, i.e., it is contained in its skew-field of fractions $\mathscr{F}$.

A *toric frame* in $\mathscr{F}$ is a mapping $M \colon \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathscr{F} \setminus \{0\}$ of the form

$$M(\mathbf{c}) = \varphi(X^{\eta(\mathbf{c})}) =: X^{\mathbf{c}}$$

where $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathbf{m}}$, φ is an automorphism of $\mathscr{F}$ and $\eta \colon \mathbb{Z}^m \to L$ is an isomorphism of lattices. By the definition, the elements $M(\mathbf{c})$ form a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-basis of the based quantum torus $\mathscr{T}_M := \varphi(\mathscr{T})$ and satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\mathbf{c})M(\mathbf{d}) &= q^{\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_M(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d})}M(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}),\\
M(\mathbf{c})M(\mathbf{d}) &= q^{\Lambda_M(\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d})}M(\mathbf{d})M(\mathbf{c}),\\
M(\mathbf{0}) &= 1,\\
M(\mathbf{c})^{-1} &= M(-\mathbf{c}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the skew-symmetric bilinear form Λ_M on $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is obtained by transferring the form Λ from L via the lattice isomorphism η. Note that Λ_M can also be identified with a skew-symmetric $m \times m$ matrix given by $\lambda_{ij} = \Lambda_M(e_i, e_j)$ where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^m$.

Given a toric frame M, write $X_i = M(e_i)$; then

$$\mathscr{T}_M = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]\langle X_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, X_m^{\pm 1} \colon\ X_iX_j = q^{\lambda_{ij}}X_jX_i\rangle.$$

Let A be an $m \times m$ skew-symmetric matrix and $\tilde{B}$ an $m \times n$ matrix with $n \leqslant m$. The pair $(A, \tilde{B})$ is called *compatible* if $\tilde{B}^{\mathrm{tr}}A = (D \mid 0)$ is an $n \times m$ matrix with $D = \operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n)$ where $d_i \in \mathbb{N}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. For a toric frame M, we call the pair $(M, \tilde{B})$ a *quantum seed* if the pair $(\Lambda_M, \tilde{B})$ is compatible. Define the $m \times m$ matrix $E = (e_{ij})_{m \times m}$ as follows:

$$e_{ij} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} & \text{if } j \neq k;\\ -1 & \text{if } i = j = k;\\ \max(0, -b_{ik}) & \text{if } i \neq j = k. \end{cases}$$

For $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $k \geqslant 0$, denote $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} n\\ k\end{smallmatrix}\right]_q = (q^n - q^{-n}) \dots (q^{n-r+1} - q^{-n+r-1})/(q^r - q^{-r}) \dots (q - q^{-1})$. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ with $c_k \geqslant 0$. We can define the toric frame $M' \colon \mathbb{Z}^m \to \mathscr{F} \setminus \{0\}$ as

$$M'(\mathbf{c}) = \sum_{p=0}^{c_k} \begin{bmatrix} c_k\\ p\end{bmatrix}_{q^{d_k/2}} M(E\mathbf{c} + p\mathbf{b}^k), \quad M'(-\mathbf{c}) = M'(\mathbf{c})^{-1} \tag{2.1}$$

where the vector $\mathbf{b}^k \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ is the k-th column of $\tilde{B}$.

Let $\tilde{B}' = \mu_k(\tilde{B})$ be the mutation of $\tilde{B}$ at k (see [11] for details). Then the quantum seed $(M', \tilde{B}')$ is called the mutation of $(M, \tilde{B})$ in the direction k. Two quantum seeds are mutation-equivalent if each can be obtained from the other by a sequence of mutations. Let $\mathscr{C} = \{M'(e_i) \colon 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n,\ (M', \tilde{B}') \text{ is mutation-equivalent to } (M, \tilde{B})\}$. The elements of $\mathscr{C}$ are called *cluster variables*. Let $\mathscr{P} = \{M(e_i) \colon n+1 \leqslant i \leqslant m\}$; the elements in $\mathscr{P}$ are called *coefficients*. The *quantum cluster algebra* $\mathscr{A}_q(\Lambda_M, \tilde{B})$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-subalgebra of $\mathscr{F}$ generated by the elements in $\mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{P}$. We can associate with $(M, \tilde{B})$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-linear *bar-involution* on $\mathscr{T}_M$ as follows:

$$\overline{q^{r/2}M(\mathbf{c})} = q^{-r/2}M(\mathbf{c}), \quad \text{where } r \in \mathbb{Z},\ \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Then we can see that $\overline{XY} = \overline{Y}\,\overline{X}$ for all $X, Y \in \mathscr{A}_q(\Lambda_M, \tilde{B})$ and the elements in $\mathscr{C} \cup \mathscr{P}$ are *bar-invariant.*

2.2. The valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$

We can associate a valued quiver (see [16, Section 2] for more details) with a given compatible pair (A, B). Now we set $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus we have $B^{\mathrm{tr}}A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ denoted by D. The valued quiver Q associated with this pair is of type $A_2^{(2)}$:

$$1 \xrightarrow{(4,1)} 2\ .$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}$ be a reduced $\mathbb{F}_q$-species of type Q, see [9] for details. The category $\mathrm{rep}(\mathfrak{S})$ of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{S}$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional modules over a finite-dimensional hereditary $\mathbb{F}_q$-algebra Δ, where Δ is the tensor algebra of $\mathfrak{S}$. In the rest of the paper, we will not distinguish the representation of the valued quiver and the module of the corresponding algebra. It is well known (see [9]) that indecomposable Δ-modules are divided into three families up to isomorphism: the indecomposable regular modules with dimension vector $(nd_p, 2nd_p)$ for $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$ of degree d_p and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (in particular, denote by $R_p(n)$ the indecomposable regular module with dimension vector $(n, 2n)$ for $d_p = 1$), the preprojective modules, and the preinjective modules. Define

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is well known that the Euler form on $\mathrm{rep}(\mathfrak{S})$ is given by

$$\langle V, N \rangle = \underline{m}(I - R)D\underline{n}^{\mathrm{tr}}$$

where $\underline{m}$ and $\underline{n}$ are the dimension vectors of V and N, respectively. Now, let $\mathscr{T} = \mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]\langle X_1^{\pm 1}, X_2^{\pm 1} \colon X_1X_2 = qX_2X_1 \rangle$ and let $\mathscr{F}$ be the skew field of fractions of $\mathscr{T}$. Thus the quantum cluster algebra of the valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$ denoted by $\mathscr{A}_q(1, 4)$ in the sequel is the $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-subalgebra of $\mathscr{F}$ generated by the cluster variables X_k, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, defined recursively by

$$X_{m-1}X_{m+1} = \begin{cases} q^{1/2}X_m + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd;} \\ q^2X_m^4 + 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

The quantum Laurent phenomenon [1] implies that each X_k belongs to the subring $\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Let V be a representation of the valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$ with dimension vector $\underline{\dim}\, V = (v_1, v_2)$. For $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant 0}$, denote by $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(V)$ the set of all subrepresentations U of V with $\underline{\dim}\, U = \mathbf{e}$. In [16], the author defined the element X_V of the quantum torus $\mathcal{T}$ by

$$X_V = \sum_{\mathbf{e}} q^{-\frac{1}{2} d_{\mathbf{e}}^V} \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(V)| X^{(-v_1+v_2-e_2, 4e_1-v_2)} \tag{2.2}$$

where $d_{\mathbf{e}}^V = 4e_1(v_1 - e_1) - (4e_1 - e_2)(v_2 - e_2)$. This formula is called the quantum analogue of the Caldero-Chapoton formula [2].

Let $C = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ be the Cartan matrix and Φ the associated root system with simple roots $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Then all negative real roots of Φ can be labeled by $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ as follows:

$$\alpha_{m-1} + \alpha_{m+1} = \begin{cases} \alpha_m & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 4\alpha_m & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

where we set $\alpha_0 = -\alpha_2$, $\alpha_3 = -\alpha_1$.

Recall the following result from [16]:

Theorem 2.1 ([16]). *For any $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1, 2\}$, let $V(m)$ be the unique indecomposable valued representation of $A_2^{(2)}$ with dimension vector $-\alpha_m$. Then the m-th cluster variable X_m of $\mathcal{A}_q(1, 4)$ is equal to $X_{V(m)}$.*

3. Bases of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(1, 4)$

In this section, we will construct various bar-invariant $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathcal{A}_q(1, 4)$. Under the specialization $q = 1$, these bases are just the $\mathbb{Z}$-bases of the cluster algebra of the valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$.

Definition 3.1. For any (r_1, r_2) and $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, we write $(r_1, r_2) \preceq (s_1, s_2)$ if $r_i \leqslant s_i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$. Moreover, if there exists i such that $r_i < s_i$, then we write $(r_1, r_2) \prec (s_1, s_2)$.

Lemma 3.2. *The Laurent expansion in $X_{V(m)}$ has a minimal non-zero term X^{α_m}.*

Proof. It is obvious that the module $V(m)$ with dimension vector (v_1, v_2) has a submodule with dimension vector $(0, v_2)$. Thus by the definition of the q-deformation of the Caldero-Chapoton formula and the partial order in Definition 3.1, we obtain that the expansion in $X_{V(m)}$ has a minimal non-zero term X^{α_m}. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $R_p(1)$ be an indecomposable regular module of degree* 1. *Then*

$$X_{R_p(1)} = X^{(-1,-2)} + X^{(-1,2)} + X^{(1,-2)} + (q^{1/2} + q^{-1/2})X^{(0,-2)}.$$

P r o o f. Note that $R_p(1)$ contains four submodules with dimension vectors $(0,0)$, $(0,1)$, $(0,2)$ and $(1,2)$. Therefore the lemma immediately follows from the q-deformation of the Caldero-Chapoton formula. $\square$

By Lemma 3.3, the expression of $X_{R_p(1)}$ is independent of the choice of $p \in \mathbb{P}^1_k$ of degree 1. So we set

$$X_\delta := X_{R_p(1)}.$$

Definition 3.4 (Chebyshev polynomials).

(1) The n-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind is the polynomial $F_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ defined recursively by

$$\begin{cases} F_0(x) = 1, \quad F_1(x) = x, \quad F_2(x) = x^2 - 2, \\ F_{n+1}(x) = F_n(x)F_1(x) - F_{n-1}(x) \quad \text{for } n \geqslant 2. \end{cases}$$

(2) The n-th Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind is the polynomial $S_n(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ defined recursively by

$$\begin{cases} S_0(x) = 1, \quad S_1(x) = x, \quad S_2(x) = x^2 - 1, \\ S_{n+1}(x) = S_n(x)S_1(x) - S_{n-1}(x) \quad \text{for } n \geqslant 2. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $F_n(x) = S_n(x) - S_{n-2}(x)$. We denote $z = X_\delta$, $z_n = F_n(z)$, $s_n = S_n(z)$ for $n \geqslant 0$ and $z_n = s_n = 0$ for $n < 0$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}' &= \{X_m^a X_{m+1}^b \colon m \in \mathbb{Z},\ (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant 0}\} \cup \{z_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \mathscr{S}' &= \{X_m^a X_{m+1}^b \colon m \in \mathbb{Z},\ (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant 0}\} \cup \{s_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \mathscr{G}' &= \{X_m^a X_{m+1}^b \colon m \in \mathbb{Z},\ (a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant 0}\} \cup \{z^n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.5. It is easy to check that $X^{(r,2r)}X^{(s,2s)} = X^{(r+s,2r+2s)}$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$, and thus the expansions of z_n, s_n and z^n have a minimal non-zero term $X^{-(n,2n)}$ according to the partial order in Definition 3.1.

We have the following immediate result.

Lemma 3.6. $X_\delta = qX_0^2X_3 - q^2(qX_1 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_2^2$.

Proof. By $X_0X_2 = q^{1/2}X_1 + 1$, we have $X_0 = X^{(1,-1)} + X^{(0,-1)}$. By $X_1X_3 = q^2X_2^4 + 1$, we have $X_3 = X^{(-1,4)} + X^{(-1,0)}$. Then we can prove the lemma by direct computation. □

The following lemma is straightforward but important.

Lemma 3.7. $\overline{X_\delta} = X_\delta$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{X_\delta} &= q^{-1}\overline{X_0^2X_3} - q^{-2}\overline{(qX_1 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_2^2}\\ &= q^{-1}X_3X_0^2 - q^{-2}X_2^2(q^{-1}X_1 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2}) = X_\delta.\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.8. By Lemma 3.7, we can verify that $\overline{z_n} = z_n$, $\overline{s_n} = s_n$.

For any $\underline{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, define $\underline{d}^+ = (d_1^+, d_2^+)$ such that $d_i^+ = d_i$ if $d_i > 0$ and $d_i^+ = 0$ if $d_i \leqslant 0$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$. Dually, we set $\underline{d}^- = \underline{d}^+ - \underline{d}$.

The proposition below is a special case of [1, Theorem 7.3].

Proposition 3.9 ([1]). *Let Q be the valued quiver $A_2^{(2)}$. Then the set*

$$\{X_1^{d_1^-}X_2^{d_2^-}X_{S_1}^{d_1^+}X_{S_2}^{d_2^+} \colon (d_1, d_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-basis of $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$.

Proof. It is easy to check that the sets $\{X_1, X_{S_2}\}$ and $\{X_2, X_{S_1}\}$ are clusters obtained by the mutation in the direction 2 and 1, respectively, from the cluster $\{X_1, X_2\}$. Therefore the proposition immediately follows from [1, Theorem 7.3]. □

The following result is an immediate consequence of the above proposition.

Corollary 3.10. *The sets $\mathscr{B}'$, $\mathscr{S}'$ and $\mathscr{G}'$ are $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$.*

Proof. Note that if $\mathscr{B}'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-basis of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$, then $\mathscr{S}'$ and $\mathscr{G}'$ are naturally $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm 1/2}]$-bases of $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$ because there exist unipotent transformations between $\{z_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $\{s_n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $\{z^n \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. In what follows, we will only focus on the set $\mathscr{B}'$.

By Lemma 3.6, we obtain that X_δ is in $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$. Thus $\{z_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$. Note that for any $\underline{v}=(v_1,v_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$, there exists only one object X_V in $\mathscr{B}'$ such that $\underline{\dim}\,V=(v_1,v_2)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$. Then by Proposition 3.9 we have

$$X_V=b_{\underline{v}}X_1^{v_1^-}X_2^{v_2^-}X_{S_1}^{v_1^+}X_{S_2}^{v_2^+}+\sum_{\underline{v}\succ\underline{l}}b_{\underline{l}}X_1^{l_1^-}X_2^{l_2^-}X_{S_1}^{l_1^+}X_{S_2}^{l_2^+}$$

where $b_{\underline{v}},b_{\underline{l}}\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$. Then by Lemma 3.2, Remark 3.5, we know that $b_{\underline{m}}$ must be a nonzero monomial in $q^{\pm1/2}$. Thus we obtain that $\mathscr{B}'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-basis of $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$. $\square$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{B}&=\{q^{-\frac12ab}X_m^aX_{m+1}^b\colon m\in\mathbb{Z},\ (a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant0}\}\cup\{z_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\},\\ \mathscr{S}&=\{q^{-\frac12ab}X_m^aX_{m+1}^b\colon m\in\mathbb{Z},\ (a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant0}\}\cup\{s_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\},\\ \mathscr{G}&=\{q^{-\frac12ab}X_m^aX_{m+1}^b\colon m\in\mathbb{Z},\ (a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant0}\}\cup\{z^n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we can obtain the following main result of the paper.

Theorem 3.11. *The sets $\mathscr{B}$, $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ are bar-invariant $\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1/2}]$-bases of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7 and Remark 3.8 and the fact that every element in the set $\{q^{-\frac12ab}X_m^aX_{m+1}^b\colon m\in\mathbb{Z},\ (a,b)\in\mathbb{Z}^2_{\geqslant0}\}$ is bar-invariant, the theorem follows immediately. $\square$

4. Some multiplication formulas

In this section, we prove some multiplication formulas and then give representation-theoretic interpretations of the elements $\{s_n\colon n\in\mathbb{N}\}$ in the basis $\mathscr{S}$.

First, we define a ring homomorphism of the quantum cluster algebra $\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$:

$$\sigma_2\colon \mathscr{A}_q(1,4)\longrightarrow\mathscr{A}_q(1,4)$$

by sending X_m to X_{m+2} and $q^{\pm1/2}$ to $q^{\pm1/2}$. It is obviously an automorphism which preserves the defining relations.

We have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. $\sigma_2(X_\delta) = X_\delta$.

P r o o f. By direct computation, we have

$$X_3 = X^{(-1,4)} + X^{(-1,0)},$$
$$X_4 = X^{(-1,3)} + X^{(0,-1)} + (q + q^{-1})X^{(-1,-1)}.$$

Thus we obtain the identity

$$qX_4^2 + q^{-1}X_2^2 = X_3X_\delta.$$

By Lemma 3.6, we have:

$$X_\delta = qX_0^2X_3 - q^2(qX_1 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_2^2.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2(X_\delta) &= \sigma_2(qX_0^2X_3 - q^2(qX_1 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_2^2) \\
&= qX_2^2X_5 - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= q^3X_2^2X_3^{-1}X_4^4 + qX_2^2X_3^{-1} - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= qX_3^{-1}X_2^2X_4^4 + q^{-1}X_3^{-1}X_2^2 - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= qX_3^{-1}X_2(q^{1/2}X_3 + 1)X_4^3 + q^{-1}X_3^{-1}X_2^2 - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= q^{3/2}X_3^{-1}X_2X_3X_4^3 + qX_3^{-1}(q^{1/2}X_3 + 1)X_4^2 \\
&\quad + q^{-1}X_3^{-1}X_2^2 - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= q^{5/2}X_2X_4^3 + q^{3/2}X_4^2 + qX_3^{-1}X_4^2 + q^{-1}X_3^{-1}X_2^2 \\
&\quad - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= q^{5/2}(q^{1/2}X_3 + 1)X_4^2 + q^{3/2}X_4^2 + X_\delta - q^2(qX_3 + q^{-1/2} + q^{1/2})X_4^2 \\
&= X_\delta.
\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 4.2. *We have*

(1) *for* $m > n \geqslant 1$,

$$z_nz_m = z_{m+n} + z_{m-n},$$
$$z_nz_n = z_{2n} + 2,$$

(2) *for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$X_{2n}X_\delta = q^{-1/2}X_{2n-2} + q^{1/2}X_{2n+2},$$
$$X_{2n+1}X_\delta = q^{-1}X_{2n}^2 + qX_{2n+2}^2.$$

Proof. (1) It follows from the definition of Chebyshev polynomials.

(2) By Lemma 4.1, we only need to prove the equations

$$X_2X_\delta = q^{-1/2}X_0 + q^{1/2}X_4,$$
$$X_1X_\delta = q^{-1}X_0^2 + qX_2^2.$$

By the defining relations, we have

$$X_0 = X^{(1,-1)} + X^{(0,-1)}, \quad X_4 = X^{(-1,3)} + X^{(0,-1)} + X^{(-1,-1)}.$$

Then we can prove the above equations by Lemma 3.3 and direct computation. $\square$

Note that for any Δ-module V, the quantum analogue of the Caldero-Chapton map of the valued quiver $Q = A_2^{(2)}$ defined in [16] can be rewritten as

$$X_V = \sum_{\underline{e}} |\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{e}} V| q^{-1/2\langle \underline{e}, \underline{v}-\underline{e}\rangle} X^{-\underline{e}B^{\rm tr} - \underline{v}(I-R')}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *For any dimension vector $\underline{m}, \underline{e}, \underline{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}$, we have*
(1) $\Lambda(\underline{m}(I-R'), \underline{e}B^{\rm tr}) = -\langle \underline{e}, \underline{m}\rangle$;
(2) $\Lambda(\underline{e}B^{\rm tr}, \underline{f}B^{\rm tr}) = \langle \underline{f}, \underline{e}\rangle - \langle \underline{e}, \underline{f}\rangle$.

Proof. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\underline{m}(I-R'), \underline{e}B^{\rm tr}) &= \underline{m}(I-R')\Lambda B\underline{e}^{\rm tr} = -\underline{m}(I-R')D^{\rm tr}\underline{e}^{\rm tr}\\ &= -\underline{e}D(I-R')^{\rm tr}\underline{m}^{\rm tr} = -\underline{e}(I-R)D\underline{m}^{\rm tr} = -\langle \underline{e}, \underline{m}\rangle\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda(\underline{e}B^{\rm tr}, \underline{f}B^{\rm tr}) &= \underline{e}B^{\rm tr}\Lambda\tilde{B}\underline{f}^{\rm tr} = -\underline{e}B^{\rm tr}D\underline{f}^{\rm tr} = \underline{e}(R-R')D\underline{f}^{\rm tr}\\ &= \underline{e}((I-R')-(I-R))D\underline{f}^{\rm tr} = \underline{e}(I-R')D\underline{f}^{\rm tr} - \underline{e}(I-R)D\underline{f}^{\rm tr}\\ &= \langle \underline{f}, \underline{e}\rangle - \langle \underline{e}, \underline{f}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Corollary 4.4. *For any dimension vector $\underline{m}, \underline{l}, \underline{e}, \underline{f} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\geqslant 0}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\Lambda(\underline{m}(I-R') + \underline{e}B^{\rm tr}, \underline{l}(I-R') + \underline{f}B^{\rm tr})\\ &\quad = \Lambda(\underline{m}(I-R'), \underline{l}(I-R')) + \langle \underline{f}, \underline{e}\rangle - \langle \underline{e}, \underline{f}\rangle + \langle \underline{e}, \underline{l}\rangle - \langle \underline{f}, \underline{m}\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

For Δ-modules V, T and N, we denote by F^V_{TN} the number of submodules U of V such that U is isomorphic to N and V/U is isomorphic to T. The following proposition gives representation-theoretic interpretations of elements s_n, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the basis $\mathscr{S}$. By abuse of language, we still denote by V the dimension vector of the Δ-module V in the bilinear forms involved.

Proposition 4.5. *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$X_{R_p(n)}X_{R_p(1)} = X_{R_p(n+1)} + X_{R_p(n-1)}.$$

Proof. We have the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow R_p(1) \longrightarrow R_p(n+1) \longrightarrow R_p(n) \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow R_p(1) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \tau R_p(n) = R_p(n) \xrightarrow{p} R_p(n-1) \longrightarrow 0\ .$$

The term on the left-hand side is

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_{R_p(n)}X_{R_p(1)}\\
&\quad= \sum_{\underline{d}} |\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{d}} R_p(n)| q^{-1/2\langle \underline{d}, n\delta-\underline{d}\rangle} X^{-\underline{d}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-n\delta(I-R')}\\
&\qquad\times \sum_{\underline{b}} |\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{b}} R_p(1)| q^{-1/2\langle \underline{b}, \delta-\underline{b}\rangle} X^{-\underline{b}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-\delta(I-R')}\\
&\quad= \sum_{\underline{b},\underline{d}} |\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{d}} R_p(n)||\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{b}} R_p(1)| q^{-1/2\langle \underline{d}, n\delta-\underline{d}\rangle-1/2\langle \underline{b}, \delta-\underline{b}\rangle}\\
&\qquad\times q^{1/2\Lambda(-\underline{d}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-n\delta(I-R'),-\underline{b}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-\delta(I-R'))} X^{-(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Corollary 4.4, the above equation is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\underline{b},\underline{d}} |\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{d}} R_p(n)||\operatorname{Gr}_{\underline{b}} R_p(1)| q^{-1/2\langle \underline{d}+\underline{b}, (n+1)\delta-\underline{b}-\underline{d}\rangle} q^{\langle \underline{d}, \delta-\underline{b}\rangle} X^{-(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}\\
&\qquad= \sum_{N,Q} F^{R_p(n)}_{PQ} F^{R_p(1)}_{TN} q^{-1/2\langle \underline{d}+\underline{b}, (n+1)\delta-\underline{b}-\underline{d}\rangle} q^{\langle Q, T\rangle} X^{-(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right-hand side is

$$\tau_1 := X_{R_p(n+1)} = \sum_{H} F^{R_p(n+1)}_{GH} q^{-1/2\langle \underline{h}, \underline{g}\rangle} X^{-\underline{h}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}.$$

According to [14, Lemma 14], we have

$$\tau_1 = \sum_{N,Q} q^{\langle Q,T\rangle} \frac{q - q^{\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(Q,T)}}{q-1} F_{PQ}^{R_p(n)} F_{TN}^{R_p(1)} q^{-1/2\langle N+Q,(n+1)\delta-N-Q\rangle} \\ \times X^{-(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}.$$

Now we consider the term

$$\tau_2 := \sum_{Y} q^{\langle n\delta-W,\delta\rangle} F_{WY}^{R_p(n-1)} q^{-1/2\langle Y+\delta,n\delta-Y\rangle} X^{-\underline{y}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n-1)\delta(I-R')}.$$

Any submodule Y of $R_p(n-1)$ induces the submodule $Q = p^{-1}(Y)$ and $N = 0$ of $R_p(n)$ and $R_p(1)$ respectively as the following commutative diagram shows:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & R_p(1) \arrow[r] & p^{-1}(Y) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & Y \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \arrow[d] & & \\
& & R_p(n) \arrow[r, "p"] & R_p(n-1) \arrow[r, "0"] & R_p(1) \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"] & R_p(n) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus $\underline{y} = \underline{b} + \underline{d} - \delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\underline{y}B^{\mathrm{tr}} - (n-1)\delta(I-R') \\
&\quad = -(\underline{b}+\underline{d}-\delta)B^{\mathrm{tr}} - (n-1)\delta(I-R') \\
&\quad = -(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}} + \delta B^{\mathrm{tr}} - (n-1)\delta(I-R') \\
&\quad = -(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}} + \delta(R'-R) - (n-1)\delta(I-R') \\
&\quad = -(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}} - \delta(I-R') + \delta(I-R) - (n-1)\delta(I-R') \\
&\quad = -(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}} - 2\delta(I-R') - (n-1)\delta(I-R') \\
&\quad = -(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}} - (n+1)\delta(I-R').
\end{aligned}$$

Then by [14, Lemma 16], we have

$$\tau_2 = \sum_{N,Q} q^{\langle Q,T\rangle} \frac{q^{\dim_k \mathrm{Ext}^1(Q,T)} - 1}{q-1} F_{PQ}^{R_p(n)} F_{TN}^{R_p(1)} q^{-1/2\langle \underline{b}+\underline{d},(n+1)\delta-\underline{b}-\underline{d}\rangle} \\ \times X^{-(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau_1 + \tau_2 &= \sum_{N,Q} F_{PQ}^{R_p(n)} F_{TN}^{R_p(1)} q^{-1/2\langle \underline{d}+\underline{b},(n+1)\delta-\underline{b}-\underline{d}\rangle} q^{\langle Q,T\rangle} X^{-(\underline{b}+\underline{d})B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')} \\
&= X_{R_p(n)} X_{R_p(1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the second term on the right-hand side of the desired equation is

$$\tau_3 := X_{R_p(n-1)} = \sum_Y F_{WY}^{R_p(n-1)} q^{-1/2\langle Y,(n-1)\delta-Y\rangle} X^{-\underline{y}B^{\mathrm{tr}}-(n+1)\delta(I-R')}.$$

So it remains to prove $\tau_2 = \tau_3$, i.e., the equation

$$\langle n\delta - W, \delta\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Y+\delta, n\delta - Y\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\langle Y, (n-1)\delta - Y\rangle.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle n\delta - W, \delta\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Y+\delta, n\delta - Y\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle \delta + Y, \delta\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, n\delta - Y\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle \delta, n\delta - Y\rangle \\
&\quad = \langle Y, \delta\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, (n-1)\delta - Y\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle Y, \delta\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle \delta, Y\rangle \\
&\quad = -\frac{1}{2}\langle Y, (n-1)\delta - Y\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact thet

$$\langle \delta, -\rangle = -\langle -, \tau\delta\rangle = -\langle -, \delta\rangle.$$

□

By Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 4.5, we know that the expression of $X_{R_p(n)}$ is independent of the choice of $p \in \mathbb{P}_k^1$ with degree 1. Hence, we set

$$X_{n\delta} := X_{R_p(n)}.$$

The following corollary gives representation-theoretic interpretations of the elements $\{s_n\colon\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in the basis $\mathscr{S}$.

Corollary 4.6. $X_{n\delta} = s_n$ *for every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

P r o o f. It follows from Proposition 4.5 and the definition of s_n. □

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professor Jie Xiao and Dr. Fan Xu for many helpful discussions and comments.

References

[1] *A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky*: Quantum cluster algebras. Adv. Math. *195* (2005), 405–455.

[2] *P. Caldero, F. Chapoton*: Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations. Comment. Math. Helv. *81* (2006), 595–616.

[3] *P. Caldero, B. Keller*: From triangulated categories to cluster algebras. Invent. Math. *172* (2008), 169–211.

[4] *P. Caldero, A. Zelevinsky*: Laurent expansions in cluster algebras via quiver representations. Mosc. Math. J. *6* (2006), 411–429.

[5] *G. Cerulli Irelli*: Canonically positive basis of cluster algebras of type $\tilde{A}_2^{(1)}$. arXiv: 0904.2543.

[6] *M. Ding, J. Xiao, F. Xu*: Integral bases of cluster algebras and representations of tame quivers. arXiv:0901.1937.

[7] *M. Ding, F. Xu*: Bases of the quantum cluster algebra of the Kronecker quiver. arXiv:1004.2349.

[8] *M. Ding, F. Xu*: Bases in quantum cluster algebra of finite and affine types. arXiv: 1006.3928.

[9] *V. Dlab, C. Ringel*: Indecomposable representations of graphs and algebras. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. *173* (1976).

[10] *G. Dupont*: Generic variables in acyclic cluster algebras. arXiv:0811.2909.

[11] *S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky*: Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. J. Am. Math. Soc. *15* (2002), 497–529.

[12] *S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky*: Cluster algebras. II. Finite type classification. Invent. Math. *154* (2003), 63–121.

[13] *C. Geiss, B. Leclerc, J. Schröer*: Generic bases for cluster algebras and the Chamber Ansatz. arXiv:1004.2781.

[14] *A. Hubery*: Acyclic cluster algebras via Ringel-Hall algebras. Preprint. 2005.

[15] *F. Qin*: Quantum cluster variables via Serre polynomials. arXiv:1004.4171.

[16] *D. Rupel*: On quantum analogue of the Caldero-Chapoton formula. arXiv:1003.2652.

[17] *P. Sherman, A. Zelevinsky*: Positivity and canonical bases in rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine types. Moscow Math. J. *4* (2004), 947–974.

Authors' addresses: X. Chen, Department of Mathematical and Computer Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater, 800 W. Main Street, Whitewater, WI 53190, U.S.A., e-mail: `chenx@uww.edu`; M. Ding, Center for Advanced Study, Tsinghua University, Beijing 10084, P. R. China, e-mail: `m-ding04@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn`; J. Sheng, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, P. R. China, e-mail: `shengjie@amss.ac.cn`.