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Abstract. The paper deals with the higher-order ordinary differential equations and the analogous higher-order difference equations and compares the corresponding fundamental concepts. Important dissimilarities appear for the moving frame method.
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## Introduction

The parallelism between differential and difference equations was discussed in the inspirative article [1]. Retaining the notation [1], we propose an alternative approach where the interrelations become more transparent. Especially the fundamental concepts clarify: compare (e.g.) first integrals, shift operator, symmetries and infinitesimal transformations as stated in [1] with our conceptions introduced below. On the other hand, the common method of moving frames [2] not mentioned in [1] needs essential change for the case of the difference equations.

This research has been conducted at the Department of Mathematics as part of the research project CEZ: Progressive reliable and durable structures, MSM 0021630519.

## 1. Differential equation

We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{(N)}=F\left(x, u, u^{\prime}, \ldots, u^{(N-1)}\right) \quad\left(\prime^{\prime}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}, u=u(x)\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the real domain, where $F$ is a smooth function. Employing the jet space $\mathbf{M}$ with coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}: x, u^{0}, u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N-1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (1.1) is expressed by the first order system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} u^{k}}{\mathrm{~d} x}=u^{k+1} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-2), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} u^{N-1}}{\mathrm{~d} x}=F\left(x, u^{0}, \ldots, u^{N-1}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its solution is represented by the curve (the left-hand figure)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(x)=\left(x, u^{0}(x), \ldots, u^{N-1}(x)\right)=\left(x, u(x), \ldots, u^{(N-1)}(x)\right) \in \mathbf{M} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a solution is uniquely determined by any of its points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}(\bar{x})=\left(\bar{x}, u^{0}(\bar{x}), \ldots, u^{N-1}(\bar{x})\right) \in \mathbf{M} \quad(\text { fixed } \bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\Phi\left(x, u^{0}, u^{1}, \ldots, u^{N-1}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathbf{M}$ is called the first integral of equation (1.1) if $\Phi(\mathbf{P}(x))=$ const. for every solution (1.4). It follows that the restriction of the function $\Phi$ to $x=\bar{x}$ can be prescribed.
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## 2. Difference equation

We consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+N}=F_{n}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n+N-1}\right) \quad\left(u_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{n}$ are smooth functions. We suppose that it is exactly of the order $N$, that is, (2.1) can be equivalently expressed as $u_{n}=G_{n+1}\left(u_{n+1}, \ldots, u_{n+N}\right)$. After a formal adjustment of indices, we obtain the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n-1}=G_{n}\left(u_{n}, \ldots, u_{n+N-1}\right) \quad\left(u_{n} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

equivalent to (2.1).
In order to obtain a parallel theory, we introduce discrete counterparts $u_{n}^{k}$ to the derivatives $u^{(k)}(x)$. In full detail, we introduce spaces $\mathbf{M}_{n}$ with coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{n}: u_{n}^{0}, u_{n}^{1}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1} \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Roughly speaking, the coordinate $u_{n}^{k}$ corresponds to the variable $u_{n+k}$ appearing in (2.1). It follows that equation (2.1) turns into the first order system

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+1}^{k}=u_{n}^{k+1} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-2), \quad u_{n+1}^{N-1}=F_{n}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which may be regarded as a shift transformation $S_{n}: \mathbf{M}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{n+1}$. (More precisely, $S_{n}$ is given by the formulae

$$
S_{n}^{*} u_{n+1}^{k}=u_{n}^{k+1} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-2), \quad S_{n}^{*} u_{n+1}^{N-1}=F_{n}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

which are a mere transcription of (2.4). Alternatively, equation (2.2) is expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n-1}^{0}=G_{n}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right), \quad u_{n-1}^{1}=u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n-1}^{N-1}=u_{n}^{N-2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and may be regarded as a transformation $S_{n}^{-1}: \mathbf{M}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{n-1}$.) Then a solution is represented by a sequence of points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{n}=\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{n} \quad\left(u_{n}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying (2.4) (hence $S_{n} \mathbf{P}_{n}=\mathbf{P}_{n+1}$, see the above right-hand figure). It follows that it is determined by any of its points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{P}_{\bar{n}}=\left(u_{\bar{n}}^{0}, \ldots, u_{\bar{n}}^{N-1}\right) \in \mathbf{M}_{\bar{n}} \quad\left(u_{\bar{n}}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \text { fixed } \bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sequence of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}=\Phi_{n}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the first integral of equation (2.1) if the values $\Phi_{n}\left(\mathbf{P}_{n}\right)$ are independent of $n$ for every solution (2.6). It follows that the term $\Phi_{\bar{n}}($ fixed $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z})$ can be prescribed.

## 3. Symmetries of differential equation

We are interested in mappings $\Gamma$ that preserve differential equation (1.1), however, reasonable counterparts for the difference equation (2.1) represent only the $x$-preserving mappings. In terms of coordinates (1.2), such $x$-preserving symmetry $\Gamma: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is given by certain formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*} x=x, \Gamma^{*} u^{k}=\hat{u}^{k}\left(x, u^{0}, \ldots, u^{N-1}\right) \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equations (1.3) are preserved if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
D \hat{u}^{k} & =\hat{u}^{k+1} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-2), \quad D \hat{u}^{N-1}=F\left(x, \hat{u}^{0}, \ldots, \hat{u}^{N-1}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
(D & \left.=\partial / \partial x+u^{1} \partial / \partial u^{0}+\ldots+u^{N-1} \partial / \partial u^{N-2}+F \partial / \partial u^{N-1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We also recall the infinitesimal symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{*} x=x, \quad \Gamma^{*} u^{k}=u^{k}+\varepsilon Q^{k}\left(x, u^{0}, \ldots, u^{N-1}\right)+\ldots \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

represented by the vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\sum Q^{k}\left(x, u^{0}, \ldots, u^{N-1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{k}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the space $\mathbf{M}$. The coefficients $Q^{k}$ satisfy the identities

$$
D Q^{k}=Q^{k+1} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-2), \quad D Q^{N-1}=\sum Q^{k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^{k}}
$$

Since symmetries are mappings permuting the solutions, it follows that the restriction of symmetries to the fiber $x=\bar{x}$ (fixed $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R})$ can be arbitrarily prescribed.

There is a huge literature on the symmetry theory of differential equations. In particular, we refer to [2] for the moving frame method. The particular pointwise subcase when $\Gamma^{*} u^{0}=\hat{u}^{0}\left(x, u^{0}\right)$ is supposed is not easier and nontrivial pointwise symmetries of a given equation (1.1) need not exist.
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## 4. Symmetries of difference equations

We introduce mappings $\Gamma_{n}: \mathbf{M}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{n}(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ given by certain formulae

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{k}=\hat{u}_{n}^{k}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right) \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then equations (2.4) are preserved if and only if the requirements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{u}_{n+1}^{k}=\hat{u}_{n}^{k+1} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-2), \quad \hat{u}_{n+1}^{N-1}=F_{n}\left(\hat{u}_{n}^{0}, \ldots, \hat{u}_{n}^{N-1}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied by virtue of (2.4). In full detail, we have the requirements

$$
\hat{u}_{n+1}^{k}\left(u_{n}^{1}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}, F_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\hat{u}_{n}^{k+1} & (\text { if } k=0, \ldots, N-2), \\ \Gamma_{n}^{*} F_{n} & (\text { if } k=N-1)\end{cases}
$$

The sequence $\Gamma_{n}(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ represents a symmetry of difference equation (2.1). The relevant infinitesimal symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{k}=u_{n}^{k}+\varepsilon Q_{n}^{k}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right)+\ldots \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analogously represented by the sequence of vector fields

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n}=\sum Q_{n}^{k}\left(u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{n}^{k}} \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the spaces $\mathbf{M}_{n}$. The coefficients $Q_{n}^{k}$ satisfy the identities

$$
Q_{n+1}^{k}\left(u_{n}^{1}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}, F_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}Q_{n}^{k+1} & (\text { if } k=0, \ldots, N-2), \\ \sum Q_{n}^{l} \partial F_{n} / \partial u^{l} & (\text { if } k=N-1) .\end{cases}
$$

Since symmetries are just the mappings that permute the solutions, it follows that the data $\Gamma_{\bar{n}}$ and $Q_{\bar{n}}^{k}($ fixed $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z})$ can be arbitrarily prescribed.

We will mention in more detail the particular pointwise subcase when the transformation formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{0}=g_{n}\left(u_{n}^{0}\right)\left(=g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \quad\left(n \in \mathbb{Z}, \text { abbreviation } g_{n}=\hat{u}_{n}^{0}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is supposed. Then the remarkable identities

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{k}=\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n+k}^{0} & =g_{n+k}\left(u_{n+k}^{0}\right)=g_{n+k}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right) \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1),  \tag{4.6}\\
\Gamma_{n}^{*} F_{n} & =\hat{u}_{n+1}^{N-1}=g_{n+N}\left(u_{n+1}^{N-1}\right)=g_{n+N}\left(F_{n}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

follow from (4.2) and (2.4).
We refer to [1] for the infinitesimal pointwise symmetries. Instead we will mention the alternative method of moving frames. It is rather dissimilar from the common theory of symmetries of differential equations [2].

## 5. Moving frames for pointwise symmetries

Functions $g_{n+k}, g_{n+N}$ in identities (4.6) are unknown. Therefore identities (4.6) can be expressed by saying that $\Gamma_{n}$ transforms every level set $u_{n}^{k}=c_{n}^{k}, F_{n}=c_{n}\left(c_{n}^{k}, c_{n}\right.$ are constants) again into such a level set. Alternatively: every equation $\mathrm{d} u_{n}^{k}=0$, $\mathrm{d} F_{n}=0$ is preserved. Still otherwise: let us consider spaces $\mathbf{N}_{n}$ with coordinates and differential forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{N}_{n}: u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}, a_{n}^{0}, \ldots, a_{n}^{N-1}, a_{n} \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z}),  \tag{5.1}\\
& \alpha_{n}^{k}=a_{n}^{k} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1), \quad \alpha_{n}=a_{n} \mathrm{~d} F_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}^{*} \alpha_{n}^{k}=\alpha_{n}^{k} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1), \quad \Gamma_{n}^{*} \alpha_{n}=\alpha_{n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the transformation formulae (4.5), (4.6) are appropriately completed by the additional coordinates. One can directly verify that the additional transformation formulae (in fact needless in the sequel) ensuring the invariance (5.2) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}^{*} a_{n}^{k}=\frac{a_{n}^{k}}{g_{n+k}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)}(k=0, \ldots, N-1), \quad \Gamma_{n}^{*} a_{n}=\frac{a_{n}}{g_{n+N}^{\prime}\left(F_{n}\right)} . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6. The algorithm

Identities (5.2) express the invariance of the differential forms $\alpha_{n}^{k}, \alpha_{n}$ and we will search for more invariants in order to determine the mapping $\Gamma_{n}: \mathbf{N}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}_{n}$. The indices $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ may be kept fixed in this section.

First of all, we have

$$
\alpha_{n}=\sum A_{n}^{k} \alpha_{n}^{k} \quad\left(A_{n}^{k}=\frac{a_{n}}{a_{n}^{k}} \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k}\right)
$$

and it follows that $A_{n}^{k}=\Gamma_{n}^{*} A_{n}^{k}$ are invariants. Assume $\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \neq 0$ for a certain $k$. Since the level set $A_{n}^{k}=1$ is preserved, we may restrict our calculations to this level set. Alternatively, the coordinate $a_{n}^{k}$ in (5.1) may be omitted and the coefficient $a_{n}^{k}$ in (5.1) is replaced by $a_{n} \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k}$. (This is the common procedure in the moving frame method.) Repeatedly applying this reduction, we have the invariant forms

$$
\alpha_{n}^{k}= \begin{cases}a_{n} \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \cdot \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k} & \left(\text { if } \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \neq 0\right)  \tag{6.1}\\ a_{n}^{k} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k} & \left(\text { if } \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k}=0\right)\end{cases}
$$

on the "reduced" space $\mathbf{N}_{n}$ (some coordinates $a_{n}^{k}$ are not occuring here).
Secondly, clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \alpha_{n}=\mathrm{d} a_{n} \wedge \mathrm{~d} F_{n}=\beta_{n} \wedge \alpha_{n} \quad\left(\beta_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d} a_{n}}{a_{n}}+b_{n} \mathrm{~d} F_{n}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{n}$ is regarded as a new variable. Since this $\beta_{n}$ is the most general differential form satisfying (6.2), it follows that $\beta_{n}=\Gamma_{n}^{*} \beta_{n}$ is invariant. The invariance is ensured if

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{*} b_{n}=\frac{1}{g_{n+N}^{\prime}\left(F_{n}\right)}\left(b_{n}+a_{n} \frac{g_{n+N}^{\prime \prime}\left(F_{n}\right)}{g_{n+N}^{\prime}\left(F_{n}\right)}\right)
$$

by using (4.6) and (5.3). (The formula will be in fact needless.)
Thirdly, assuming $\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \neq 0$ and $\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{l} \neq 0$, (6.1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \alpha_{n}^{k}=\left(\beta_{n}+\frac{1}{a_{n}} \sum\left(A_{n}^{k l}-b_{n}\right) \alpha_{n}^{l}\right) \wedge \alpha_{n}^{k} \quad\left(A_{n}^{k l}=\frac{\partial^{2} F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \partial u_{n}^{l}}{\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \cdot \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{l}}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with help of (6.2). The coefficients are invariant. Since every level set $A_{n}^{k l}-b_{n}=0$ with $k \neq l$ is preserved, we may restrict the calculations to the level set and put $b_{n}=A_{n}^{k l}$ for a certain appropriate $k, l($ where $k \neq l)$.

Fourthly, after this restriction, we have the invariant form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \beta_{n}=\mathrm{d} A_{n}^{k l} \wedge \mathrm{~d} F_{n}=\frac{1}{\left(a_{n}\right)^{2}} \sum \mathcal{A}_{n}^{r s} \alpha^{r} \wedge \alpha^{s} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left(a_{n}\right)^{2}} \mathcal{A}_{n}^{r s}=\frac{1}{\left(a_{n}\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial A_{n}^{k l} / \partial u_{n}^{r}}{\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{s}}-\frac{\partial A_{n}^{k l} / \partial u_{n}^{s}}{\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{r}}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are invariant coefficients. If $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{r s} \neq 0$, the level set $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{r s} /\left(a_{n}\right)^{2}=1$ may be employed in order to determine $a_{n}$ which clarifies the form $\beta_{n}$. Then $\beta_{n}=\sum I_{n}^{k} \alpha_{n}^{k}$, with the true invariant functions $I_{n}^{k}$ depending only on the primary variables $u_{n}^{0}, \ldots, u_{n}^{N-1}$.

In particular, we have proved the following assertion needful below.
Theorem 6.1. Assume $\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \neq 0(n \in \mathbb{Z} ; k=0, \ldots, N-1)$. Then the pointwise symmetry $\Gamma_{n}(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ given by (4.5) preserves all differential forms

$$
\alpha_{n}^{k}=a_{n} \frac{\partial F_{n}}{\partial u_{n}^{k}} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k}, \beta_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d} a_{n}}{a_{n}}+\frac{\partial^{2} F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \partial u_{n}^{l}}{\partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{k} \cdot \partial F_{n} / \partial u_{n}^{l}} \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z} ; k, l=0, \ldots, N-1) .
$$

Here the additional parameters $a_{n}$ are subject to formulae (5.32).

## 7. Examples

(i) The linear equation. Let us consider an equation

$$
u_{n+N}=C_{n}^{0} u_{n}+\ldots+C_{n}^{N-1} u_{n+N-1} \quad\left(C_{n}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}, C_{n}^{0} \neq 0\right),
$$

therefore $F_{n}=\sum C_{n}^{k} u_{n}^{k}$ in terms of variables (2.3). We have invariant forms

$$
\alpha_{n}^{k}=a_{n} C_{n}^{k} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k} \quad\left(\text { if } C_{n}^{k} \neq 0\right), \quad \beta_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d} a_{n}}{a_{n}}
$$

see (6.1), (6.2) where $b_{n}=A_{n}^{k l}=0$ identically. The invariance equations (5.2) with $\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{k}=g_{n+k}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)$ together with the additional invariance $\Gamma_{n}^{*} \beta_{n}=\beta_{n}$ read $\Gamma_{n}^{*} a_{n} \cdot C_{n}^{k} \mathrm{~d} g_{n+k}=a_{n} C_{n}^{k} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k}, \mathrm{~d} \Gamma_{n}^{*} \ln a_{n}=\mathrm{d} \ln a_{n}$ whence

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{*} a_{n}=E_{n} a_{n}, g_{n+k}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)=\frac{1}{E_{n}}\left(u_{n}^{k}+D_{n}^{k}\right) \quad\left(E_{n}, D_{n}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

and it follows that $E_{n}=E$ is independent of $n$ and $D_{n}^{k}=D_{n+k}$. In terms of original variables, we have the substitution $u_{n+k} \mapsto g_{n+k}\left(u_{n+k}\right)=\left(u_{n+k}+D_{n+k}\right) / E$ which can be simplified as

$$
u_{n} \mapsto g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{E}\left(u_{n}+D_{n}\right) \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

This is indeed a symmetry if the recurrence $D_{n+N}=\sum C_{n}^{k} D_{n+k}(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ is satisfied. It follows that the point symmetries depend on the choice of $N$ constants $E \neq 0$, $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{N-1}$.
(ii) Nontrivial invariants. Let us consider the equation

$$
u_{n+N}=u_{n}+\ln G_{n} \quad\left(G_{n}=u_{n}+\ldots+u_{n+N-1}\right)
$$

In terms of variables (2.3), we have invariant forms

$$
\alpha_{n}^{0}=a_{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{G_{n}}\right) \mathrm{d} u_{n}^{0}, \quad \alpha_{n}^{k}=a_{n} \frac{1}{G_{n}} u_{n}^{k} \quad(k=1, \ldots, N-1),
$$

and $\beta_{n}$ which will be needless. One can then find that all coefficients to appear (e.g., $\left.A_{n}^{k l}, b_{n}, \mathcal{A}_{n}^{k l}, I_{n}^{k}\right)$ depend only on functions $G_{n}$ and we may introduce the invariance requirement $\Gamma_{n}^{*} G_{n}=G_{n}$. This implies $\Gamma_{n}^{*} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k}=\mathrm{d} u_{n}^{k}$ whence

$$
g_{n+k}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)=\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{k}=u_{n}^{k}+E_{n+k}
$$

In terms of original variables, we have the substitution $u_{n+k} \mapsto g_{n+k}\left(u_{n+k}\right)=u_{n+k}+$ $E_{n+k}$ which can be simplified to

$$
u_{n} \mapsto g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=u_{n}+E_{n} .
$$

This is indeed a symmetry if the compatible requirements

$$
E_{n+N}=E_{n}, E_{n}+\ldots+E_{n+N-1}=0
$$

equivalent to the single recurrence

$$
E_{n+1}+\ldots+E_{n+N}=0 \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

are satisfied. It follows that the point symmetries depend on the choice of $N-1$ constants. One can however see that a slight change of data may provide a difference equation without any point symmetries, see also [4] for a quite general discussion if $N=2$ is supposed.
(iii) The zero curvature examples. Let us introduce a large class of equations

$$
u_{n+N}=\varphi_{n}\left(p_{n}^{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+\ldots+p_{n}^{N-1}\left(u_{n+N-1}\right)\right) \quad\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime} \neq 0, p_{n}^{k^{\prime}} \neq 0\right)
$$

where $\varphi_{n}, p_{n}^{k}$ are smooth functions. In terms of variables (2.3), we have

$$
F_{n}=\varphi_{n}\left(\sum p_{n}^{k}\left(u_{n}^{k}\right)\right), \quad A_{n}^{k l}=\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=b_{n}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{n}^{k l}=0
$$

We recall the invariant forms

$$
\alpha_{n}^{k}=a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime} p_{n}^{k \prime} \mathrm{~d} u_{n}^{k}=a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{n}^{k}, \quad \beta_{n}=\frac{\mathrm{d} a_{n}}{a_{n}}+\frac{\varphi_{n}^{\prime \prime}}{\left(\varphi_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \varphi_{n}=\mathrm{d}\left(\ln a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}\right)
$$

The invariance of the forms $\beta_{n}$ implies

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{*}\left(a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}\right)=E_{n} a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime}
$$

for appropriate nonvanishing constants $E_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the invariance of the forms $\alpha_{n}^{k}$ reads

$$
E_{n} a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime} \Gamma_{n}^{*} \mathrm{~d} p_{n}^{k}=a_{n} \varphi_{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} p_{n}^{k}
$$

whence

$$
E_{n} \Gamma_{n}^{*} p_{n}^{k}=p_{n}^{k}+D_{n}^{k} \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1)
$$

for appropriate constants $D_{n}^{k} \in \mathbb{R}$. However,

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{*} p_{n}^{k}=p_{n}^{k}\left(\Gamma_{n}^{*} u_{n}^{k}\right)=p_{n}^{k}\left(g_{n+k}\right)
$$

and therefore $E_{n}=E$ is independent of $n$ and $D_{n}^{k}=D_{n+k}$. Altogether we have the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n+k} \mapsto g_{n+k}\left(u_{n+k}\right)=\left(p_{n}^{k}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{E}\left(p_{n}^{k}\left(u_{n+k}\right)+D_{n+k}\right)\right) \quad(k=0, \ldots, N-1) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(with the inverse function $\left(p_{n}^{k}\right)^{-1}$ ) in terms of original variables. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \mapsto g_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)=\left(p_{n}^{0}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{E}\left(p_{n}^{0}\left(u_{n}\right)+D_{n}\right)\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this result is quite reasonable in applications. We have an overdetermined system of requirements. If requirements (7.1), (7.2) are compatible, they determine all possible point symmetries.
(iv) Continuation with $N=2$. Let us mention two intentionally simple examples

$$
u_{n+2}=\frac{u_{n} u_{n+1}}{u_{n}+u_{n+1}}, \quad u_{n+2}=\frac{1-u_{n} u_{n+1}}{u_{n}+u_{n+1}} .
$$

They can be transcribed as

$$
u_{n+2}=\frac{1}{1 / u_{n}+1 / u_{n+1}}, \quad u_{n+2}=\frac{1}{\tan \left(\arctan u_{n}+\arctan u_{n+1}\right)},
$$

respectively. The choice of functions $\varphi_{n}=\varphi, p_{n}^{k}=p$ (independent of $n, k$ ) is obvious here and formula (7.2) may be applied. We obtain substitutions

$$
u_{n} \mapsto \frac{1}{E^{-1}\left(1 / u_{n}+D_{n}\right)}, \quad u_{n} \mapsto \frac{1}{\tan E^{-1}\left(\arctan u_{n}+D_{n}\right)} \quad(n \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

and they are true symmetries if and only if the recurrence $D_{n+2}=D_{n}+D_{n+1}$ $(n \in \mathbb{Z})$ is satisfied (direct verification). The same formulae were obtained in [1] with the use of infinitesimal transformations and rather lengthy calculations.
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