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#### Abstract

Let $R$ be a left and right Noetherian ring and $C$ a semidualizing $R$-bimodule. We introduce a transpose $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$ of an $R$-module $M$ with respect to $C$ which unifies the Auslander transpose and Huang's transpose, see Z. Y. Huang, On a generalization of the Auslander-Bridger transpose, Comm. Algebra 27 (1999), 5791-5812, in the two-sided Noetherian setting, and use $\operatorname{Tr}_{c} M$ to develop further the generalized Gorenstein dimension with respect to $C$. Especially, we generalize the Auslander-Bridger formula to the generalized Gorenstein dimension case. These results extend the corresponding ones on the Gorenstein dimension obtained by Auslander in M. Auslander, M. Bridger, Stable Module Theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 94, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1969.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise specified, $R$ is a left and right Noetherian ring with identity, all modules under consideration will be assumed finitely generated.

As usual, ${ }_{R} M$ or $M_{R}$ denotes, respectively, a left or right $R$-module. $\operatorname{Add}_{R} M$ or $\operatorname{add}_{R} M$ stands for the category consisting of all $R$-modules isomorphic to direct summands of direct or, respectively, finite direct sums of copies of ${ }_{R} M$. Similarly, we have the notations Add $M_{R}$ and add $M_{R}$. When $(R, m, k)$ is a commutative Noetherian local ring, for an $R$-module $M$, we write depth $M$ for the length of maximal $M$-regular sequences in $m$, i.e., the depth of $M$, and use freely the formula
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depth $M=\min \left\{i: \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(k, M) \neq 0\right\}$. General background material can be found in [1], [4], [5], [14].

We first recall some known notions and facts needed in the sequel.
An $R$-bimodule $C$ is called semidualizing if
(1) ${ }_{R} C$ and $C_{R}$ are finitely generated;
(2) the natural maps $R^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left({ }_{R} C\right)$ and $R \rightarrow \operatorname{End}\left(C_{R}\right)$ are isomorphisms;
(3) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(C, C)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}(C, C)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$.

In what follows, $C$ always denotes a semidualizing $R$-bimodule.
A left $R$-module $M$ is said to have generalized Gorenstein dimension zero with respect to $C$ if
(1) $M \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R^{\text {op }}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, C), C\right)$;
(2) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, C), C\right)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$.

We denote by $G_{c}(R)$ or $G_{c}\left(R^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$ the class of all left or right $R$-modules, respectively, having generalized Gorenstein dimension zero with respect to $C$.

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a class of $R$-modules and $M$ an $R$-module. For a non-negative integer $n$, $M$ is said to have $\mathcal{W}$-dimension at most $n$, denoted by $\mathcal{W}$ - $\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant n$, if there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow W_{n} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow W_{1} \rightarrow W_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $W_{i} \in \mathcal{W}$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$.

A left $R$-module $M$ (non-finitely generated) is called $C$-Gorenstein projective if
(1) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}\left(M, C \otimes_{R} P\right)=0$ for all projective left $R$-modules $P$ and $i \geqslant 1$;
(2) there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C \otimes_{R} P_{0} \rightarrow C \otimes_{R} P_{1} \rightarrow \ldots$ with $P_{i}$ projective left $R$-modules for all $i \geqslant 0$ such that this sequence stays exact when we apply to it the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(-, C \otimes_{R} Q\right)$ for any projective left $R$-module $Q$.
Semidualizing modules (i.e., PG-modules of rank one) were first introduced by Foxby [8] over commutative Noetherian rings, and have been recently defined and studied by Holm and White over arbitrary associative rings [10]. A semidualizing module was also called a generalized tilting module in the sense of Wakamatsu [16] or a faithfully balanced selforthogonal module in [11].

Auslander and Bridger [1] introduced the Gorenstein dimension (abbr. G-dimension) for finitely generated modules, and proved that, over commutative Noetherian local rings $R$, a finitely generated $R$-module $M$ with finite G-dimension satisfies the Auslander-Bridger formula: G-dim $M+\operatorname{depth} M=\operatorname{depth} R$. Then Auslander and Reiten [2] generalized the notion of G-dimension to that of generalized Gorenstein dimension with respect to a semidualizing module $C$.

Assume that $P_{1} \xrightarrow{f} P_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ is a projective resolution of a left $R$-module $M$. Dualizing this sequence by $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, R)$, the Auslander transpose of $M$, $\operatorname{Tr} M$, is defined as $\operatorname{coker}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, R)\right)$. It is well known that $\operatorname{Tr} M$ depends on the choice of
the projective resolution of $M$, but it is unique up to projective equivalence. The Auslander transpose plays a very important role in the representation theory and Gorenstein dimension theory. Over an artinian algebra $\Lambda$, using the minimal projective resolution of $M$, replacing the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, \Lambda)$ by $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Lambda}(-, C)$, where $C$ is a semidualizing $\Lambda$-bimodule, Huang [11] introduced and studied $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$, a transpose of $M$ with respect to $C$. It is the aim of this paper to extend this notion to two-sided Noetherian rings, which unifies the Auslander transpose and that of Huang, and use $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$ to develop further the generalized Gorenstein dimension. Especially, we obtain a generalization of the Auslander-Bridger formula on the generalized Gorenstein dimension. These results generalize the corresponding ones on the Gorenstein dimension obtained by Auslander in [1].

In Section 2, for a left $R$-module $M$, the notion of a transpose of $M$ with respect to $C$, denoted by $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$, is defined over the left and right Noetherian ring $R$. It is shown that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$, although depending on the choice of the projective resolution of $M$, is unique up to add $C$-equivalence (Definition 2.1), and that $M \in G_{c}(R)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ (Proposition 2.6) if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \in G_{c}\left(R^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$ (Proposition 2.7). $C$-Gorenstein projective modules (nonfinitely generated) were first introduced in [9] over commutative Noetherian rings and then extended to commutative non-Noetherian setting in [17]. Here it is proved that a finitely generated left $R$-module $M$ is $C$-Gorenstein projective if and only if $M \in G_{c}(R)$ (Proposition 2.8), a result already shown for commutative rings in [17] with a different proof.

Section 3 is devoted to investigating the generalized Gorenstein dimension under changes of commutative Noetherian rings. For an $R$-module $M$ and a non-negative integer $n$, it is proved that $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant n$ if and only if $G_{c_{P}}\left(R_{P}\right)-\operatorname{dim} M_{P} \leqslant n$ for all prime (maximal) ideals $P$ (Corollary 3.4), and that $G_{c / x c}(R / x R)-\operatorname{dim}(M / x M) \leqslant$ $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M$, where $x$ is regular on both $R$ and $M$; furthermore, if $M$ has finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension and $x \in J(R)$ (the Jacobson radical of $R$ ), then $G_{c / x c}(R / x R)$ $\operatorname{dim}(M / x M)=G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M$ (Proposition 3.7).

In Section 4, as an application of the results obtained in the last two sections, we show the following theorem which extends the Auslander-Bridger formula [1, Theorem 4.13 (b)] and Strooker's result [15, Theorem 6.1] to the generalized Gorenstein dimension setting.

Theorem. Let $(R, m)$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring and $M$ a nonzero $R$-module with finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension. Then $G_{c}(R)$ - $\operatorname{dim} M+\operatorname{depth} M=\operatorname{depth} C$.

## 2. A generalization of the Auslander transpose

For a left $R$-module $M$ and a homomorphism $f$ of left $R$-modules, we put $M^{*}=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, C), f^{*}=\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f, C)$ and use $\sigma_{M}: M \rightarrow M^{* *}$, defined by $\sigma_{M}(x)(g)=$ $g(x)$ for any $x \in M$ and $g \in M^{*}$, to denote the canonical evaluation homomorphism.

Definition 2.1. Two left $R$-modules $M$ and $N$ are said to be add ${ }_{R} C$-equivalent, denoted by $M \approx_{c} N$, if there exist $A, B \in \operatorname{add}_{R} C$ such that $M \oplus A \cong N \oplus B$. For right $R$-modules we have a similar definition.

It is clear that $\approx_{c}$ is an equivalence relation on the category of all finitely generated $R$-modules and the $\operatorname{add}_{R} C$-equivalence is just the projective equivalence [1] when $C=R$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $P_{1} \xrightarrow{\mu} P_{0} \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ and $Q_{1} \xrightarrow{\nu} Q_{0} \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ be projective resolutions of a left $R$-module $M$. Then coker $\mu^{*} \approx_{c}$ coker $\nu^{*}$.

Proof. Extending the projective resolutions $\left(\pi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\pi_{2}\right)$ to the left and lifting $\mathrm{id}_{M}$ to $\varphi_{0}$, we get the commutative diagram with exact rows


Let $h: P_{0} \oplus Q_{0} \rightarrow M, h\left(\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)\right)=f\left(p_{0}\right)+g\left(q_{0}\right)$ for any $p_{0} \in P_{0}, q_{0} \in Q_{0}$. Then it is clear that $h$ is surjective. So we have the projective resolutions of $M$

$$
E \xrightarrow{\alpha} P_{0} \oplus Q_{0} \xrightarrow{h} M \rightarrow 0\left(\pi_{3}\right) .
$$

Let $\lambda_{0}: P_{0} \oplus Q_{0} \rightarrow Q_{0}, \lambda_{0}\left(\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)\right)=\varphi_{0}\left(p_{0}\right)+q_{0}$ for any $p_{0} \in P_{0}, q_{0} \in Q_{0}$. $\lambda_{0}$ is clearly surjective, and $g \lambda_{0}=h$. Lifting $\lambda_{0}$ to $\delta: E \rightarrow Q_{1}$, we have the exact commutative diagram


Let $\omega: E \oplus P_{2} \oplus Q_{2} \rightarrow P_{0} \oplus Q_{0}, \omega\left(\left(e, p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha(e)$ for any $e \in E, p_{2} \in P_{2}$, $q_{2} \in Q_{2}$. Since $\operatorname{im} \omega=\operatorname{im} \alpha=\operatorname{ker} h$ by $\left(\pi_{3}\right)$, we have the exact sequence

$$
E \oplus P_{2} \oplus Q_{2} \xrightarrow{\omega} P_{0} \oplus Q_{0} \xrightarrow{h} M \rightarrow 0\left(\pi_{4}\right) .
$$

Define $\lambda_{1}: E \oplus P_{2} \oplus Q_{2} \rightarrow Q_{1}, \lambda_{1}\left(\left(e, p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right)=\delta(e)+\nu^{\prime}\left(q_{2}\right)$ for any $e \in E, p_{2} \in P_{2}$, $q_{2} \in Q_{2}$. Since $\nu \lambda_{1}\left(\left(e, p_{2}, q_{2}\right)\right)=\nu\left(\delta(e)+\nu^{\prime}\left(q_{2}\right)\right)=\nu(\delta(e))=\lambda_{0} \alpha(e)=\lambda_{0} \omega(e)$, we have the exact commutative diagram


We claim that $\lambda_{1}$ is surjective. Indeed, for any $q_{1} \in Q_{1}, \nu\left(q_{1}\right) \in Q_{0}$ we have $h\left(\left(0, \nu\left(q_{1}\right)\right)\right)=g \lambda_{0}\left(\left(0, \nu\left(q_{1}\right)\right)\right)=g\left(\nu\left(q_{1}\right)\right)=0$, so $\left(0, \nu\left(q_{1}\right)\right) \in \operatorname{ker} h=\operatorname{im} \alpha$. Take $e \in E$ with $\alpha(e)=\left(0, \nu\left(q_{1}\right)\right)$. Then $\nu \delta(e)=\lambda_{0} \alpha(e)=\lambda_{0}\left(0, \nu\left(q_{1}\right)\right)=\nu\left(q_{1}\right)$. So $q_{1}-\delta(e) \in \operatorname{ker} \nu=\operatorname{im} \nu^{\prime}$. Thus $q_{1}=\delta(e)+\nu^{\prime}\left(q_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(\left(e, 0, q_{2}\right)\right)$ for some $q_{2} \in Q_{2}$, as desired.

Let $\bar{\omega}=\left.\omega\right|_{\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{1}}: \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{0}$. Next we prove that $\bar{\omega}$ is epic. Let $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{0}$ with $p_{0} \in P_{0}, q_{0} \in Q_{0}$. Then $h\left(\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)\right)=g \lambda_{0}\left(\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)\right)=0$. So $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{ker} h=\operatorname{im} \alpha$. Take $e \in E$ such that $\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)=\alpha(e)=\omega((e, 0,0))$. On the other hand, since $\nu \delta(e)=\nu \lambda_{1}((e, 0,0))=\lambda_{0} \omega((e, 0,0))=\lambda_{0}\left(\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)\right)=0$ we have $\delta(e) \in$ $\operatorname{ker} \nu=\operatorname{im} \nu^{\prime}$. So $\delta(e)=\nu^{\prime}\left(q_{2}\right)$ for some $q_{2} \in Q_{2}$. Thus $\left(e, 0,-q_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{ker} \lambda_{1}$, and $\bar{\omega}\left(\left(e, 0,-q_{2}\right)\right)=\alpha(e)=\left(p_{0}, q_{0}\right)$. Therefore $\bar{\omega}$ is epic.

Now set $W_{0}=P_{0} \oplus Q_{0}, W_{1}=E \oplus P_{2} \oplus Q_{2}, K_{i}=\operatorname{ker} \lambda_{i}$ for $i=0,1$, and note that the sequences $0 \rightarrow K_{i} \rightarrow W_{i} \rightarrow Q_{i} \rightarrow 0$ are split exact since $Q_{i}$ are projective for $i=0,1$. Thus $K_{0}$ and $K_{1}$ are projective, and then $\bar{\omega}$ is split epic. Dualizing the last commutative diagram we get the exact commutative diagram

where $K=\operatorname{coker} \bar{\omega}^{*}$. The sequence $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \nu^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} \omega^{*} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ is exact by the Snake Lemma, and since the sequences $0 \rightarrow Q_{1}^{*} \rightarrow W_{1}^{*} \rightarrow K_{1}^{*} \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow$ $K_{0}^{*} \rightarrow K_{1}^{*} \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ are split as well. So coker $\omega^{*} \cong \operatorname{coker} \nu^{*} \oplus K$ with $K \in \operatorname{add} C_{R}$ for $K_{1}^{*} \in \operatorname{add} C_{R}$. By a dual argument, we have that $\operatorname{coker} \omega^{*} \cong \operatorname{coker} \mu^{*} \oplus K^{\prime}$ for some $K^{\prime} \in \operatorname{add} C_{R}$. Therefore coker $\mu^{*} \approx_{c}$ coker $\nu^{*}$.

Using minimal projective resolutions of modules, the transpose $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$ of an $R$ module $M$ with respect to a semidualizing bimodule $C$ is defined in [11] over an artinian algebra. Now we generalize this notion and the Auslander transpose to two-sided Notherian rings.

Definition 2.3. Let $P_{1} \xrightarrow{f} P_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of a left $R$-module $M$. Then we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M^{*} \rightarrow P_{0}^{*} \xrightarrow{f^{*}} P_{1}^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} f^{*} \rightarrow$ 0 . We call coker $f^{*}$ a transpose of $M$ with respect to $C$, and denote it by $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$. Similarly, we have the concept for right $R$-modules.

Remark 2.4. (1) For a left $R$-module $M$, it is clear that $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$ depends on the choice of the projective resolution of $M$, but it is unique up to add $C_{R}$-equivalence by Proposition 2.2. So each $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {아 }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)$ is identical up to isomorphisms for any $i \geqslant 1$ since $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}(C, C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$. In the following, we will use $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M$ to indicate a right $R$-module and will be careful to specify, when necessary, that a particular resolution is used. In many instances, the distinction is irrelevant.
(2) Let $k$ be a positive integer. By [12, Definition 2], a left $R$-module $M$ is $C$ - $k$ torsionfree if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)=0$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$.

Lemma 2.5 [13, Lemma 2.1]. Let $M$ be a left $R$-module. Then we have the following two exact sequences:
(1) $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right) \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{M}} M^{* *} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right) \rightarrow 0$.
(2) $0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\operatorname{Trec} M}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)^{* *} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{2}(M, C) \rightarrow 0$.

By Lemma 2.5, we immediately obtain the following proposition which extends [1, Proposition 3.8].

Proposition 2.6. Let $M$ be a left $R$-module. Then $M \in G_{c}(R)$ if and only if it satisfies $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$.

Proposition 2.7. The following implications hold for a left $R$-module $M$ :
(1) If $M \in G_{c}(R)$ then $M^{*} \in G_{c}\left(R^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$.
(2) $M \in G_{c}(R)$ if and only if $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \in G_{c}\left(R^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$.

Proof. (1) is clear by the definition.
(2) Let $P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of $M$. Since $P_{i} \cong P_{i}^{* *}$ for $i=0,1$, we have the following two exact sequences:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \rightarrow M^{*} \rightarrow P_{0}^{*} \rightarrow P_{1}^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \rightarrow 0 \\
0 \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)^{*} \rightarrow P_{1}^{* *} \rightarrow P_{0}^{* *} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

Then for all $i \geqslant 1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(M^{*}, C\right) & \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i+2}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{C}} M, C\right), \\
\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)^{*}, C\right) & \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+2}(M, C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So if $M \in G_{c}(R)$ then $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \cong\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)^{* *}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)=0$ for $i=1,2$ by Lemma 2.5, and then $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)^{*}, C\right)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by the isomorphisms above. Thus $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \in G_{c}\left(R^{\mathrm{op}}\right)$. The proof of the other direction is similar, so we omit it.

Enochs and Jenda defined in [7] Gorenstein projective modules whether the modules are finitely generated or not. Also, they defined the Gorenstein projective dimension for arbitrary (non-finitely generated) modules. It is well known that for finitely generated modules over a commutative Noetherian ring, the Gorenstein projective dimension agrees with the Gorenstein dimension. We have here a similar result for $C$-Gorenstein projective dimension and the generalized Gorenstein dimension with respect to $C$ which is shown in the commutative setting in [17] with a different proof.

Proposition 2.8. Let $M$ be a left $R$-module. Then $M \in G_{c}(R)$ if and only if $M$ is $C$-Gorenstein projective.

Proof. We first note that $C \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Proj}=\operatorname{Add}_{R} C$, where Proj is the class of all (non-finitely generated) projective left $R$-modules. It is clear that $C \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Proj} \subseteq$ $\operatorname{Add}_{R} C$. Conversely, suppose $M \oplus N=C^{(I)}$ for some left $R$-module $N$ and some index set $I$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C \otimes_{R} & \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, M) \oplus C \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, N) \\
& \cong C \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(C, C^{(I)}\right) \\
& \cong C \otimes_{R}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C)\right)^{(I)}\left(\text { for }{ }_{R} C \text { is finitely generated }\right) \\
& \cong C \otimes_{R} R^{(I)}\left(\text { for } \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C) \cong R\right) \\
& \cong C^{(I)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, M) \oplus \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, N) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(C, C^{(I)}\right) \cong R^{(I)}$. Thus $M \cong C \otimes_{R}$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, M)$ with $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, M)$ a projective left $R$-module. So $M \in C \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Proj}$.

Let $M \in G_{c}(R)$. Since $M$ is finitely generated and $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$, $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, N)=0$ for all $N \in \operatorname{Add}_{R} C$ and all $i \geqslant 1$. On the other hand, since $M$ is $C$ - $k$-torsionfree for all $k \geqslant 1$ by Proposition 2.6 and Remark 2.4 (2), there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C^{n_{0}} \rightarrow C^{n_{1}} \rightarrow \ldots$ which is $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, C)$ exact by [12, Theorem 1], and then $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(-, \operatorname{Add}_{R} C\right)$ exact, where $n_{j}$ are positive integers for all $j \geqslant 0$. So $M$ is $C$-Gorenstein projective. Conversely, if $M$ is $C$-Gorenstein
projective, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ and there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow$ $M \rightarrow C^{\left(A_{0}\right)} \rightarrow C^{\left(A_{1}\right)} \rightarrow \ldots$ which is $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(-, \operatorname{Add}_{R} C\right)$ exact, where $A_{j}$ are index sets for all $j \geqslant 0$. In fact, by an argument similar to the proof of [ 5 , Theorem 4.2.6], we can construct a $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, C)$ exact exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C^{n_{0}} \rightarrow C^{n_{1}} \rightarrow \ldots$ with $n_{j}$ a positive integer for each $j \geqslant 0$. So $M$ is $C$ - $k$-torsionfree for all $k \geqslant 1$ by [12, Theorem 1] again, and then $\operatorname{Ext}_{R^{\text {op }}}^{i}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 0$ by Remark 2.4 (2). Thus $M \in G_{c}(R)$ by Proposition 2.6.

## 3. Generalized Gorenstein dimension under changes of rings

In the following two sections let $R$ be commutative Noetherian and $C$ a given semidualizing $R$-module. We begin with the study of semidualizing modules.

## Proposition 3.1.

(1) For any $P \in \operatorname{Spec} R, C_{P}$ is a semidualizing $R_{P}$-module.
(2) Let $x \in R$ be $R$-regular (i.e., $x$ is a nonzero-divisor on $R$ ). Then $C / x C$ is a semidualizing $R / x R$-module. In general, if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an $R$-regular sequence then $C /\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) C$ is a semidualizing $R /\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) R$-module.

Proof. (1) is immediate by [6, Proposition 5.8].
(2) By the definition of semidualizing modules and [3, Proposition 10, p. 267], we have Ass $R=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C)\right)=\operatorname{Ass} C \cap \operatorname{Supp} C=\operatorname{Ass} C$. So $R$ and $C$ have the same zero-divisors by [14, Corollary 2, p.50]. If $x$ is $R$-regular then it is also $C$-regular. So there exists an exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{x} C \rightarrow C / x C \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(C, C)=0$, applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C,-)$ to the sequence above we obtain the following exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C) \xrightarrow{x} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C / x C) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Then we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C / x C) \cong R / x R$ since $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C) \cong R$. So by the adjoint isomorphism we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{R / x R}(C / x C, C / x C) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(C, C / x C) \cong R / x R$.

On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(C, C / x C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by ( $\sharp$ ) since $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(C, C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$. So we have

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{R / x R}^{i}(C / x C, C / x C) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(C, C / x C)=0
$$

for all $i \geqslant 1$ by [1, Lemma 4.7]. Therefore $C / x C$ is a semidualizing $R / x R$-module. The last conclusion is immediate by induction.

Corollary 3.2. Let $x_{i} \in R$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an $R$ regular sequence if and only if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is a $C$-regular sequence. In particular, if $R$ is local then depth $C=\operatorname{depth} R$.

In the following, we put $\bar{R}=R / x R, \bar{M}=M / x M$ for any $x \in R$ and any $R$ module $M$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $M$ be an $R$-module. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)_{P} \simeq_{c_{P}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{P}}} M_{P}$ for any $P \in \operatorname{Spec} R$.
(2) $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right) \otimes_{R} \bar{C} \simeq_{\bar{c}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\overline{\mathrm{c}}} \bar{M}$ for any $R$-regular element $x$.

Proof. In fact, we can prove a more general result: if $f: R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of commutative Noetherian rings such that $C \otimes_{R} S$ is a semidualizing $S$-module, then $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right) \otimes_{R} S$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{c \otimes_{R} s}\left(M \otimes_{R} S\right)$ are $\operatorname{add}\left(C \otimes_{R} S\right)$-equivalent for all $R$-modules $M$.

Let $P_{1} \rightarrow P_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of $M$. Then $P_{1} \otimes_{R} S \rightarrow$ $P_{0} \otimes_{R} S \rightarrow M \otimes_{R} S \rightarrow 0$ is an $S$-projective resolution of $M \otimes_{R} S$. For $i=0$, 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{S}\left(P_{i} \otimes_{R} S, C\right. & \left.\otimes_{R} S\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{i}, C \otimes_{R} S\right) \text { (by the adjoint isomorphism) } \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R}\left(P_{i}, C\right) \otimes_{R} S \text { (by the tensor evaluation isomorphism). }
\end{aligned}
$$

So we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:


Therefore $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right) \otimes_{R} S \approx_{c \otimes_{R} s} \operatorname{Tr}_{c \otimes_{R} s}\left(M \otimes_{R} S\right)$ by Remark 2.4.
We use temporarily $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geqslant 1}(M, N)=0$ to indicate $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, N)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ for two $R$-modules $M$ and $N$. The following corollary is a generalization of [1, Corollary 4.15].

Corollary 3.4. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and $n$ a non-negative integer. Then $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant n$ if and only if $G_{c_{P}}\left(R_{P}\right)-\operatorname{dim} M_{P} \leqslant n$ for all prime (maximal) ideals $P$. Therefore

$$
G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M=\sup \left\{G_{c_{P}}\left(R_{P}\right)-\operatorname{dim} M_{P}: P \in \operatorname{Spec} R\right\} .
$$

Proof. By Propositions 2.6 and 3.3 we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M \in G_{c}(R) \\
& \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geqslant 1}(M, C)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geqslant 1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right) \\
& \Leftrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geqslant 1}(M, C)\right)_{P}=0=\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{\geqslant 1}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M, C\right)\right)_{P} \text { for all prime (maximal) ideals } P \\
& \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R_{P}}^{\geqslant 1}\left(M_{P}, C_{P}\right)=0=\operatorname{Ext}_{R_{P}}^{\geqslant 1}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right)_{P}, C_{P}\right) \text { for all prime (maximal) ideals } P \\
& \Leftrightarrow M_{P} \in G_{c_{P}}\left(R_{P}\right) \text { for all prime (maximal) ideals } P .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the "only if" part is clear since localization preserves exactness. Conversely, assume that $G_{c_{P}}\left(R_{P}\right)-\operatorname{dim} M_{P} \leqslant n$ for all prime (maximal) ideals $P$. Let

$$
0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G_{n-1} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow G_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0
$$

be an exact sequence with $G_{i} \in G_{c}(R)$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$. Then localizing at $P$ we get $K_{P} \in G_{c_{P}}\left(R_{P}\right)$ by [17, Proposition 3.12]. So $K \in G_{c}(R)$ by the previous proof, and then $G_{c}(R)$-dim $M \leqslant n$ by [17, Proposition 3.12] again.

Corollary 3.5. Let $x \in R$ be $R$-regular and $M \in G_{c}(R)$. Then $\bar{M} \in G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$.
Proof. Since $x$ is $R$-regular, $x$ is $C$-regular by Corollary 3.2. So $x$ is also $M$-regular since there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C^{n}$ for some positive integer $n$ by the proof of Proposition 2.8. Because $x$ is $C$-regular, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{x} C \rightarrow \bar{C} \rightarrow 0$ which induces the exact sequence $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(M, C)$ for all $i \geqslant 1$. So $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ since $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by $M \in G_{c}(R)$. Since $x$ is both $R$ and $M$-regular, $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, \bar{R})=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by [1, Lemma 4.7]. Thus, by [4, Proposition 4.1.3, p. 118], we have that $\operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{R}(\bar{M}, \bar{C}) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$. On the other hand, since $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M \in G_{c}(R)$ by Proposition 2.7, we have $\operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{R}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{c}} M\right) \otimes_{R} \bar{R}, \bar{C}\right)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by the previous proof. So $\operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{R}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\bar{c}} \bar{M}, \bar{C}\right)=0\right.$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by Proposition 3.3. Thus $\bar{M} \in G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$ by Proposition 2.6.

Remark 3.6. By an inductive argument, we immediately obtain that if $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$, $x_{n}$ is an $R$-regular sequence and $M \in G_{c}(R)$ then
(1) $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ is an $M$-regular sequence,
(2) $M /\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) M \in G_{c /\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) c}\left(R /\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) R\right)$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $M$ be an $R$-module and let $x$ be regular on both $R$ and M. Then the following assertions hold:
(1) $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})-\operatorname{dim} \bar{M} \leqslant G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M$.
(2) If $M$ has finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension and $x \in J(R)$ (the Jacobson radical of $R$ ) then $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})-\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M$.

Proof. (1) If $G_{c}(R)$ - $\operatorname{dim} M=\infty$ then the inequality obviously holds, so we assume that $M$ has finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension. When $G_{c}(R)$ - $\operatorname{dim} M=0$ we have $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$ $\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=0$ by Corollary 3.5. Now let $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M=n \geqslant 1$, and assume that the statement is true for all modules of smaller dimension. Then there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $G \in G_{c}(R)$ and $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} K \leqslant n-1$. Since $x$ is regular on both $R$ and $M$, we have $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, \bar{R})=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by [1, Lemma 4.7]. So we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \bar{K} \rightarrow \bar{G} \rightarrow \bar{M} \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, we have $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})-\operatorname{dim} \bar{K} \leqslant n-1$ and $\bar{G} \in G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$ by the induction hypothesis. Thus $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})-\operatorname{dim} \bar{M} \leqslant n$.
(2) It is sufficient to prove $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})-\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}$ by (1). This inequality obviously holds if $\bar{M}$ has infinite $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$-dimension. So we assume $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$-dim $\bar{M}=$ $n<\infty$. Then $\operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{R}(\bar{M}, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i>n$ by [17, Proposition 3.13]. Since $\operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, \bar{R})=0$ for all $i \geqslant 1$ by the proof of (1), $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C}) \cong \operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{R}(\bar{M}, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i>n$ by [4, Proposition 4.1.3, p. 118]. On the other hand, since $x$ is also $C$ regular, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{x} C \rightarrow \bar{C} \rightarrow 0$ which yields the exact sequence $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C) \xrightarrow{x} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i>n$. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i>n$ by Nakayama's Lemma. So $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant n$ by [17, Proposition 3.13] again since $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M<\infty$. This completes the proof.

## 4. A generalization of the Auslander-Bridger formula

The purpose of this section is to prove the theorem from Introduction using the results obtained in the previous two sections.

Lemma 4.1. Let $(R, m)$ be a local ring. If depth $R=0$, then all $R$-modules with finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension belong to $G_{c}(R)$.

Proof. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $M$ a nonzero $R$-module with $G_{c}(R)$ $\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant n$. We proceed by induction on $n$. First we assume $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant 1$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i \geqslant 2$ by [17, Proposition 3.13]. It is enough to prove $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)=0$ by [17, Proposition 3.13] again since $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M<\infty$. By assumption, we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_{1} \rightarrow G_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $G_{i} \in G_{c}(R)$ for $i=0,1$. Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}\left(G_{0}, C\right)=0$, applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(-, C)$ twice to this
sequence we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)\right)^{*} \rightarrow\left(G_{1}\right)^{* *} \rightarrow\left(G_{0}\right)^{* *}$. So $\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)\right)^{*}=0$ since $\left(G_{i}\right)^{* *} \cong G_{i}$ for $i=0,1$. Thus, by [3, Proposition 10, p. 267], we have

$$
\emptyset=\operatorname{Ass}\left(\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)\right)^{*}\right)=\operatorname{Ass} C \cap \operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)\right)
$$

Since depth $C=\operatorname{depth} R=0$ by Corollary 3.2, Ass $C=\{m\}$. So $m$ does not belong to $\operatorname{Supp}\left(\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)\right)$, and then $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{1}(M, C)=0$.

Now suppose that $G_{c}(R)$ - $\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant n-1$ implies $M \in G_{c}(R)$. If $G_{c}(R)$-dim $M \leqslant n$, then we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $G_{c}(R)$-dim $K \leqslant n-1$ and $G \in G_{c}(R)$. So $K \in G_{c}(R)$ by the induction hypothesis, and then $G_{c}(R)$ $\operatorname{dim} M \leqslant 1$. Therefore $M \in G_{c}(R)$ by the case $n=1$ already proved.

Lemma 4.2. Let $(R, m)$ be a local ring and $M$ a nonzero $R$-module with finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension. Then the following assertions are equivalent:
(1) $M \in G_{c}(R)$.
(2) depth $M=\operatorname{depth} C$.
(3) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i>0$.
(4) $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, N)=0$ for all $i>0$ and all $R$-modules $N$ with finite add $C$-dimension.

Proof. $\quad(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. It is enough to show that depth $M \leqslant \operatorname{depth} C$ by Remark 3.6 and Corollary 3.2. If depth $C=0$ then Ass $C=\{m\}$. Since $M \neq 0$ and $M \cong M^{* *}$ by $M \in G_{c}(R)$, we have Ass $M=\operatorname{Ass} C \cap \operatorname{Supp} M^{*}=\{m\}$. So depth $M=0$.

Now suppose that depth $R \geqslant 1$ and that the implication holds for all rings of smaller depth. Since depth $M \geqslant \operatorname{depth} R \geqslant 1$, we can find $x \in R$ such that $x$ is both $R$ and $M$-regular, and then $C$-regular. Thus depth $\bar{M}=\operatorname{depth} M-1, \bar{M} \in G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$ by Corollary 3.5, and depth $\bar{R}=\operatorname{depth} \bar{C}=\operatorname{depth} C-1=\operatorname{depth} R-1$. So we have depth $\bar{M}=\operatorname{depth} \bar{C}$ by the induction hypothesis, and then depth $M=\operatorname{depth} C$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. We prove (3) by induction on depth $R$. If depth $R=0$ then $M \in G_{c}(R)$ by Lemma 4.1 since $M$ has finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension. So $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i>0$ by [17, Proposition 3.13]. Assume that depth $R \geqslant 1$ and the implication holds for all rings of smaller depth. As above we can choose $x \in R$ such that $x$ is both $R$ and $M$-regular and $C$-regular since depth $M=\operatorname{depth} C=\operatorname{depth} R \geqslant 1$ by assumption. Then depth $\bar{M}=\operatorname{depth} M-1=\operatorname{depth} R-1=\operatorname{depth} \bar{R}=\operatorname{depth} \bar{C}$, and $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})-\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}<\infty$ by Proposition 3.7. So $\operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{R}(\bar{M}, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i>0$ by the induction hypothesis. Since $x$ is regular on both $R$ and $M, \operatorname{Tor}_{i}^{R}(M, \bar{R})=0$ for all $i>0$ by [1, Lemma 4.7]. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C}) \cong \operatorname{Ext} \frac{i}{\bar{R}}(\bar{M}, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i>0$ by [4, Proposition 4.1.3, p. 118]. On the other hand, since $x$ is $C$-regular, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow C \xrightarrow{x} C \rightarrow \bar{C} \rightarrow 0$ which yields the exact
sequence $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C) \xrightarrow{x} \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, \bar{C})=0$ for all $i>0$. Therefore $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(M, C)=0$ for all $i>0$ by Nakayama's Lemma.
$(3) \Rightarrow(4)$ is clear by the usual dimension shifting argument and $(4) \Rightarrow(1)$ is immediate by [17, Proposition 3.13] since $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M<\infty$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $(R, m, k)$ be a local ring with $k$ the residue field and $M$ an $R$-module. If depth $C=d$ and $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M=1$ then depth $M=d-1$.

Proof. We prove this by induction on $d$. Since depth $R=\operatorname{depth} C$, it is trivial when $d=0$ by Lemma 4.1. Let $d \geqslant 1$ and let the equality hold for all rings of smaller depth. Since $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M=1$, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_{1} \rightarrow G_{0} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $G_{i} \in G_{c}(R)$ for $i=0,1$. Then we have the exact sequence $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}\left(k, G_{0}\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(k, M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}\left(k, G_{1}\right)$ for $i \geqslant 1$. Because depth $G_{0}=\operatorname{depth} G_{1}=d$ by Lemma 4.2, we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(k, M)=0$ for $i \leqslant d-2$. So depth $M \geqslant d-1$. If depth $M \geqslant d$ then we can choose an element $x \in m$ which is both $R$ and $M$-regular, and also $C$-regular. Thus $G_{\bar{c}}(\bar{R})$ - $\operatorname{dim} \bar{M}=1$ by Proposition 3.7, and depth $\bar{C}=$ depth $\bar{R}=d-1$, depth $\bar{M}=\operatorname{depth} M-1 \geqslant d-1$. On the other hand, we have depth $\bar{M}=\operatorname{depth} \bar{C}-1=d-2$ by the induction hypothesis. This is a contradiction. So depth $M=d-1$.

Now we are in position to prove the theorem from Introduction.

Theorem 4.4. Let $(R, m)$ be a commutative Noetherian local ring and $M$ a nonzero $R$-module with finite $G_{c}(R)$-dimension. Then $G_{c}(R)$ - $\operatorname{dim} M+\operatorname{depth} M=$ depth $C$.

Proof. Let $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} M=n$. We proceed by induction on $n$. If $n=0$, the result is contained in Lemma 4.2. If $n \geqslant 1$, then depth $C=\operatorname{depth} R=d \geqslant 1$ by Lemma 4.1, and the case of $n=1$ is immediate by Lemma 4.3. We now suppose $n \geqslant 2$. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with $G \in G_{c}(R)$ and $G_{c}(R)-\operatorname{dim} K=n-1$. So depth $G=\operatorname{depth} C=d$ by Lemma 4.2, and depth $K=$ $d-(n-1)$ by the induction hypothesis. Thus depth $K<\operatorname{depth} G$ since $n \geqslant 2$. So we have the isomorphisms $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(k, M) \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i+1}(k, K)$ for all $i \leqslant d-2$, and hence depth $M=d-n$.
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