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#### Abstract

In this paper the ideas of three types of statistical convergence of a sequence of random variables, namely, statistical convergence in probability, statistical convergence in mean of order $r$ and statistical convergence in distribution are introduced and the interrelation among them is investigated. Also their certain basic properties are studied.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The idea of statistical convergence was known to A. Zygmund as early as 1935 and in particular after 1951 when Steinhaus [22] and Fast [4] reintroduced statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers, several generalizations and applications of this notion have been investigated (see [1], [5], [6], [8], [10], [12], [19], [21] where many more references can be found). Recall that a subset $A$ of the set $\mathbb{N}$ of natural numbers is said to have 'asymptotic density' $d(A)$ if

$$
d(A)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}|\{k \leqslant n: k \in A\}|,
$$

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set. The sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be statistically convergent to a real number $x$ if for each $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k \leqslant n:\left|x_{k}-x\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right\}\right|=0 ;
$$

and we write $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} x$ or st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x$.

Now statistical convergence has turned out to be one of the most active areas of research in summability theory and has several applications in different fields of mathematics: number theory [3], trigonometric series [23], probability theory [7], measure theory [15], optimization [16], approximation theory [9], Hopfield neural network [14] (in this paper a geometrical vision of the dynamic states is explained). Statistical convergence has also been discussed in more general abstract spaces such as the fuzzy number space [20], locally convex space [13] and Banach space [11].

On the other hand, if for each positive integer $n$ a random variable $X_{n}$ is defined on a given event space $S$ (the same for all $n$ ) with respect to a given class of events $\Delta$ and a probability function $P: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (where $\mathbb{R}$ denotes the set of all real numbers) then we say that $X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, \ldots, X_{n}, \ldots$ is a sequence of random variables and as in analysis we denoted the sequence by $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

From the practical point of view the discussion of a random variable $X$ will be highly significant if it is known that there exists a real constant $c$ for which $P(|X-c|<\varepsilon) \simeq 1$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is sufficiently small, that is, it is nearly certain that values of $X$ lie in a very small neighbourhood of $c$.

For a sequence of random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, each $X_{n}$ may not have the above property but it may happen that the aforesaid property (with respect to a real constant $c$ ) becomes more and more distinguished as $n$ gradually increases and the question of existence of such a real constant $c$ will be answered by a concept of convergence in probability of the sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Again the sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ may be such that as $n$ gradually increases the distribution function $F_{n}(x)$ of $X_{n}$ may more and more resemble the distribution function of a particular random variable and the question of existence of such a distribution function is related to the concept of 'convergence in distribution' of the sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Besides the above mentioned two modes of convergence, there are other modes of convergence of the sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. In this paper, we will limit our discussion to three types of statistical convergence of a sequence of random variables, namely,
(a) statistical convergence in probability,
(b) statistical convergence in mean of order $r$,
(c) statistical convergence in distribution.

We will conclude the paper discussing some fundamental limit theorems related to the modes of convergence (a), (b), (c) which effectively extend and improve all the existing results in this direction [18].

Now probability convergence has several applications in different fields of mathematics: graph theory (minimal spanning tree) [17], measure theory [15], Lorentz gas [2].

## 2. Statistical convergence in probability

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables, where each $X_{n}$ is defined on the same event space $S$ with respect to a given class of subsets (of $S$ ) as the class $\Delta$ of events and a given probability function $P: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The sequence $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be statistically convergent in probability to a random variable $X$ (where $X: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) if for any $\varepsilon, \delta>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k \leqslant n: P\left(\left|X_{k}-X\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}\right|=0 \\
\text { or equivalently, } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k \leqslant n: 1-P\left(\left|X_{k}-X\right|<\varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}\right|=0
\end{gathered}
$$

and we write st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=0$ or st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|<\varepsilon\right)=1$ or $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$.

Theorem 2.1. If a sequence of constants $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} x$ then regarding a constant as a random variable having a one-point distribution at that point, we may also write $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} x$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon$ be any positive real number. Then $d(M)=1$, where $M=\{n \in$ $\left.\mathbb{N}:\left|x_{n}-x\right|<\varepsilon\right\}$. Thus for any $\delta>0, B=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: 1-P\left(\left|x_{n}-x\right|<\varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \backslash M$ implies $d(B)=0$. This implies $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} x$.

The following example shows that in general the converse of Theorem 2.1 is not true.

Example 2.1.1. Let the probability density function $X_{n}$ be
$f_{n}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}1, & \text { where } 0<x<1 ; & 0 \text { otherwise, if } n=2^{m} \text { where } \forall m \in \mathbb{N} ; \\ \frac{n x^{n-1}}{2^{n}}, & \text { where } 0<x<2 ; & 0 \text { otherwise, if } n \neq 2^{m} \text { where } \forall m \in \mathbb{N} .\end{array}\right.$
Let $0<\varepsilon, \delta<1$. Then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
P\left(\left|X_{n}-2\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
1 \quad \text { if } n=2^{m} \text { where } \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, \\
1-P\left(\left|X_{n}-2\right|<\varepsilon\right)=1-\left\{1-\left(\frac{2-\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n}\right\}=\left(1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{n} \\
\text { if } n \neq 2^{m} \text { where } \forall m \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right. \\
\Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k \leqslant n: P\left(\left|X_{k}-2\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}\right| \leqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{2^{0}, 2^{1}, 2^{2}, \ldots\right\} \cup A\right|=0, \\
\quad(\text { where } A \text { is a finite subset of } \mathbb{N})
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}\left|\left\{k \leqslant n: P\left(\left|X_{k}-2\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}\right|=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

However, it is not ordinary statistical convergence of a sequence of numbers.
Although the concept of statistical convergence in probability is basically different from that of ordinary statistical convergence of sequence of numbers, the following simple results hold for statistical convergence in probability as well.

Theorem 2.2 (Elementary Properties).
(i) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$ and $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} Y \Rightarrow P\{X=Y\}=1$,
(ii) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X \Leftrightarrow X_{n}-X \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$,
(iii) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X \Rightarrow c X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} c X$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$,
(iv) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} Y \Rightarrow X_{n}+Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X+Y$,
(v) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} Y \Rightarrow X_{n}-Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X-Y$,
(vi) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} x \Rightarrow X_{n}{ }^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} x^{2}$,
(vii) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{st}_{\mathrm{p}}} x$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{st}_{\mathrm{p}}} y \Rightarrow X_{n} \cdot Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{st}_{\mathrm{p}}} x \cdot y$,
(viii) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} x$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{\mathrm{p}}} y \Rightarrow X_{n} / Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{\mathrm{p}}} x / y$ provided $y \neq 0$,
(ix) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} Y \Rightarrow X_{n} \cdot Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X \cdot Y$,
(x) if $0 \leqslant X_{n} \leqslant Y_{n}$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0 \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$,
(xi) if $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X$, then for each $\varepsilon, \delta>0$ there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
d\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-X_{k}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}\right)=0
$$

(this is called the statistical Cauchy condition in probability).
Proof. Let $\varepsilon, \delta$ be any positive real numbers.
(i) Let $k \in\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta\right\} \cap\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-Y\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}$
(the existence of $k$ is granted, since the asymptotic density of both the sets is 1). Then $P(|X-Y| \geqslant \varepsilon) \leqslant P\left(\left|X_{k}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)+P\left(\left|X_{k}-Y\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)<\delta$. This implies $P\{X=Y\}=1$.

For (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) the proofs are straightforward and hence omitted.
(vi) If $Z_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$ then $Z_{n}{ }^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { stp }} 0$ for $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|Z_{n}{ }^{2}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}=\{n \in$ $\left.\mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|Z_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}$. Now $X_{n}{ }^{2}=\left(X_{n}-x\right)^{2}+2 x\left(X_{n}-x\right)+x^{2} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} x^{2}$.
(vii) We have $X_{n} Y_{n}=\frac{1}{4}\left\{\left(X_{n}+Y_{n}\right)^{2}-\left(X_{n}-Y_{n}\right)^{2}\right\} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} \frac{1}{4}\left\{(x+y)^{2}-(x-y)^{2}\right\}=x y$.
(viii) Let $A, B$ represent the events ' $\left|Y_{n}-y\right|<|y|$ ', ' $\left|1 / Y_{n}-1 / y\right| \geqslant \varepsilon^{\prime}$, respectively.

Now

$$
\left|\frac{1}{Y_{n}}-\frac{1}{y}\right|=\frac{\left|Y_{n}-y\right|}{\left|y Y_{n}\right|}=\frac{\left|Y_{n}-y\right|}{|y| \cdot\left|y+\left(Y_{n}-y\right)\right|} \leqslant \frac{\left|Y_{n}-y\right|}{|y| \cdot\left|\left(|y|-\left|Y_{n}-y\right|\right)\right|}
$$

If $A, B$ occur simultaneously, then

$$
\left|Y_{n}-y\right| \geqslant \frac{\varepsilon|y|^{2}}{1+\varepsilon|y|} \quad \text { (by the above inequality). }
$$

Let $\varepsilon_{0}=\varepsilon|y|^{2} /(1+\varepsilon|y|)$ and let $C$ be the event ' $\left|Y_{n}-y\right| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ '. This implies $A B \subseteq C \Rightarrow P(B) \leqslant P(C)+P(\bar{A})$, where bar denotes the set complement. This implies $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|1 / Y_{n}-1 / y\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\} \subseteq\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|Y_{n}-y\right| \geqslant \varepsilon_{0}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta\right\} \cup$ $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|Y_{n}-y\right| \geqslant|y|\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}$. Hence, $1 / Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 1 / y$ provided $y \neq 0$. Finally, $X_{n} / Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} x / y$ provided $y \neq 0$ (by Theorem 2.2 (vii)).
(ix) First prove that if $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X$ and $Z$ is a random variable then $X_{n} Z \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X Z$. Since $Z$ is a random variable, so given $\delta>0$, there exists an $\alpha>0$ such that $P(|Z|>\alpha) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0, P\left(\left|X_{n} Z-X Z\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right||Z| \geqslant \varepsilon\right.$, $|Z|>\alpha)+P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right||Z| \geqslant \varepsilon,|Z| \leqslant \alpha\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta+P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \varepsilon / \alpha\right)$. This implies $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n} Z-X Z\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\} \subseteq\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-x\right| \geqslant \varepsilon / \alpha\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}$. Next $\left(X_{n}-X\right)\left(Y_{n}-Y\right) \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$. This implies $X_{n} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X Y$.
(x) Proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
(xi) Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P\left(\left|X_{k}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta(k$ exists since $d(\{n \in$ $\left.\left.\left.\mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right)<\frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}\right)=1\right)$. Then $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-X_{k}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\} \subseteq\{n \in$ $\left.\mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that there exists a sequence of constants $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the property that

$$
X_{n}-x_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0
$$

If $m\left(X_{n}\right)$ is a median of $X_{n}$ then

$$
X_{n}-m\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0 \quad \text { and } \quad x_{n}-m\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0 .
$$

Proof. Proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
Theorem 2.4. Let $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$ and let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous on $\mathbb{R}$. Then $g\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} g(X)$.

Proof. Since $X$ is a random variable, hence for each $\delta>0$ there exists $\alpha$ such that $P(|X|>\alpha) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \delta$. Since $g$ is uniformly continuous on $[-\alpha, \alpha]$, hence for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $\delta_{0}$ such that

$$
\left|g\left(x_{n}\right)-g(x)\right|<\varepsilon \quad \text { whenever }|x| \leqslant \alpha \text { and }\left|x_{n}-x\right|<\delta_{0}
$$

It follows that
$P\left(\left|g\left(X_{n}\right)-g(X)\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \leqslant P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \delta_{0}\right)+P(|X|>\alpha) \leqslant P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \delta_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \delta$.
This implies $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|g\left(X_{n}\right)-g(X)\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\} \subseteq\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \delta_{0}\right) \geqslant\right.$ $\left.\frac{1}{2} \delta\right\}$. Hence the result.

Corollary 2.4.1. If $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} x$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, then $g\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} g(x)$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{c_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be three sequences of real numbers such that $d\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: a_{n} \leqslant b_{n} \leqslant c_{n}\right\}\right)=1$ and st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=$ st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n}=x$. Then st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}=x$.

The next theorem is the generalization of Tchebycheff's theorem.
Theorem 2.5. If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of random variables such that for any $n, X_{n}$ has a finite mean $m_{n}$, then

$$
X_{n}-m_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0 \quad \text { provided } \text { st- } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=0
$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
Corollary 2.5.1. If moreover $\mathrm{st}-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m_{n}=m$, then by Theorem 2.2, (v) together with the preceding remark $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} m$.

Example 2.5.1. (i) The following example shows that if $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of random variables such that for any $n, X_{n}$ has a finite mean $m_{n}$ and finite standard deviation $\sigma_{n}$ then

$$
X_{n}-m_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0, \quad \text { but } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \neq 0
$$

$$
\text { Let } X_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll} 
\pm n & \text { if } n=m^{2} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \text { if } n \neq m^{2} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \text { with probability } 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $m_{n}=E\left(X_{n}\right)=0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\sigma_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
n & \text { if } n=m^{2} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \\
0 & \text { if } n \neq m^{2} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \neq 0$ (in fact it is an unbounded sequence) but st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=0$. Then by Tchebycheff's inequality we get

$$
P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \leqslant \frac{\sigma_{n}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } \varepsilon>0
$$

Since st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n}=0$, by Proposition 2.1 we get $s t-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=0$, i.e., $X_{n}-0 \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$.
(ii) Again let

$$
X_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll} 
\pm 1 & \text { if } n=2^{m} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2} \\
0 & \text { if } n \neq 2^{m} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \text { with probability } 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $m_{n}=E\left(X_{n}\right)=0 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\sigma_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \text { if } n=2^{m} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N} \\
0 & \text { if } n \neq 2^{m} & \forall m \in \mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_{n} \neq 0$ but $\sigma_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$. Then by Tchebycheff's inequality we get

$$
P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \leqslant \frac{\sigma_{n}^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text { and } \varepsilon>0
$$

Since $\sigma_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$, by Proposition 2.1 we get st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=0$, i.e., $X_{n}-0 \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$.
Theorem 2.6. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing function such that $g(x)>0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of random variables such that for any $n, X_{n}$ has a finite mean $m_{n}$, then

$$
X_{n}-m_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0, \text { provided st- } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\{g\left(\left|X_{n}-m_{n}\right|\right)\right\}=0 .
$$

Proof. Proof is easily done by the inequality $P(|X-m| \geqslant \varepsilon) \leqslant E\{g(|X-m|)\} /$ $g(\varepsilon)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $S_{n}=$ $X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}$ has a finite mean $M_{n}$ and a finite variance $\Sigma_{n}$ for all $n$. Then $\left(S_{n}-M_{n}\right) / n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{st}_{\mathrm{p}}} 0$ provided st- $-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_{n} / n^{2}=0$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
Theorem 2.8. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables and $Y_{n}=\left(S_{n}-E\left(S_{n}\right)\right) / n$, where $S_{n}=X_{1}+X_{2}+\ldots+X_{n}$. Then $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$ if and only if st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\{Y_{n}^{2} /\left(1+Y_{n}^{2}\right)\right\}=0$.

Proof. Let st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\{Y_{n}^{2} /\left(1+Y_{n}^{2}\right)\right\}=0$ and $\varepsilon>0$, then $\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon \Rightarrow\left|Y_{n}\right|^{2} \geqslant$ $\varepsilon^{2} \Rightarrow Y_{n}^{2}+Y_{n}^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \geqslant \varepsilon^{2}+Y_{n}^{2} \varepsilon^{2} \Rightarrow\left(Y_{n}^{2} /\left(1+Y_{n}^{2}\right)\right) /\left(\varepsilon^{2} /\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)\right) \geqslant 1$. This implies $P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \leqslant P\left\{\frac{Y_{n}^{2} /\left(1+Y_{n}^{2}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2} /\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)}\right\} \leqslant E\left\{\frac{Y_{n}^{2} /\left(1+Y_{n}^{2}\right)}{\varepsilon^{2} /\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)}\right\} \quad$ (by Markov's Inequality) $\Rightarrow Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$.

Conversely, let us assume that $X_{i}^{\prime}$ s are continuous and let $Y_{n}$ have pdf $f_{n}(y)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left\{\frac{Y_{n}^{2}}{1+Y_{n}^{2}}\right\}= & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{y_{n}^{2}}{1+y_{n}^{2}} f_{n}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
\Rightarrow E\left\{\frac{Y_{n}^{2}}{1+Y_{n}^{2}}\right\}= & \int_{\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon} \frac{y_{n}^{2}}{1+y_{n}^{2}} f_{n}(y) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{\left|Y_{n}\right|<\varepsilon} \frac{y_{n}^{2}}{1+y_{n}^{2}} f_{n}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
\leqslant & \int_{\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon} f_{n}(y) \mathrm{d} y+\int_{\left|Y_{n}\right|<\varepsilon} y_{n}^{2} f_{n}(y) \mathrm{d} y \\
& \left(\text { since } \frac{y_{n}^{2}}{1+y_{n}^{2}}<1 \text { and } \frac{y_{n}^{2}}{1+y_{n}^{2}}<y^{2}\right) \\
\leqslant & P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\left|Y_{n}\right|<\varepsilon} f_{n}(y) \mathrm{d} y=P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)+\varepsilon^{2} P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right|<\varepsilon\right) \\
\leqslant & P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)+\varepsilon^{2} \quad\left(\text { since } P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right|<\varepsilon\right) \leqslant 1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$ and $\varepsilon^{2}$ is an arbitrarily small positive real number, we get st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\{Y_{n}^{2} /\right.$ $\left.1+Y_{n}^{2}\right\}=0$.

Note 2.8.1. The result of Theorem 2.8 holds even if $E\left(X_{i}\right)$ does not exist. In this case we simply define $Y_{n}=S_{n} / n$ rather than $\left(S_{n}-E\left(S_{n}\right)\right) / n$.

A slightly stronger concept of statistical convergence in probability is defined by statistical convergence in mean of order $r$, which is shown by Theorem 3.1.

## 3. Statistical convergence in mean of order $r$

A sequence of random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be statistically convergent in the $r^{\text {th }}$-mean (where $r>0$ ) to a random variable $X($ where $X: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ) if for any $\delta>0$

$$
d\left(\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: E\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}\right)=0
$$

provided $E\left(\left|X_{n}\right|^{r}\right)$ exists for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $E\left(|X|^{r}\right)$ exists and we write st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|^{r}\right)=0$ or $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { strm }} X$.

Statistical convergences in mean of orders one and two are called statistical convergence in mean and quadratic mean (or mean square), respectively.

In this section we observe the above form of statistical convergence and a notion of distance, which is widely used in statistics and time series analysis. It is based on the $L_{p}(p \geqslant 1)$ metric $\varrho$ which is defined by $\varrho(X, Y)=E\left\{|X-Y|^{p}\right\}^{1 / p}$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { strm }} X$ (any $r>0$ ). Then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X$, i.e., statistical convergence in $r^{\text {th }}$-mean implies statistical convergence in probability.

Proof. Proof is easily done by Bienayme-Tchebycheff's inequality, i.e., $P\left(|X-m|^{r} \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \leqslant E\left\{|X-m|^{r}\right\} / \varepsilon^{r}$.

The following example shows that in general the converse of Theorem 3.1 is not true.

Example 3.1.1. We consider the sequence of random variables $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$
X_{n} \in\{0, n\} \quad \text { with } P\left(X_{n}=0\right)=1-\frac{1}{n^{r}}
$$

and

$$
P\left(X_{n}=n\right)=\frac{1}{n^{r}}, \quad \text { where } r>0, n \in \mathbb{N} \text {. }
$$

For any $\varepsilon>0, P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=P\left(X_{n}=n\right)$ if $0<\varepsilon \leqslant n$ and $P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=0$ if $\varepsilon>n$, hence for any $\delta>0,\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}=$ finite set $\Rightarrow$ st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=0$. But $E\left(\left|X_{n}\right|^{r}\right)=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow\{n \in \mathbb{N}$ : $\left.E\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right|^{r}\right) \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\right\}=\mathbb{N}$. This implies $\left\{E\left(\left|X_{n}-0\right|^{r}\right)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is not statistically convergent to 0 . Hence the result.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $P\left(\left|X_{n}\right| \leqslant M\right)=1$ for all $n$ and some constant $M>0$. Suppose that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$. Then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { strm }} X$ for any $r>0$.

Proof. Proof is obvious and hence omitted.

Theorem 3.3. Let $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { strm }} X$ and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st } r m} Y$ (any $r>0$ ) be such that $X_{n}-X$, $Y_{n}-Y \geqslant 0$. Then $X_{n}+Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { strm }} X+Y$.

Proof. Proof is easily done by the inequality $E(X+Y)^{r} \leqslant 2^{r}\left[E(X)^{r}+E(Y)^{r}\right]$, where $X$ and $Y$ are non-negative random variables and $r>0$.

Theorem 3.4. (i) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{st}_{1 \mathrm{~m}}} X \Leftrightarrow \sup _{A \in \Delta}\left|\int_{A} X_{n} \mathrm{~d} P-\int_{A} X \mathrm{~d} P\right| \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$.
(ii) If $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st } t_{1 m}} X$ then $E\left(X_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { st }} E(X)$.

Proof. Proof is easily done by 'Scheff's lemma'.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of non negative real numbers such that st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=a$ and $a \geqslant 0$. Then st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{n}\right)^{q}=a^{q}$, where $q \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$.

Proof. Let $q=m / r \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$. If $a=0$ then the result is obvious. So choose $a>0$. It is sufficient to prove that st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=a$ implies st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / r}=a^{1 / r}$, where $r \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{1\}$. Then $\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}:\left|a_{n}-a\right|<\frac{1}{2} a\right\} \subseteq\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: a_{n}>\frac{1}{2} a\right\}=$ $M$ (say, then $d(M)=1$ ). If $n \in M$ then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{n}-a\right|=\left|\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / r}-a^{1 / r}\right| \mid\left\{\left(a_{n}\right)^{(r-1) / r}+\left(a_{n}\right)^{(r-2) / r} a^{1 / r}\right. \\
\left.+\ldots+\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / r} a^{(r-2) / r}+a^{(r-1) / r}\right\} \mid \\
\geqslant L\left|\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / r}-a^{1 / r}\right|, \text { where } L=\frac{a^{(r-1) / r}}{2(1-1 / \sqrt[r]{2})}
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $M \subseteq\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: 0 \leqslant\left|\left(a_{n}\right)^{1 / r}-a^{1 / r}\right| \leqslant L^{-1}\left|a_{n}-a\right|\right\}$. Then by Proposition 2.1, st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[r]{a_{n}}=\sqrt[r]{a}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st2m }}$ $X$. Then st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(X_{n}\right)=E(X)$ and st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(X_{n}^{2}\right)=E\left(X^{2}\right)$.

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of Theorem 8 in [18] (and using the result of the Proposition 3.1) and so it is omitted.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables and $r \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$such that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { strm }} X$. Then st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(\left|X_{n}\right|^{s}\right)=E\left(|X|^{s}\right)$ for $s \leqslant r$ and $s \in \mathbb{Q}^{+}$.

Proof. The proof is parallel to that of Theorem 9, 10 in [18] (and using the result of the Proposition 3.1) and so it is omitted.

## 4. Statistical convergence in distribution

Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables, where $F_{n}(x)$ is the distribution function of $X_{n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If there exists a random variable $X$ whose distribution function is $F(x)$ such that st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(x)=F(x)$ at every point of continuity $x$ of $F(x)$, then $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be statistically convergent in distribution to $X$ and we write $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} X$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables. Also, let $f_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)=P\left(X_{n}=x_{i}\right)$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, be the probability mass function of $X_{n}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f\left(x_{i}\right)=P\left(X=x_{i}\right)$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ be the probability mass function of $X$. Then

$$
f_{n}(x) \xrightarrow{\text { st }} f(x) \text { for all } x \Leftrightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X .
$$

Proof. Proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
Proposition 4.1. Let $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}},\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be two sequences of real numbers such that $a_{n} \leqslant b_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\text { st-lim } a_{n} \leqslant s t-\underline{\lim } b_{n} \text { and st- } \overline{\lim } a_{n} \leqslant \mathrm{st}-\overline{\lim } b_{n} .
$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
Theorem 4.2. Let $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$. Then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X$, i.e., statistical convergence in probability implies statistical convergence in distribution.

Proof. Let $F_{n}(x)$ and $F(x)$ be the distribution functions of $X_{n}$ and $X$, respectively. Now for any two real numbers $x$ and $y$ with $x<y$, we have

$$
(X \leqslant x)=\left(X_{n} \leqslant y, X \leqslant x\right)+\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right)
$$

Since $\left(X_{n} \leqslant y, X \leqslant x\right) \subseteq\left(X_{n} \leqslant y\right)$, we have

$$
(X \leqslant x) \subseteq\left(X_{n} \leqslant y\right)+\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
P(X \leqslant x) & \leqslant P\left\{\left(X_{n} \leqslant y\right)+\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right)\right\}  \tag{1}\\
& \leqslant P\left(X_{n} \leqslant y\right)+P\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right) \\
& \Rightarrow F_{n}(y) \geqslant F(x)-P\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x$ occur simultaneously, then $X_{n}>y,-X \geqslant-x$ and so $X_{n}-X>y-x$, i.e., $\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right) \subseteq\left(X_{n}-X>y-x\right) \subseteq\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|>y-x\right)$ which implies

$$
P\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right) \leqslant P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|>y-x\right)
$$

Since $x<y$ and $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} X$, we get

$$
\text { st- } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X_{n}>y, X \leqslant x\right)=0
$$

Now from (1) we get st-lim $F_{n}(y) \geqslant F(x)$ (by the above Proposition 4.1).

Similarly, if $y<z$ then

$$
\left(X_{n} \leqslant y\right)=\left(X \leqslant z, X_{n} \leqslant y\right)+\left(X>z, X_{n} \leqslant y\right),
$$

then $F_{n}(y) \leqslant F(z)+P\left(X>z, X_{n} \leqslant y\right)$ and st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(X>z, X_{n} \leqslant y\right)=0$.
Finally, we get st- $\overline{\lim } F_{n}(y) \leqslant F(z)$.
Thus, for $x<y<z$ we have

$$
F(x) \leqslant \operatorname{st-l} F_{n}(y) \leqslant \operatorname{st}-\overline{\lim } F_{n}(y) \leqslant F(z) .
$$

If $F$ is continuous at $y$ then

$$
F(y)=\lim _{x \rightarrow y-} F(x) \leqslant \operatorname{st-lim} F_{n}(y) \leqslant \operatorname{st-\overline {lim}} F_{n}(y) \leqslant \lim _{z \rightarrow y+} F(z)=F(y)
$$

This implies st-lim $F_{n}(y)=$ st-lim $F_{n}(y)=F(y)$, i.e., $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { std }} X$. Hence the result.
Note 4.2.1. The converse of Theorem 4.2 is not true in general, i.e., $X_{n} \xrightarrow{I_{d}} X$ does not imply $X_{n} \xrightarrow{I_{p}} X$ in general. For, let us consider random variables $X, X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ having identical distribution. Let the spectrum of the two dimensional random variable $\left(X_{n}, X\right)$ be $(0,0),(0,1),(1,0),(1,1)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(X_{n}=0, X=0\right)=0=P\left(X_{n}=1, X=1\right) \\
& P\left(X_{n}=0, X=1\right)=\frac{1}{2}=P\left(X_{n}=1, X=0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the marginal distribution of $X_{n}$ is given by $X_{n}=i(i=0,1)$, with p.m.f $p_{x_{n} i}=P\left(X_{n}=i\right)$, where $p_{x_{n} 0}=\frac{1}{2}=p_{x_{n} 1}$ and that of $X=j(j=0,1)$, with p.m.f $p_{x j}=P(X=j)$, where $p_{x 0}=\frac{1}{2}=p_{x 1}$.

If $F_{n}(x)$ and $F(x)$ are the distribution functions of $X_{n}$ and $X$, respectively, then

$$
F(x)=F_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x<0 \\ \frac{1}{2}, & 0 \leqslant x<1 \\ 1, & x \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Therefore, st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n}(x)=F(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\right)=P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right|=1\right)=P\left(X_{n}=0, X=1\right)+P\left(X_{n}=1, X=0\right)=1$. Therefore, st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\right) \neq 0$. Then st- $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|X_{n}-X\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right) \neq 0$. Hence, the result follows.

Theorem 4.3. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}}$ $X$. Let $\left\{\zeta_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive constants such that $\zeta_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$. Then $\zeta_{n} X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} 0$.

Proof. The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
The following result is easy to establish.
Theorem 4.4. Let $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of random variables such that $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st } d_{d}}$ $X$, and $c$ a constant. Then
(a) $X_{n}+c \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X+c$, and
(b) $c X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} c X, c \neq 0$.

Theorem 4.5. Let $c$ be a constant, then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} c \Rightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} c$.
Proof. The proof is straightforward and hence omitted.
Corollary 4.5.1. Let $c$ be a constant, then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { std }_{d}} c \Leftrightarrow X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { stp }} c$.
Theorem 4.6. If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences of random variables on some probability space with $X_{n}-Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$, and $Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} X$, then $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} X$.

Proof. Let $x, x \pm \varepsilon$ be a point of continuity of the distribution function $F$ corresponding to the random variable $X$, where $\varepsilon>0$. Then $P\left(X_{n} \leqslant x\right)=P\left(Y_{n} \leqslant\right.$ $\left.x+Y_{n}-X_{n}\right)=P\left(Y_{n} \leqslant x+Y_{n}-X_{n} ; Y_{n}-X_{n} \leqslant \varepsilon\right)+P\left(Y_{n} \leqslant x+Y_{n}-X_{n} ; Y_{n}-X_{n}>\right.$ $\varepsilon) \leqslant P\left(Y_{n} \leqslant x+\varepsilon\right)+P\left(Y_{n}-X_{n}>\varepsilon\right)$. This implies st- $\overline{\lim } F_{n}(x) \leqslant F(x+\varepsilon)$ and analogously $F(x-\varepsilon) \leqslant \operatorname{st-lim} F_{n}(x)$. Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, so $F(x)=\operatorname{st-lim} F_{n}(x)$.

Theorem 4.7. If $\left\{X_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\left\{Y_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are sequences of random variables on some probability space and $c$ is a constant, then
(a) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} X, Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} c \Rightarrow X_{n}+Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { std }} X+c$,
(b) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} X, Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0 \Rightarrow X_{n} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$,
(c) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} X, Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }} c \Rightarrow X_{n} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{d}} c X$ if $c \neq 0$,
(d) $X_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { std }} X, Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} c \Rightarrow X_{n} / Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { std }} X / c$ if $c \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) Proof is parallel to that of Theorem 15 (Slutsky's theorem) in [18] and so omitted.
(b) For any $\delta>0$, choose $\pm \alpha \in$ set of points of continuity of the distribution function $F$ of $X$ such that $F(\alpha)-F(-\alpha) \geqslant 1-\delta$. Any $\varepsilon>0, P\left(\left|X_{n} Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)=$ $P\left(\left|X_{n} Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon,\left|Y_{n}\right|<\varepsilon / \alpha\right)+P\left(\left|X_{n} Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon,\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon / \alpha\right) \leqslant P\left(\left|X_{n}\right|>\alpha\right)+P\left(\left|Y_{n}\right| \geqslant\right.$ $\varepsilon / \alpha)$. So st- $-\overline{l i m} P\left(\left|X_{n} Y_{n}\right| \geqslant \varepsilon\right)<\delta$. This implies $X_{n} Y_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { st }_{p}} 0$.

Parts (c) and (d) are obvious and hence omitted.

Applications. (i) Much of classical probability theory and its applications to statistics concerns limit theorems; i.e., the asymptotic behaviour of a sequence of random variables. The sequence could consist of sample averages, cumulative sums, extremes, sample quantiles, sample correlations and so on. Whereas probability theory discusses limit theorems, the theory of statistics is concerned with large sample properties of statistics, where a statistic is just a function of the sample.
(ii) A classical significant statistical application of 'statistical convergence in probability' is to quantile estimation and geometric probability (by using the theorem Weak laws of large numbers).
(iii) Classical application of 'statistical convergence in mean of order $r$ ' is to time series analysis.
(iv) In statistical estimation the delta method allows us to take a basic 'statistical convergence in distribution', for instance to a limiting normal distribution, apply smooth functions and conclude that the functions are asymptotically normal as well.
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