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# On extensions of bounded subgroups in Abelian groups 
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#### Abstract

It is well-known that every bounded Abelian group is a direct sum of finite cyclic subgroups. We characterize those non-trivial bounded subgroups $H$ of an infinite Abelian group $G$, for which there is an infinite subgroup $G_{0}$ of $G$ containing $H$ such that $G_{0}$ has a special decomposition into a direct sum which takes into account the properties of $G$, and which induces a natural decomposition of $H$ into a direct sum of finite subgroups.
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## 1. Introduction

Recall that an Abelian group $G$ is of finite exponent or bounded if there exists a positive integer $n$ such that $n g=0$ for every $g \in G$. The minimal integer $n$ with this property is called the exponent of $G$ and is denoted by $\exp (G)$. When $G$ is not bounded, we write $\exp (G)=\infty$ and say that $G$ is of infinite exponent or unbounded.

The structure theory of infinite Abelian groups is sufficiently difficult and complicated. Fortunately, for a bounded Abelian group $G$ there is a complete and clear description of its structure: $G$ is a direct sum of finite cyclic subgroups. If $G$ is not of finite exponent, $G$ can even not be decomposable into a direct sum of two non-trivial subgroups.

Let now $H$ be a bounded subgroup of an infinite Abelian group $G$. As simple examples show, even in the case $H$ is finite and cyclic, $H$ may not be a direct summand of $G$. So it is important to find a subgroup $G_{0}$ of $G$ containing $H$ such that $G_{0}$ has a decomposition into a direct sum of subgroups having simple forms which takes into account the properties of $G$ (as $\exp (G))$, and which induces a decomposition of $H$ into a direct sum of finite subgroups. The existence of such extensions of $H$ plays an essential role in particular for constructing of Hausdorff group topologies on $G$ having specific properties with respect to $H$. We demonstrate this by the following examples.

Let $G=\mathbb{Z}(3) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(2)^{\omega}, G_{0}=\mathbb{Z}(2)^{\omega}, H_{1}$ is the first $\mathbb{Z}(2) \times \mathbb{Z}(2)$ in $G$ and $H_{2}=\mathbb{Z}(3)$. It is easy to see that $G$ does not admit a connected Hausdorff group topology (see $[4, \S 9]$ ). On the other hand, Markov showed in [5] that there is a
locally connected Hausdorff group topology $\tau$ on $G$ such that $G_{0}$ is the connected component of $(G, \tau)$. So, algebraically $H_{1}$ can be extended to a subgroup $G_{0}$ which is connected. However, there is no Hausdorff group topology $\tau^{\prime}$ on $G$ in which $H_{2}$ is contained in a connected subgroup of $\left(G, \tau^{\prime}\right)$ because $G_{0}$ is clopen in any group topology on $G[4, \S 9]$. Further, it can be proved that there is a Hausdorff group topology $\nu$ on $G$ such that $H_{1}$ is the von Neumann radical of $(G, \nu)$, but for $H_{2}$ such topologies do not exist (see [2]). Actually, these positive and negative results for $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ in $G$ (and more generally, for subgroups of Abelian groups of finite exponent) depend on the possibility to extend them to an infinite subgroup $G_{0}$ (maybe of a big cardinality) such that $G_{0}$ is a direct sum of finite subgroups of the same exponent (see [3]). Between all infinite extensions of $H_{1}$ in $G$, which can be represented as a direct sum of finite subgroups of the same exponent, there is the smallest one by cardinality, for example $G_{1}=\mathbb{Z}(2)^{(\omega)}$. So, the subgroup $G_{1}$ has the following properties: (1) $G_{1}$ is of finite exponent as $G$, (2) $G_{1} / H_{1}$ is countable, (3) $G_{1}=\bigoplus_{i \in \omega} S_{i}$ with $\exp \left(G_{i}\right)=\exp \left(H_{1}\right)$ for all $i \in \omega$, and (4) this decomposition of $G_{1}$ induces a natural decomposition of $H$ (see the conditions (2b) and (3) in the definition below).

Assume now that $H$ is a finite non-trivial subgroup of an Abelian group $G$ of infinite exponent. It is well-known that $G$ contains a subgroup $S$ which has one of the form $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)$ or $\bigoplus_{i \in \omega} S_{i}$ with $\exp (H) \leq \exp \left(S_{0}\right)<\exp \left(S_{1}\right)<\ldots$ So it is quite natural to consider the subgroup $G_{0}:=S+H$. Then $G_{0}$ takes into account the properties of $G$ and has infinite exponent as $G$, and $G_{0} / H$ is countable.

For infinite bounded subgroups $H$ of $G$ the situation is more delicate, but these examples explain our definition of simple extension given below. We note that the main result of the article plays a crucial role for a description of bounded subgroups $H$ of an Abelian non-torsion-free group $G$ for which there exists a Hausdorff group topology $\tau$ such that $H$ is the von Neumann radical of $(G, \tau)$ (see [3]).

Denote by $o(g)$ the order of an element $g$ of an Abelian group $G$. The subgroup of $G$ generated by a subset $A$ is denoted by $\langle A\rangle$. We shall say that an Abelian group $X$ satisfies condition $(\Lambda)$ if $X$ is a finite direct sum of groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{a}\right)^{(\kappa)}$, where $p$ is prime, $a$ is a natural number and the cardinal $\kappa$ is infinite.

Definition 1. Let $G$ be an infinite Abelian non-torsion-free group and $H$ its non-zero bounded subgroup. We say that $H$ has a simple extension in $G$ if there is a subgroup $G_{0}$ of $G$ which has a decomposition of the form

$$
G_{0}=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \omega} S_{i}
$$

where:
(1) if $X \neq\{0\}$, then $X$ is a subgroup of $H$ satisfying condition ( $\Lambda$ );
(2) one of the following conditions holds:
(a) $S_{i}=\{0\}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and $S_{0}$ has one of the form $\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_{0}$ or $\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)+H_{0}$, where $H_{0}$ is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of $H$;
(b) for every $i \in \omega, S_{i}$ is a finite non-trivial subgroup of $G$ such that either

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp (H) \leq \exp \left(S_{0}\right)<\exp \left(S_{1}\right)<\ldots, \quad \text { or } \\
& \exp (H)=\exp \left(S_{0}\right)=\exp \left(S_{1}\right)=\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \omega}\left(S_{i} \cap H\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning to the first above-mentioned example we see that $H_{1}$ has a simple extension (for instance, $G_{1}$ ), but $H_{2}$ does not have simple extensions in $G$.

The main goal of the article is to characterize all bounded subgroups of an infinite Abelian non-torsion-free group $G$ which have a simple extension in $G$.

Theorem 2. Let $H$ be a non-zero bounded subgroup of an infinite Abelian group $G$. Then:
(i) if $\exp (G)=\infty$, then $H$ has a simple extension in $G$;
(ii) if $\exp (G)<\infty$, then $H$ has a simple extension in $G$ if and only if $G$ contains a subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}(\exp (H))^{(\omega)}$.

In Theorems 9 and 10 below we prove more precise results.

## 2. The proof of Theorem 2

We shall use the following easy corollary of Prüfer-Baer's theorem [1, 11.2].
Lemma 3. Let $G$ be an infinite Abelian group of finite exponent. Then $G$ is the direct sum $G=G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$ of a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup $G_{0}$ and a subgroup $G_{1}$ satisfying condition ( $\Lambda$ ).

Let us recall that a subset $X$ of an Abelian group $G$ is called independent if for every finite sequence $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of pairwise distinct elements of $X$ and each sequence $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ of integers $m_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+m_{n} x_{m}=0$ implies $m_{i} x_{i}=0$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$.
Proposition 4. Let $G=\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)+H$, where $H$ is an infinite Abelian group of finite exponent. Then there is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup $H_{0}$ of $H$ and an infinite subgroup $H_{1}$ of $H$ such that
(1) $H=H_{0} \oplus H_{1}$;
(2) $G=\left(\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)+H_{0}\right) \oplus H_{1}$;
(3) $H_{1}$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).

Proof: By Prüfer-Baer's theorem [1, 11.2], $H$ has a decomposition $H=\oplus_{i \in I} C_{i}$, where $C_{i}$ are cyclic finite groups. As $H$ is bounded, $\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right) \cap H$ is finite, so there exists a finite subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right) \cap H \subseteq \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$.

We claim that the sum

$$
G=\left(\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)+\bigoplus_{i \in J} C_{i}\right)+\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}\right)
$$

is direct. Indeed, let $t=f+g \in\left(\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)+\oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}\right) \cap\left(\oplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}\right)$, where $f \in \mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)$ and $g \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$. Then $f=t-g \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$ by the definition of $J$. Thus $t \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$. Since also $t \in \oplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}$, we obtain $t=0$ and the sum is direct.

Using Lemma 3, decompose $\oplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus H_{1}$, where $H_{0}^{\prime}$ is finite and $H_{1}$ satisfies condition $(\Lambda)$. Put $H_{0}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}\right)$. Then $H_{0}$ is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of $H$ and $H_{1}$ is infinite. By construction and the claim, $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ satisfy conditions (1)-(3) of the proposition.

The next proposition is not trivial only for uncountable subgroups and its proof essentially repeats the proof of Proposition 4.

Proposition 5. Let an Abelian p-group $G$ have the form $G=\langle A\rangle+H$, where $H$ is an uncountable subgroup of $G$ of finite exponent and $A=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is an independent sequence in $G$. Then there is a countable (maybe trivial) subgroup $H_{0}$ of $H$ and an uncountable subgroup $H_{1}$ of $H$ such that
(1) $H=H_{0} \oplus H_{1}$;
(2) $G=\left(\langle A\rangle+H_{0}\right) \oplus H_{1}$;
(3) $H_{1}$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).

Proof: By [1, 11.2], $H$ has a decomposition $H=\oplus_{i \in I} C_{i}$, where $C_{i}$ are cyclic finite groups. As $\langle A\rangle$ is countable, there exists a countable subset $J \subseteq I$ such that $\langle A\rangle \cap H \subseteq \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$. We claim that the sum

$$
G=\left(\langle A\rangle+\bigoplus_{i \in J} C_{i}\right)+\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}\right)
$$

is direct. Indeed, let $t=f+g \in\left(\langle A\rangle+\oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}\right) \cap\left(\oplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}\right)$, where $f \in\langle A\rangle$ and $g \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$. Then $f=t-g \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$ by the definition of $J$. Thus $t \in \oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}$. Since also $t \in \oplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}$, we obtain $t=0$ and the sum is direct.

Using Lemma 3 , decompose $\oplus_{i \in I \backslash J} C_{i}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus H_{1}$, where $H_{0}^{\prime}$ is finite and $H_{1}$ satisfies condition $(\Lambda)$. Put $H_{0}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus\left(\oplus_{i \in J} C_{i}\right)$. Then $H_{0}$ is a countable (maybe trivial) subgroup of $H$ and $H_{1}$ is infinite. By construction and the claim, $H_{0}$ and $H_{1}$ satisfy conditions (1)-(3) of the proposition.

We omit the proof of the following simple lemma.
Lemma 6. Let a sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ in an Abelian group $G$ be independent and $H$ be a finite subgroup of $G$. Then there is $n_{0}$ such that $H \cap\left\langle b_{n_{0}}, b_{n_{0}+1}, \ldots\right\rangle=\{0\}$.

We denote division by ":". In the next proposition we set $\infty-1=\infty$.
Proposition 7. Let $G$ be an Abelian p-group of the form $G=\langle A\rangle+H$, where $H$ is a nonzero countable group of finite exponent and $A=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ is an independent sequence such that either
(a) $\exp (H) \leq N \leq o\left(g_{0}\right)<o\left(g_{1}\right)<\ldots$ for some natural number $N$, or
(b) $\exp (H)=o\left(g_{i}\right)$ for every $i \geq 0$.

Then $G$ has a subgroup $G_{0}$ of the form

$$
G_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right),
$$

where
(1) the independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ satisfies the same condition (a) or (b) as the sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$;
(2) there is $0<M \leq \infty$ such that $H_{j}$ is a finite nonzero subgroup of $G$ for every $0 \leq j<M$, and, if $M<\infty, H_{j}=\{0\}$ for each $j \geq M$;
(3) $H=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}$.

Proof: We distinguish between two cases.
Case 1. $\langle A\rangle \cap H$ is finite (maybe trivial). By Lemma 6 we can choose $k \geq 0$ such that $(\langle A\rangle \cap H) \cap\left\langle g_{k}, g_{k+1}, \ldots\right\rangle=\{0\}$. Then also $H \cap\left\langle g_{k}, g_{k+1}, \ldots\right\rangle=\{0\}$. Set $e_{i}=g_{k+i}$, for every $i \geq 0$. Let $H=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{M-1}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle$, where $M \leq \infty$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}[1$, 11.2]. Set $G_{0}=\left\langle e_{0}, e_{1}, \ldots\right\rangle+H$. Then we have

$$
G_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i} \oplus\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right),
$$

where $H_{i}=\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle$ if $i<M$, and $H_{i}=0$ for $i \geq M$. Then $G_{0}$ is as desired.
Case 2. $\langle A\rangle \cap H$ is infinite. Then $H$ is countably infinite. Let $H=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle$ [1, 11.2]. We shall construct the sequences $\left\{H_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{n}\right\}$ by induction. Set

$$
G^{0}=G, \quad H^{0}=H, \quad \text { and } \quad g_{j}^{0}=g_{j}, \forall j \geq 0 .
$$

Put $e_{0}=g_{0}^{0}$. Choose the minimal index $\kappa_{1} \geq 0$ such that

$$
H^{0} \cap\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle=\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\kappa_{1}}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle\right) \cap\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle .
$$

Set

$$
Y_{k}^{1}=\left\langle\left\{g_{k+i}^{0}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}\right\rangle, k \geq 0, \quad H_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\kappa_{1}}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle, \quad \text { and } X_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=\kappa_{1}+1}^{\infty}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle .
$$

Then $H_{0} \neq 0$ and $H^{0}=H_{0} \oplus X_{1}$. We will need that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cap X_{1}=\{0\} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, let $a e_{0}+h_{0}=x$, where $a$ is integer, $h_{0} \in H_{0}$ and $x \in X_{1}$. Then $a e_{0}=x-h_{0} \in H^{0}$ and hence $a e_{0} \in H_{0}$. Thus $x=a e_{0}+h_{0} \in H_{0} \cap X_{1}=\{0\}$, and hence $x=0$.

We distinguish between two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. There is $k \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left(Y_{k}^{1}+X_{1}\right) \cap\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right)=\{0\}
$$

Then we set

$$
H^{1}=X_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=\kappa_{1}+1}^{\infty}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle, \quad g_{j}^{1}=g_{k+1+j}^{0}, \forall j \geq 0, \quad \text { and } G^{1}=\left\langle\left\{g_{j}^{1}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\right\rangle+H^{1}
$$

So $H=H^{0}=H_{0} \oplus H^{1}$ and $\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cap G^{1}=\{0\}$, and we can proceed to the second step for $G^{1}, H^{1}$ and the independent sequence $\left\{g_{j}^{1}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying the same condition (a) or (b) as the sequence $\left\{g_{j}^{0}\right\}$.

Subcase 2.2. For every $k \geq 0$,

$$
\left(Y_{k}^{1}+X_{1}\right) \cap\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \neq\{0\}
$$

In this case, because of finiteness of $H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$ and since $\exp \left(X_{1}\right)<\infty$, we can choose the maximal natural number $m$ satisfying the following condition:
$(*)$ there is a nonzero element $h \neq 0$ of $H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$ such that for infinitely many indices $k$, there are $y_{k} \in Y_{k}^{1}$ and $z_{k} \in X_{1}$ for which

$$
y_{k}+z_{k}=h \quad \text { and } \quad o\left(y_{k}\right)=p^{m} .
$$

Fix $h$ satisfying $(*)$ and choose the following:
(i) a sequence of indices of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<i_{1}^{0}<\cdots<i_{s_{0}}^{0}<i_{1}^{1}<\cdots<i_{s_{1}}^{1}<i_{1}^{2}<\cdots \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) a sequence of integers $a_{1}^{k}, \ldots, a_{s_{k}}^{k}$, where $\left(a_{i}^{j}, p\right)=1$ for all $i$ and $j$;
(iii) a sequence of natural numbers $r_{1}^{k}, \ldots, r_{s_{k}}^{k}, \forall k \geq 0$; and
(iv) a sequence $z_{0}, z_{1}, \ldots$ in $X_{1}$,
such that, for every $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \neq h=a_{1}^{k} p^{r_{1}^{k}} g_{i_{1}^{k}}^{0}+\cdots+a_{s_{k}}^{k} p^{r_{s_{k}}^{k}} g_{i_{s_{k}}^{k}}^{0}+z_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad o\left(h-z_{k}\right)=p^{m} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $t_{k}=\min \left\{r_{1}^{k}, \ldots, r_{s_{k}}^{k}\right\}$ and

$$
y_{k}^{\prime}=a_{1}^{k} p^{r_{1}^{k}-t_{k}} g_{i_{1}^{k}}^{0}+\cdots+a_{s_{k}}^{k} p^{r_{s_{k}}^{k}-t_{k}} g_{i_{s_{k}}^{k}}^{0}, \forall k \geq 0
$$

So $o\left(p^{t_{k}} y_{k}^{\prime}\right)=p^{m}$ and $o\left(y_{k}^{\prime}\right)=p^{t_{k}+m}$ for all $k \geq 0$. By (2), the sequence $\left\{y_{k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is independent and $p^{t_{k}} y_{k}^{\prime}+z_{k}=h \in H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$ for every $k \geq 0$.

Subcase 2.2(a). Assume that $\exp (H) \leq N \leq o\left(g_{0}\right)<o\left(g_{1}\right)<\ldots$ Then, by $(2), \exp (H) \leq N \leq o\left(y_{0}^{\prime}\right)<o\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)<\ldots$, and hence $t_{0}<t_{1}<\ldots$. Set

$$
g_{k}^{\prime}=p^{t_{2 k+1}-t_{2 k}} y_{2 k+1}^{\prime}-y_{2 k}^{\prime}, \quad \forall k \geq 0
$$

Subcase 2.2(b). Assume that $\exp (H)=o\left(g_{k}\right), \forall k \geq 0$. Then $t_{k}=t_{k+1}$ and $p^{t_{k}+m}=\exp (H)$ for every $k \geq 0$. Put

$$
g_{k}^{\prime}=y_{2 k+1}^{\prime}-y_{2 k}^{\prime}, \quad \forall k \geq 0
$$

In both subcases $2.2(\mathrm{a})$ and $2.2(\mathrm{~b})$ we have the following:
$\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ the sequence $\left\{g_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is independent by (2),
( $\alpha_{2}$ ) the sequence $\left\{g_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the same condition (a) or (b) as $\left\{g_{j}^{0}\right\}$,
$\left(\alpha_{3}\right) o\left(g_{k}^{\prime}\right)=o\left(y_{2 k}^{\prime}\right)=p^{t_{2 k}+m}$, for every $k \geq 0$,
$\left(\alpha_{4}\right) p^{t_{2 k}} g_{k}^{\prime}=p^{t_{2 k+1}} y_{2 k+1}^{\prime}-p^{t_{2 k}} y_{2 k}^{\prime}=z_{2 k}-z_{2 k+1} \in X_{1}$ by (3).
Set $Y_{k}^{\prime}=\left\langle\left\{g_{j}^{\prime}\right\}_{j=k}^{\infty}\right\rangle, k \geq 0$. Let us prove the following:
Claim. There is $k \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left(Y_{k}^{\prime}+X_{1}\right) \cap\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right)=\{0\}
$$

Proof of Claim: Assuming the converse we can find (as in (i)-(iv)) a nonzero element $h^{\prime}$ of $H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$, a sequence of indices of the form

$$
1<l_{1}^{0}<\cdots<l_{q_{0}}^{0}<l_{1}^{1}<\cdots<l_{q_{1}}^{1}<l_{1}^{2}<\cdots
$$

a sequence of integers $b_{1}^{k}, \ldots, b_{q_{k}}^{k},\left(b_{i}^{j}, p\right)=1$, for all $i$ and $j$, a sequence of natural numbers $w_{1}^{k}, \ldots, w_{q_{k}}^{k}, \forall k \geq 0$, and a sequence $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots$ in $X_{1}$, such that

$$
0 \neq h^{\prime}=b_{1}^{k} p^{w_{1}^{k}} g_{l_{1}^{k}}^{\prime}+\cdots+b_{q_{k}}^{k} p^{w_{q_{k}}^{k}} g_{l_{q_{k}}^{k}}^{\prime}+x_{k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0
$$

Suppose there exists $k_{0} \geq 0$ such that $w_{i}^{k} \geq t_{2 l_{i}^{k}}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq l_{q_{k}}^{k}$ and for each $k \geq k_{0}$. Then, by ( $\alpha_{4}$ ),

$$
0 \neq h^{\prime}=b_{1}^{k} p^{w_{1}^{k}-t_{2 l_{1}^{k}}}\left(p^{t_{2 l_{1}^{k}}} g_{l_{1}^{k}}^{\prime}\right)+\cdots+b_{q_{k}}^{k} p^{w_{q_{k}}^{k}-t_{2 l_{q_{k}}}}\left(p^{t_{2 l q_{q_{k}}}} g_{l_{q_{k}}^{k}}^{\prime}\right)+x_{k} \in X_{1},
$$

for every $k \geq k_{0}$. This contradicts (1) since $h^{\prime} \in H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$.
So we can suppose that there is an infinite set $I$ of indices such that for every $k \in I$ there exists an index $1 \leq \xi_{k} \leq q_{k}$ for which $w_{\xi_{k}}^{k}<t_{2 \mu_{k}}$, where $\mu_{k}=l_{\xi_{k}}^{k}$. For every $k \in I$ set $\lambda_{k}=\min \left\{w_{1}^{k}, \ldots, w_{q_{k}}^{k}\right\}$ and

$$
y_{k}^{\prime \prime}=b_{1}^{k} p^{w_{1}^{k}-\lambda_{k}} g_{l_{1}^{k}}^{\prime}+\cdots+b_{q_{k}}^{k} p^{w_{q_{k}}^{k}-\lambda_{k}} g_{l_{q_{k}}^{\prime}}^{\prime} .
$$

Since $l_{1}^{k}>k$ it follows that $y_{k}^{\prime \prime} \in Y_{k}^{1}$ for every $k \geq 0$. Thus, for all $k \in I$, we obtain the following:

- $y_{k}^{\prime \prime} \in Y_{k}^{1}$,
- $0 \neq p^{\lambda_{k}} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}+x_{k}=h^{\prime} \in H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle$,
- and, by $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{3}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
o\left(p^{\lambda_{k}} y_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right) & =\max \left\{o\left(y_{2 l_{1}^{k}}^{\prime}\right): p^{w_{1}^{k}}, \ldots, o\left(y_{2 l_{q_{k}}^{k}}^{\prime}\right): p^{w_{q_{k}}^{k}}\right\} \\
& \geq o\left(y_{2 \mu_{k}}^{\prime}\right): p^{w_{\xi_{k}}^{k}} \quad\left(\text { since } w_{\xi_{k}}^{k}<t_{2 \mu_{k}}\right) \\
& \geq o\left(y_{2 \mu_{k}}^{\prime}\right): p^{t_{2 \mu_{k}}-1}=\left(\operatorname{by}\left(\alpha_{3}\right)\right)=p^{m+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $I$ is infinite we obtained a contradiction to the choice of $m$ (see condition $(*)$ ), thus proving the claim.

By the claim we can choose $k$ such that $\left(Y_{k}^{\prime}+X_{1}\right) \cap\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right)=\{0\}$. Taking into account $\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}\right)$, we can put

$$
H^{1}=X_{1}, \quad g_{j}^{1}=g_{k+j}^{\prime}, \forall j \geq 0, \quad \text { and } G^{1}=\left\langle\left\{g_{j}^{1}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}\right\rangle+H^{1}
$$

So $\left(H_{0}+\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \cap G^{1}=\{0\}$ and we proceed to the second step for $G^{1}, H^{1}$ and the independent sequence $\left\{g_{j}^{1}\right\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying respectively one of the conditions (a) or (b) as $\left\{g_{j}^{0}\right\}$.

Iterating this process, we can find a sequence $\left\{H_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ of finite nonzero subgroups of $H$ and an independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying the same condition (a) or (b) as the sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
H=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i} \text { and }\left(H_{k}+\left\langle e_{k}\right\rangle\right) \cap\left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right)=\{0\}, \text { for every } k \geq 0
$$

Hence the sum $G_{0}:=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)$ is direct. Thus $G_{0}$ is as desired. This completes the proof of the proposition.

In what follows we use the next well-known folklore lemma (the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.2 of [6]):

Lemma 8. Let $G$ be an Abelian group of infinite exponent. Then one of the following assertions holds.
(i) $G$ is not torsion. Then $G$ has a subgroup $H \cong \mathbb{Z}$.
(ii) $G$ is torsion but not reduced. Then $G$ has a subgroup $H \cong \mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)$ for some prime $p$.
(iii) $G$ is both torsion and reduced. Then $G$ has a subgroup $H \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}\left(n_{i}\right)$, where $n_{0}<n_{1}<\ldots$.
The next two theorems imply and make more precise Theorem 2.
Theorem 9. Let $G$ be an Abelian group of infinite exponent and $H$ its nontrivial subgroup of finite exponent. Then at least one of the following assertions holds.
(1) $G$ contains an element $g$ of infinite order. If we set $G_{0}=\langle g\rangle+H$, then $G_{0} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z} \oplus H_{0}\right) \oplus X$, where
(a) $H_{0}$ is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of $H$,
(b) $H=H_{0} \oplus X$,
(c) $X \neq\{0\}$ if and only if $H$ is infinite. In this case $X$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).
(2) $G$ contains a subgroup $Y$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)$. If we set $G_{0}=Y+H$, then $G_{0} \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)+H_{0}\right) \oplus X$, where
(a) $H_{0}$ is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of $H$,
(b) $H=H_{0} \oplus X$,
(c) $X \neq\{0\}$ if and only if $H$ is infinite. In this case $X$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).
(3) $G$ is both torsion and reduced. Then $G$ has a subgroup $G_{0}$ of the form

$$
G_{0}=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where
(a) the independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\exp (H) \leq o\left(e_{0}\right)<o\left(e_{1}\right)<\ldots ;
$$

(b) there is $0 \leq M \leq \infty$ such that $H_{j}$ is a finite nonzero subgroup of $G$ for every $0 \leq j<M$, and, if $M<\infty, H_{j}=\{0\}$ for each $j \geq M$;
(c) $H=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}$;
(d) $X \neq\{0\}$ if and only if $H$ is uncountable. In this case $X$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).

Proof: (1) Let $G$ contain an element $g$ of infinite order. It is clear that $G_{0}$ is a direct sum, i.e., $G_{0}=\langle g\rangle \oplus H$.

If $H$ is infinite, by Lemma 3, $H$ can be represented in the form $H=H_{0} \oplus X$, where $H_{0}$ is finite (maybe trivial) and $X$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ). So $G_{0} \cong(\mathbb{Z} \oplus$ $\left.H_{0}\right) \oplus X$.

If $H$ is finite we set $H_{0}=H$. Then $G_{0} \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus H_{0}$.
(2) Let $G$ contains a subgroup $Y$ of the form $\mathbb{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)$.

If $H$ is infinite, the assertion follows from Proposition 4.
If $H$ is finite, it is enough to set $H_{0}=H$ (and $X=0$ ).
(3) Let $G$ be both torsion and reduced. For a prime $p$, let $H_{p}$ and $G_{p}$ be the $p$-components of $H$ and $G$ respectively. Since $H$ is of finite exponent, there are pairwise disjoint primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}, p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{N}$, where $n<\infty$ and $n \leq N \leq \infty$, such that (see [1, Theorem 2.1])

$$
H=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} H_{p_{i}} \text { and } G=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} G_{p_{i}} \oplus G_{1}
$$

where $G_{1}=\bigoplus_{i=n+1}^{N} G_{p_{i}}$ and all the groups $H_{p_{i}}$ and $G_{p_{i}}$ are nonzero.
We distinguish between the following two cases.
Case 1. $\exp \left(G_{1}\right)=\infty$. By Lemma 8, there is an independent sequence $\left\{e_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $G_{1}$, where $\exp (H) \leq o\left(e_{0}\right)<o\left(e_{1}\right)<\ldots$.

Subcase 1.1. Assume that $H$ is uncountable. By Lemma 3, $H=H_{0} \oplus X^{\prime}$, where $H_{0}$ is finite (maybe trivial) and $X^{\prime}$ is an uncountable subgroup of $H$ satisfying condition ( $\Lambda$ ). Set $X=X^{\prime}$.

If $H_{0} \neq 0$, we set

$$
G_{0}=\left(\left(H_{0} \oplus\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right) \oplus X, \text { and } H_{i}=0, \text { for every } i \geq 1
$$

Then we obtain the desired (with $M=1$ ).
If $H_{0}=0$ and hence $H=X$, we set

$$
G_{0}=\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right) \oplus X, \text { and } H_{i}=0, \text { for every } i \geq 0
$$

Then we obtain the desired (with $M=0$ ).
Subcase 1.2. Assume that $H$ is countably infinite. By Lemma 3, $H=H_{0} \oplus X^{\prime}$, where $H_{0}$ is finite (maybe trivial) and $X^{\prime}$ is a countably infinite subgroup of $H$ satisfying condition ( $\Lambda$ ). By $[1,11.2]$ we have $X^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle$. Set

$$
G_{0}=\left(H_{0} \oplus\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(H_{i} \oplus\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right), \text { where } H_{i}=\left\langle h_{i}\right\rangle \text { for every } i \geq 1
$$

Then we obtain the desired (in this case $X=0$ and $M=\infty$ ).
Subcase 1.3. Assume that $H$ is finite and non-trivial. In this case we set

$$
H_{0}=H, G_{0}=\left(H_{0} \oplus\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle, \text { and } H_{i}=0, \text { for every } i \geq 1
$$

Then we obtain the desired (in this case $X=0$ and $M=1$ ).
Case 2. $\exp \left(G_{1}\right)<\infty$. In this case there is $1 \leq l \leq n$ such that $\exp \left(G_{p_{l}}\right)=\infty$. If $\bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^{n} H_{p_{i}}$ is finite, we set $H_{0}^{\prime}:=\bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^{n} H_{p_{i}}$ and $X^{\prime}=0$. If $\bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^{n} H_{p_{i}}$ is infinite, then, by Lemma $3, \bigoplus_{i=1, i \neq l}^{n} H_{p_{i}}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime}$, where $H_{0}^{\prime}$ is finite (maybe trivial) and $X^{\prime}$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ). Set $N=\exp (H)$.

Since $G$ is both torsion and reduced, by Lemma 8, there is an independent sequence $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in $G_{p_{l}}$ satisfying the condition $N \leq o\left(g_{0}\right)<o\left(g_{1}\right)<\ldots$. Set $A:=\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and $Y:=\langle A\rangle+H_{p_{l}}$. Note that $H_{p_{l}}$ is nonzero by construction. If $H_{p_{l}}$ is uncountable, we apply Proposition 5 to $Y$ and $H_{p_{l}}$. If $H_{0} \neq\{0\}$ in that Proposition 5 or in the case $H_{p_{l}}$ is countable, we apply Proposition 7. So we can find a subgroup $Y_{0}$ of $Y$ of the form

$$
Y_{0}=X^{\prime \prime} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{p_{l}}^{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where
$\left(a_{1}\right)$ the independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
N \leq o\left(e_{0}\right)<o\left(e_{1}\right)<\ldots ;
$$

$\left(a_{2}\right)$ there is $0 \leq M \leq \infty$ such that $H_{p_{l}}^{i}$ is a finite nonzero subgroup of $Y$ for every $0 \leq i<M$, and, if $M<\infty, H_{p_{l}}^{i}=\{0\}$ for each $i \geq M$;
( $a_{3}$ ) $H_{p_{l}}=X^{\prime \prime} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{p_{l}}^{i}$;
$\left(a_{4}\right) X^{\prime \prime} \neq\{0\}$ if and only if $H_{p_{l}}$ is uncountable. In this case $X^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).
Subcase 2.1. Assume that $H$ is uncountable. Set $X=X^{\prime} \oplus X^{\prime \prime}$. Then $X$ is an uncountable subgroup of $H$ satisfying the condition ( $\Lambda$ ). Set

$$
H^{0}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus H_{p_{l}}^{0}, H^{i}=H_{p_{l}}^{i} \text { for } i \geq 1, \text { and } G_{0}=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H^{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)
$$

Since $H=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{i}$ we obtain the desired.
Subcase 2.2. Assume that $H$ is countably infinite. Then $X^{\prime \prime}=0$, and $X^{\prime}$ is either trivial or $X^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} H_{i}^{\prime}$ by [1, 11.2], where $H_{i}^{\prime}$ is a finite (maybe trivial) cyclic group for every $i \geq 1$. Set $H^{0}=H_{0}^{\prime} \oplus H_{p_{l}}^{0}$, and for every $i \geq 1$ put

$$
H^{i}=H_{i}^{\prime} \oplus H_{p_{l}}^{i} \text { if } X^{\prime} \neq 0, \text { and } H^{i}=H_{p_{l}}^{i} \text { if } X^{\prime}=0
$$

Then, by $\left(a_{2}\right), H^{i}$ is a finite (maybe trivial) subgroup of $H$ for every $i \geq 0$, and $H=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{i}$ by $\left(a_{3}\right)$. Setting

$$
G_{0}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H^{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)
$$

we obtain the desired by $\left(a_{1}\right)$.
Subcase 2.3. Assume that $H$ is finite and non-trivial. In this case we put $H^{0}=H$. By Lemma 6 we can choose $k \geq 0$ such that $H^{0} \cap\left\langle\left\{g_{k+i}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}\right\rangle=\{0\}$. Set $e_{i}=g_{k+i}$ for every $i \geq 0$. Putting

$$
G_{0}=\left(H^{0} \oplus\left\langle e_{0}\right\rangle\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle, \text { and } H^{i}=0, \text { for every } i \geq 1
$$

we obtain the desired (in this case $X=0$ and $M=1$ ).
Theorem 10. Let $G$ be an Abelian group of finite exponent and $H$ its nonzero subgroup. If $G$ contains a subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}(\exp (H))^{(\omega)}$, then $G$ has a subgroup $G_{0}$ of the form

$$
G_{0}=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}+\left\langle e_{i}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where
(1) the independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\exp (H)=o\left(e_{0}\right)=o\left(e_{1}\right)=\ldots ;
$$

(2) there is $0<M \leq \infty$ such that $H_{j}$ is a finite nonzero subgroup of $G$ for every $0 \leq j<M$, and, if $M<\infty, H_{j}=\{0\}$ for each $j \geq M$;
(3) $H=X \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}$;
(4) $X \neq\{0\}$ if and only if $H$ is uncountable. In this case $X$ satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).

Proof: For a prime $p$, let $H_{p}^{\prime}$ and $G_{p}$ be the $p$-components of $H$ and $G$ respectively. Since $G$ has finite exponent, by $[1,2.1]$ there are different primes $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}$, $p_{n+1}, \ldots, p_{N}$, where $1 \leq n \leq N<\infty$, such that

$$
H=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} H_{p_{k}}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad G=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} G_{p_{k}} \oplus G_{1}
$$

where $G_{1}=\bigoplus_{k=n+1}^{N} G_{p_{k}}$ and all the groups $H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ and $G_{p_{k}}$ are nonzero.
By assumption, for every $1 \leq k \leq n, G_{p_{k}}$ has a subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}\left(\exp \left(H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{(\omega)}$. Thus, for every $1 \leq k \leq n, G_{p_{k}}$ has an independent sequence $A_{k}=\left\{g_{i}^{k}\right\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ such that $o\left(g_{i}^{k}\right)=\exp \left(H_{p^{k}}^{\prime}\right)$ for every $i \geq 0$.

Fix arbitrarily $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$, and consider the next two possible cases.
Case 1. $H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ is a (nonzero) countable group. So we can apply Proposition 7 to the group $\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\left(\subseteq G_{p_{k}}\right)$. Thus the group $\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ has a subgroup $G_{0}^{k}$ of the form

$$
G_{0}^{k}:=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}^{k}+\left\langle e_{i}^{k}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where
( $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ) the independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}^{k}\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\exp \left(H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=o\left(e_{1}^{k}\right)=o\left(e_{2}^{k}\right)=\ldots
$$

( $\mathrm{a}_{2}$ ) there is $0<M_{k} \leq \infty$ such that $H_{i}^{k}$ is a finite nonzero subgroup of $G_{0}^{k}$ for every $0 \leq i<M_{k}$, and, if $M_{k}<\infty, H_{i}^{k}=\{0\}$ for each $i \geq M_{k}$;
( $\left.\mathrm{a}_{3}\right) H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}=\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}^{k}$;
In this case we also put $X_{k}=\{0\}$.
Case 2. $H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ is an uncountable group. Applying Propositions 5 to the group $\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\left(\subseteq G_{p_{k}}\right)$, we can find a countable (maybe trivial) subgroup $S_{k}^{\prime}$ of $H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ and an uncountable subgroup $S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ of $H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}$ such that
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right) H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}=S_{k}^{\prime} \oplus S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$;
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)\left\langle A_{k}^{\prime}\right\rangle+H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}=\left(\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+S_{k}^{\prime}\right) \oplus S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$;
$\left(\mathrm{b}_{3}\right) S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfies condition $(\Lambda)$.
Represent $S_{k}^{\prime \prime}$ in the form $S_{k}^{\prime \prime}=X_{k} \oplus\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{i}^{k}\right)$, where
$\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right) R_{i}^{k}$ is nonzero and finite for every $i \geq 0$;
$\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right) \exp \left(S_{k}^{\prime} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{i}^{k}\right)=\exp \left(H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right)$;
$\left(c_{3}\right) X_{k}$ is uncountable and satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).
Now we can apply Proposition 7 to the group

$$
\left(\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+S_{k}^{\prime}\right) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{i}^{k}=\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+\left(S_{k}^{\prime} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} R_{i}^{k}\right) .
$$

Taking into account $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{b}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{c}_{3}\right)$, we obtain that the group $\left\langle A_{k}\right\rangle+$ $H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\left(\subseteq G_{p_{k}}\right)$ has a subgroup $G_{0}^{k}$ of the form

$$
G_{0}^{k}:=X_{k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty}\left(H_{i}^{k} \oplus\left\langle e_{i}^{k}\right\rangle\right)
$$

where
$\left(\mathrm{a}_{4}\right)$ the independent sequence $\left\{e_{i}^{k}\right\}$ satisfies the condition

$$
\exp \left(H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=o\left(e_{1}^{k}\right)=o\left(e_{2}^{k}\right)=\ldots ;
$$

( $\mathrm{a}_{5}$ ) there is $0<M_{k} \leq \infty$ such that $H_{i}^{k}$ is a finite nonzero subgroup of $G_{0}^{k}$ for every $0 \leq i<M_{k}$, and, if $M_{k}<\infty, H_{i}^{k}=\{0\}$ for each $i \geq M_{k}$;
( $\left.\mathrm{a}_{6}\right) H_{p_{k}}^{\prime}=X_{k} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{i}^{k}$;
( $\mathrm{a}_{7}$ ) $X_{k}$ is uncountable and satisfies condition ( $\Lambda$ ).
Set $M=\max \left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}\right\}$ and

$$
G_{0}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} G_{0}^{k}, X=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} X_{k}, H_{i}=\bigoplus_{k=1}^{n} H_{i}^{k} \text { and } e_{i}=e_{i}^{1}+\cdots+e_{i}^{n} \text { for every } i \geq 0
$$

By $\left(a_{1}\right)-\left(a_{7}\right)$, all the conditions (1)-(4) are fulfilled. The theorem is proved.
Proof of Theorem 2: (i) immediately follows from Theorem 9.
(ii) If $H$ has a simple extension in $G$, then $G$ has a subgroup of the form $\mathbb{Z}(\exp (H))^{(\omega)}$ by item $(2 \mathrm{~b})$ of the definition of simple extension. The converse follows from Theorem 10.
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