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Abstract. We study the existence of positive solutions for the $p$-Laplace Emden-Fowler equation. Let $H$ and $G$ be closed subgroups of the orthogonal group $O(N)$ such that $H \varsubsetneqq$ $G \subset O(N)$. We denote the orbit of $G$ through $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ by $G(x)$, i.e., $G(x):=\{g x: g \in G\}$. We prove that if $H(x) \nsubseteq G(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and the first eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplacian is large enough, then no $H$ invariant least energy solution is $G$ invariant. Here an $H$ invariant least energy solution means a solution which achieves the minimum of the Rayleigh quotient among all $H$ invariant functions. Therefore there exists an $H$ invariant $G$ non-invariant positive solution.
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## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the existence of positive solutions with partial symmetry for the $p$-Laplace Emden-Fowler equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=u^{q-1}, \quad u>0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\Delta_{p} u:=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$ is the $p$-Laplacian and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geqslant 2$. Denote the critical exponent by $p^{*}:=N p /(N-p)$ if $p<N$ and $p^{*}:=\infty$ if $N \leqslant p$. We assume that $2 \leqslant p<q<p^{*}$. We define the Rayleigh quotient $R(u)$

[^0]and the Nehari manifold $\mathcal{N}$ by
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(u):=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{q} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{-p / q}, \\
\mathcal{N}:=\left\{u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}: \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{p}-|u|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x=0\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

where $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ denotes the Sobolev space. Let $G$ be a closed subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(N)$. We call $\Omega$ a $G$ invariant domain if $g(\Omega)=\Omega$ for any $g \in G$. We call $u(x)$ a $G$ invariant solution if $u(g x)=u(x)$ for any $g \in G$ and $x \in \Omega$. Then (1.1) has a $G$ invariant positive solution. However, we are looking for an $H$ invariant $G$ non-invariant solution under a certain assumption on $H$ and $G$, where $H$ and $G$ are closed subgroups of $O(N)$ such that $H \varsubsetneqq G \subset O(N)$. When $\Omega$ is a $G$ invariant domain, we denote the set of $G$ invariant functions in $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$ by $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, G)$. Define $\mathcal{N}(G):=\mathcal{N} \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, G)$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{G}:=\inf \left\{R(u): u \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega, G) \backslash\{0\}\right\}=\inf \{R(u): u \in \mathcal{N}(G)\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call $R_{G}$ a $G$ invariant least energy and $u$ a $G$ invariant least energy solution if $u \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ and $R(u)=R_{G}$. Such a minimizer exists and becomes a $G$ invariant positive solution of (1.1). For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we define the orbit $G(x)$ through $x$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x):=\{g x: g \in G\} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\lambda_{p}(\Omega)$ denote the first eigenvalue of the $p$-Laplace eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \Omega, \quad u=0 \quad \text { on } \partial \Omega . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the first eigenvalue is simple and the corresponding eigenfunction is positive (see [7]). We state the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that $2 \leqslant p<q<p^{*}$. Let $G$ and $H$ be closed subgroups of $O(N)$ and let $U$ be a $G$ invariant bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that $H \varsubsetneqq G$ and $H(x) \varsubsetneqq G(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{U}$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ depending only on $G, H, U, p$ and $q$ such that if $\Omega$ is a $G$ invariant subdomain of $U$ and if $\lambda_{p}(\Omega)>C$, then $R_{H}<R_{G}$. Therefore no $H$ invariant least energy solution is $G$ invariant.

The existence of multiple positive solutions of (1.1) on the sphere has been obtained by Kristály [6] also, in which the nonlinear term is asymptotically critical. We observe the Faber-Krahn inequality (see [1]), $\lambda_{p}(\Omega) \geqslant C_{N, p}|\Omega|^{-p / N}$, where $C_{N, p}>0$ is a constant independent of $\Omega$ and $|\Omega|$ denotes the volume of $\Omega$. Then we obtain the next corollary.

Corollary 1.2. Under the assumption of Theorem 1.1, there exists a constant $\delta>0$ depending only on $G, H, U, p$ and $q$ such that if $\Omega$ is a $G$ invariant subdomain of $U$ and if $|\Omega|<\delta$, then $R_{H}<R_{G}$.

We give a simple example of $H, G$ and $\Omega$. A subgroup $H$ of $O(N)$ is said to be transitive on the sphere $S^{N-1}$ if $H(x)=S^{N-1}$ for $x \in S^{N-1}$. All transitive Lie groups were classified by Montgomery and Samelson [8] and Borel [2].

Example 1.3. Let $G:=O(N)$ and let $H$ be any non-transitive closed subgroup of $O(N)$. Let $\Omega$ be an annulus $1<|x|<1+\varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon>0$. If $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, then no $H$ invariant least energy solution is radially symmetric.

## 2. LEAST ENERGY SOLUTIONS

Let $L^{r}(\Omega, G)$ denote the set of $G$ invariant functions in $L^{r}(\Omega)$. Define the $L^{2}(\Omega)$ inner product and the $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ inner product by

$$
(u, v)_{L^{2}}:=\int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad(u, v)_{H_{0}^{1}}:=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla v \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

We define the orthogonal complements of $L^{2}(\Omega, G)$ and $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega, G)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{2}(\Omega, G)^{\perp} & :=\left\{u \in L^{2}(\Omega):(u, v)_{L^{2}}=0 \text { for all } v \in L^{2}(\Omega, G)\right\}, \\
H_{0}^{1}(\Omega, G)^{\perp} & :=\left\{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega):(u, v)_{H_{0}^{1}}=0 \text { for all } v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega, G)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2.1 ([3], Lemma 3.2). We have the following assertions.
(i) $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega, G)^{\perp} \subset L^{2}(\Omega, G)^{\perp}$.
(ii) Let $1 \leqslant r, s \leqslant \infty$ with $1 / r+1 / s=1$. If $u \in L^{r}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega, G)^{\perp}$ and $v \in L^{s}(\Omega, G)$, then $\int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x=0$.

Since $p \geqslant 2$, the Rayleigh quotient $R$ is twice differentiable in the sense of the Fréchet derivative. Then $R^{\prime \prime}(u) v w$ is a bilinear form of $v$ and $w$. We need the formula of the special case $R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2}$ only.

Lemma 2.2. Let $u$ be a positive solution of (1.1). For $w \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2}= & p(p-2)\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{-p / q} \int|\nabla u|^{p-4}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla w)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.1}\\
& +p\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{-p / q} \int|\nabla u|^{p-2}|\nabla w|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +p(q-p)\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{-(p+q) / q}\left(\int u^{q-1} w \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{2} \\
& -p(q-1)\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{-p / q} \int u^{q-2} w^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Here all integrals are taken over $\Omega$.
Proof. Multiplying (1.1) by $u$ or $w$ and integrating it over $\Omega$, we have

$$
\int|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=\int u^{q} \mathrm{~d} x, \quad \int|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w \mathrm{~d} x=\int u^{q-1} w \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Using the above identities and differentiating $R(u+t w)$ twice at $t=0$, we obtain (2.1).

The next proposition plays the most important role in the paper.
Proposition 2.3. Let $u$ be a $G$ invariant least energy solution of (1.1) and let $\Omega_{1}$ be a $G$ invariant bounded open set such that $\Omega \subset \Omega_{1}$. Let $\varphi$ be a function in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, G\right)^{\perp} \cap W^{1, \infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x<\frac{q-p}{2(2 q-p-1)} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $R((1+\varepsilon \varphi) u)<R(u)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough.
Proof. Set $v:=(1+\varepsilon \varphi) u$ and define $w:=\varphi u$. Then $v=u+\varepsilon w$. Since $u \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), w$ and $v$ belong to $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Since $u$ is a solution of (1.1), $R^{\prime}(u)$ vanishes. The Taylor theorem ensures that

$$
R(v)=R(u)+\left(\varepsilon^{2} / 2\right) R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Here $o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) / \varepsilon^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. To prove $R(v)<R(u)$ for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, we have only to show that $R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2}<0$. We substitute $w=\varphi u$ in (2.1) and compute all terms on the right hand side. We extend $u$ by setting $u(x)=0$ outside $\Omega$. By Lemma 2.1, we see that

$$
u^{q} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}, G\right), \quad \varphi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, G\right)^{\perp} \subset L^{2}\left(\Omega_{1}, G\right)^{\perp}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\int_{\Omega} u^{q-1} w \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega_{1}} u^{q} \varphi \mathrm{~d} x=0 .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla u \cdot \nabla w=|\nabla u|^{2} \varphi+u \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi, \\
|\nabla w|^{2}=|\nabla u|^{2} \varphi^{2}+2 u \varphi \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi+u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Substituting the above identities in (2.1) and putting

$$
A:=\left(\int|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x\right)^{-p / q},
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2}= & p(p-1) A \int|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.3}\\
& +2 p(p-1) A \int|\nabla u|^{p-2} u \varphi \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +p(p-2) A \int|\nabla u|^{p-4} u^{2}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +p A \int|\nabla u|^{p-2} u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x-p(q-1) A \int u^{q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, multiplying (1.1) by $u \varphi^{2}$ and integrating over $\Omega$, we see that

$$
\int u^{q} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x=\int\left(|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2}+2|\nabla u|^{p-2} u \varphi \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi\right) \mathrm{d} x .
$$

Substituting the above identity in (2.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2}= & -p(q-p) A \int|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.4}\\
& -2 p(q-p) A \int|\nabla u|^{p-2} u \varphi \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +p(p-2) A \int|\nabla u|^{p-4} u^{2}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +p A \int|\nabla u|^{p-2} u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

We use the Schwarz inequality

$$
|u \varphi \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi| \leqslant \frac{1}{4}|\nabla u|^{2} \varphi^{2}+u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}
$$

in the second integral on the right hand side of (2.4) and employ $|\nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi| \leqslant|\nabla u||\nabla \varphi|$ in the third integral. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{\prime \prime}(u) w^{2} \leqslant & -\frac{1}{2} p(q-p) A \int|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +p(2 q-p-1) A \int|\nabla u|^{p-2} u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{aligned}
$$

The right hand side is negative because of (2.2). The proof is complete.
To prove the main theorems, we need the Haar measure. Since $G$ is a compact Lie group, it has a unique Haar measure $\mathrm{d} g$. It is a positive Lebesgue measure which satisfies

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{G} f(h g) \mathrm{d} g=\int_{G} f(g h) \mathrm{d} g=\int_{G} f\left(g^{-1}\right) \mathrm{d} g=\int_{G} f(g) \mathrm{d} g \\
\int_{G} f(g) \mathrm{d} g>0 \quad \text { if } f \geqslant 0, f \neq 0, \quad \int_{G} 1 \mathrm{~d} g=1,
\end{gathered}
$$

for any $h \in G$ and any real valued integrable function $f$ on $G$ (see [9] for more details).

Let $M(N)$ be a linear space consisting of all $N \times N$ real matrices, which is equipped with the norm

$$
\|g\|:=\max _{|x| \leqslant 1}|g x| \quad \text { for } g \in M(N) .
$$

For $g_{0} \in G$ and $r>0$ we define a ball $B\left(g_{0}, r ; G\right)$ in $G$ by

$$
B\left(g_{0}, r ; G\right):=\left\{g \in G:\left\|g-g_{0}\right\|<r\right\} .
$$

Then the volume of $B\left(g_{0}, r ; G\right)$ is defined by

$$
\left|B\left(g_{0}, r ; G\right)\right|:=\int_{B\left(g_{0}, r ; G\right)} 1 \mathrm{~d} g .
$$

Using the invariance of the Haar measure, we have the next lemma.
Lemma 2.4 ([4], Lemma 5.6). Let $G$ be a closed subgroup of $O(N)$. Then the volume $\left|B\left(g_{0}, r ; G\right)\right|$ does not depend on $g_{0} \in G$ but does on $r$ only.

## 3. Proof of the main results

In this section, we prove the main theorem. Let $H$ and $G$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Since $G$ and $H$ are compact groups, we can define

$$
Q(x, g):=\min _{h \in H}|g x-h x|, \quad P(x):=\max _{g \in G} Q(x, g) .
$$

Lemma 3.1. We have

$$
|P(x)-P(y)| \leqslant 2|x-y| \quad \text { for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Proof. By the same computation as in our paper [3], Lemma 2.1 or [4], Lemma 5.5, we obtain the lemma.

Recall the assumption of Theorem 1.1 that $H(x) \varsubsetneqq G(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{U}$. This implies that $P(x)>0$ for $x \in \bar{U}$. Since $P(x)$ is continuous by Lemma 3.1, the minimum of $P(x)$ on $\bar{U}$ is positive. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta:=\frac{1}{4} \min _{\bar{U}} P(x)>0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any $x \in \bar{U}$ there exists a $g \in G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g x-h x| \geqslant 4 \delta>0 \quad \text { for any } h \in H \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we shall construct a function $\varphi$ which satisfies (2.2) and belongs to $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, H\right)$. Let $\delta>0$ be defined by (3.1). Choose $\Phi \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies $0 \leqslant \Phi(r) \leqslant 1$ in $\mathbb{R}, \Phi(r)=1$ for $r \leqslant \delta, \Phi(r)=0$ for $r \geqslant 2 \delta$ and $-2 / \delta \leqslant$ $\Phi^{\prime}(r) \leqslant 0$ in $(\delta, 2 \delta)$. Put $r=|x|$. Then $\Phi(|x|)$ is a radial function whose support is in $|x| \leqslant 2 \delta$.

Definition 3.2. We denote the Haar measures on $H$ and $G$ by $\mathrm{d} h$ and $\mathrm{d} g$, respectively. Let $x_{0} \in \Omega$ be determined later on. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x):= & \int_{G} \Phi\left(\left|x-g x_{0}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} g-\int_{H} \Phi\left(\left|x-h x_{0}\right|\right) \mathrm{d} h \\
& \operatorname{dist}(x, \Omega):=\inf \{|x-y|: y \in \Omega\} \\
& \Omega_{1}:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: \operatorname{dist}(x, \Omega)<2 \delta\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3 ([4], [5]). Function $\varphi$ belongs to $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, G\right)^{\perp} \cap H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, H\right)$.
Since $U$ is bounded, we define $M:=\sup _{x \in U}|x|$ and $\mu:=\delta / M$. Then $\mu$ depends only on $G, H$ and $U$. We denote the volume of $B\left(g_{0}, \mu ; G\right)$ by $c_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{0}:=\left|B\left(g_{0}, \mu ; G\right)\right|=\int_{B\left(g_{0}, \mu ; G\right)} 1 \mathrm{~d} g \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.4, $c_{0}$ depends not on $g_{0}$ but on $\mu$, hence it depends only on $G, H$ and $U$. Let $B(x, r)$ denote the ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ which is centered at $x$ with radius $r>0$.

Lemma 3.4 ([4], [5]). For any $x_{0} \in \Omega$, there exists a $g_{0} \in G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x) \geqslant c_{0}>0 \quad \text { for } x \in B\left(g_{0} x_{0}, \delta / 2\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\varphi \not \equiv 0$ in $\Omega$.
Let $\delta$ be defined by (3.1). We choose a finite covering $B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right)$ with $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k} \in \bar{U}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{U} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right) \quad \text { with some } k \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hereafter we fix $k$ and $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}$ which satisfy the above inclusion.

Lemma 3.5. Let $\Omega$ be a $G$ invariant subdomain of $U$ and let $u$ be a $G$ invariant least energy solution. Extend $u$ by setting $u(x)=0$ outside $\Omega$. Then there exists an $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant k \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Proof. Choose $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$ such that

$$
\int_{B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=\max _{j} \int_{B\left(y_{j}, \delta / 4\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Then we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant k \int_{B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Observe that $\Omega \cap B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise the right hand side vanishes. We choose an $x_{0} \in \Omega \cap B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right)$. Then we have

$$
\int_{B\left(y_{i}, \delta / 4\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Combining the two above inequalities, we obtain the conclusion.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\lambda_{p}$ be the first eigenvalue of (1.4). Then

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{p-2} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \lambda_{p}^{-2 / p}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p} \quad \text { for any } v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)
$$

Proof. From the variational characterization of the first eigenvalue, it follows that for $v \in W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)$,

$$
\lambda_{p} \int_{\Omega}|v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant \int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

or equivalently

$$
\|v\|_{p} \leqslant \lambda_{p}^{-1 / p}\|\nabla v\|_{p}
$$

Using this inequality with the Hölder inequality, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{p-2} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p-2}\|v\|_{p}^{2} \leqslant \lambda_{p}^{-2 / p}\|\nabla v\|_{p}^{p}
$$

Define $\delta, c_{0}$ and $k$ by (3.1), (3.3) and (3.5), respectively, and then determine $x_{0}$ by Lemma 3.5. Thus $\varphi(x)$ is well defined by Definition 3.2. To prove Theorem 1.1, we define

$$
C:=\left[32 \delta^{-2} k c_{0}^{-2}(2 q-p-1) /(q-p)\right]^{p / 2}
$$

which depends only on $G, H, U, p$ and $q$. We conclude this paper by proving Theorem 1.1.

Pro of of Theorem 1.1. Let $C$ be as above. Suppose that $\lambda_{p}(\Omega)>C$. We shall show that $\varphi$ satisfies (2.2). Since $\left|\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right| \leqslant 2 / \delta$ by the definition of $\Phi$, we have $|\nabla \varphi| \leqslant 4 / \delta$. This inequality and Lemmas 3.6 and 3.5 show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x & \leqslant 16 \delta^{-2} \lambda_{p}^{-2 / p}\|\nabla u\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \leqslant 16 \delta^{-2} \lambda_{p}^{-2 / p} k \int_{B\left(x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.4, we choose $g_{0} \in G$ satisfying (3.4). Since $u$ is $G$ invariant, the last integral is estimated as

$$
\int_{B\left(x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{B\left(g_{0} x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant c_{0}^{-2} \int_{B\left(g_{0} x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Combining the two above inequalities, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p-2} u^{2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \leqslant 16 \delta^{-2} \lambda_{p}^{-2 / p} k c_{0}^{-2} \int_{B\left(g_{0} x_{0}, \delta / 2\right)}|\nabla u|^{p} \varphi^{2} \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Since $\lambda_{p}(\Omega)>C$, we obtain (2.2). Since $\varphi \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}, H\right)$ by Lemma 3.3, $v:=(1+\varepsilon \varphi) u$ belongs to $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega, H)$. By Proposition 2.3, we conclude that $R_{H} \leqslant R(v)<R(u)=$ $R_{G}$. The proof is complete.
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