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#### Abstract

In this article, we shall establish sufficient conditions for the asymptotic stability and boundedness of solutions of a certain third order nonlinear non-autonomous delay differential equation, by using a Lyapunov function as basic tool. In doing so we extend some existing results. Examples are given to illustrate our results.
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## 1 Introduction

In this article, we establish the uniform asymptotic stability of the equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime \prime}+a(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+b(t) x^{\prime}(t)+c(t) f(x(t-r))=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the boundedness of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[g(x(t)) x^{\prime}(t)\right]^{\prime \prime}+a(t) x^{\prime \prime}(t)+b(t) x^{\prime}(t)+c(t) f(x(t-r))=p(t) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $a(t), b(t), c(t), g(x), p(t)$, and $f(x)$ continuous functions depending only on the arguments shown and $g^{\prime}(x), f^{\prime}(x)$ exist and are continuous for all $x$, $f(0)=0$.

The author in $[4,5]$ based on the results in [8] have applied the method used in [8] to construct some new Lyapunov functions to examine the asymptotic stability and boundedness of the solutions of non-linear delay differential equation described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime \prime \prime}+a(t) x^{\prime \prime}+b(t) x^{\prime}+c(t) f(x(t-r))=p(t) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p \equiv 0$ and $p \neq 0$, respectively.
The asymptotic stability and boundedness of solutions of this equation have been studied by a variety of authors over the years, and we mention only a sampling of such papers [1-15] and other references therein.

Obviously, the equation discussed in [4], Eq.(1), is a particular case of our equation (1.1). We shall use appropriate Lyapunov function and impose suitable conditions on the functions $g$ and $f$.

## 2 Preliminaries

First, we will give the preliminary definitions and the stability criteria for the general non-autonomous delay differential system. We consider

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}=f\left(t, x_{t}\right), \quad x_{t}(\theta)=x(t+\theta),-r \leq \theta \leq 0, t \geq 0, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: I \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a continuous mapping, $f(t, 0)=0, C_{H}:=\{\phi \in$ $\left.\left(C[-r, 0], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right):\|\phi\| \leq H\right\}$, and for $H_{1}<H$, there exists $L\left(H_{1}\right)>0$, with $|f(t, \phi)|<L\left(H_{1}\right)$ when $\|\phi\|<H_{1}$.

Definition 2.1 [2] An element $\psi \in C$ is in the $\omega$-limit set of $\phi$, say $\Omega(\phi)$, if $x(t, 0, \phi)$ is defined on $[0,+\infty)$ and there is a sequence $\left\{t_{n}\right\}, t_{n} \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, with $\left\|x_{t_{n}}(\phi)-\psi\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $x_{t_{n}}(\phi)=x\left(t_{n}+\theta, 0, \phi\right)$ for $-r \leq \theta \leq 0$.

Definition 2.2 [2] A set $Q \subset C_{H}$ is an invariant set if for any $\phi \in Q$, the solution of $(2.1), x(t, 0, \phi)$, is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $x_{t}(\phi) \in Q$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$.

Lemma 2.3 [1] If $\phi \in C_{H}$ is such that the solution $x_{t}(\phi)$ of $(2,1)$ with $x_{0}(\phi)=\phi$ is defined on $[0, \infty)$ and $\left\|x_{t}(\phi)\right\| \leq H_{1}<H$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$, then $\Omega(\phi)$ is a nonempty, compact, invariant set and

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{t}(\phi), \Omega(\phi)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Lemma 2.4 [1] Let $V(t, \phi): I \times C_{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous functional satisfying a local Lipschitz condition. $V(t, 0)=0$, and such that:
(i) $W_{1}(|\phi(0)|) \leq V(t, \phi) \leq W_{2}(\|\phi\|)$ where $W_{1}(r), W_{2}(r)$ are wedges.
(ii) $V_{(2,1)}^{\prime}(t, \phi) \leq 0$, for $\phi \in C_{H}$.

Then the zero solution of (2.1) is uniformly stable.
If $Z=\left\{\phi \in C_{H}: V_{(2,1)}^{\prime}(t, \phi)=0\right\}$, then the zero solution of (2.1) is asymptotically stable, provided that the largest invariant set in $Z$ is $Q=\{0\}$.

## 3 Assumptions and main results

We shall state here some assumptions which will be used on the functions that appeared in equation (1.1), and suppose that there are positive constants $a_{0}$, $b_{0}, c_{0}, d, A, B, C$, and $\varepsilon$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:
i) $0<a_{0} \leq a(t) \leq A ; 0<b_{0} \leq b(t) \leq B ; 0<c_{0} \leq c(t) \leq C$.
ii) $c(t) \leq b(t),-L \leq b^{\prime}(t) \leq c^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in[0, \infty)$.
iii) $0<m \leq g(x) \leq M$.
iv) $f(0)=0, \frac{f(x)}{x} \geq \delta_{0}>0(x \neq 0)$, and $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \delta_{1}$ for all $x$.
v) $M \delta_{1}<d<a_{0}$.
vi) $\frac{1}{2} d a^{\prime}(t)-b_{0}\left(d-M \delta_{1}\right) \leq-\varepsilon<0$.
vii) $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|g^{\prime}(u)\right| d u<\infty$.

To simplify the notation in what follows, we let

$$
\theta(t)=\frac{g^{\prime}(x(t))}{g^{2}(x(t))} x^{\prime}(t)
$$

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that assumptions (i) through (vii) hold. Then the solution $x(t)$ of (1.1) and their derivatives $x^{\prime}(t)$ and $x^{\prime \prime}(t)$ are uniformly asymptotically stable, provided that there exists $r$ satisfying

$$
r<\min \left\{\frac{2\left(a_{0}-d\right)}{M C \delta_{1}}, \frac{2 m^{3} \varepsilon}{C \delta_{1} M^{2}\left(d+d m^{2}+m\right)}\right\} .
$$

Proof We note that equation (1.1) is equivalent to the following system of differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{\prime}=\frac{1}{g(x)} y \\
& y^{\prime}=z  \tag{3.1}\\
& z^{\prime}=-\frac{a(t)}{g(x)} z+\frac{a(t) g^{\prime}(x)}{g^{3}(x)} y^{2}-\frac{b(t) y}{g(x)}-c(t) f(x)+c(t) \int_{t-r}^{t} y(s) \frac{f^{\prime}(x(s))}{g(x(s))} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

We define the Lyapunov functional $U=U\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\gamma(t)}{\mu}\right) V\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=\exp \left(-\frac{\gamma(t)}{\mu}\right) V \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma(t)=\int_{0}^{t}|\theta(s)| d s$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
V= & d c(t) F(x)+c(t) f(x) y+\frac{b(t)}{2 g(x)} y^{2}+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d}{g(x)} y z \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{d a(t)}{g^{2}(x)} y^{2}+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi d s \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

such that $F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(u) d u, \mu$ and $\lambda$ are positives constants which will be determined later. From the definition of $V$ in (3.3), we observe that the above Lyapunov functional can be rewritten as follows

$$
V=V_{1}+V_{2}+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi d s
$$

with

$$
V_{1}=d c(t) F(x)+c(t) f(x) y+\frac{b(t)}{2 g(x)} y^{2},
$$

and

$$
V_{2}=\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\frac{d}{g(x)} y z+\frac{d a(t)}{2 g^{2}(x)} y^{2} .
$$

We shall write the above expression as

$$
V_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{z^{2}+\frac{2 d}{g(x)} y z+\frac{d a(t)}{g^{2}(x)} y^{2}\right\}=\frac{1}{2}\left(z+\frac{d}{g(x)} y\right)^{2}+\frac{d(a(t)-d)}{2 g^{2}(x)} y^{2} .
$$

By (v),

$$
\frac{d(a(t)-d)}{2 g^{2}(x)} \geq \frac{d\left(a_{0}-d\right)}{2 g^{2}(x)}>0 .
$$

Thus there exist positive constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2} \geq \delta_{2} y^{2}+\delta_{3} z^{2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using the assumptions (i)-(v), and a rearranged $V_{1}$, we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =d c(t) F(x)+\frac{b(t)}{2 g(x)}\left\{y+\frac{c(t) f(x) g(x)}{b(t)}\right\}^{2}-\frac{c^{2}(t) g(x) f^{2}(x)}{2 b(t)} \\
& \geq d c(t) F(x)-\frac{c^{2}(t) g(x) f^{2}(x)}{2 b(t)} \\
& \geq d c(t)\left[F(x)-\frac{M}{2 d} f^{2}(x)\right] \\
& \geq d c(t) \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\frac{M \delta_{1}}{d}\right) f(u) d u \\
& \geq \delta_{4} \int_{0}^{x} f(u) d u,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\delta_{4}=d c_{0}\left(1-\frac{M \delta_{1}}{d}\right)>d c_{0}\left(1-\frac{d}{d}\right)=0 .
$$

Thus from (iv) we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1} \geq \frac{\delta_{4} \delta_{0}}{2} x^{2} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, from (3.5), (3.4) and (3.3), we have

$$
V \geq \delta_{2} y^{2}+\delta_{3} z^{2}+\frac{\delta_{4} \delta_{0}}{2} x^{2}+\lambda \int_{-r}^{0} \int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi d s
$$

Hence, it is evident, from the terms contained in the last inequality, that there exist sufficiently small positive constant $k$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \geq k\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the integral $\int_{t+s}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi$ is positive, where $k=\min \left\{\delta_{2} ; \delta_{3} ; \frac{\delta_{4} \delta_{0}}{2}\right\}$.
Observe that by (iii) and (vii), we get

$$
\gamma(t)=\int_{0}^{t}|\theta(s)| d s=\int_{\alpha_{1}(t)}^{\alpha_{2}(t)} \frac{\left|g^{\prime}(u)\right|}{g^{2}(u)} d u \leq \frac{1}{m^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|g^{\prime}(u)\right| d u \leq N<\infty
$$

where $\alpha_{1}(t)=\min \{x(0), x(t)\}$, and $\alpha_{2}(t)=\max \{x(0), x(t)\}$.
Therefore we can find a continuous function $W_{1}(|\Phi(0)|)$ with

$$
W_{1}(|\Phi(0)|) \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad W_{1}(|\Phi(0)|) \leq U(t, \Phi) .
$$

The existence of a continuous function $W_{2}(\|\phi\|)$ which satisfies the inequality $U(t, \phi) \leq W_{2}(\|\phi\|)$, is easily verified.

For the time derivative of the Lyapunov functional $V$, along the trajectories of the system (3.1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V= & d c^{\prime}(t) F(x)+c^{\prime}(t) y f(x)+\frac{b^{\prime}(t)}{2 g(x)} y^{2}+\frac{1}{g(x)}(d-a(t)) z^{2} \\
& +\frac{g^{\prime}(x) x^{\prime}}{g^{2}(x)}\left[(a(t)-d) z y-\frac{b(t)}{2} y^{2}\right] \\
& +\left[\frac{d a^{\prime}(t)+2 c(t) g(x) f^{\prime}(x)-2 d b(t)}{2 g^{2}(x)}\right] y^{2}+\lambda r y^{2} \\
& +c(t)\left(z+\frac{d}{g(x)} y\right) \int_{t-r}^{t} y(s) \frac{f^{\prime}(x(s))}{g(x(s))} d s-\lambda \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently by the hypothesis (i)-(vi), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V \leq & d c^{\prime}(t) F(x)+c^{\prime}(t) y f(x)+\frac{b^{\prime}(t)}{2 g(x)} y^{2} \\
& +|\theta(t)|\left[(A-d)|z y|+\frac{B}{2} y^{2}\right]-\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{M^{2}}-\lambda r\right) y^{2}-\frac{1}{M}\left(a_{0}-d\right) z^{2} \\
& +c(t)\left(z+\frac{d y}{g(x)}\right) \int_{t-r}^{t} y(s) \frac{f^{\prime}(x(s))}{g(x(s))} d s-\lambda \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

We define the function $H$ as

$$
H(t, x, y)=d c^{\prime}(t) F(x)+c^{\prime}(t) y f(x)+\frac{b^{\prime}(t)}{2 g(x)} y^{2}
$$

for all $x, y$ and $t \geq 0$. If $c^{\prime}(t)=0$, then

$$
H(t, x, y)=\frac{b^{\prime}(t)}{2 g(x)} y^{2} \leq 0
$$

If $c^{\prime}(t)<0$, the quantity $H(t, x, y)$ can be written as,

$$
H(t, x, y)=d c^{\prime}(t) H_{1}(t, x, y)
$$

where

$$
H_{1}(t, x, y) \equiv\left[F(x)+\frac{b^{\prime}(t)}{2 d g(x) c^{\prime}(t)}\left\{y+\frac{c^{\prime}(t) g(x)}{b^{\prime}(t)} f(x)\right\}^{2}-\frac{c^{\prime}(t) g(x)}{2 d b^{\prime}(t)} f^{2}(x)\right]
$$

by assumption (ii) we have $0<\frac{c^{\prime}(t)}{b^{\prime}(t)} \leq 1$, this implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{1}(t, x, y) & \geq F(x)-\frac{g(x)}{2 d} f^{2}(x) \geq F(x)-\frac{M}{2 d} f^{2}(x) \\
& \geq \int_{0}^{x}\left(1-\frac{M \delta_{1}}{d}\right) f(u) d u \geq \frac{\delta_{4}}{d c_{0}} \int_{0}^{x} f(u) d u \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows immediately that

$$
H(t, x, y)=d c^{\prime}(t) H_{1}(t, x, y) \leq 0
$$

Hence, on combining the two cases, we have $H(t, x, y) \leq 0$ for all $t \geq 0, x$ and $y$. Using the Schwartz inequality $|u v| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\theta(t)|\left[(A-d)|z y|+\frac{B}{2} y^{2}\right] & \leq|\theta(t)|\left[\frac{A-d}{2} z^{2}+\frac{A-d+B}{2} y^{2}\right] \\
& \leq k_{1}|\theta(t)|\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k_{1}=\frac{A-d+B}{2}$. Since $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \delta_{1}$, we obtain the following inequalities

$$
\frac{d c(t)}{g(x)} y \int_{t-r}^{t} \frac{y(s)}{g(x(s))} f^{\prime}(x(s)) d s \leq \frac{C \delta_{1} d r}{2 m} y^{2}+\frac{C d \delta_{1}}{2 m^{3}} \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi
$$

and

$$
c(t) z \int_{t-r}^{t} \frac{y(s)}{g(x(s))} f^{\prime}(x(s)) d s \leq \frac{C \delta_{1} r}{2} z^{2}+\frac{C \delta_{1}}{2 m^{2}} \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi
$$

With some rearrangements, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V \leq & -\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{M^{2}}-\left(\lambda+\frac{d C \delta_{1}}{2 m}\right) r\right] y^{2}-\left[\frac{a_{0}-d}{M}-\frac{C \delta_{1} r}{2}\right] z^{2} \\
& +k_{1}|\theta(t)|\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right)+\left[\frac{C \delta_{1}}{2 m^{2}}\left(1+\frac{d}{m}\right)-\lambda\right] \int_{t-r}^{t} y^{2}(\xi) d \xi
\end{aligned}
$$

If we take $\frac{C \delta_{1}}{2 m^{2}}\left(1+\frac{d}{m}\right)=\lambda$, the last inequality becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t} V \leq & -\left[\frac{\varepsilon}{M^{2}}-\frac{C \delta_{1}}{2 m}\left(d+\frac{1}{m}+\frac{d}{m^{2}}\right) r\right] y^{2}-\left[\frac{a_{0}-d}{M}-\frac{C \delta_{1} r}{2}\right] z^{2} \\
& +k_{1}|\theta(t)|\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (3.2), (3.6) and taking $\mu=\frac{k}{k_{1}}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} U= & \exp \left(-\frac{k_{1} \gamma(t)}{k}\right)\left(\frac{d}{d t} V-\frac{k_{1}|\theta(t)|}{k} V\right) \\
\leq & \exp \left(-\frac{k_{1} \gamma(t)}{k}\right)\left[-\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{M^{2}}-\frac{C \delta_{1}}{2 m}\left(d+\frac{1}{m}+\frac{d}{m^{2}}\right) r\right) y^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{a_{0}-d}{M}-\frac{C \delta_{1} r}{2}\right) z^{2}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, if

$$
r<\min \left\{\frac{2\left(a_{0}-d\right)}{M C \delta_{1}}, \frac{2 m^{3} \varepsilon}{C \delta_{1} M^{2}\left(d+d m^{2}+m\right)}\right\}
$$

the inequality (3.7) becomes

$$
\frac{d}{d t} U\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right) \leq-\beta \exp \left(-\frac{k_{1} N}{k}\right)\left(y^{2}+z^{2}\right), \quad \text { for some } \beta>0
$$

It is clear that the largest invariant set in $Z$ is $Q=\{0\}$, where

$$
Z=\left\{\phi \in C_{H}: \frac{d}{d t} U(\phi)=0\right\}
$$

Namely, the only solution of system (3.1) for which $\frac{d}{d t} U\left(t, x_{t}, y_{t}, z_{t}\right)=0$ is the solution $x=y=z=0$. Thus, under the above discussion, we conclude that the trivial solution of equation (1.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable. This fact completes the proof.

## 4 Example

In this section, we give example to illustrate our main results.
We consider the following third order non-autonomous delay differential equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(\frac{\sin x}{1+x^{2}}+2\right) x^{\prime}\right]^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{4} \sin t+\frac{1}{2}\right) x^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{1}{2+t^{2}}+1\right) x^{\prime}} \\
& \quad+\frac{1}{28}\left(\frac{1}{3+t^{2}}+\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(x(t-r)+\frac{x(t-r)}{1+x^{2}(t-r)}\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{4}=a_{0} \leq a(t)=\frac{1}{4} \sin t+\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{3}{4}, \\
a^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{4} \cos t \leq \frac{1}{4} \text { for all } t \geq 0, \\
1=b_{0} \leq b(t)=\frac{1}{2+t^{2}}+1 \leq \frac{3}{2}, \\
\frac{1}{4} \leq c(t)=\frac{1}{3+t^{2}}+\frac{1}{4} \leq \frac{7}{12}=C, \\
1 \leq g(x)=\frac{\sin x}{1+x^{2}}+2 \leq 3=M, \\
\frac{1}{28} \leq \frac{f(x)}{x}=\frac{1}{28}\left(1+\frac{1}{1+x^{2}}\right) \text { with } x \neq 0, \text { and }\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{14}=\delta_{1}, \\
M \delta_{1}=\frac{3}{14}<d<\frac{1}{4}=a_{0}, \\
\frac{1}{2} a^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{8} \cos t<b_{0}\left(1-\frac{M \delta_{1}}{d}\right)<\frac{1}{7} .
\end{gathered}
$$

A sample calculation shows

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left|g^{\prime}(u)\right| d u \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\left[\left|\frac{\cos u}{1+u^{2}}\right|+\left|\frac{2 u \sin u}{\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{2}}\right|\right] d u \leq \pi+2
$$

All the assumptions (i) through (vii) are satisfied, we can conclude using Theorem 3.1 that every solution of (4.1) is uniformly asymptotically stable.

In the case $p(t) \neq 0$ we establish the following result:
Theorem 4.1 In addition to the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, If we assume that $p(t)$ is continuous in $\mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{t} p(s) d s<\infty \quad \text { for all } t \geq 0
$$

then all solutions of the perturbed equation (1.2) are bounded.
Proof The proof of this theorem is similar to that of the proof of Theorem 2 in [5] and hence it is omitted.
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## References

[1] Burton, T. A.: Stability and periodic solutions of ordinary and functional differential equations. Mathematics in Science and Engineering 178, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1985.
[2] Burton, T. A., Makay, G.: Asymptotic stability for functional differential equations. Acta Math. Hung. 65, 3 (1994), 243-251.
[3] Greaf, J. R., Remili, M.: Some properties of monotonic solutions of $x^{\prime \prime \prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}+$ $q(t) f(x)=0$. Pan. American Math. J. 22, 2 (2012), 31-39.
[4] Omeike, M. O.: New results on the stability of solution of some non-autonomous delay differential equations of the third order. Differential Equations and Control Processes 2010, 1 (2010), 18-29.
[5] Omeike, M. O.: Stability and boundedness of solutions of some non-autonomous delay differential equation of the third order. An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.) 55, 1 (2009), 49-58.
[6] Sadek, A. I.: On the Stability of Solutions of Some Non-Autonomous Delay Differential Equations of the Third Order. Asymptot. Anal. 43, 1-2 (2005), 1-7.
[7] Sadek, A. I.: Stability and Boundedness of a Kind of Third-Order Delay Differential System. Applied Mathematics Letters 16, 5 (2003), 657-662.
[8] Swick, K.: On the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some non- autonomous differential equations of the third order. J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 347-359.
[9] Tunç, C.: On asymptotic stability of solutions to third order nonlinear differential equations with retarded argument. Communications in applied analysis 11, 4 (2007), 515-528.
[10] Tunç, C.: On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of certain third-order nonlinear differential equations. J. Appl. Math. Stoch. Anal. 2005, 1 (2005), 29-35.
[11] Tunç, C.: On the stability and boundedness of solutions to third order nonlinear differential equations with retarded argument. Nonlinear Dynamics 57 (2009), 97-106.
[12] Tunç, C.: Some stability and boundedness conditions for non-autonomous differential equations with deviating arguments. E. J. Qualitative Theory of Diff. Equ. 2010, 1 (2005), 1-12.
[13] Tunç, C.: Stability and boundedness of solutions of nonlinear differential equations of third-order with delay. Differential Equations and Control Processes 2007, 3 (2007), 1-13.
[14] Yoshizawa, T.: Stability theory by Liapunov's second method. The Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 1966.
[15] Zhu, Y. F.: On stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solution of a kind of third order nonlinear delay differential system. Ann. Differential Equations 8, 2 (1992), 249-259.


[^0]:    * Corresponding author

