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# Symmetric products of the Euclidean spaces and the spheres 

Naotsugu Chinen


#### Abstract

By $F_{n}(X), n \geq 1$, we denote the $n$-th symmetric product of a metric space ( $X, d$ ) as the space of the non-empty finite subsets of $X$ with at most $n$ elements endowed with the Hausdorff metric $d_{H}$. In this paper we shall describe that every isometry from the $n$-th symmetric product $F_{n}(X)$ into itself is induced by some isometry from $X$ into itself, where $X$ is either the Euclidean space or the sphere with the usual metrics. Moreover, we study the $n$-th symmetric product of the Euclidean space up to bi-Lipschitz equivalence and present that the 2nd symmetric product of the plane is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to the 4 -dimensional Euclidean space.
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## 1. Introduction

As an interesting construction in topology, Borsuk and Ulam [4] introduced the $n$-th symmetric product of a metric space $(X, d)$, denoted by $F_{n}(X)$. Recall that $F_{n}(X)$ is the space of non-empty finite subsets of $X$ with at most $n$ elements endowed with the Hausdorff metric $d_{H}$, i.e., $F_{n}(X)=\{A \subset X: 1 \leq|A| \leq n\}$ and $d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)=\inf \left\{\epsilon: A \subset B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}, \epsilon\right)\right.$ and $\left.A^{\prime} \subset B_{d}(A, \epsilon)\right\}=\max \left\{d\left(a, A^{\prime}\right), d\left(a^{\prime}, A\right):\right.$ $\left.a \in A, a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}\right\}$ for any $A, A^{\prime} \in F_{n}(X)$ (see [12, p. 6]). It was proved in [4] that $F_{n}(\mathbb{I})$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{I}^{n}\left(\right.$ written $\left.F_{n}(\mathbb{I}) \approx \mathbb{I}^{n}\right)$ if and only if $1 \leq n \leq 3$ (cf. Remark 4.19 below), and that for $n \geq 4, F_{n}(\mathbb{I})$ cannot be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\mathbb{I}=[0,1]$ has the usual metric. A considerable number of studies have been made on the topological structures of $F_{n}(X)$. For example, Molski [15] showed that $F_{2}\left(\mathbb{I}^{2}\right) \approx \mathbb{I}^{4}($ cf. Remark 4.19 below $)$, and that for $n \geq 3$ neither $F_{n}\left(\mathbb{I}^{2}\right)$ nor $F_{2}\left(\mathbb{I}^{n}\right)$ can be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$.

For the symmetric products of $\mathbb{R}$, it is easily seen that $F_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \approx\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}\right.$ : $x \leq y\} \approx \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$. Indeed, the map $h:\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x \leq y\right\} \rightarrow F_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ defined by $h(x, y)=\{x, y\}$ is a homeomorphism. It was known that $F_{3}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ are homeomorphic, in particular, there is a bi-Lipschitz equivalence (see [6] or Section 4 for details). Turning toward the symmetric product $F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ of the circle $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, in [10], it was proved that for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, both $F_{2 n-1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ and $F_{2 n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ have the
same homotopy type of the $(2 n-1)$-sphere $\mathbb{S}^{2 n-1}$. In [8], Bott corrected Borsuk's statement [5] and showed that $F_{3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \approx \mathbb{S}^{3}$. In [10], another proof of it was given.

For a metric space $(X, d)$, we denote by $\operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X)(\operatorname{Isom}(X)$ for short) the group of all isometries from $X$ into itself, i.e., $\phi: X \rightarrow X \in \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X)$ if $\phi$ is a bijection satisfying that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=d\left(\phi(x), \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Every isometry $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ induces an isometry $\chi_{n}(\phi):\left(F_{n}(X), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(F_{n}(X), d_{H}\right)$ defined by $\chi_{n}(\phi)(A)=\phi(A)$ for each $A \in F_{n}(X)$. Thus, there exists a natural monomorphism $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$. It is clear that $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ is an isomorphism if and only if $\chi_{n}$ is an epimorphism, i.e., for every $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ there exists $\phi \in \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X)$ such that $\Phi=\chi_{n}(\phi)$.

Recently, Borovikova and Ibragimov [6] proved that $\left(F_{3}(\mathbb{R}), d_{H}\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d\right)$ and that $\chi_{3}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{3}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ is an isomorphism, where $\mathbb{R}$ has the usual metric $d$. It is of interest to know whether $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ is an isomorphism for a metric space $(X, d)$. In the first part of this paper, we prove the following result which is a generalization of the result above and the affirmative answer to [7, p. 60, Conjecture 2.1].

Theorem 1.1. Let $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $X$ be either $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{l}$ with the usual metric $d$. Then $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ is an isomorphism for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We note that there exists a compact metric space $(X, d)$ such that neither $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ is an isomorphism for $n>1$ (see Section 3).

In the second part of this paper, we wish to find a metric space which is biLipschitz equivalent to $\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right)$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. In [14], by use of the minimal element in $A \in F_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, it is proved that for every $n \geq 2, F_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ is biLipschitz equivalent to the product of $\mathbb{R}$ with the open cone over some compact subset of $F_{n}(\mathbb{I})$. In Section 4 , for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, by use of the Chebyshev center of $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$, we construct a homeomorphism $h_{\text {cheb }}$ from $F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ to the product of $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ with the open cone $\operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb, }, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)$ over some compact subset $F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$ of $F_{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$ and indicate that $h_{\text {cheb }}$ is a bi-Lipschitz equivalence map if and only if either $l=1$ or $n=2$ holds. Moreover, we show that $\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), d_{H}\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, d\right)$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Notation 2.1. Let us denote the set of all natural numbers and real numbers by $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{R}$, respectively. Let $d$ be the usual metric on $\mathbb{R}^{l}$, i.e., $d(x, y)=$ $\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}$ for any $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$. Write $\mathbb{S}^{l}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l+1}: \sum_{i=1}^{l+1} x_{i}^{2}=1\right\}$ with the length metric $d$. See [9] for length metrics. Denote the identity map from $X$ into itself by $\mathrm{id}_{X}$.

Definition 2.2. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, let $x \in X$, let $Y, Z$ be subsets of $X$ and let $\epsilon>0$. Set $\operatorname{diam} Y=\sup \left\{d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right): y, y^{\prime} \in Y\right\}, d(Y, Z)=\inf \{d(y, x)$ : $y \in Y, z \in Z\}, B_{d}(Y, \epsilon)=\{x \in X: d(x, Y) \leq \epsilon\}$ and $S_{d}(Y, \epsilon)=\{x \in X:$
$d(x, Y)=\epsilon\}$. If $Y=\{y\}$, for simplicity of notation, we write $B_{d}(y, \epsilon)=B_{d}(Y, \epsilon)$ and $S_{d}(y, \epsilon)=S_{d}(Y, \epsilon)$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the $n$-th symmetric product of $X$ is defined by

$$
F_{n}(X)=\{A \subset X: 1 \leq|A| \leq n\}
$$

endowed with the Hausdorff metric $d_{H}$, i.e., $d_{H}(A, B)=\inf \left\{\epsilon: A \subset B_{d}(B, \epsilon)\right.$ and $\left.B \subset B_{d}(A, \epsilon)\right\}=\max \{d(a, B), d(b, A): a \in A, b \in B\}$ for any $A, B \in F_{n}(X)$ (see [12, p. 6]). Here $|A|$ is the cardinality of $A$. Write $F_{(m)}(X)=\{A \subset X:|A|=m\}$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\operatorname{Isom}(X, Y)=\{\phi \in \operatorname{Isom}(X): \phi(y)=y$ for each $y \in Y\}$ for $Y \subset X$. Set $r(A)=\min \left\{\{1\} \cup\left\{d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right): a, a^{\prime} \in A, a \neq a^{\prime}\right\}\right\}$ for each $A \in F_{n}(X)$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. Then, $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}(X) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ is an isomorphism if and only if
(1) $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}(X)} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{1}(X)\right)$ for each $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$, and
(2) $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X), F_{1}(X)\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F_{n}(X)}\right\}$.

Proof: The part of "only if" is easy from the definition of $\chi_{n}$.
Suppose that (1) and (2) hold. Let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ and let $\phi=\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}(X)} \in$ $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{1}(X)\right)$. Set $\Phi^{\prime}=\chi_{n}\left(\phi^{-1}\right) \circ \Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$. We claim that $\left.\Phi^{\prime}\right|_{F_{1}(X)}=$ id $\left.\right|_{F_{1}(X)}$. Indeed, $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}(X)}=\left.\chi_{n}(\phi)\right|_{F_{1}(X)}$ and $\chi_{n}\left(\phi^{-1}\right)=\chi_{n}(\phi)^{-1}$. By assumption, we have that $\Phi^{\prime}=\operatorname{id}_{F_{n}(X)}$, therefore, $\Phi=\chi_{n}(\phi)$, which completes the proof.

## 3. Isometries on symmetric products

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\epsilon>0$ and let $A \in F_{n}(X)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(A, \epsilon)=\sup \left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in S_{d_{H}}(A, \epsilon), d_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)=2 \epsilon\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq k\} .
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X$ be either $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ or $\mathbb{S}^{l}$ and let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$. Then, $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}(X)} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{1}(X)\right)$.

Proof: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. Let $x \in X$, let $\epsilon>0$ with $\epsilon<1$ and let $y \in B_{d}(x, \epsilon)$. It is clear that
(i) if $y \in S_{d}(x, \epsilon)$, then there exists the unique $y^{\prime} \in B_{d}(x, \epsilon)$ such that $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=2 \epsilon$, and
(ii) if $y \notin S_{d}(x, \epsilon)$, then there exists no $y^{\prime} \in B_{d}(x, \epsilon)$ such that $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=2 \epsilon$.

Let $A \in F_{1}(X)$. We show that $D_{n}(A, \epsilon)=3$. It follows from (i) and (ii) that for any $B, C \in F_{n}\left(B_{d}(A, \epsilon)\right) \backslash F_{1}\left(B_{d}(A, \epsilon)\right)$ we have $d_{H}(B, C)<2 \epsilon$, and that for any $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m} \in S_{d_{H}}(A, \epsilon) \cap F_{1}(X)$ with $d_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)=2 \epsilon$ for $1 \leq i<j \leq m$ we see that $m \leq 2$. This shows that $D_{n}(A, \epsilon) \leq 3$.

Let $a, a^{\prime} \in S_{d}(A, \epsilon)$ with $d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=2 \epsilon$. Set $B_{1}=\{a\}, B_{2}=\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}$ and $B_{3}=$ $\left\{a, a^{\prime}\right\}$. Then, $B_{j} \in S_{d_{H}}(A, \epsilon)$ for each $j=1,2,3$ and $d_{H}\left(B_{j}, B_{j^{\prime}}\right)=2 \epsilon$ whenever $j \neq j^{\prime}$. Hence, $D_{n}(A, \epsilon) \geq 3$. Therefore, $D_{n}(A, \epsilon)=3$.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 2$, let $A=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right\} \in F_{(m)}(X)$ and let $\epsilon>0$ with $\epsilon<r(A) / 5$. We show that $D_{n}(A, \epsilon)>3$. For every $j=1, \ldots, m$ and $k=0,1$, let $a_{j, k} \in S_{d}\left(a_{j}, \epsilon\right)$ such that $d\left(a_{j, 0}, a_{j, 1}\right)=2 \epsilon$. Set $A_{\theta}=\left\{a_{1, \theta_{1}}, \ldots, a_{m, \theta_{m}}\right\}$ for each $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{m}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{m}$. We see that $A_{\theta} \in S_{d_{H}}(A, \epsilon)$ for each $\theta \in\{0,1\}^{m}$ and that $d_{H}\left(A_{\theta}, A_{\theta^{\prime}}\right)=2 \epsilon$ whenever $\theta \neq \theta^{\prime}$, therefore, $D_{n}(A, \epsilon) \geq 2^{m} \geq 2^{2}>3$.

Let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$, let $A \in F_{n}(X)$ and let $\epsilon>0$ be such that $\epsilon<$ $\min \{r(A), r(\Phi(A))\}$. From the definition of $D_{n}(A, \epsilon)$, we obtain $D_{n}(A, \epsilon)=$ $D_{n}(\Phi(A), \epsilon)$. By the above, we see that $A \in F_{1}(X)$ if and only if $\Phi(A) \in F_{1}(X)$. Therefore, $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}(X)} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{1}(X)\right)$.
Corollary 3.3. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $d$ be a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ as in Notation 2.1. Suppose that $\mathbb{S}^{l}$ has a metric $\rho=\left.d\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{l}}$. Let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}_{\rho_{H}}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right)$. Then, $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)} \in$ $\operatorname{Isom}_{\rho_{H}}\left(F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right)$.
Proof: Let $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)$ and let $\epsilon>0$ be such that $\epsilon<r(A) / 5$. Define $r_{\epsilon}=$ $\operatorname{diam} B_{\rho}((1,0, \ldots, 0), \epsilon)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{n}^{\prime}(A, \epsilon)=\sup \{k \in \mathbb{N}: & A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in S_{\rho_{H}}(A, \epsilon) \\
& \left.\rho_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)=r_{\epsilon} \text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq k\right\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Analysis similar to that for $D_{n}(A, \epsilon)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.2 can show that $D_{n}^{\prime}(A, \epsilon)=3$ if and only if $A \in F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)$. Therefore, $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)} \in \operatorname{Isom}_{\rho_{H}}\left(F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right)$.

Notation 3.4. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Denote the minimal convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ containing $A$ by $\operatorname{conv}(A)$, and the set of all vertices of $\operatorname{conv}(A)$ by $\operatorname{conv}(A)^{(0)}$ (see [17] for details). We note that $\operatorname{conv}(A)^{(0)}$ is contained in $A$.
Lemma 3.5. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)$. Then, $\operatorname{conv}(A)^{(0)} \subset \Phi(A) \subset \operatorname{conv}(A)$.

Proof: Let $a \in \operatorname{conv}(A)^{(0)}$. We show that $a \in \Phi(A)$. Let $H$ be a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ with dimension $l-1$ such that $H \cap \operatorname{conv}(A)=\{a\}$, let $C$ be the closed half-space bounded by $H$ containing $\operatorname{conv}(A)$, and let $L$ be the line containing $a$ which is vertical to $H$. See [17] for hyperplanes and half-spaces. There exists $x \in C \cap L$ such that $\operatorname{conv}(A) \subset B_{d}(x, r)$ and $\operatorname{conv}(A) \cap S_{d}(x, r)=\{a\}$, where $r=d(x, a)$.

Since $d_{H}(\{x\}, \Phi(A))=d_{H}(\Phi(\{x\}), \Phi(A))=d_{H}(\{x\}, A)=r$, we have that $\Phi(A) \subset B_{d}(x, r)$ and $S_{d}(x, r) \cap \Phi(A) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x^{\prime} \in C \cap L$ such that $r^{\prime}=$ $d\left(x^{\prime}, a\right)>r$. By a similar argument, we see that $S_{d}\left(x^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) \cap \Phi(A) \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{d}\left(x^{\prime}, r^{\prime}\right) \cap B_{d}(x, r)=\{a\}$. Thus, $a \in \Phi(A)$.

We show that $\Phi(A) \subset \operatorname{conv}(A)$. If similar arguments apply to $\Phi(A)$ and $\Phi^{-1}$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{conv}(\Phi(A))^{(0)} \subset \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(A))=A
$$

Therefore, $\Phi(A) \subset \operatorname{conv}\left(\operatorname{conv}(\Phi(A))^{(0)}\right) \subset \operatorname{conv}(A)$.

Definition 3.6. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\epsilon>0$ and let $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Define $S_{d_{H}}^{c}(A, \epsilon)=$ $\left\{B \in S_{d_{H}}(A, \epsilon): \operatorname{conv}(A)=\operatorname{conv}(B)\right\}$, and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{n}^{c}(A, \epsilon)=\sup \left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k} \in S_{d_{H}}^{c}(A, \epsilon), d_{H}\left(A_{i}, A_{j}\right)=2 \epsilon\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
\text { for } 1 \leq i<j \leq k\}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 3.7. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)$. Then, $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)}=$ $\operatorname{id}_{F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)}$.
Proof: Let $A \in F_{(2)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Since $A=\operatorname{conv}(A)^{(0)}$, by Lemma 3.5, $A \subset \Phi(A)$. Thus, if $\Phi(A) \in F_{(2)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$, then $A=\Phi(A)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\Phi(A) \in F_{(2)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. We may assume that $n \geq 3$.

Suppose that $l=1$. By $[7], \Phi\left(F_{(2)}(\mathbb{R})\right)=F_{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$, but we give another short proof of it. Let $A \in F_{(2)}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\epsilon>0$ with $\epsilon<r(A) / 5$. We claim that $D_{n}^{c}(A, \epsilon)=1$. Indeed, on the contrary, suppose that $D_{n}^{c}(A, \epsilon) \geq 2$, i.e., there exist $A_{1}, A_{2} \in S_{d_{H}}^{c}(A, \epsilon)$ such that $d_{H}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=2 \epsilon$. Since $A \subset A_{1} \cap A_{2}, A_{1} \cup A_{2} \subset$ $B_{d}(A, \epsilon) \subset B_{d}\left(A_{1}, \epsilon\right) \cap B_{d}\left(A_{2}, \epsilon\right)$, thus $d_{H}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right) \leq \epsilon$, a contradiction.

Let $B \in F_{(m)}(\mathbb{R})$ with $3 \leq m \leq n$ and let $\epsilon>0$ with $\epsilon<r(B) / 5$. We claim that $D_{n}^{c}(B, \epsilon) \geq 2$. Indeed, if we choose $b \in B \backslash\{\min B$, $\max B\}$, we define $B_{1}=(B \backslash\{b\}) \cup\{b-\epsilon\}$ and $B_{2}=(B \backslash\{b\}) \cup\{b+\epsilon\}$. Then, $B_{1}, B_{2} \in S_{d_{H}}^{c}(B, \epsilon)$ and $d_{H}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)=2 \epsilon$, thus, $D_{n}^{c}(B, \epsilon) \geq 2$.

Let $A \in F_{n}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash F_{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\epsilon>0$ with $\epsilon<\min \{r(A) / 5, r(\Phi(A)) / 5\}$. By Lemma 3.5, $\Phi\left(S_{d_{H}}^{c}(A, \epsilon)\right)=S_{d_{H}}^{c}(\Phi(A), \epsilon)$. Thus, $D_{n}^{c}(A, \epsilon)=D_{n}^{c}(\Phi(A), \epsilon)$. By the above, $\Phi(A) \in F_{(2)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$.

Suppose that $l \geq 2$. Let $A \in F_{(2)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and let $L$ be the line in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ containing $A$. By Lemma 3.5, $\Phi\left(F_{n}(L)\right)=F_{n}(L)$, i.e., $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{n}(L)} \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(L)\right)$. Applying to the case $l=1, \Phi(A)=A$, which completes the proof.
Lemma 3.8. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)}\right\}$.
Proof: Let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)$ and let $A \in F_{(m)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. We show that $\Phi(A) \subset A$. On the contrary, suppose that there exists $z \in \Phi(A) \backslash A$. By Lemma 3.5, we note that $\operatorname{conv}(A)^{(0)} \subset \Phi(A) \subset \operatorname{conv}(A)$. There exist a hyperplane $H$ in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ with dimension $l-1$ containing $z$ and a line $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ containing $z$ such that $H$ is vertical to $L, A \cap H=\emptyset$, and, $A \cap C_{k} \neq \emptyset$ for $k=0,1$, where $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are the closed half-spaces bounded by $H$ with $C_{0} \cup C_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{l}$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.5, there exist a sufficiently large $r>0$ and $x_{k} \in L \cap \operatorname{Int}_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} C_{k}$ for $k=0,1$ such that $r=d\left(x_{0}, z\right)=d\left(x_{1}, z\right), A \cap\left(S_{d}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup S_{d}\left(x_{1}, r\right)\right)=\emptyset$, and $A \subset B_{d}\left(x_{0}, r\right) \cup$ $B_{d}\left(x_{1}, r\right)$. Set $A_{1}=\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}$. Since $d\left(z, A_{1}\right)=r$, we see $d_{H}\left(\Phi(A), A_{1}\right) \geq r$. Since $A \cap S_{d}\left(A_{1}, r\right)=\emptyset, A \subset B_{d}\left(A_{1}, r\right)$ and $A_{1} \subset B_{d}(A, r)$, we have $d_{H}\left(A, A_{1}\right)<r$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $r \leq d_{H}\left(\Phi(A), A_{1}\right)=d_{H}\left(\Phi(A), \Phi\left(A_{1}\right)\right)=d_{H}\left(A, A_{1}\right)<r$, a contradiction.

If similar arguments apply to $\Phi(A)$ and $\Phi^{-1}$, we obtain $A=\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(A)) \subset$ $\Phi(A)$, therefore, $A=\Phi(A)$, which completes the proof.
Lemma 3.9. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)}\right\}$.

Proof: Let $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right), m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \leq m \leq n$ and let $A \in$ $F_{(m)}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)$. We show that $A=\Phi(A)$. Let $a \in A$ and let $a^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}^{l}$ be the anti-point of $a$. Since $d_{H}\left(\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}, \Phi(A)\right)=d_{H}\left(\Phi\left(\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}\right), \Phi(A)\right)=d_{H}\left(\left\{a^{\prime}\right\}, A\right)=\pi$, we have $a \in \Phi(A)$, therefore, $A \subset \Phi(A)$. If similar arguments apply to $\Phi(A)$ and $\Phi^{-1}$, we obtain $\Phi(A) \subset \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(A))=A$, therefore, $A=\Phi(A)$, which completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By Lemmas 3.2, 3.8 and 3.9, the conditions in Lemma 2.3 hold for $(X, d)$, which completes the proof.
Corollary 3.10. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $d$ be a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{l+1}$ as in Notation 2.1. Suppose $\mathbb{S}^{l}$ has a metric $\rho=\left.d\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{l}}$. Then $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{\rho}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{\rho_{H}}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right)$ is an isomorphism for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof: By similar arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.9, we have $\operatorname{Isom}_{\rho_{H}}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right), F_{1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)\right)=\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}\right)}\right\}$. By Corollary 3.3, the conditions in Lemma 2.3 hold for $\left(\mathbb{S}^{l}, \rho\right)$, which completes the proof.
Question 3.11. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. Is $\chi_{n}: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ an isomorphism when
(1) $X$ is a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{l}$,
(2) $X$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-tree (see [3] for $\mathbb{R}$-trees) or
(3) $X$ is the hyperbolic l-space (see [9] for the hyperbolic l-space)?

Remark 3.12. Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $2 \leq n \leq m$ and let $(X, d)$ be an $m$-points discrete metric space satisfying that $d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=1$ whenever $x \neq x^{\prime}$. Then, $F_{n}(X)$ is a discrete metric space such that $d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)=1$ for any $A, A^{\prime} \in F_{n}(X)$ with $A \neq A^{\prime}$. Thus, $|\operatorname{Isom}(X)|=|X|!<\left|F_{n}(X)\right|!=\left|\operatorname{Isom}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)\right|$, therefore, $\chi_{n}$ : $\operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}\left(F_{n}(X)\right)$ is not an isomorphism.

By $\left[1\right.$, p. 182], there exists $\Phi \in \operatorname{Isom}_{\xi_{H}}\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right) \backslash\left\{\operatorname{id}_{F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}\right\}$ such that $\left.\Phi\right|_{F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}=$ $\operatorname{id}_{F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, $\chi_{2}: \operatorname{Isom}_{\xi}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{\xi_{H}}\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)\right)$ is not an isomorphism.
Remark 3.13. Recall that $F(X)$ is the space of non-empty compact subsets of a metric space $(X, d)$ endowed with the Hausdorff metric $d_{H}$. Similarly, we can define a natural monomorphism $\chi: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}(F(X))$. There are quite general results for some underlying spaces $X$ corresponding to Theorem 1.1 and Question 3.11 on an epimorphism $\chi: \operatorname{Isom}_{d}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{Isom}_{d_{H}}(F(X))$ (see [1] and [11]).

## 4. Bi-Lipschitz equivalence

Definition 4.1. Let $K>0$ and let $f:(X, d) \rightarrow(Y, \rho)$ be a map from a metric space $(X, d)$ to a metric space $(Y, \rho)$. The map $f$ is said to $K$-Lipschitz if for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X, \rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq K d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$. If $f$ is a bijection and for any $x, x^{\prime} \in X$,

$$
K^{-1} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) \leq \rho\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq K d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

then $f$ is said to be $K$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence (bi-Lipschitz equivalence for short).

Remark 4.2. Let $d$ be a metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as in Notation 2.1, let $\rho=\left.d\right|_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}$ be a metric on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$, and let $\theta$ be the length metric on $\mathbb{S}^{1}$. We see that the identity map id $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ : $\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \rho\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right)$ is a $\pi$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map. Indeed, $\rho<\theta$ and, for every $x_{t}=e^{2 \pi i t} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$, we have that $\pi^{2} \rho\left(x_{0}, x_{t}\right)^{2}-\theta\left(x_{0}, x_{t}\right)^{2}=2 \pi^{2}(1-\cos t)-t^{2} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq \pi / 3$, and that $\pi \rho\left(x_{0}, x_{t}\right) \geq \pi \geq t=\theta\left(x_{0}, x_{t}\right)$ for $\pi / 3 \leq t \leq \pi$, therefore $\theta \leq \pi \rho$.

Notation 4.3. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $t \in[0, \infty)$, let $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right), x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{l}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$ and let $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Write $a \pm x=\left(a_{1} \pm x_{1}, \ldots, a_{l} \pm x_{l}\right)$, ta=( $\left.t a_{1}, \ldots, t a_{l}\right)$, $A \pm x=\{a \pm x: a \in A\}$ and $t A=\{t a: a \in A\}$.
Definition 4.4. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$, let $z_{0}=(0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, let $c$ : $\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}, d\right)$ be a map, and let $F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)=\left\{A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right): c(A)=z_{0}\right\}$. Let us define two maps $\bar{c}_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and $\bar{c}_{1}: F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ by $\bar{c}_{0}(x, A)=A+x$ and $\bar{c}_{1}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right), A^{\prime}-c\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for each $A \in F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$, each $A^{\prime} \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$.

The proof of the following lemma is based on the proof of [14, Lemma 2.4].
Lemma 4.5. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$, let $c:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}, d\right)$ be a map and let $\bar{c}_{0}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), \rho\right) \rightarrow\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right)$ and $\bar{c}_{1}:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), \rho\right)$ be two maps as in Definition 4.4, where $\rho=\sqrt{d^{2}+d_{H}^{2}}$ is the metric compatible with the topology on $\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Then, the following statements hold.
(1) The map $\bar{c}_{0}$ is a $\sqrt{2}$-Lipschitz map.
(2) If the map $c$ is a $K$-Lipschitz map for some $K>0$, then the map $\bar{c}_{1}$ is a $\sqrt{2 K^{2}+2 K+1}$-Lipschitz map.
(3) If $c(A+x)=c(A)+x$ for each $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, then $\bar{c}_{1}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)=\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and $\bar{c}_{1}{ }^{-1}=\bar{c}_{0}$.
(4) If $c$ satisfies (2) and (3), then the map $\bar{c}_{1}:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), \rho\right)$ is a $K^{\prime}$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map, where $K^{\prime}=$ $\max \left\{\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2 K^{2}+2 K+1}\right\}$.

Proof: (1) Let $(x, A),\left(x^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$, let $\epsilon>0$ such that $A \subset B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}, \epsilon\right)$ and $A^{\prime} \subset B_{d}(A, \epsilon)$ and let $a \in A$. Then, there exists $a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ such that $d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon$. Thus,

$$
d\left(a+x, a^{\prime}+x^{\prime}\right)=d\left(a, a^{\prime}+x^{\prime}-x\right) \leq d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+d\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime}+x^{\prime}-x\right) \leq \epsilon+d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)
$$

Hence, $a+x \in B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}+x^{\prime}, \epsilon+d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, therefore, $A+x \subset B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}+x^{\prime}, \epsilon+d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Similarly, $A^{\prime}+x^{\prime} \subset B_{d}\left(A+x, \epsilon+d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$. We conclude that $d_{H}\left(A+x, A^{\prime}+x^{\prime}\right)^{2} \leq$ $\left\{d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)+d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right\}^{2} \leq 2\left\{d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right\}=2 \rho\left((x, A),\left(x^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)\right)^{2}$, hence, the map $\bar{c}_{0}$ is a $\sqrt{2}$-Lipschitz map.
(2) Let $A, A^{\prime} \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and let $\epsilon>0$ such that $A \subset B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}, \epsilon\right)$ and $A^{\prime} \subset B_{d}(A, \epsilon)$. Let $a \in A$. Then, there exists $a^{\prime} \in A^{\prime}$ such that $d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)<\epsilon$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(a-c(A), a^{\prime}-c\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) & =d\left(a, a^{\prime}-\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right)-c(A)\right)\right) \\
& \leq d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+d\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime}-\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right)-c(A)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =d\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+d\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right), c(A)\right) \\
& <\epsilon+d\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right), c(A)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $a-c(A) \in B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}, \epsilon+d\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right), c(A)\right)\right)$, therefore, $A-c(A) \subset B_{d}\left(A^{\prime}, \epsilon+\right.$ $\left.d\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right), c(A)\right)\right)$. Similarly, we obtain $A^{\prime}-c\left(A^{\prime}\right) \subset B_{d}\left(A, \epsilon+d\left(c\left(A^{\prime}\right), c(A)\right)\right)$. We conclude

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{H}\left(A-c(A), A^{\prime}-c\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) & \leq d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)+d\left(c(A), c\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)+K d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)=(K+1) d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, the map $\bar{c}_{1}$ is a $\left(\sqrt{2 K^{2}+2 K+1}\right)$-Lipschitz map.
(3) By assumption, it is clear that $\bar{c}_{1}\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\right)=\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. Let $A \in F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$. Then $\bar{c}_{1} \circ \bar{c}_{0}(x, A)=\bar{c}_{1}(A+x)=(c(A+x), A+x-c(A+x))=$ $(c(A)+x, A+x-(c(A)+x))=(x, A)$. Therefore, $\bar{c}_{1} \circ \bar{c}_{0}=\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)}$. It is clear that $\bar{c}_{0} \circ \bar{c}_{1}=\operatorname{id}_{F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)}$.
(4) It is clear from (1), (2) and (3).

Definition 4.6 ([14]). Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space with $\operatorname{diam} X \leq 2$. The quotient space Cone $^{o}(X)=X \times[0, \infty) / X \times\{0\}$ is called an open cone over $X$. Let $p: X \times[0, \infty) \rightarrow \operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)$ be the natural projection. Denote $p(x, t)$ by $[x, t] \in \operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)$. Let us define a metric $d_{c}$ on Cone ${ }^{o}(X)$ compatible with the topology on Cone ${ }^{\circ}(X)$ by

$$
d_{c}\left([x, t],\left[x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right]\right)=\min \left\{t, t^{\prime}\right\} d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|
$$

for any $[x, t],\left[x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right] \in \operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)$.
Remark 4.7. Let $(X, d)$ and $(Y, \rho)$ be metric spaces and let $f:(X, d) \rightarrow(Y, \rho)$ be a $K$-Lipschitz map for some $K>0$. Then, $\chi_{n}(f):\left(F_{n}(X), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(F_{n}(Y), \rho_{H}\right)$ defined by $\chi_{n}(f)(A)=f(A)$ for each $A \in F_{n}(X)$ is a $K$-Lipschitz map. If $\max \{\operatorname{diam} X, \operatorname{diam} Y\} \leq 2$ and $K \geq 1$, then $\bar{f}:\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X), d_{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(Y), \rho_{c}\right)$ defined by $\bar{f}([x, t])=[f(x), t]$ for each $[x, t] \in \operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)$ is a $K$-Lipschitz map.

The following lemma is obtained from the proof of [14, Lemma 2.2].
Lemma 4.8. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space with $\operatorname{diam} X \leq 2$, let $K>0$, and let $\rho$ be a metric on $\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)$ compatible with the topology on $\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)$ such that
(1) $\rho\left([x, t],\left[x^{\prime}, t\right]\right)=t d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$,
(2) $\rho\left([x, t],\left[x^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|$, and,
(3) $\rho\left([x, t],\left[x, t^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq K\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|$
for any $t, t^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ and any $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. Then, $\operatorname{id}_{\text {Cone }^{o}(X)}:\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X), \rho\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X), d_{c}\right)$ is a $K$-Lipschitz map and $\operatorname{id}_{\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X)}:\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}(X), d_{c}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}(X), \rho\right)$ is a $(K+2)$-Lipschitz map and, thus, $\operatorname{id}_{\text {Cone }^{o}(X)}$ is a $(K+2)$ -bi-Lipschitz equivalence map.

Definition 4.9. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$, let $\mathbb{B}^{l}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}: d\left(x, z_{0}\right) \leq 1\right\}$, and let $c: F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l}$ be a map. Set $F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)=\left\{A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right): c(A)=z_{0}\right.$ and
$\left.d_{H}\left(\left\{z_{0}\right\}, A\right)=1\right\}$. Let us define $\widetilde{c}: \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right) \rightarrow F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ by $\widetilde{c}([A, t])=t A$ for each $A \in F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$ and each $t \in[0, \infty)$.

The proof of the following lemma is based on the proof of [14, Lemma 2.4].
Lemma 4.10. If $c(t A)=z_{0}$ for each $A \in F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and each $t \in[0, \infty)$, then $\widetilde{c}\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)\right)=F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and $\widetilde{c}:\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right),\left(d_{H}\right)_{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right)$ is a 3-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map, where $\widetilde{c}$ is the map as in Definition 4.9. In particular, $\widetilde{c}$ is a 3-Lipschitz map and $\widetilde{c}^{-1}$ is a 1-Lipschitz map.

Proof: It is clear that $\widetilde{c}\left(\operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)\right)=F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. It suffices to show three conditions with $K=1$ from Lemma 4.8 for $d=\rho=d_{H}$.

Since $d\left(t x, t x^{\prime}\right)=t d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ for any $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{B}^{l}$ and each $t \in[0, \infty), d_{H}\left(t A, t A^{\prime}\right)=$ $t d_{H}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right)$ for each $A \in F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$ and each $t \in[0, \infty)$.

Let $t, t^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ with $t \leq t^{\prime}$ and let $A, A^{\prime} \in F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$. Since $S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t\right) \cap(t A) \neq \emptyset$ and $S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(t^{\prime} A^{\prime}\right) \neq \emptyset$, we have $d_{H}\left(t A, t^{\prime} A^{\prime}\right) \geq d_{H}\left(S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t\right), S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t^{\prime}\right)\right)=t^{\prime}-t$.

Let $t, t^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ and let $A \in F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$. Let $x \in A$. Since

$$
d\left(t x, t^{\prime} x\right)=\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| d\left(z_{0}, x\right) \leq\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|
$$

$t^{\prime} x \in B_{d}\left(t A,\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\right)$. Hence, $t^{\prime} A \subset B_{d}\left(t A,\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\right)$. Similarly, we see that $t A \subset$ $B_{d}\left(t^{\prime} A,\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\right)$, therefore, $d_{H}\left(t A, t^{\prime} A\right) \leq\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|$.

Proposition 4.11. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$ and let $c:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}, d\right)$ be a map such that $c(A+x)=c(A)+x$ for each $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}$, and that $c\left(t A^{\prime}\right)=z_{0}$ for each $A^{\prime} \in F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$ and each $t \in[0, \infty)$. Let $\sigma=\sqrt{d^{2}+\left(d_{H}\right)_{c}^{2}}$ be the metric compatible with the topology on $\mathbb{R}^{l} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)$ and let $h_{c}=\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} \times \widetilde{c}^{-1}\right) \circ \bar{c}_{1}:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right), \sigma\right)$ be a map, where $\bar{c}_{1}$ and $\widetilde{c}$ are the maps as in Definitions 4.4 and 4.9, respectively.
(1) If $c$ is a $K$-Lipschitz map for some $K>0$, then $h_{c}$ is a $K^{\prime}$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map, where $K^{\prime}=\max \left\{3 \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2 K^{2}+2 K+1}\right\}$. In particular, $h_{c}$ is a $\sqrt{2 K^{2}+2 K+1}$-Lipschitz map and $h_{c}^{-1}$ is a $3 \sqrt{2}$-Lipschitz map.
(2) Conversely, if $h_{c}$ is $K^{\prime \prime}$-Lipschitz map for some $K^{\prime \prime}>0$, then $c$ is a $K^{\prime \prime}$-Lipschitz map.

Proof: (1) By Lemma 4.10,

$$
\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} \times \widetilde{c}^{-1}:\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times F_{n}^{c}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), \rho\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times \text { Cone }^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right), \sigma\right)
$$

is a 3 -bi-Lipschitz equivalence map. Thus, by Lemma $4.5, h_{c}$ is a $K^{\prime}$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map.
(2) Let $p:\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{c, 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right), \sigma\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}, d\right)$ be the projection map which is an 1-Lipschitz map. Since $c=p \circ h_{c}, c$ is a $K^{\prime \prime}$-Lipschitz map.

If $c$ satisfies the assumptions in Proposition 4.11, then $c$ is a Lipschitz map if and only if $h_{c}$ is a bi-Lipschitz equivalence map.

Definition 4.12. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$ and let $A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)$. A point $\operatorname{cheb}(A)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{l}$ is said to be the Chebyshev center of $A$ if

$$
\begin{align*}
\max _{a \in A} d(\operatorname{cheb}(A), a) & =\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}} \max _{a \in A} d(x, a) \\
\left(d_{H}(\{\operatorname{cheb}(A)\}, A)\right. & \left.=\min _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{l}} d_{H}(\{x\}, A)=d_{H}\left(F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), A\right)\right) \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $R(A)=\max _{a \in A} d(\operatorname{cheb}(A), a)=d_{H}(\{\operatorname{cheb}(A)\}, A)$, called a Chebyshev radius of $A$. It is known that such a point satisfying $(*)$ is unique and the map cheb : $F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l}: A \mapsto \operatorname{cheb}(A)$ is well-defined and continuous (see [2] or [13]). It is clear that $R: F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: A \mapsto R(A)$ is continuous by $(*)$ and that cheb satisfies the assumptions for $c=$ cheb in Proposition 4.11.

Let $F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)=\left\{A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right): \operatorname{cheb}(A)=z_{0}\right.$ and $\left.R(A)=1\right\}$, and let Cone ${ }^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)$ be the open cone over $F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$ with the metric $\left(d_{H}\right)_{c}$. Fix $A_{0} \in F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$. Let us define a map $h_{\text {cheb }}: F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l} \times$ Cone $^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)$ by

$$
h_{\mathrm{cheb}}(A)= \begin{cases}(\operatorname{cheb}(A),[(A-\operatorname{cheb}(A)) / R(A), R(A)]) & \text { if } A \in F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \backslash F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \\ \left(\operatorname{cheb}(A),\left[A_{0}, 0\right]\right) & \text { if } A \in F_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right)\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that $h_{\text {cheb }}=\left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{l}} \times \widetilde{\text { cheb }}^{-1}\right) \circ{\overline{\operatorname{cheb}^{1}}}_{1}$, where $\overline{\text { cheb }}_{1}$ and cheb $\widetilde{ }$ are the maps as in Definitions 4.4 and 4.9 for $c=$ cheb, respectively.

By definition, it is easy to check the following result.
Proposition 4.13. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$. The map $h_{\text {cheb }}: F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{l} \times$ Cone $^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right)$ defined in Definition 4.12 is a homeomorphism.

We note that $F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B})$ is one point, $F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B})=\{\{-1, t, 1\}:-1 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a circle, and, $F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)=\left\{\{-x, x\} \subset \mathbb{B}^{l}: d\left(x, z_{0}\right)=1\right\}$ is the real projective $(l-1)$-space $\mathbb{R P}^{l-1}$ for each $l \geq 2$. Hence, it is obtained that $F_{2}(\mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$, $F_{3}(\mathbb{R}) \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \approx \mathbb{R}^{3}, F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right) \approx \mathbb{R}^{l} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(\mathbb{R} \mathbb{P}^{l-1}\right)$ for each $l \geq 2$, in particular, $F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \approx \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \approx \mathbb{R}^{4}$.

We obtain the following result from Proposition 4.11 and [13, Lemmas 1,2 and 3].

Corollary 4.14. Let $l, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$ and let $h_{\text {cheb }}:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times\right.$ Cone $\left.{ }^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right), \sigma\right)$ be the map defined in Definition 4.12. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $h_{\text {cheb }}$ is a bi-Lipschitz equivalence map;
(2) $h_{\text {cheb }}$ is a $3 \sqrt{2}$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map;
(3) either $l=1$ or $n=2$ holds.

In particular, if either $l=1$ or $n=2$ holds, then $h_{\text {cheb }}$ is a $\sqrt{5}$-Lipschitz map and $h_{\text {cheb }}^{-1}$ is a $3 \sqrt{2}$-Lipschitz map.

Remark 4.15. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n>1$. Let us define min $:\left(F_{n}(\mathbb{R}), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R}, d)$ by $\min (A)=\min \{a: a \in A\}$ for each $A \in F_{n}(\mathbb{R})$. It is clear that min is a 1-Lipschitz map satisfying the assumptions for $c=\min$ in Proposition 4.11. By Proposition $4.11(1), h_{\text {min }}:\left(F_{n}(\mathbb{R}), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\min , 1}(\mathbb{B})\right), \sigma\right)$ is a $3 \sqrt{2}$-biLipschitz equivalence map. We note that $F_{n}^{\min , 1}(\mathbb{B})=\mathbb{I}_{*}^{(n)}$ which is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B})$. Here $\mathbb{I}_{*}^{(n)}=\left\{A \in F_{n}(\mathbb{I}):\{0,1\} \subset A\right\}$ is induced in [14].
Question 4.16. Let $l>1$ and let $n>2$. Are spaces $\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{R}^{l} \times\right.$ $\operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb,1 }}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right), \sigma$ ) bi-Lipschitz non-equivalent?

Since $\operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B})\right)$ is one point, by Corollary 4.14, $F_{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is $3 \sqrt{2}$-biLipschitz equivalent to $\mathbb{R} \times[0, \infty)$. The following result was first proved in [6].
Corollary 4.17. $\left(F_{3}(\mathbb{R}), d_{H}\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d\right)$.
Proof: We note that $F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B})=\left\{A_{t}=\{-1, t, 1\}:-1 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ has the metric $d_{H}$ and $\mathbb{S}^{1}=\left\{e^{(t+1) \pi i} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}:-1 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ has the length metric $\theta$, where $M\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\max \left\{d\left(t, A_{1}\right), d\left(t^{\prime}, A_{1}\right)\right\}, d_{H}\left(A_{t}, A_{t^{\prime}}\right)=\min \left\{\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|, M\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ and $\theta\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\pi \min \left\{\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|, 2-\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|\right\}$ for each $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. Let us define $\alpha: F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{1}$ by $\alpha\left(A_{t}\right)=e^{(t+1) \pi i}$ for each $-1 \leq t \leq 1$. We note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(t, A_{1}\right)+d\left(t^{\prime}, A_{1}\right)=2-\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 M\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t, t^{\prime} \in[-1,1]$. Hence, $d_{H}\left(A_{t}, A_{t^{\prime}}\right) \leq \theta\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$ for any $t, t^{\prime} \in[-1,1]$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ : $\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right) \rightarrow\left(F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B}), d_{H}\right)$ is a 1-Lipschitz map. We show that $\alpha:\left(F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B}), d_{H}\right)$ $\rightarrow\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right)$ is a $(2 \pi)$-Lipschitz map. If $d_{H}\left(A_{t}, A_{t^{\prime}}\right)=\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|$, then $\theta\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)=\pi\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|$ by $(*)$. If $d_{H}\left(A_{t}, A_{t^{\prime}}\right)=M\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$, by $(*)$, then

$$
\frac{1}{\pi} \theta\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \leq 2-\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| \leq 2 M\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \leq 2 d_{H}\left(A_{t}, A_{t^{\prime}}\right)
$$

thus, $\alpha:\left(F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B}), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right)$ is a $(2 \pi)$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map. By Remark 4.2, $\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{S}^{1}} \circ \alpha:\left(F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B}), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \rho\right)$ is a $(2 \pi)$-Lipschitz map and its inverse is a $\pi$-Lipschitz map. Therefore, by Remark 4.7, the natural extension map $\bar{\alpha}:\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}(\mathbb{B})\right),\left(d_{H}\right)_{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), \rho_{c}\right)$ of id $\mathbb{S}^{1} \circ \alpha$ is a $(2 \pi)$-Lipschitz map and its inverse is a $\pi$-Lipschitz map.

Let us define $\beta:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d\right) \rightarrow\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), \rho_{c}\right)$ by $\beta(x)=\left[x / d\left(x, z_{0}\right), d\left(x, z_{0}\right)\right]$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ and $\beta\left(z_{0}\right)=\left[e^{\pi i}, 0\right]$. We show that $\beta$ is a 1-Lipschitz map and its inverse is a 3 -Lipschitz map. It suffices to show three conditions with $K=1$ from Lemma 4.8 for $d$. It is clear that $d\left(t x, t x^{\prime}\right)=t d\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=t \rho\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ for each $t \in[0, \infty)$ and any $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$. Let $t, t^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ with $t \leq t^{\prime}$ and let $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$. Since $t x \in S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t\right)$ and $t^{\prime} x^{\prime} \in S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t^{\prime}\right)$, we have $d_{H}\left(t x, t^{\prime} x^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $d_{H}\left(S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t\right), S_{d}\left(z_{0}, t^{\prime}\right)\right)=t^{\prime}-t$. Let $t, t^{\prime} \in[0, \infty)$ and let $x \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$. Then $d\left(t x, t^{\prime} x\right)=$ $\left|t-t^{\prime}\right| d\left(z_{0}, x\right)=\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|$.

By Corollary 4.14, $\left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \beta^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \bar{\alpha}\right) \circ h_{\text {cheb }}:\left(F_{3}(\mathbb{R}), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(F_{3}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{1}\right)\right), \sigma\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), \sqrt{d^{2}+\rho_{c}^{2}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, d\right)$ is a $6 \sqrt{5} \pi$-biLipschitz equivalence map.

Corollary 4.18. $\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), d_{H}\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, d\right)$.
Proof: We note that $\mathbb{S}^{1}=\left\{e^{2 \pi i t} \in \mathbb{B}^{2}: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ has the length metric $\theta, F_{2}^{\text {cheb, },}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right)=\left\{A_{t}=\left\{-e^{\pi i t}, e^{\pi i t}\right\}: 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$. Let $\theta_{H}$ be the metric on $F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right)$ induced by $\theta$. It is clear that the map $\alpha:\left(F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right), \theta_{H}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right)$ defined by $\alpha\left(A_{t}\right)=e^{2 \pi i t}$ for each $t \in[0,1]$ is a 2 -Lipschitz map and its inverse is a $1 / 2$-Lipschitz map. By Remarks 4.2 and 4.7 , the identity maps $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}^{1}}:\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \theta\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}, \rho\right)$ and $\operatorname{id}_{F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right)}:\left(F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right), \theta_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right), d_{H}\right)$ are 1-Lipschitz and its inverses are $\pi$-Lipschitz. Therefore, by Remark 4.7, the natural extension map $\bar{\alpha}:\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right)\right),\left(d_{H}\right)_{c}\right) \rightarrow\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right),\left(\rho_{H}\right)_{c}\right)$ of
 map.

Let $\beta:\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, d\right) \rightarrow\left(\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), \rho_{c}\right)$ be a 1-Lipschitz map such that its inverse is a 3-Lipschitz map as in the proof of Corollary 4.17. By Corollary 4.14, $\left(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \times\right.$ $\left.\beta^{-1}\right) \circ\left(\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \times \bar{\alpha}\right) \circ h_{\text {cheb }}:\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times \operatorname{Cone}^{o}\left(F_{2}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right)\right), \sigma\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \times\right.$ Cone $\left.^{o}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right), \sqrt{d^{2}+\rho_{c}^{2}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, d\right)$ is a $6 \sqrt{5} \pi$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map.

Remark 4.19. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space with $\operatorname{diam} X \leq 2$. Set Cone $(X)=$ $X \times[0,1] / X \times\{0\}$ which is called a cone over $X$. Let us consider $F_{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)$ and the restriction map $h_{\text {cheb }}^{\prime}=\left.h_{\text {cheb }}\right|_{F_{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)}:\left(F_{n}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right), d_{H}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{B}^{l} \times \operatorname{Cone}\left(F_{n}^{\text {cheb, } 1}\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}\right)\right), \sigma\right)$ of $h_{\text {cheb }}$ defined in Definition 4.12. It is clear that $h_{\text {cheb }}^{\prime}$ is a homeomorphism. If similar arguments above apply to the case $\left(\mathbb{B}^{l}, d\right)$, we obtain that the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $h_{\text {cheb }}^{\prime}$ is a bi-Lipschitz equivalence map;
(2) $h_{\text {cheb }}^{\prime}$ is a $3 \sqrt{2}$-bi-Lipschitz equivalence map;
(3) either $l=1$ or $n=2$ holds.

Moreover, $\left(F_{2}(\mathbb{B}), d_{H}\right),\left(F_{3}(\mathbb{B}), d_{H}\right)$ and $\left(F_{2}\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}\right), d_{H}\right)$ are bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\left(\mathbb{B}^{2}, d\right),\left(\mathbb{B}^{3}, d\right)$ and $\left(\mathbb{B}^{4}, d\right)$, respectively.

Question 4.20. Since $F_{3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right) \approx \mathbb{S}^{3}$, it is natural to ask a question whether $F_{3}\left(\mathbb{S}^{1}\right)$ is bi-Lipschitz equivalent to $\mathbb{S}^{3}$.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to H. Kodama, A. Koyama, K. Mine and Y. Ogasawara, for a useful suggestion which significantly shortened the proof of Lemma 3.9, and would like to thank the referee for helpful suggestions and comments (in particular, on Remark 3.13).

## References

[1] Bandt C., On the metric structure of hyperspaces with Hausdorff metric, Math. Nachr. 129 (1986), 175-183.
[2] Belobrov P.K., The Čebyšev point of a system of sets, Izv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika 55 (1966), 18-24.
[3] Bestvina M., $\mathbb{R}$-trees in Topology, Geometry, and Group Theory, Handbook of Geometric Topology, 55-91, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
[4] Borsuk K., Ulam S., On symmetric products of topological spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1931), 875-882.
[5] Borsuk K., On the third symmetric potency of the circumference, Fund. Math. $\mathbf{3 6}$ (1949), 236-244.
[6] Borovikova M., Ibragimov Z., The third symmetric product of $\mathbb{R}$, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 9 (2009), 255-268.
[7] Borovikova M., Ibragimov Z., Yousefi H., Symmetric products of the real line, J. Anal. 18 (2010), 53-67.
[8] Bott R., On the third symmetric potency of $S_{1}$, Fund. Math. 39 (1952), 264-268.
[9] Bridson M.R., Haefliger A., Metric spaces of non-positive curvature, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 319, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
[10] Chinen N., Koyama A., On the symmetric hyperspace of the circle, Topology Appl. 157 (2010), 2613-2621.
[11] Foertsch T., Isometries of spaces of convex compact subsets of globally non-positively Busemann curved spaces, Colloq. Math. 103 (2005), 71-84.
[12] Illanes A., Nadler S.B., Jr., Hyperspaces, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1999.
[13] Ivanshin P.N., Sosov E.N., Local Lipschitz property for the Chebyshev center mapping over $N$-nets, Mat. Vesnik 60 (2008), 9-22.
[14] Kovalev L.V., Symmetric products of the line: embeddings and retractions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (2015), 801-809.
[15] Molski R., On symmetric product, Fund. Math. 44 (1957), 165-170.
[16] Morton H.R., Symmetric product of the circle, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 63 (1967), 349-352.
[17] Valentine F.A., Convex Sets, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Toronto-London, 1964.
[18] Wu W., Note sur les produits essentiels symétriques des espaces topologiques, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 224 (1947), 1139-1141.

Department of Mathematics, National Defense Academy of Japan, Yokosuka 239-8686, JAPAN
E-mail: naochin@nda.ac.jp
(Received August 20, 2014, revised December 24, 2014)

