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#### Abstract

Given a finite additive abelian group $G$ and an integer $k$, with $3 \leq k \leq$ $|G|$, denote by $\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)$ the simple incidence structure whose point-set is $G$ and whose blocks are the $k$-subsets $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}$ of $G$ such that $c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{k}=0$. It is known (see [2]) that $\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)$ is a 2 -design, if $G$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group with $p$ a prime divisor of $k$. From [3] we know that $\mathcal{D}_{3}(G)$ is a 2-design if and only if $G$ is an elementary abelian 3-group. It is also known (see [4]) that $G$ is necessarily an elementary abelian 2 -group, if $\mathcal{D}_{4}(G)$ is a 2 -design. Here we shall prove that $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a 2-design if and only if $G$ is an elementary abelian 5 -group.
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## 1 Introduction and preliminary results

Let $v, k, t, \lambda$ be positive integers with $v>k>t$. By a $t$-design with parameters $v, k, \lambda$ (or shortly: a $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ design) one understands a pair $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ where $\mathcal{P}$ is a finite set with $v$ elements (called points) and $\mathcal{B}$ is a set of subsets of $\mathcal{P}$ called blocks such that each block contains $k$ points and any $t$ distinct points are contained in exactly $\lambda$ common blocks (cf. [1], [5]). We say that a $t-(v, k, \lambda)$ design $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ is an additive design, if there are a finite abelian group $G$, written additively, and an injective mapping $\chi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow G$ with the property that $\chi\left(c_{1}\right)+\chi\left(c_{2}\right)+\cdots+\chi\left(c_{k}\right)=0$ whenever $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\} \in \mathcal{B}$ is a block of $\mathcal{D}=(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B})$ (cf. [2]). For every finite additive abelian group $G$ and for any integer $k \in\{3,4, \ldots,|G|-1\}$ we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)$ the simple incidence structure the point-set of which is $G$ and the blocks of which are the $k$-subsets $C=\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}\right\}$ of $G$ such that $c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{k}=0$. Note that each 2-design of the form $\mathcal{D}_{k}(G)$ is an additive 2-design.

Throughout this paper we shall be concerned only with finite abelian groups, written additively. If $G$ is such a group, the notation that follows will remain fixed: $|G|$ is the order of $G ;\langle a\rangle$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by $a \in G$; if $m$ is a positive integer, $m G$ and $G_{m}$ are the subgroups of $G$ given by $m G=$ $\{m g \mid g \in G\}$ and $G_{m}=\{g \in G \mid m g=0\}$; if $|G|>4$ and if $x, y$ are distinct elements of $G, N_{x, y}$ denotes the number of pairs $\{c, C\}$ where $c \in G \backslash\{x, y\}$ and $C$ is a block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{x, y, c\}$.

We state now some preliminary results.
Lemma 1 If $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a $2-(|G|, 5, \lambda)$ design for some $\lambda$, then $N_{x, y}$ is a constant (equal to $3 \lambda$ ).

Proof Suppose $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a $2-(|G|, 5, \lambda)$ design for some $\lambda$. Then there are $\lambda$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through any given two distinct elements $x, y \in G$; on the other hand, each block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{x, y\}$ contains exactly 3 points distinct from $x, y$. Therefore $N_{x, y}=3 \lambda$ and the Lemma 1 is proved.

Proposition $1 \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design if one of the statements below is true:

1) $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group;
2) $G$ is direct sum of cyclic groups of order 4;
3) $G$ is direct sum of groups of order 2 and cyclic groups of order 4;
4) $G$ contains just one involution and $2 G$ is an elementary abelian 3-group.

Proof We may assume that $G$ has order greater than 4 .

1) Suppose $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of order $n=2^{\nu} \geq 8$. Let $g \in G, g \neq 0 \in G$ and let $x \in G \backslash\{0, g\}$. We show that $N_{0, g} \neq N_{x, g}$ and hence, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design. There are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, g, x, g+x\}$, however $\{0, g, x\}$ may be extended to a block $\{0, g, x, y, g+x+y\}$ for every $y \in G \backslash\{0, g, x, g+x\}$. Therefore

$$
N_{0, g}=(n-2) \frac{n-4}{2} .
$$

There are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{g, x, g+x\}$, however there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks through $\{0, g, x\}$ and $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks through $\{x, g, z\}$ for any given $z \in$ $G \backslash\{0, g, x, g+x\}$. Therefore

$$
N_{x, g}=\frac{n-4}{2}+(n-4) \frac{n-6}{2} .
$$

From $n \neq 4$ it follows $N_{0, g} \neq N_{x, g}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.
2) Suppose $G$ is direct sum of $\nu \geq 2$ cyclic groups of order 4 . So $G$ is a finite abelian group of order $n=4^{\nu} \geq 16$ and $2 G=G_{2}$ is an elementary abelian 2 -group of order $2^{\nu} \geq 4$.

Let $a \in G_{2}, a \neq 0$ and let $b \in G_{4} \backslash G_{2}$. We show that $N_{0, a} \neq N_{0, b}$ and hence, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design.

If $x \in G_{2} \backslash\langle a\rangle$, there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, x, a+x\}$; if $y \in G \backslash G_{2}$ with $2 y \neq a$, any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, y\}$ does not intersect $\{a-y,-y, a+2 y\}$. These facts imply:
if $g \in G$ with $2 g=a$, then $\left(g \in G \backslash G_{2}\right.$ and) there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$ with $2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$; if $g \in G_{2} \backslash\langle a\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
N_{0, a}=\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(n-2\left|G_{2}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}+\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-2\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}
$$

can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|-\frac{1}{2}\left|G_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}-8 n+8}{2} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ containing the group $\langle b\rangle=\{0, b, 2 b,-b\}$;
if $g \in b+G_{2}$ with $b \neq g \neq-b$, there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g$, $-b-g\}$;
if $2 b \neq g \in G \backslash b+G_{2}$, any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$ does not meet $\{3 b-g, 2 b-g, 2 g-b\}$.

These facts guarantee that:
$\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b,-b\}$;
there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, 2 b\}$;
if $g \in b+G_{2}$ with $b \neq g \neq-b$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$;
if $g \in G$ with $g \neq 2 b \neq 2 g$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$.
Therefore

$$
N_{0, b}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\frac{n-4}{2}+\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-2\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-\left|G_{2}\right|-2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
$$

can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, b}=\frac{3}{2} \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-\frac{1}{2} \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}-8 n+14}{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 2$, (1.1) and (1.2) yield $N_{0, a} \neq N_{0, b}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.
3) Suppose $G$ is direct sum of $h \geq 1$ groups of order 2 and $\nu \geq 1$ cyclic groups of order 4. So $G$ is a finite abelian group of order $n=|G|=2^{h} \cdot 4^{\nu} \geq 8$; $2 G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of order $2^{\nu} ; G_{2}$ is an elementary abelian 2 -group of order $2^{h+\nu} \geq 4$ which admits $2 G$ as a proper subgroup.

Let $a \in G_{2} \backslash 2 G$ and let $b \in 2 G, b \neq 0$. We show now that $N_{0, a} \neq N_{0, b}$ and hence, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design.
If $a \neq g \in a+2 G$, then $a+g \in 2 G$ and there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g, a+g\} ;$
if $0 \neq g \in G_{2} \backslash a+2 G$, then $a+g \notin 2 G$ and there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g, a+g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$, then $a+g \notin 2 G$ and any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$ does not intersect $\{a-g,-g, a+2 g\}$.

From these facts we deduce that:
if $a \neq g \in a+2 G$, then $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{2}$ with $0 \neq g \notin a+2 G$, there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$; if $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$.

Therefore
$N_{0, a}=(|2 G|-1) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(n-\left|G_{2}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}$
which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, a}=\frac{3}{2} \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+8}{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b=2 g$ with $g \in G$, then $b+g \notin 2 G$ and there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g,-g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\{0, b\}$, then $b+g \in 2 G$ and there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g, b+g\}$;
if $g \in G_{2} \backslash 2 G$, then $b+g \notin 2 G$ and there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g, b+g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$ and $2 g \neq b$, then $b+g \notin 2 G$ and any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$ does not meet $\{b-g,-g, b+2 g\}$.

These facts enable us to conclude that:
if $g \in G$ has the property that $2 g=b$, there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\{0, b\}$, then $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{2} \backslash 2 G$, there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{2}$ with $2 g \neq b$, then $\frac{n-6}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{0, b}= \\
=\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+(|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(n-2\left|G_{2}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, b}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+8}{2} . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 0,(1.3)$ and (1.4) give $N_{0, a} \neq N_{0, b}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.
4) In this case $G_{2}=\{0, a\}$ is a group of order two and $a$ is the unique involution of $G ; G$ can be written as direct sum $G=G_{2} \oplus 2 G$ and $2 G=G_{3}$ is an elementary abelian 3-group. If $2 G=G_{3}$ has order 3 , then $G$ is cyclic of order 6 and clearly $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design. Thus we may assume that $|2 G|=3^{m}$ for some integer $m>1$. Then $G$ has order $n=|G|=2|2 G| \geq 18$ and we have:
if $a \neq g \in G \backslash 2 G$ and $x \in\{2 g,-g\}$, there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g, x\}$;
if $0 \neq g \in 2 G$, any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$ does not intersect $\{a-g$, $-g, a-2 g\}$.

These facts imply:
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}=\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G$ is not equal to $0 \in G$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, a}=(|2 G|-1) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}+(|2 G|-1) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $b \in G_{3}, b \neq 0$. Clearly ( $b \neq a$ and) we have:
there are no blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ containing $\{0, b,-b\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$, any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$ does not intersect $\{2 b-g, b-g$, $2 b-2 g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\langle b\rangle$, then $b+g \in 2 G$ and any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$ does not intersect $\{2 b-g, b-g, 2 b-2 g\}$.

These facts imply:
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\langle b\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}=\frac{n-8}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, b, g\}$.
Therefore

$$
N_{0, b}=(n-|2 G|) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}+(|2 G|-3) \cdot \frac{n-8}{2}
$$

which, since $2|2 G|=|G|=n$, simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, b}=|2 G| \cdot(n-6)+12-2 n . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $n \neq 6$, (1.5) and (1.6) yield $N_{0, a} \neq N_{0, b}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design. This last result completes the proof.

Lemma 2 Let $G$ be a finite additive abelian group of even order $n>4$. If there is $a \in G$ such that $a \notin 2 G$ and $2 a \neq 0$, then

$$
N_{a,-a}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} .
$$

Proof We first note that there are $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 0\}$. We now discuss five cases.

Case (L. 1. 1): $4 a=0$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$. In this case we have:
$\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 2 a\}$;
if $g \in 2 G$ with $0 \neq g \neq 2 a$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G-2 G$ with $a \neq g \neq-a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
N_{a,-a}=2 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+(|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+(n-|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$, can be written as

$$
N_{a,-a}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Case (L. 1. 2): $4 a=0$ and $\left|G_{3}\right| \neq 1$. In this case we get: $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 2 a\}$; if $g \in G_{3}$ is distinct from 0 , there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ with $g \neq 2 a$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $a \neq g \neq-a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=2 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& +\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+(n-|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, simplifies to

$$
N_{a,-a}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Case (L. 1. 3): $a$ has order 6 . In this case we have:
if $g \in\{-2 a, 2 a\}$, then $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{3} \backslash\{0,-2 a, 2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$, then $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ containing $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $a \neq g \neq-a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ including $\{a,-a, g\}$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=3 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-3\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& +\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+(n-|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, gives

$$
N_{a,-a}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Case (L. 1. 4): $4 a \neq 0 \neq 6 a$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$. In this case we get:
if $g \in\{-2 a, 2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\{0,-2 a, 2 a\}, \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ including $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $a \neq g \neq-a, \frac{n-6}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
+(|2 G|-3) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+(n-|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$, can be rewritten as

$$
N_{a,-a}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Case (L. 1. 5): $4 a \neq 0 \neq 6 a$ and $\left|G_{3}\right| \neq 1$. In this case we obtain:
there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$ if $g \in\{-2 a, 2 a\}$ or $0 \neq g \in G_{3}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ with $2 a \neq g \neq-2 a$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $a \neq g \neq-a, \frac{n-6}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|+1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
+\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+(n-|2 G|-2) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, simplifies to

$$
N_{a,-a}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

The Lemma 2 is proved.
Proposition $2 \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design if $G$ is a finite abelian group of even order $n>4$ with the property that $2 G=4 G$.

Proof From $2 G=4 G$ it follows $G_{2}=G_{4}$ and this requires that the Sylow 2-subgroup of $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group. Therefore $G$ can be written as direct sum $G=G_{2} \oplus 2 G$ and, by Proposition 1, we may assume that $2 G$ is a finite abelian group of odd order $|2 G|>1$. Then any $z \in G$ of the form $z=x+y$, with $x \in G_{2}$ and $y \in 2 G$ both distinct from 0 , is not equal to $-z$ and does not belong to $2 G$. Thus, using Lemma 2 we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{z,-z}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $a \in 2 G, a \neq 0$ and let $\alpha$ be the unique element in $2 G$ such that $a=2 \alpha$. We shall prove that $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{z,-z}$ and hence, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design. We first note that $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 0\}$. We now discuss five cases.

Case (P. 2. 1): $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$ and $5 a \neq 0$. In this case we have:
if $g \in\{-2 a, 2 a,-\alpha, \alpha\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $-\alpha \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=-a$, then $\left(g \in-\alpha+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $\alpha \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=a$, then $\left(g \in \alpha+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\{a,-a, 0, \alpha,-\alpha, 2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+4 \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \\
& +(|2 G|-7) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|+2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$, can be rewritten as

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Because $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 0$, this equality together with (1.7) gives $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{z,-z}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (P. 2. 2): $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$ and $a$ has order 5 . In this case we have ( $\alpha=-2 a$ and):
if $g \in\{2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $2 a \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=-a$, then $\left(g \in 2 a+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $-2 a \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=a$, then $\left(g \in-2 a+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\langle a\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{a,-a}=3 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-1\right) \frac{n-4}{2} \\
+(|2 G|-5) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|+2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$, simplifies to

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Since $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 0$, this equality together with (1.7) gives $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{z,-z}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (P. 2. 3): $\left|G_{3}\right| \neq 1$ and $a$ has order 5. In this case we have ( $\alpha=-2 a$ and):
if $g \in\{2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $2 a \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=-a$, then $\left(g \in 2 a+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $-2 a \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=a$, then ( $g \in-2 a+G_{2}$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $0 \neq g \in G_{3}$, then $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ with $2 a \neq g \neq-2 a$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{a,-a} & =3 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& +\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, simplifies to

$$
N_{a,-a}=2\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Since $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 0$, this equality together with (1.7) gives $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{z,-z}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (P. 2. 4): $\left|G_{3}\right| \neq 1$ and $3 a \neq 0 \neq 5 a$. In this case we have:
if $g \in\{2 a,-2 a, \alpha,-\alpha\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $-\alpha \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=-a$, then $\left(g \in-\alpha+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $\alpha \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=a$, then $\left(g \in \alpha+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $0 \neq g \in G_{3}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ and $g \notin\{a,-a, \alpha,-\alpha, 2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+4 \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \\
+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-6\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
\quad+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|+2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, can be rewritten as

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Since $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 0$, this equality together with (1.7) gives $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{z,-z}$ and hence $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (P. 2. 5): $a \in G_{3}$. In this case we obtain ( $\alpha=-a$ and):
if $a \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=-a$, then $\left(g \in a+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $-a \neq g \in G$ with $2 g=a$, then $\left(g \in-a+G_{2}\right.$ hence) $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{3} \backslash\langle a\rangle$, then $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$, then $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2\left(\left|G_{2}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-3\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& \quad+\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|+2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, can be rewritten as

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|-6+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

This equality together with (1.7) yields $\left|G_{2}\right|=2$. Such a result and those obtained from the above cases allow as to conclude that: $G$ has just one involution and $2 G$ must be an elementary abelian 3 -group. Now using Proposition 1 we see that $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design, the Proposition is proved.

Lemma 3 Suppose $G$ is a finite abelian group of even order $n>4$ in which $G_{4} \neq G \neq G_{2}+2 G$ and choose $\alpha \in G$ in such a way that $\alpha \notin G_{2}+2 G, 4 \alpha \neq 0$. Then $a=2 \alpha$ and $-a$ are distinct elements of $G$ and

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} .
$$

Proof Clearly, from $a=2 \alpha$ it follows $a \in 2 G, 2 a \neq 0, a \notin 4 G, 3 a \neq 0$, $5 a \neq 0$. We first note that: $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 0\}$; if $g \in G \backslash\{a,-a, 0\}$, any block of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$ does not intersect $\{-a-g, a-g,-2 g\}$. We now discuss five cases.

Case (L. 2. 1): $4 a=0$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$. In this case we have:
$\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 2 a\}$;
if $g \in G$ and $2 g \in\{a,-a\}$, then $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\langle a\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
N_{a,-a}=2 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \\
+(|2 G|-4) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$, yields

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Case (L. 2. 2): $4 a=0$ and $\left|G_{3}\right| \neq 1$. In this case we have:
$\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 2 a\}$;
if $g \in G$ and $2 g \in\{a,-a\}$, then $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{3}$ is distinct from 0 , there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ does not belong to $\langle a\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$; there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=2 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& \quad+\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-3\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, simplifies to

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2}
$$

Case (L. 2. 3): a has order 6. In this case we obtain:
if $g \in\{2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $g \in G$ and $2 g \in\{a,-a\}$, then $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{3} \backslash\{0,2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ with $-a \neq g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=3 \cdot \frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-3\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2} \\
& \quad+\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-2\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, yields

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} .
$$

Case (L. 2. 4): $4 a \neq 0 \neq 6 a$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$. In this case we get:
if $g \in\{2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G$ and $2 g \in\{-a, a\}$, then $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash\{a,-a, 0,-2 a, 2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \\
& +(|2 G|-5) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$ and $\left|G_{3}\right|=1$, yields

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} .
$$

Case (L. 2. 5): $4 a \neq 0 \neq 6 a$ and $\left|G_{3}\right| \neq 1$. In this case we deduce: if $g \in\{2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$; if $g \in G$ and $2 g \in\{a,-a\}$, then $g \notin 2 G$ and there are $\frac{n-4}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G_{3}$ is distinct from 0 , there are $\frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in 2 G \backslash G_{3}$ and $g \notin\{a,-a, 2 a,-2 a\}$, there are $\frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash 2 G$ with $-a \neq 2 g \neq a$, there are $\frac{n-6}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-2-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|+1\right) \cdot \frac{n-4-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right| \cdot \frac{n-4}{2} \\
& \quad+\left(|2 G|-\left|G_{3}\right|-4\right) \cdot \frac{n-6-\left|G_{2}\right|}{2}+\left(n-2 \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|-|2 G|\right) \cdot \frac{n-6}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, since $|2 G| \cdot\left|G_{2}\right|=|G|=n$, yields

$$
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} .
$$

The Lemma 3 is proved.
Theorem 1 If $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a 2-design, then $n=|G|$ must be odd integer.
Proof We may assume that $G$ is a finite additive abelian group of order $n=|G|>4$. Suppose $n$ is an even integer: so we must show that $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design. We discuss five cases.

Case (T. 1): $2 g=0$ whenever $g \in G \backslash 2 G$. In this case $G$ must be an elementary abelian 2-group and hence, by Proposition $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 design.

Case (T. 2): $G$ is an abelian group of exponent 4. In this case either $G$ is direct sum of cyclic groups of order 4 or $G$ is direct sum of groups of order 2 and cyclic groups of order 4. Then, by Proposition $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (T. 3): $2 G=4 G$. Then Proposition 2 asserts that $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (T. 4): $2 G \neq 4 G$ and $4 x=0$ for every $x \notin G_{2}+2 G$. Then $G$ must be an abelian group of exponent 4 and hence, by statements 2) and 3) of Proposition 1, $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.

Case (T. 5): $2 G \neq 4 G$ and $G \neq G_{4}$. Then $G_{2}+2 G$ is a proper subgroup of $G$ and there is $\alpha \in G$ such that $\alpha \notin G_{2}+2 G$ and $4 \alpha \neq 0$. Thus $a=2 \alpha \neq-a$ and, by Lemma 3, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a,-a}=3\left|G_{2}\right|+\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $2 G \neq 4 G$ implies that $G$ is not an elementary abelian 2 -group, there is $z \in G$ such that $z \notin 2 G$ and $2 z \neq 0$. Then using Lemma 2 we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{z,-z}=\left|G_{3}\right|+\frac{n^{2}-9 n+18}{2} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|G_{2}\right| \neq 0$, combining (1.8) and (1.9) we deduce that $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{z,-z}$ and hence, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design. Now the proof of the theorem is complete.

## 2 Main result

Proposition $3 \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design if one of the statements below is true:

1. $G$ is a finite abelian group of odd order $n$ divisible by 3 ;
2. $G$ is a finite abelian group of odd order $n$ not divisible by 5 .

## Proof

1. Choose $a \in G_{3}, a \neq 0$. Then clearly we have:
$\frac{n-3}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, 0\}$;
if $g \in G_{3} \backslash\langle a\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{3}$, there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{a,-a, g\}$.
Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-3}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-3\right) \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+\left(n-\left|G_{3}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $G$ is an elementary abelian 3-group, then $G=G_{3}$ and (2.1) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a,-a}=\frac{n-3}{2}+(n-3) \cdot \frac{n-5}{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\left|G_{5}\right| \neq 1$ and choose $\alpha \in G_{5}, \alpha \neq 0$. Then we obtain: if $g \in\{0,2 \alpha,-2 \alpha\}$, there are $\frac{n-3}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\alpha,-\alpha, g\}$; if $0 \neq g \in G_{3}$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\alpha,-\alpha, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{3}$ and $g \notin\{\alpha,-\alpha,-2 \alpha, 2 \alpha\}$, there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\alpha,-\alpha, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\alpha,-\alpha}=3 \cdot \frac{n-3}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|-1\right) \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+\left(n-4-\left|G_{3}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.3) we deduce that $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{\alpha,-\alpha}$ and hence, by Lemma $1, \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design.

Suppose $\left|G_{5}\right|=1, G_{3} \neq G$ and choose $\beta \in G \backslash G_{3}$. Then we find:
$\frac{n-3}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\beta,-\beta, 0\}$;
if $0 \neq g \in\{2 \beta,-2 \beta\}$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\beta,-\beta, g\}$;
if $\gamma \in G$ with $2 \gamma=-\beta$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\beta,-\beta, \gamma\}$; there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\beta,-\beta,-\gamma\}$;
if $0 \neq g \in G_{3}$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\beta,-\beta, g\}$;
if $g \in G \backslash G_{3}$ and $g \notin\{\beta,-\beta, 2 \beta,-2 \beta, \gamma,-\gamma\}$, there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{\beta,-\beta, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta,-\beta}=\frac{n-3}{2}+\left(\left|G_{3}\right|+3\right) \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+\left(n-6-\left|G_{3}\right|\right) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.4) we find $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{\beta,-\beta}$ and hence, by Lemma 1, $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2 -design.

We can now assume that $G=G_{3}$. Then for any $g \in G \backslash\langle a\rangle$ there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, a}=\frac{n-3}{2}+(n-3) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.5) we find $N_{a,-a} \neq N_{0, a}$ and hence, by Lemma 1, $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design.
2. By 1 we may assume that $n$ and 15 are (odd integers) relatively prime. Choose $x \in G, x \neq 0$ and let $y, z$ be elements of $G$ such that $2 y=x, 2 z=7 x$. Then clearly we have:
$\frac{n-3}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through the 3-set $\{x,-x, 0\}$;
if $g \in\{2 x,-2 x, y,-y\}$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{x,-x, g\}$;
if $0 \neq g \in G \backslash\{x,-x, 2 x,-2 x, y,-y\}$, there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{x,-x, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x,-x}=\frac{n-3}{2}+4 \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+(n-7) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we have:
if $g \in\{6 x, 11 x, z\}$, there are $\frac{n-5}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through the 3 -set $\{x,-4 x, g\}$; if $g \in G \backslash\{x,-4 x, 6 x, 11 x, z\}$, there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{x,-4 x, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{x,-4 x}=3 \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+(n-5) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) we obtain $N_{x,-x} \neq N_{x,-4 x}$ and hence, by Lemma 1, $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is not a 2-design. Now the Proposition 3 is proved.

We can now state our main result.
Theorem $2 \mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a 2-design if and only if $G$ is an elementary abelian 5 -group. When this is so, there are

$$
\lambda=\frac{|G|-3}{2}+\frac{(|G|-5) \cdot(|G|-7)}{6}
$$

blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through any given 2 -set $\{x, y\} \subset G$.

Proof Suppose $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a 2-design. By Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, $n=|G|$ must be an odd integer multiple of 5 not divisible by 3 . Let $a \in G_{5}, a \neq 0$. Then we find: if $g \in\langle a\rangle$ with $0 \neq g \neq a$, then $\frac{n-3}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$; if $g \in G \backslash\langle a\rangle$, there are $\frac{n-7}{2}$ blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{0, a, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{0, a}=3 \cdot \frac{n-3}{2}+(n-5) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $5 b \neq 0$ for some $b \in G$ and let $\beta$ be the unique element in $G$ such that $2 \beta=7 b$. Then $(\beta \in G \backslash\{b,-4 b, 6 b, 11 b\}$ and) we obtain:
if $g \in\{6 b, 11 b, \beta\}$, then $\frac{n-5}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{b,-4 b, g\}$; if $g \in G \backslash\{b,-4 b, 6 b, 11 b, \beta\}$, then $\frac{n-7}{2}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through $\{b,-4 b, g\}$.

Therefore

$$
N_{b,-4 b}=3 \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+(n-5) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2}
$$

and thus, since $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a 2 design, we find (by Lemma 1)

$$
3 \cdot \frac{n-3}{2}+(n-5) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2}=N_{0, a}=N_{b,-4 b}=3 \cdot \frac{n-5}{2}+(n-5) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2}
$$

and this gives $n-3=n-5$ a contradiction. Such a contradiction shows that $5 g=0$ for all $g \in G$ : in other words, $G$ is an elementary abelian 5 -group. Furthermore, from Lemma 1 and equation (2.8) we know that

$$
3 \cdot \frac{n-3}{2}+(n-5) \cdot \frac{n-7}{2}=N_{0, a}=3 \lambda
$$

from wich it follows that $\lambda=\frac{|G|-3}{2}+(|G|-5) \cdot \frac{|G|-7}{6}$ is the number of blocks of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ through any given two distinct elements $x, y \in G$.

To finish, assume that $G$ is an elementary abelian 5 -group. If we regard $G$ as a vector space over the field with five elements, then we see that the affine group $\operatorname{Aff}(G)$ acts 2-homogeneously on $G$ and the block-set $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ may be written as $\mathcal{B}=C^{\operatorname{Aff}(G)}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{B}=\left\{C^{\gamma} \mid \gamma \in \operatorname{Aff}(G)\right\}$ is the $\operatorname{Aff}(G)$-orbit of a fixed block $C \in \mathcal{B}$ ). Hence, by [1, Proposition 4.6], $\mathcal{D}_{5}(G)$ is a 2-design. The Theorem is proved.
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