Neda Bokan; Tijana Šukilović; Srdjan Vukmirović Geodesically equivalent metrics on homogenous spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 69 (2019), No. 4, 945-954

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147905

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# GEODESICALLY EQUIVALENT METRICS ON HOMOGENOUS SPACES

#### NEDA BOKAN, TIJANA ŠUKILOVIĆ, SRDJAN VUKMIROVIĆ, Belgrade

Received December 6, 2017. Published online November 9, 2018.

Abstract. Two metrics on a manifold are geodesically equivalent if the sets of their unparameterized geodesics coincide. We show that if two G-invariant metrics of arbitrary signature on homogenous space G/H are geodesically equivalent, they are affinely equivalent, i.e. they have the same Levi-Civita connection. We also prove that the existence of nonproportional, geodesically equivalent, G-invariant metrics on homogenous space G/Himplies that their holonomy algebra cannot be full. We give an algorithm for finding all left invariant metrics geodesically equivalent to a given left invariant metric on a Lie group. Using that algorithm we prove that no two left invariant metrics of any signature on sphere  $S^3$ are geodesically equivalent. However, we present examples of Lie groups that admit geodesically equivalent, nonproportional, left-invariant metrics.

Keywords: invariant metric; geodesically equivalent metric; affinely equivalent metric

MSC 2010: 53C22, 22E15, 53C30

#### INTRODUCTION

Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a connected Riemannian or pseudo-Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n \ge 2$ . We say that a metric  $\overline{g}$  on  $M^n$  is geodesically equivalent to g if every geodesic of g is a reparameterized geodesic of  $\overline{g}$ . We say that they are affinely equivalent if their Levi-Civita connections coincide. We call a metric g geodesically rigid if every metric  $\overline{g}$ , geodesically equivalent to g, is proportional to g (by the result of Weyl, the coefficient of proportionality is a constant). The geodesical equivalence is considered for metrics of arbitrary signature. Moreover, the condition that g and  $\overline{g}$ 

The research of the second and the third authors has been partially supported within the project 174012 through the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia.

are geodesically equivalent is linear in the sense that all metrics  $\lambda g + \mu \overline{g}$  for constant  $\lambda$ and  $\mu$  are also geodesically equivalent to g.

Geodesically equivalent metrics are actively discussed in the realm of general relativity and have a close relation to integrability and superintegrability (see [7] and references therein). Also, there exists a connection between geodesical equivalence and holonomy groups (see for example [4], [5], [11]).

The existence of geodesically equivalent metrics is quite restrictive. For example, if the complete metric g is Einstein of nonconstant sectional curvature and admits geodesically equivalent metric, then these two metrics are affinely equivalent [6]. If the dimension of the manifold is 3 or 4, the completeness condition can be dropped. By the results of Sinjukov [9], geodesically equivalent metrics on symmetric spaces are affinely equivalent.

Our research of geodesically equivalent metrics on Lie groups has been initiated by comprehensive discussions with Vladimir Matveev during the visit to Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena in 2013, within DAAD cooperation program. It came to our attention that the geodesically equivalent metrics have not been much considered in the frame of Lie groups. In the Riemannian case, Topalov [10] considered the conditions under which the left invariant metric on a Lie group admits a nontrivial geodesically equivalent metric. In our paper [1] we classified left invariant metrics of Lorentz signature on 4-dimensional nilpotent Lie groups and noticed that if two of these metrics are geodesically equivalent, they have to be affinely equivalent. The same is true for much wider class of metrics, namely for any two G-invariant metrics on homogenous space G/H. It is a very easy consequence of classical formulas which we prove in Theorem 2.1. It is strange that the simple fact has not been stated or used in the literature so far.

The structure of the paper is the following. First, in Section 1 we introduce the basic notation and recall some well known conditions for geodesical equivalence of metrics.

In Section 2 we give a proof of Theorem 2.1 and draw some easy consequences. In Theorem 2.2 we prove that the existence of nonproportional geodesically equivalent metrics on homogenous space G/H implies that the holonomy algebra cannot be full. Consequently, the curvature operator cannot have the maximal rank.

In Section 3 we focus on geodesically equivalent left invariant metrics on Lie groups, that are a special case of homogenous manifold. In Proposition 3.1 we prove an effective algorithm for finding the set of all geodesically equivalent left invariant metrics to a given metric on a Lie group. Using that method in Theorem 3.1 we show that three dimensional sphere  $S^3$  as a Lie group does not have left invariant geodesically equivalent left invariant metrics of any signature.

In Example 3.1 we show that there exists an indecomposable metric on a Lie group

with affinely equivalent metrics (both left invariant). Finally, in Example 3.2 we show that on the same Lie group there exist both geodesically rigid and Riemannian metrics admitting affinely equivalent metrics.

#### 1. Preliminaries

As it has been already known to Levi-Civita [8], two connections  $\nabla = \{\Gamma_{jk}^i\}$  and  $\overline{\nabla} = \{\overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^i\}$  have the same unparameterized geodesics if and only if their difference is pure trace: there exists a covector  $\varphi_i$  such that

(1.1) 
$$\bar{\Gamma}^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{jk} + \delta^i_k \varphi_j + \delta^i_j \varphi_k.$$

The reparametrization of the geodesics for  $\nabla$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  connected by (1.1) is done according to the following rule: for a parameterized geodesic  $\gamma(\tau)$  of  $\overline{\nabla}$ , the curve  $\gamma(\tau(t))$  is a parameterized geodesic of  $\nabla$  if and only if the parameter transformation  $\tau(t)$  satisfies the following ODE:

$$\varphi_{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left(\log\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}\tau}{\mathrm{d}t}\right|\right).$$

We denote by  $\dot{\gamma}$  the velocity vector of  $\gamma$  with respect to the parameter t.

If  $\nabla$  and  $\overline{\nabla}$  related by (1.1) are Levi-Civita connections of metrics g and  $\overline{g}$ , respectively, then one can find explicitly (following Levi-Civita [8]) a function  $\varphi$  on the manifold such that its differential  $\varphi_{,i}$  coincides with the covector  $\varphi_i$ . Indeed, contracting (1.1) with respect to i and j, we obtain  $\overline{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\alpha i} = \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\alpha i} + (n+1)\varphi_i$ . From the other side, for the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of a metric g we have

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\alpha k} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log |\det(g)|}{\partial x_k}$$

Thus

(1.2) 
$$\varphi_i = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \left| \frac{\det(\overline{g})}{\det(g)} \right| = \varphi_{,i}$$

for the function  $\varphi \colon M \to \mathbb{R}$  given by

(1.3) 
$$\varphi := \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \log \left| \frac{\det(\overline{g})}{\det(g)} \right|.$$

In particular, the derivative of  $\varphi_i$  is symmetric:  $\varphi_{i,j} = \varphi_{j,i}$ .

There is another convenient way to consider geodesically equivalent metrics extensively used by many authors (see for example [2], [6], [9]), that we do not use in this paper. Namely, if (1, 1)-tensor  $A = A(g, \overline{g})$  is defined in the following way:

$$A_{j}^{i}(g,\overline{g}) = \left|\frac{\det(\overline{g})}{\det(g)}\right|^{1/(n+1)}\overline{g}^{ik}g_{kj},$$

then the condition of geodesical equivalence of metrics g and  $\overline{g}$  can be formulated in terms of the linear system of PDEs on the components of the tensor A.

## 2. G-invariant metrics on homogenous space G/H

**Theorem 2.1.** If two G-invariant metrics on a homogenous space G/H are geodesically equivalent, then they are affinely equivalent.

Proof. Let g and  $\overline{g}$  be geodesically equivalent G-invariant metrics on G/H. Since G acts transitively and isometrically on G/H, the function  $\varphi$  from (1.3) is constant. Therefore the components  $\varphi_k$  of its covariant derivative are identically equal to zero. From formula (1.1) we have  $\overline{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{jk}^i$ , that is, metrics g and  $\overline{g}$  have the same Levi-Civita connection, so they are affinely equivalent.

**Definition 2.1.** We say that a *G*-invariant metric *g* on a homogenous space G/H is *invariantly rigid* if the only *G*-invariant metrics affinely equivalent to *g* are metrics of the form  $\lambda g$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** The indecomposable G-invariant Riemannian metric on a homogenous space G/H is invariantly rigid.

Proof. If metrics g and  $\overline{g}$  are affinely equivalent, then  $\overline{g}$  is parallel (0, 2) symmetric tensor with respect to the indecomposable Riemannian metric g. By the result of Eisenhart [3],  $\overline{g}$  is proportional to g.

**Example 2.1.** Standard Riemannian metric  $g_0$  on the *n*-dimensional sphere  $S^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is a well known example of a metric that admits "many" geodesically equivalent metrics. Namely, any matrix  $A \in \mathrm{Sl}(n, \mathbb{R})$  defines the mapping  $f_A$ 

(2.1) 
$$f_A \colon S^n \to S^n, \quad f_A(x) \coloneqq \frac{Ax}{|Ax|}$$

Since  $f_A$  maps hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  onto hyperplanes, it preserves great circles on  $S^n$ . Then the pullback metric  $g_A := f_A^* g_0$  is also a metric on  $S^n$  that has the same geodesics as  $g_0$ , and therefore metrics  $g_0$  and  $g_A$  are geodesically equivalent. Moreover,  $g_A \neq g_0$  if A is not an orthogonal matrix. Note that  $g_0$  is Riemannian SO(n+1) invariant metric on  $S^n = SO(n+1)/SO(n)$ . From Proposition 2.1 it follows that it is invariantly rigid, i.e. none of the metrics  $g_A \neq g_0$  is SO(n+1) invariant. See Theorem 3.1 for more general statement regarding left invariant metrics on sphere  $S^3$  viewed as a Lie group.

The existence of geodesically equivalent metrics has strong implications on holonomy of manifold, as next theorem shows.

**Theorem 2.2.** If G-invariant metric g on a G/H is not invariantly rigid, then it cannot have full holonomy algebra.

Proof. If metric  $\overline{g}$  is *G*-invariant geodesically equivalent metric to g, from Theorem 2.1 it follows that they are affinely equivalent. Thus, their connections coincide. Furthermore, they have the same holonomy algebra  $\operatorname{hol}(g) = \operatorname{hol}(\overline{g}) \subseteq \operatorname{so}(g) \cap \operatorname{so}(\overline{g}).$ 

Suppose that the holonomy is full and therefore  $so(g) = so(\overline{g})$  and fix a point  $p \in G/H$ . Any metric g defines a conjugate operator  $*: \operatorname{gl}(T_pG/H) \to \operatorname{gl}(T_pG/H)$  given by  $g(A^*x, y) = g(x, Ay)$  for all  $x, y \in T_pG/H$ . Note that operator \* is a reflection satisfying  $*^2 = \operatorname{id} \operatorname{with} \pm 1$  eigenspaces, respectively,

$$\Lambda^{-}(g) = \mathrm{so}(g) = \{ X \colon SX + X^{t}S = 0 \},\$$
  
$$\Lambda^{+}(g) = \mathrm{sym}(g) = \{ X \colon SX - X^{t}S = 0 \},\$$

where a symmetric matrix S represents metric g at point p, in some fixed basis. Note that \* is an isometry under the nondegenerate inner product on  $gl(\mathfrak{g})$  defined by  $\langle A, B \rangle = tr(AB)$  and  $gl(\mathfrak{g}) = \Lambda^{-}(g) \oplus \Lambda^{+}(g)$  is the orthogonal decomposition. Since  $so(g) = so(\overline{g})$  or equivalently  $\Lambda^{-}(g) = \Lambda^{-}(\overline{g})$ , it follows that  $\Lambda^{+}(g) = \Lambda^{+}(\overline{g})$ and therefore \* operator does not depend on the metric. This implies that  $\overline{g} = \lambda g$ for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ .

The same statement holds for any pseudo-Riemannian manifold. Since curvature operators belong to holonomy algebra, this explains why the full holonomy and full rank of curvature operators do not appear in results regarding relations of holonomy and geodesical equivalence of metrics (see [5], [11]).

#### 3. The case of left invariant metrics on a Lie group

Any Lie group G with left invariant metric g can be considered as a homogenous space. Therefore from Theorem 2.1 we have the following statement.

**Corollary 3.1.** If two left invariant metrics on a Lie group G are geodesically equivalent, they are affinely equivalent.

In the Riemannian case, under certain conditions, this result has already been known to Topalov (see [10], Proposition 2). In the sequel we discuss the geodesical equivalence of left invariant metrics on Lie groups.

The left invariant metric g on G is determined by its values on the Lie algebra. For the fixed basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of the Lie algebra we denote by  $S = (s_{ij})$  a symmetric and constant matrix of the metric, i.e.  $s_{ij} = g(e_i, e_j), i, j \in 1, \ldots, n$ . The metric gand hence the matrix S can be of arbitrary signature.

Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of metric g on G is given in terms of connection 1-forms  $\omega = (\omega_i^i)$  by  $\nabla e_i = \omega_i^j e_j$ , or equivalently,  $\nabla e^i = -\omega_i^j e^j$ .

From the condition of compatibility with the metric  $\nabla g = 0$ , we get that the matrix of connection is skew symmetric with respect to g:

$$(3.1) S\omega + \omega^{\mathrm{T}}S = 0.$$

On the other hand, the condition that the connection is torsion-free is equivalent to

(3.2) 
$$e^{i}([e_{j}, e_{k}]) = (\omega_{m}^{i} \wedge e^{m})(e_{j}, e_{k}) = \omega_{k}^{i}(e_{j}) - \omega_{j}^{i}(e_{k}),$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  denotes the commutator in the Lie algebra. Therefore the unique Levi Civita connection matrix of 1-forms  $\omega$ , of the metric g, is the solution of (3.1) and (3.2).

**Proposition 3.1.** Let g be a left invariant metric on a Lie group G represented by a constant symmetric matrix S in a left invariant basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  and  $\omega$  its Levi Civita connection matrix of 1-forms. Left invariant metric  $\overline{g}$  is geodesically equivalent to g if and only if its matrix  $\overline{S}$  in basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  belongs to the subspace

(3.3) 
$$\operatorname{aff}(S) := \{\overline{S} \colon \overline{S}\omega + \omega^{\mathrm{T}}\overline{S} = 0\}.$$

Proof. If  $\overline{S} \in \operatorname{aff}(S)$ , then  $\overline{g}$  is parallel with respect to  $\omega$  and (3.2) holds. Because of the uniqueness of Levi Civita connection we have  $\overline{\omega} = \omega$ . The metric  $\overline{g}$  is affinely and therefore geodesically equivalent to g.

Conversely, if  $\overline{g}$  is geodesically equivalent to g, according to Corollary 3.1 they are affinely equivalent, i.e.  $\overline{\omega} = \omega$ . Therefore  $\overline{g}$  must be parallel with respect to  $\omega$ , so  $\overline{S} \in \operatorname{aff}(S)$ .

This simple proposition gives a method for finding all left invariant metrics on a Lie group that are geodesically equivalent to a given left invariant metric. We use this fact to prove the following theorem and also in Example 3.1.

**Theorem 3.1.** All left invariant metrics on three dimensional sphere  $S^3$  are invariantly rigid.

Proof. Sphere  $S^3$  is isomorphic to multiplicative group of unit quaternions and its Lie algebra is isomorphic to so(3) with nonzero brackets

$$(3.4) [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = e_1, [e_3, e_1] = e_2.$$

The automorphism group of the Lie algebra so(3) is the group SO(3). Any symmetric  $3 \times 3$  matrix g can be diagonalized up to an automorphism  $F \in SO(3)$ :  $S \sim F^{T}SF = \text{diag}(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}) = S_{\alpha}$ . This means that, up to the automorphism of the Lie algebra so(3), any left invariant metrics g on the Lie group  $S^{3}$  in the basis  $\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\}$  is represented by a diagonal matrix  $S_{\alpha}, \alpha = (\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}), \alpha_{i} \neq 0$ . Note that the signature of metric depends on signs of  $\alpha_{i}$ .

To find all left invariant metrics geodesically equivalent to metric g represented by  $S_{\alpha}$ , we use the method from Proposition 3.1. By straightforward calculation, using (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain the nonzero connection 1-forms  $\omega = (\omega_i^j)$  of the metric

(3.5) 
$$\omega_i^j = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_i} - \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_k} \right) e^k, \quad \omega_j^i = -\frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_j} \omega_i^j.$$

Here (i, j, k) is any cyclic permutation of indices (1, 2, 3) (note that brackets (3.4) also have the same symmetries) and Einstein summation convention is not used.

If left invariant metric  $\overline{g}$  on  $S^3$  represented by  $3 \times 3$  symmetric matrix  $\overline{S}$  in the basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is geodesically equivalent to g, it is described by (3.3) for  $\omega$  given by (3.5) and  $S = S_{\alpha}$ . Note that since  $\omega$  is a matrix of 1-forms, the relations in (3.3) are three matrix equations and one can show that the only solution is  $\overline{S} = \lambda S_{\alpha}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0$ , i.e. the subspace  $\operatorname{aff}(S_{\alpha})$  is one-dimensional and the metric g is invariantly rigid.

Note that the metrics from the previous theorem include the metric of constant sectional curvature ( $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ ) and the metrics of Berger spheres ( $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ ). However, the metric of constant sectional curvature on sphere  $S^3$  is not rigid since there exist many metrics geodesically equivalent to it (see Example 2.1), but they are not left invariant.

According to Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, invariantly rigid metrics are quite common, but there are metrics on Lie groups, discussed in the sequel, that are not invariantly rigid.

**Remark 3.1.** If on a pseudo-Riemannian manifold there exists one parallel vector field of the form  $v = v^k e_k$  for the metric  $g = g_{ij}e^i \otimes e^j$ , the family of affinely equivalent metrics is then given by

(3.6) 
$$\overline{g} = \lambda g + \mu v^* \otimes v^* = \lambda (g_{kj} e^k \otimes e^j) + \mu (v_k v_j e^k \otimes e^j), \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

951

where  $v^*$  denotes a dual form of v with respect to the metric g. If there exists more than one independent parallel vector field, the family of affinely equivalent metrics can be described in a similar way (for example, see [11], Section 5).

Note that the orthogonal subspace  $v^{\perp}$  is also parallel. Therefore if v is not null, we have two complementary parallel distributions spanned by v and  $v^{\perp}$  and the manifold locally splits into the product of two manifolds in the sense of de Rham. This case is not interesting since for product manifolds we can always independently scale the metrics on each factor and obtain affinely equivalent metrics. On the other hand, if v is null, then  $v \subset v^{\perp}$ . The manifold does not have to split, but we still obtain nonproportional, affinely equivalent metric.

In the case of a Lie group G, if metric g is left invariant and v is parallel left invariant vector field, then metric (3.6) is also left invariant and therefore metric g on G is not invariantly rigid.

**Example 3.1.** Let us consider the 4-dimensional 3-step nilpotent Lie group  $G_4$ . The corresponding Lie algebra is given by the nonzero commutators

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_4.$$

From the classification of Lorentz metrics in [1] we choose the indecomposable metric

$$(g_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Vector  $v = e_4$  is parallel and null. Its dual vector is  $v^* = (g_{ij}v^j)e_i = e^1$ . Therefore the family of affinely equivalent metrics is

$$\overline{g} = \lambda g + \mu e^1 \otimes e^1,$$

and the given metric on the Lie group  $G_4$  is not invariantly rigid. Using the method described in Proposition 3.1 it can be shown that these are all left invariant metrics geodesically equivalent to g. Note that vector v is also parallel with respect to metric  $\overline{g}$ , since the Levi-Civita connections coincide, and it is null with respect to  $\overline{g}$ .

**Example 3.2.** This example shows that on the same Lie group one can have both affinely equivalent and invariantly rigid left invariant metrics. Consider the Lie group  $G = \mathbb{R}H_3 \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\mathbb{R}H_3$  denotes the real hyperbolic space. In the basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ , the nontrivial Lie brackets of the corresponding Lie algebra are

$$[e_1, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_2.$$

952

The family of nonequivalent left invariant Riemannian metrics is given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha & 0 \\ \beta & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha > 0, \ \beta \ge 0.$$

For  $\beta = 0$ , vector  $e_4$  is parallel, but since the metric is Riemannian, the metric is decomposable and therefore is not invariantly rigid.

If  $\beta > 0$ , the metric is invariantly rigid. Also, the metric is indecomposable and without a parallel vector field.

From the previous discussion, the question of describing all invariantly rigid, left invariant metrics on Lie groups arises naturally. Also, we do not know any example of G-invariant metric or left invariant metric that has more than one affinely equivalent metric. Classification of homogenous spaces G/H that admit more than one such metric is still an open problem.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank anonymous referee for pointing out that the statements hold not only in the case of Lie groups, but also in the case of homogenous spaces.

### References

[1]	N. Bokan, T. Šukilović, S. Vukmirović: Lorentz geometry of 4-dimensional nilpotent Lie	
	groups. Geom. Dedicata 177 (2015), 83–102.	zbl MR doi
[2]	A. V. Bolsinov, V. Kiosak, V. S. Matveev: A Fubini theorem for pseudo-Riemannian	
	geodesically equivalent metrics. J. Lond. Math. Soc., II. Ser. 80 (2009), 341–356.	zbl MR doi
[3]	L. P. Eisenhart: Symmetric tensors of the second order whose first covariant derivatives	
	are zero. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 25 (1923), 297–306.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[4]	G. S. Hall, D. P. Lonie: Holonomy groups and spacetimes. Classical Quantum Gravity	
	17(2000), 1369-1382.	zbl MR doi
[5]	G. S. Hall, D. P. Lonie: Projective structure and holonomy in four-dimensional Lorentz	
	manifolds. J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011), 381–399.	zbl MR doi
[6]	V. Kiosak, V. S. Matveev: Complete Einstein metrics are geodesically rigid. Commun.	
	Math. Phys. 289 (2009), 383–400.	zbl <mark>MR doi</mark>
[7]	V. Kiosak, V. S. Matveev: Proof of the projective Lichnerowicz conjecture for pseudo-	
	Riemannian metrics with degree of mobility greater than two. Commun. Math. Phys.	
	297~(2010),~401-426.	zbl MR doi
[8]	T. Levi-Civita: Sulle trasformazioni dello equazioni dinamiche. Annali di Mat. 24 (1896),	
	255–300. (In Italian.)	zbl MR doi
[9]	N.S. Sinyukov: On geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces onto symmetric Rieman-	
	nian spaces. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, n. Ser. 98 (1954), 21–23. (In Russian.)	$\mathrm{zbl}\ \mathrm{MR}$
[10]	P. Topalov: Integrability criterion of geodesical equivalence. Hierarchies. Acta Appl.	
	Math. 59 (1999), 271–298.	$\operatorname{zbl} \operatorname{MR} \operatorname{doi}$

[11] Z. Wang, G. Hall: Projective structure in 4-dimensional manifolds with metric signature (+, +, -, -). J. Geom. Phys. 66 (2013), 37–49.
Zbl MR doi

Authors' address: Neda Bokan, Tijana Šukilović, Srdjan Vukmirović, University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade 11000, Serbia, e-mail: neda@matf.bg.ac.rs, tijana@matf.bg.ac.rs, vsrdjan@matf.bg.ac.rs.