# Amir Sahami; Mehdi Rostami; Abdolrasoul Pourabbas On left $\varphi$ -biflat Banach algebras

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 61 (2020), No. 3, 337-344

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/148470

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2020

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

### On left $\varphi$ -biflat Banach algebras

Amir Sahami, Mehdi Rostami, Abdolrasoul Pourabbas

Abstract. We study the notion of left  $\varphi$ -biflatness for Segal algebras and semigroup algebras. We show that the Segal algebra S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -biflat if and only if G is amenable. Also we characterize left  $\varphi$ -biflatness of semigroup algebra  $l^1(S)$ in terms of biflatness, when S is a Clifford semigroup.

Keywords: left  $\varphi$ -biflat; Segal algebra; semigroup algebra; locally compact group Classification: 46M10, 43A07, 43A20

#### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

A Banach algebra A is called amenable, if there exists an element  $M \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $a \cdot M = M \cdot a$  and  $\pi_A^{**}(M)a = a$  for each  $a \in A$ . It is well-known that an amenable Banach algebra has a bounded approximate identity. For the history of amenability, see [12].

In homological theory, the notion of biflatness is an amenability-like property. In fact a Banach algebra A is biflat if there exists a Banach A-bimodule  $\rho$  from A into  $(A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $\pi_A^{**} \circ \rho(a) = a$  for each  $a \in A$ . It is well-known that a Banach algebra A with a bounded approximate identity is biflat if and only if A is amenable.

E. Kanuith et al. in [9] defined a version of amenability with respect to a nonzero multiplicative functional  $\varphi$ . A Banach algebra A is called left  $\varphi$ -amenable if there exists an element  $m \in A^{**}$  such that  $am = \varphi(a)m$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}(m) = 1$  for every  $a \in A$ . Note that the Segal algebra S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -amenable if and only if G is amenable, for further information see [1], [8] and [7].

Motivated by these considerations, M. Essmaili et al. in [2] defined a biflat-like property related to a multiplicative linear functional, called the condition W (here called  $\varphi$ -biflatness).

**Definition 1.1** ([2]). Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . The Banach algebra A is called left  $\varphi$ -biflat (right  $\varphi$ -biflat or is said to satisfy the condition W), if there exists a bounded linear map  $\varrho: A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that

$$\varrho(ab) = \varphi(b)\varrho(a) = a \cdot \varrho(b) \qquad (\varrho(ab) = \varphi(a)\varrho(b) = \varrho(a) \cdot b)$$

DOI 10.14712/1213-7243.2020.027

and

$$\widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \varrho(a) = \varphi(a)$$

for each  $a, b \in A$ , respectively.

They showed that a symmetric Segal algebra S(G) (on a locally compact group G) is right  $\varphi$ -biflat if and only if G is amenable [2, Theorem 3.4]. As a consequence of this result in [2, Corollary 3.5] authors characterized the right  $\varphi$ -biflatness of Lebesgue-Fourier algebra  $\mathcal{LA}(G)$ , Weiner algebra  $M_1$  and Feichtinger's Segal algebra  $S_0(G)$  over a unimodular locally compact group.

In this paper, we extend [2, Theorem 3.4] for any Segal algebra (in left  $\varphi$ -biflat case). In fact we show that the Segal algebra S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -biflat if and only if G is amenable. Using this tool we characterize left  $\varphi$ -biflatness of the Lebesgue-Fourier algebra  $\mathcal{LA}(G)$ . Also we characterize left  $\varphi$ -biflatness of second dual of Segal algebra  $S(G)^{**}$  in the term of amenability G. We study left  $\varphi$ -biflatness of some semigroup algebras.

We recall some standard notations and definitions that we shall need in this paper. Let A be a Banach algebra. If X is a Banach A-bimodule, then  $X^*$  is also a Banach A-bimodule via the following actions

$$(a \cdot f)(x) = f(x \cdot a), \qquad (f \cdot a)(x) = f(a \cdot x), \qquad a \in A, \ x \in X, \ f \in X^*$$

Throughout, the character space of A is denoted by  $\Delta(A)$ , that is, all nonzero multiplicative linear functionals on A. Let  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $\varphi$  has a unique extension  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Delta(A^{**})$  which is defined by  $\tilde{\varphi}(F) = F(\varphi)$  for every  $F \in A^{**}$ .

Let A be a Banach algebra. The projective tensor product  $A \otimes_p A$  is a Banach A-bimodule via the following actions

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c)ab \otimes c,$$
  $(b \otimes c) \cdot a = b \otimes ca,$   $a, b, c \in A.$ 

The product morphism  $\pi_A \colon A \otimes_p A \to A$  is given by  $\pi_A(a \otimes b) = ab$  for every  $a, b \in A$ . Let X and Y be Banach A-bimodules. The map  $T \colon X \to Y$  is called A-bimodule morphism, if

$$T(a \cdot x) = a \cdot T(x), \qquad T(x \cdot a) = T(x) \cdot a, \qquad a \in A, \ x \in X.$$

#### 2. Left $\varphi$ -biflatness

In this section we give two criteria which show the relation of left  $\varphi$ -biflatness and left  $\varphi$ -amenability.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose that A is a left  $\varphi$ -biffat Banach algebra with  $\overline{A \ker \varphi}^{\|\cdot\|} = \ker \varphi$ . Then A is left  $\varphi$ -amenable.

PROOF: Let A be left  $\varphi$ -biflat. Then there exists a bounded linear map  $\varrho \colon A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that  $\varrho(ab) = a \cdot \varrho(b) = \varphi(b)\varrho(a)$  and  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \varrho(a) = \varphi(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . We finish the proof in three steps:

Step 1: There exists a bounded left A-module morphism  $\xi: A \to (A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi})^{**}$ which  $\xi(l) = 0$  for each  $l \in \ker \varphi$ . To see this, we denote  $\mathrm{id}_A: A \to A$  for the identity map. Also we denote  $q: A \to \frac{A}{\ker \varphi}$  for the quotient map. Put

$$\xi := (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes q)^{**} \circ \varrho \colon A \to \left(A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi}\right)^{**},$$

where  $\operatorname{id}_A \otimes q(a \otimes b) = \operatorname{id}_A(a) \otimes q(b)$  for every  $a, b \in A$ . Clearly  $\operatorname{id}_A \otimes q: A \otimes_p A \to A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi}$  is a bounded left A-module morphism, it follows that  $(\operatorname{id}_A \otimes q)^{**}$  is also a bounded left A-module morphism. So  $\xi: A \to (A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi})^{**}$  is a bounded left A-module morphism. So  $\xi: A \to (A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi})^{**}$  is a bounded left A-module morphism. Let l be an arbitrary element of  $\ker \varphi$ . Since  $\overline{A \ker \varphi}^{\parallel \cdot \parallel} = \ker \varphi$ , there exist two sequences  $(a_n)$  in A and  $(l_n)$  in  $\ker \varphi$  such that  $a_n l_n \xrightarrow{\parallel \cdot \parallel} l$ . Then

$$\xi(l) = (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes q)^{**} \circ \varrho(l) = \lim_n (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes q)^{**} \circ \varrho(a_n l_n) = \lim_n \varphi(l_n) (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes q)^{**} \circ \varrho(a_n) = 0,$$

the last equality holds because  $(l_n)$  is in ker  $\varphi$ .

Step 2: There exists a bounded left A-module morphism  $\eta: \frac{A}{\ker \varphi} \to A^{**}$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \eta(a + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ . To see this, in Step 1 we showed that  $\xi(\ker \varphi) = \{0\}$ . It induces a map  $\overline{\xi}: \frac{A}{\ker \varphi} \to (A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi})^{**}$  which is defined by  $\overline{\xi}(a + \ker \varphi) = \xi(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ . Define

$$\theta := (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \overline{\varphi})^{**} \circ \overline{\xi} \colon \frac{A}{\ker \varphi} \to \left(A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi}\right)^{**},$$

where  $\overline{\varphi}$  is a character on  $\frac{A}{\ker \varphi}$  given by  $\overline{\varphi}(a + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ . Clearly  $\theta$  is a bounded left A-module morphism. On the other hand we know that  $\frac{A}{\ker \varphi} \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi} \cong A$ . Thus the composition of  $\widetilde{\varphi}$  and  $\theta$  can be defined. Since

$$\widetilde{\varphi} \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \overline{\varphi})^{**} = (\varphi \otimes \overline{\varphi})^{**}, \qquad (\varphi \otimes \overline{\varphi})^{**} \circ \xi(a) = \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \varrho(a), \qquad a \in A,$$

we have

$$\widetilde{\varphi} \circ \theta(a + \ker \varphi) = \widetilde{\varphi} \circ (\operatorname{id}_A \otimes \overline{\varphi})^{**} \circ \overline{\xi}(a + \ker \varphi) = (\varphi \otimes \overline{\varphi})^{**} \circ \xi(a)$$
$$= \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \varrho(a) = \varphi(a)$$

for each  $a \in A$ .

Step 3: We prove that A is left  $\varphi$ -amenable. To see that, choose an element  $a_0$ in A such that  $\varphi(a_0) = 1$ . Put  $m = \theta(a_0 + \ker \varphi) \in A^{**}$ . Since  $aa_0 - \varphi(a)a_0 \in$   $\ker \varphi$ , we have  $aa_0 + \ker \varphi = \varphi(a)a_0 + \ker \varphi$ . Consider

$$am = a\theta(a_0 + \ker \varphi) = \theta(aa_0 + \ker \varphi) = \theta(\varphi(a)a_0 + \ker \varphi)$$
$$= \varphi(a)\theta(a_0 + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(a)m$$

and

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(m) = \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \theta(a_0 + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(a_0) = 1$$

for every  $a \in A$ . It implies that A is left  $\varphi$ -amenable.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let A be a Banach algebra with a left approximate identity and  $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $A^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat if and only if A is left  $\varphi$ -biflat.

PROOF: Suppose that  $A^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat. Then there exists a bounded linear map  $\varrho \colon A^{**} \to (A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**})^{**}$  such that  $\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}} \circ \pi_{A^{**}}^{**} \circ \varrho(a) = \tilde{\varphi}(a)$  for all  $a \in A^{**}$ . On the other hand, there exists a bounded linear map  $\psi \colon A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**} \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  such that for  $a, b \in A$  and  $m \in A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**}$ , the following holds:

- (i)  $\psi(a \otimes b) = a \otimes b;$
- (ii)  $\psi(m) \cdot a = \psi(m \cdot a), \ a \cdot \psi(m) = \psi(a \cdot m);$
- (iii)  $\pi_A^{**}(\psi(m)) = \pi_{A^{**}}(m),$

see [4, Lemma 1.7]. Clearly

$$\psi^{**} \circ \varrho|_A \colon A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$$

is a bounded linear map for which

$$\psi^{**} \circ \varrho|_A(ab) = \varphi(b)\psi^{**} \circ \varrho|_A(a) = a \cdot \psi^{**} \circ \varrho|_A(b)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\varphi}} \circ \pi_A^{****} \circ \varrho(a) = \widetilde{\varphi}(a), \qquad a, b \in A.$$

By a similar argument as in the previous lemma (Step 1), we can find a bounded left A-module morphism  $\xi \colon A \to \left(A \otimes_p \frac{A}{\ker \varphi}\right)^{****}$  such that  $\xi(\ker \varphi) = \{0\}$ . Now following the same course as in the previous lemma (Step 2) we can find a bounded linear map  $\theta \colon \frac{A}{\ker \varphi} \to A^{****}$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \theta(a + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ . Choose  $a_0$  in A which  $\varphi(a_0) = 1$ . Set  $m = \theta(a_0 + \ker \varphi)$ . It is easy to see that

$$am = \varphi(a)m, \qquad \widetilde{\widetilde{\varphi}}(m) = 1, \qquad a \in A.$$

Applying Goldestine's theorem, we can find a bounded net  $(m_{\alpha})$  in  $A^{**}$  such that  $am_{\alpha} - \varphi(a)m_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}(m_{\alpha}) \to 1$  for each  $a \in A$ . On the other hand  $(m_{\alpha})$  is a bounded net, therefore  $(m_{\alpha})$  has a  $w^*$ -limit point, say M. It is easy to see that  $aM = \varphi(a)M$  and  $\widetilde{\varphi}(M) = 1$  for each  $a \in A$ . Define  $\eta: A \to (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  by

340

 $\eta(a) = \varphi(a)M \otimes M$  for each  $a \in A$ . It is easy to see that  $\eta$  is a bounded linear map such that

$$\eta(ab) = a \cdot \eta(b) = \varphi(b)\eta(a), \qquad \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \eta(a) = \varphi(a), \qquad a, b \in A.$$

It follows that A is left  $\varphi$ -biflat.

Conversely, suppose that A is left  $\varphi$ -biflat. Since A has a left approximate identity, we have  $\overline{A \ker \varphi}^{\|\cdot\|} = \ker \varphi$ . By the previous lemma A is left  $\varphi$ -amenable. Applying [9, Proposition 3.4]  $A^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -amenable. Thus there exists an element  $m \in A^{****}$  such that  $am = \varphi(a)m$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}}(m) = 1$  for each  $a \in A^{**}$ . Define  $\gamma \colon A \to (A^{**} \otimes_p A^{**})^{**}$  by  $\gamma(a) = \varphi(a)m \otimes m$  for each  $a \in A$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma$  is a bounded linear map such that

$$\gamma(ab) = a \cdot \gamma(b) = \varphi(b)\gamma(a), \qquad \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \pi^{**}_{A^{**}} \circ \gamma(a) = \varphi(a), \qquad a, b \in A.$$

It follows that  $A^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat.

### 3. Segal and semigroup algebras

A linear subspace S(G) of  $L^1(G)$  is said to be a Segal algebra on G if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) subspace S(G) is dense in  $L^1(G)$ ;
- (ii) subspace S(G) with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_{S(G)}$  is a Banach space and  $\|f\|_{L^1(G)} \leq \|f\|_{S(G)}$  for every  $f \in S(G)$ ;
- (iii) for  $f \in S(G)$  and  $y \in G$ , we have  $L_y(f) \in S(G)$  and the map  $y \mapsto L_y(f)$ from G into S(G) is continuous, where  $L_y(f)(x) = f(y^{-1}x)$ ;
- (iv)  $||L_y(f)||_{S(G)} = ||f||_{S(G)}$  for every  $f \in S(G)$  and  $y \in G$ .

For various examples of Segal algebras, we refer the reader to [11].

It is well-known that S(G) always has a left approximate identity. For a Segal algebra S(G) it has been shown that

$$\Delta(S(G)) = \{\varphi_{|_{S(G)}} \colon \varphi \in \Delta(L^1(G))\},\$$

see [1, Lemma 2.2].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) subspace  $S(G)^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat;
- (ii) subspace S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -biflat;
- (iii) group G is amenable.

PROOF: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $S(G)^{**}$  be left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat. Since S(G) has a left approximate identity, by Theorem 2.2, S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -biflat.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose that S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -biflat. Since S(G) has a left approximate identity,  $\overline{S(G) \ker \varphi}^{\|\cdot\|} = S(G)$ . Applying Lemma 2.1, it follows that S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -amenable. Now by [1, Corollary 3.4] G is amenable.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Let G be amenable. By [1, Corollary 3.4] S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -amenable. Thus S(G) is left  $\varphi$ -biflat. Using Theorem 2.2,  $S(G)^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat.  $\Box$ 

Let G be a locally compact group. Define  $\mathcal{LA}(G) = L^1(G) \cap A(G)$ , where A(G) is the Fourier algebra over G. For  $f \in \mathcal{LA}(G)$  put

$$|||f||| = ||f||_{L^1(G)} + ||f||_{A(G)},$$

with this norm and the convolution product  $\mathcal{LA}(G)$  becomes a Banach algebra called Lebesgue–Fourier algebra. In fact  $\mathcal{LA}(G)$  is a Segal algebra in  $L^1(G)$ , see [3]. Following corollary is an easy consequence of the previous theorem:

**Corollary 3.2.** Let *G* be a locally compact group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) algebra  $\mathcal{LA}(G)^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat;
- (ii) algebra  $\mathcal{LA}(G)$  is left  $\varphi$ -biflat;
- (iii) group G is amenable.

Let G be a locally compact group and let  $\widehat{G}$  be its dual group, which consists of all nonzero continuous homomorphism  $\zeta \colon G \to \mathbb{T}$ . It is well-known that  $\Delta(L^1(G)) = \{\varphi_{\zeta} \colon \zeta \in \widehat{G}\}$ , where  $\varphi_{\zeta}(f) = \int_G \overline{\zeta(x)} f(x) \, dx$  and dx is a left Haar measure on G, for more details see [5, Theorem 23.7].

Using the previous corollary, we can easily show the following result.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let G be a locally compact group. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) algebra  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat;
- (ii) algebra  $L^1(G)$  is left  $\varphi$ -biflat;
- (iii) group G is amenable.

A discrete semigroup S is called inverse semigroup if for each  $s \in S$  there exists an element  $s^* \in S$  such that  $ss^*s = s^*$  and  $s^*ss^* = s$ . There is a partial order on each inverse semigroup S, that is,

$$s \le t \Leftrightarrow s = ss^*t, \qquad s, t \in S.$$

Let  $(S, \leq)$  be an inverse semigroup. For each  $s \in S$ , set  $(x] = \{y \in S : y \leq x\}$ . Semigroup S is called uniformly locally finite if  $\sup\{|(x]|: x \in S\} < \infty$ .

Suppose that S is an inverse semigroup and  $e \in E(S)$ , where E(S) is the set of all idempotents of S. Then  $G_e = \{s \in S : ss^* = s^*s = e\}$  is a maximal subgroup of S with respect to e. An inverse semigroup S is called Clifford semigroup if for each  $s \in S$  there exists  $s^* \in S$  such that  $ss^* = s^*s$ , for more details see [6].

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $S = \bigcup_{e \in E(S)} G_e$  be a Clifford semigroup such that E(S) is uniformly locally finite. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (i) Algebra  $l^1(S)^{**}$  is left  $\tilde{\varphi}$ -biflat for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(S))$ .
- (ii) Algebra  $l^1(S)$  is left  $\varphi$ -biflat for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(S))$ .
- (iii) Each  $G_e$  is an amenable group.
- (iv) Algebra  $l^1(S)$  is biflat.

PROOF: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Suppose that  $l^1(S)^{**}$  is left  $\varphi$ -biflat for all  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(S))$ . By [10, Theorem 2.16],  $l^1(S) \cong l^1 - \bigoplus_{e \in E(S)} l^1(G_e)$ . Since each  $l^1(G_e)$  has an identity,  $l^1(S) \cong l^1 - \bigoplus_{e \in E(S)} l^1(G_e)$  has an approximate identity. Applying Theorem 2.2 gives that  $l^1(S)$  is left  $\varphi$ -biflat.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Suppose that  $l^1(S)$  is left  $\varphi$ -biflat for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(S))$ . Since  $l^1(S) \cong l^1 - \bigoplus_{e \in E(S)} l^1(G_e)$  has an approximate identity, Lemma 2.1 implies that  $l^1(S)$  is left  $\varphi$ -amenable for each  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(S))$ . We know that each  $l^1(G_e)$  is a closed ideal in  $l^1(S)$ , so every nonzero multiplicative linear functional  $\varphi \in \Delta(l^1(G_e))$  can be extended to  $l^1(S)$ . Thus by [9, Lemma 3.1] left  $\varphi$ -amenability of  $l^1(S)$  implies that each  $l^1(G_e)$  is left  $\varphi$ -amenable. Using [1, Corollary 3.4] each  $G_e$  is amenable.

 $(iv) \Rightarrow (i)$  It is clear by [10, Theorem 3.7].

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to the referee for carefully reading the paper, pointing out a number of misprints and for some helpful comments. Also the first author thanks Ilam university for its support.

#### References

- Alaghmandan M., Nasr-Isfahani R., Nemati M., Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 82 (2010), no. 2, 274–281.
- [2] Essmaili M., Rostami M., Amini M., A characterization of biflatness of Segal algebras based on a character, Glas. Mat. Ser. III 51(71) (2016), no. 1, 45–58.
- [3] Ghahramani F., Lau A. T. M., Weak amenability of certain classes of Banach algebra without bounded approximate identities, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 133 (2002), no. 2, 357–371.
- [4] Ghahramani F., Loy R. J., Willis G. A., Amenability and weak amenability of second conjugate Banach algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124 (1996), no. 5, 1489–1497.
- [5] Hewitt E., Ross K.A., Abstract Harmonic Analysis I: Structure of Topological Groups. Integration Theory, Group Representations, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 115, Academic Press, Springer, Berlin, 1963.

- [6] Howie J. M., Fundamental of Semigroup Theory, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, 12, Oxford Science Publications, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [7] Hu Z., Monfared M. S., Traynor T., On character amenable Banach algebras, Studia Math. 193 (2009), no. 1, 53–78.
- [8] Javanshiri H., Nemati M., Invariant φ-means for abstract Segal algebras related to locally compact groups, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 25 (2018), no. 5, 687–698.
- [9] Kaniuth E., Lau A. T., Pym J., On φ-amenability of Banach algebras, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 144 (2008), no. 1, 85–96.
- [10] Ramsden P., Biflatness of semigroup algebras, Semigroup Forum 79 (2009), no. 3, 515–530.
- [11] Reiter H., L<sup>1</sup>-algebras and Segal Algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 231, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [12] Runde V., Lectures on Amenability, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1774, Springer, Berlin, 2002.

A. Sahami (corresponding author):

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF BASIC SCIENCES ILAM UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 69315-516, ILAM, IRAN

*E-mail:* a.sahami@ilam.ac.ir

M. Rostami, A. Pourabbas:

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE,

Amirkabir University of Technology, 424 Hafez Avenue, 15914 Tehran, Iran

*E-mail:* mross@aut.ac.ir

E-mail: arpabbas@aut.ac.ir

(Received March 8, 2019, revised July 9, 2019)