

Qiaoling Xin; Tianqing Cao

C^* -basic construction between non-balanced quantum doubles

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 74 (2024), No. 2, 611–621

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/152461>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2024

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

C^* -BASIC CONSTRUCTION BETWEEN NON-BALANCED
QUANTUM DOUBLES

QIAOLING XIN, TIANQING CAO, Tianjin

Received January 11, 2024. Published online May 17, 2024.

Abstract. For finite groups X, G and the right G -action on X by group automorphisms, the non-balanced quantum double $D(X; G)$ is defined as the crossed product $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes CG$. We firstly prove that $D(X; G)$ is a finite-dimensional Hopf C^* -algebra. For any subgroup H of G , $D(X; H)$ can be defined as a Hopf C^* -subalgebra of $D(X; G)$ in the natural way. Then there is a conditional expectation from $D(X; G)$ onto $D(X; H)$ and the index is $[G; H]$. Moreover, we prove that an associated natural inclusion of non-balanced quantum doubles is the crossed product by the group algebra.

Keywords: non-balanced quantum double; C^* -basic construction; crossed product; action

MSC 2020: 46L05, 16S35

1. INTRODUCTION

Jones index theory has found important applications in algebraic quantum field theory, conformal field theory and so on, see [10], [13]. Especially, the basic construction plays an important role in constructing subfactors with the index $4\cos^2(\pi/n)$, $n = 3, 4, \dots$. Later, Jones index theory has been generalized to general inclusions of factors by Kosaki, see [11], Loi, see [12], Hiai, see [6], Pimsner and Popa, see [16]. On the basis of other works, Watatani in [20] considered a conditional expectation $\Gamma: B \rightarrow A$ for a C^* -algebra B and its C^* -subalgebra A , and then introduced the C^* -basic construction $\langle B, \gamma_A \rangle_{C^*}$.

As we all know, the quantum double (see [5]) of Drinfeld plays an important role in the field of mathematical physics. The quasitriangular structure not only provides a way to find solutions for the Yang-Baxter equation of statistical mechanics, but also

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants Nos. 11701423, 61701343 and 61771294) and the Natural Science Foundation of Tianjin (Grant No. 23JCQNJC01150).

leads to a braiding in the category of representations. Usually, quantum double $D(G)$ is defined as the crossed product $(\mathbb{C}G^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$. In [4], the authors had shown that the basic construction for a certain natural inclusion of infinite crossed product algebras is the crossed product by the quantum double. In [2], we considered the field algebra on Hopf spin models, and had shown that the C^* -basic construction for the field and the observable algebra is the crossed product of the field algebra by the quantum double of a Hopf algebra.

For a finite group G and a subgroup H of G , we can define $D(G; H)$ as the crossed product $C(G) \rtimes \mathbb{C}H$ with respect to the adjoint action. If $H = G$, then $D(G; H)$ reduces to the double algebra $D(G)$. The main difference is that $D(G)$ is quasi-triangular while $D(G; H)$ is not, see [17]. Jiang and Zhu in [8] constructed the map $E: D(G) \rightarrow D(G; H)$ and then proved that E is a conditional expectation of index-finite type. In [22], the authors considered the basic construction for $D(G)$ and $D(G; H)$ and gave the concrete form. In the quantum double, two groups are the same. In order to generalize the quantum double, Chen and Li in [3] defined non-balanced quantum double $D(X; G)$ as $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$, where G and X are two finite groups and the right G -action on X . Inspired by [3] and [22], we firstly define $*$ -operation on $D(X; G)$ such that $D(X; G)$ becomes a Hopf C^* -algebra. Moreover, for any subgroup H of G , one can define a Hopf C^* -subalgebra $D(X; H)$ of $D(X; G)$, and consider C^* -basic construction for $D(X; G)$ and $D(X; H)$, which generalizes the results in [22].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect the main definitions and results on Hopf C^* -algebras and the conditional expectation, which are used in the sequel. In Section 3, for finite groups X , G and the right G -action on X by group automorphisms, the non-balanced quantum double $D(X; G)$ is defined as the crossed product $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$. There is a $*$ -structure on $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$ such that it becomes a Hopf C^* -algebra. The ordinary quantum double of a finite group is a special case of this construction. Moreover, for any subgroup H of G , the non-balanced quantum double $D(X; H)$ can be naturally defined as a Hopf C^* -subalgebra of $D(X; G)$. Consider the conditional expectation Φ from $D(X; G)$ onto $D(X; H)$, then it is of index-finite type with $\text{Index } \Phi = [G; H]1_{D(X; G)}$. Based on the results of Section 3 we can construct in Section 4 the crossed product and prove that the C^* -basic construction $\langle D(X; G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ is the crossed product up to isomorphism.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 ([9]). Let $(K, m, \iota, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a Hopf algebra. Then K is said to be a Hopf $*$ -algebra if there exists an antilinear involution $*$ on K which satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) the map $*$ is an antimorphism of real algebras, as well as a morphism of real coalgebras. In other words, for any $a \in K$,

$$\Delta(a^*) = \Delta(a)^*, \quad \varepsilon(a^*) = \overline{\varepsilon(a)};$$

- (2) the map $*$ is compatible with the antipode S of K , which means

$$(S \circ *)^2 = \text{id}.$$

If K is a Hopf $*$ -algebra of finite dimension as well as a C^* -algebra, then K is said to be a Hopf C^* -algebra.

Remark 2.1.

- (1) For any finite group G , the group algebra $\mathbb{C}G$ is a finite dimensional Hopf C^* -algebra. Denote by G^{op} the opposite group of G (i.e., with opposite multiplication), then $\mathbb{C}G^{\text{op}}$ is also a Hopf C^* -algebra.
- (2) If K is a Hopf C^* -algebra of finite dimension, so is K^* , which is the dual of K .

One can refer to some definitions and properties on Hopf algebras (see [14], [18]), and on Hopf C^* -algebras, see [9], [19]. Also we shall use the summation convention, which is standard in Hopf algebra theory: $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$.

In this section, suppose that B is a C^* -algebra over $\mathbb{C}$ and A a C^* -subalgebra with a common unit 1_B .

Definition 2.2 ([20]). A linear map $\Gamma: B \rightarrow A$ is called a *conditional expectation* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) $\Gamma(1_B) = 1_B$;
- (2) (bimodular property) for $a_1, a_2 \in A$, $b \in B$,

$$\Gamma(a_1 b a_2) = a_1 \Gamma(b) a_2;$$

- (3) Γ is positive, i.e., for any $b \in B$, $\Gamma(b^* b)$ is a positive element in A .

Actually, if $\Gamma: B \rightarrow A$ is a conditional expectation, Γ is a projection of norm one, see [1].

Definition 2.3 ([20]). Let $\Gamma: B \rightarrow A$ be a conditional expectation. A finite family $\{(u_i, u_i^*) \in B \times B, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is called a *quasi-basis* for Γ if for all $x \in B$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n u_i \Gamma(u_i^* x) = x = \sum_{i=1}^n \Gamma(x u_i) u_i^*.$$

Moreover, if there exists a quasi-basis for Γ , we call Γ of index-finite type. In this case the index of Γ can be defined by

$$\text{Index } \Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i u_i^*.$$

Remark 2.2.

- (1) If Γ is of index-finite type, then $\text{Index } \Gamma$ is in the center of B and does not depend on the choice of quasi-basis. And the existence of a quasi-basis guarantees that conditional expectation Γ is non-degenerate, see [20].
- (2) Let G be a finite group, H a subgroup of G and $\Gamma: \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}H$ the canonical conditional expectation. Then $\text{Index } \Gamma$ is exactly $[G; H]$, the index of H in G .

3. C^* -INDEX BETWEEN NON-BALANCED QUANTUM DOUBLES

Suppose that G and X are finite groups, e is the unit of G , we have two group algebras $\mathbb{C}G$ and $\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}}$.

Definition 3.1. The non-balanced quantum double $D(X; G)$ is defined as the crossed product $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$ with respect to the right action by group automorphisms.

Chen and Li in [3] have proved that $D(X; G)$ is a Hopf algebra with the structure maps in the following defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (x, g)(y, h) &= \delta_{x^g, y}(x, gh), & (\text{multiplication}) \\ 1_{D(X; G)} &= \sum_{x \in X} (x, e), & (\text{unit}) \\ \Delta(x, g) &= \sum_{a \in X} (a^{-1}x, g) \otimes (a, g), & (\text{comultiplication}) \\ \varepsilon(x, g) &= \delta_{1_X, x}, & (\text{counit}) \\ S(x, g) &= ((x^g)^{-1}, g^{-1}), & (\text{antipode}) \end{aligned}$$

for $(x, g), (y, h) \in D(X; G)$, where 1_X is the unit of X , x^g denotes the G -action and

$$\delta_{x, y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq y. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we can define $(x, g)^* = (x^g, g^{-1})$ on the basis elements and extend it antilinearly to the Hopf algebra $D(X; G)$.

Theorem 3.1. *The algebra $D(X; G)$ becomes a Hopf C^* -algebra.*

P r o o f. Firstly, $D(X; G)$ is a $*$ -algebra, i.e., $(x, g)^{**} = (x, g)$ and $((x, g)(y, h))^* = (y, h)^*(x, g)^*$ for any $(x, g), (y, h) \in D(X; G)$. In fact,

$$(x, g)^{**} = (x^g, g^{-1})^* = ((x^g)^{g^{-1}}, g^{-1}) = (x, g),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((x, g)(y, h))^* &= (xy^{g^{-1}}, gh)^* = ((xy^{g^{-1}})^{gh}, h^{-1}g^{-1}) = (x^{gh}y^h, h^{-1}g^{-1}) \\ &= (y^h x^{gh}, h^{-1}g^{-1}) = (y^h, h^{-1})(x^g, g^{-1}) = (y, h)^*(x, g)^*. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel we will show that Δ and ε are $*$ -homomorphisms and $S(S(x, g)^*)^* = (x, g)$ for any $(x, g) \in D(X; G)$, which yields that $D(X; G)$ is a Hopf $*$ -algebra. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta(x, g))^* &= \left(\sum_{a \in X} (a^{-1}x, g) \otimes (a, g) \right)^* = \sum_{a \in X} ((a^{-1}x)^g, g^{-1}) \otimes (a^g, g^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{a \in X} ((a^{-1})^g x^g, g^{-1}) \otimes (a^g, g^{-1}) = \sum_{b \in X} (b^{-1}x^g, g^{-1}) \otimes (b, g^{-1}) \\ &= \Delta(x^g, g^{-1}) = \Delta((x, g)^*). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that ε is also a $*$ -homomorphism, and

$$S(S(x, g)^*)^* = S(S(x^g, g^{-1}))^* = S(x^{-1}, g)^* = S((x^{-1})^g, g^{-1}) = (x, g).$$

Moreover, there is a unique element $z = |G|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} (1_X, g)$, called an integral, satisfying $(x, g)z = z(x, g) = \varepsilon(x, g)z$, $(x, g) \in D(X; G)$, and $\varepsilon(z) = 1$. Then one can define the inner product $\langle (x, g)(y, h) \rangle = z((y, h)^*(x, g))$ for any $(x, g), (y, h) \in D(X, G)$ such that $D(X, G)$ can be turned into a Hilbert space. For any $(x, g) \in D(X, G)$, the map λ defined as $(\lambda(x, g))(y, h) = (x, g)(y, h)$ is a faithful $*$ -representation on $D(X, G)$. Hence, $D(X, G)$ is a Hopf C^* -algebra of finite dimension. $\square$

Remark 3.1.

- (1) If $X = G$ and the G -action is given by conjugation (i.e., $x^g = g^{-1}xg$), then $D(X; G)$ reduces to the quantum double $D(G)$. Note that $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^*$ and $\mathbb{C}G$ can be viewed as Hopf C^* -subalgebras of $D(X; G)$ via the canonical embeddings $i_{(X^{\text{op}})^*}x = (x, e)$ and $i_Gg = (1_X, g)$.
- (2) Moreover, if X is a normal subgroup of G , we can get the quasi-triangular Hopf C^* -algebra $D(X; G)$, which is not a Hopf subalgebra of the quantum double $D(G)$, see [21].
- (3) If G is a subgroup of X , the Hopf C^* -algebra $D(X; G)$ is considered in [7].

For any subgroup H of G , we can define the space $D(X; H)$ as the crossed product $(\mathbb{C}X^{\text{op}})^* \rtimes \mathbb{C}H$. There exists a natural Hopf structure such that $D(X; H)$ is a Hopf C^* -subalgebra of $D(X; G)$ with the common unit.

Consider the natural mapping $\Phi: D(X; G) \rightarrow D(X; H)$ defined by

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{x \in X, g \in G} k_{x,g}(x, g)\right) = \sum_{x \in X, g \in H} k_{x,g}(x, g)$$

for any $k_{x,g} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(x, g) \in D(X; G)$.

Theorem 3.2. *The map Φ is a conditional expectation from $D(X; G)$ onto $D(X; H)$ of index-finite type and $\text{Index } \Phi = [G; H]1_{D(X; G)}$.*

Proof. We only show that Φ satisfies bimodular property and is positive.

(2) For $(y, g) \in D(X; G)$, $(x, h) \in D(X; H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi((y, g)(x, h)) &= \Phi(\delta_{y^g, x}(y, gh)) = \begin{cases} \delta_{y^g, x}(y, gh) & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin H, \end{cases} = \begin{cases} (y, g)(x, h) & \text{if } g \in H, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \notin H, \end{cases} \\ &= \Phi(y, g)(x, h). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\Phi((x, h)(y, g)) = (x, h)\Phi(y, g)$.

(3) For $(y, g) \in D(X; G)$ we have

$$\Phi((y, g)^*(y, g)) = \Phi((y^g, g^{-1})(y, g)) = \Phi(y^g, e) = (y^g, e) = (y, g)^*(y, g).$$

Hence, Φ is a conditional expectation.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } u_i &= \sum_{x \in X} (x, t_i) \text{ and } u_i^* = \sum_{y \in X} (y, t_i^{-1}), i = 1, 2, \dots, [G; H], \text{ we can compute} \\ \sum_i u_i \Phi\left(u_i^* \sum_{x \in X, g \in G} k_{x,g}(x, g)\right) &= \sum_i u_i \Phi\left(\sum_{y, x \in X, g \in G} (y, t_i^{-1}) k_{x,g}(x, g)\right) = \sum_i u_i \Phi\left(\sum_{y, x \in X, g \in G} \delta_{y, x t_i} k_{x,g}(y, t_i^{-1} g)\right) \\ &= \sum_i u_i \Phi\left(\sum_{x \in X, h \in G} k_{x, t_i h}(x^{t_i}, h)\right) = \sum_i \sum_{y \in X} (y, t_i) \sum_{x \in X, h \in H} k_{x, t_i h}(x^{t_i}, h) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_{x \in X, h \in H} k_{x, t_i h}((x^{t_i})^{t_i^{-1}}, t_i h) = \sum_i \sum_{x \in X, h \in H} k_{x, t_i h}(x, t_i h) = \sum_{x \in X, g \in G} k_{x,g}(x, g). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $\sum_i \Phi\left(\sum_{x \in X, g \in G} k_{x,g}(x, g) u_i\right) u_i^* = \sum_{x \in X, g \in G} k_{x,g}(x, g)$. Thus,

$$\text{Index } \Phi = \sum_i u_i u_i^* = \sum_i \sum_{x, y \in X} (x, t_i)(y, t_i^{-1}) = \sum_i \sum_{x \in X} (x, e) = [G; H]1_{D(X; G)}.$$

□

In the following we consider the C^* -basic construction for the conditional expectation Φ .

Let $\mathfrak{L}_{D(X; H)}(D(X; G))$ be the C^* -algebra of all adjointable right $D(X; H)$ -module homomorphism. In the case, the element in $D(X; G)$ can be viewed as the left multi-

plication operator in $\mathfrak{L}_{D(X;H)}(D(X;G))$, and Φ as a projection in $\mathfrak{L}_{D(X;H)}(D(X;G))$, denoted by φ . Then the C^* -basic construction $\langle D(X;G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ is the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathfrak{L}_{D(X;H)}(D(X;G))$ generated by $\{(x, g): (x, g) \in D(X;G)\}$ and φ .

Remark 3.2. The C^* -algebra $\langle D(X;G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ can be linearly generated by the set $\{(x, g)\varphi(y, h): (x, g), (y, h) \in D(X;G)\}$. Indeed, $\varphi = \sum_{x,y \in X} (x, e)\varphi(y, e)$. And for $(x, g) \in D(X;G)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k (x, t_i)\varphi(x^{t_i}, t_i^{-1}g)(y, h) &= \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{x^{t_i}, y^{g^{-1}t_i}}(x, t_i)\Phi(x^{t_i}, t_i^{-1}gh) \\ &= \delta_{x^{t_j}, y^{g^{-1}t_j}}(x, t_j)(x^{t_j}, t_j^{-1}gh) = \delta_{x^{t_j}, y^{g^{-1}t_j}}(x, gh) \\ &= \delta_{x, y^{g^{-1}}}(x, gh) = (x, g)(y, h), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the property that for $gh \in G$, there exists t_j such that $gh \in t_j H$.

Hence, $(x, g) = \sum_{i=1}^k (x, t_i)\varphi(x^{t_i}, t_i^{-1}g)$.

4. C^* -BASIC CONSTRUCTION FOR THE CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION Φ

In this section, we will prove that there is a left action α of $\mathbb{C}G$ on $C(G/H \times X)$, and the resulting crossed product C^* -algebra $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{C}G$ is C^* -isomorphic to the C^* -basic construction $\langle D(X;G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$.

Suppose that H is a subgroup of the group G with the index $[G;H] = k$. Let G/H be the set of all left cosets of H , which means $G = \bigcup_i t_i H$, and $i \neq j$ induces that $t_i H \cap t_j H = \emptyset$, denoted by $G/H = \{[t_1], [t_2], \dots, [t_k]\}$, and $C(G/H \times X)$ the C^* -algebra of complex functions on $G/H \times X$. Then we can choose the set $\{[t_i]: i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ as a linear basis for the C^* -algebra $C(G/H)$. Since $C(G/H \times X) \cong C(G/H) \otimes C(X)$, then $C(G/H \times X)$ has a linear basis $\{(t_i, x): i = 1, 2, \dots, k; x \in X\}$, where we use (t_i, x) for $([t_i], \delta_x)$, and $\{\delta_x: x \in X\}$ is a linear basis of $C(X)$.

For any $g \in G$, $(t_i, x) \in C(G/H \times X)$, the map $\alpha: \mathbb{C}G \times C(G/H \times X) \rightarrow C(G/H \times X)$ is defined by

$$\alpha(g \times (t_i, x)) = (gt_i, x^{g^{-1}}),$$

and linearly extended both on $\mathbb{C}G$ and $C(G/H \times X)$. Then α is an automorphic action. Under this action of $\mathbb{C}G$ on $C(G/H \times X)$, we can obtain the crossed product, denoted by $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$, which can be generated by $\{(t_i, x), g: (t_i, x) \in D(X;G), g \in G; i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

Using the linear basis elements $(t_i, x; g)$ of $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$, the multiplication and $*$ -operation are defined as

$$(t_i, x; g)(t_j, y; h) = \delta_{[t_i], [gt_j]} \delta_{x^g, y} (t_i, x; gh), \quad (t_i, x; g)^* = (g^{-1}t_i, x^g; g^{-1}).$$

Clearly, $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$ is a unital C^* -algebra with the unit $\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{i=1}^k (t_i, x; e)$.

Proposition 4.1. *The elements $\sum_i (t_i, x; g)$ and $\sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e)$ satisfy*

- (1) $\sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) \sum_i (t_i, x; g) \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) = \delta_{[t_1], [g]} \sum_i (t_i, x; g) \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e);$
- (2) $\sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e)$ is a projection in $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$, i.e.,

$$\left(\sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e) \right)^2 = \left(\sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e) \right)^* = \sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e).$$

Proof. (1) Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) \sum_i (t_i, x; g) \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) &= \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) \sum_i \sum_{y \in X} \delta_{[t_i], [g]} \delta_{x^g, y} (t_i, x; g) \\ &= \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) (g, x; g) = \delta_{[t_1], [g]} (t_1, x; g), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\delta_{[t_1], [g]} \sum_i (t_i, x; g) \sum_{y \in X} (t_1, y; e) \\ &= \delta_{[t_1], [g]} (g, x; g) = \delta_{[t_1], [g]} \sum_i \sum_{y \in X} \delta_{[t_i], [g]} \delta_{x^g, y} (t_i, x; g) = \delta_{[t_1], [g]} (g, x; g). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is completed. $\square$

(2) We can compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e) \right)^2 &= \sum_{x, y \in X} (t_1, x; e)(t_1, y; e) = \sum_{x, y \in X} \delta_{x, y} (t_1, x; e) \\ &= \sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e) = \sum_{x \in X} (t_1, x; e)^*. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Theorem 4.1. *The C^* -basic construction $\langle D(X; G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ is C^* -isomorphic to the crossed product C^* -algebras $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.2, we have

$$\langle D(X; G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*} = \overline{\{(x, g)\varphi(y, h) : (x, g), (y, h) \in D(X; G)\}}^{\|\cdot\|},$$

and then define the map $\Pi: \langle D(X; G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*} \rightarrow C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$ as

$$\Pi((x, g)\varphi(y, h)) = \delta_{x^g, y}(g, x; gh).$$

Then Π is well-defined. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pi((x_1, g_1)\varphi(y_1, h_1)(x_2, g_2)\varphi(y_2, h_2)) \\ &= \Pi(\delta_{y_1^{h_1}, x_2}(x_1, g_1)\varphi(y_1, h_1 g_2)\varphi(y_2, h_2)) \\ &= \Pi(\delta_{[h_1 g_2], [t_1]} \delta_{y_1^{h_1}, x_2}(x_1, g_1)(y_1, h_1 g_2)\varphi(y_2, h_2)) \\ &= \Pi(\delta_{[h_1 g_2], [t_1]} \delta_{y_1^{h_1}, x_2} \delta_{x_1^{g_1}, y_1}(x_1, g_1 h_1 g_2)\varphi(y_2, h_2)) \\ &= \Pi(\delta_{[h_1 g_2], [t_1]} \delta_{y_1^{h_1}, x_2} \delta_{x_1^{g_1}, y_1}(x_1, g_1 h_1 g_2)\varphi(y_2, h_2)) \\ &= \delta_{[h_1 g_2], [t_1]} \delta_{y_1^{h_1}, x_2} \delta_{x_1^{g_1}, y_1} \delta_{x_1^{g_1 h_1 g_2}, y_2}(g_1 h_1 g_2, x_1; g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2) \\ &= \delta_{[g_1 h_1 g_2], [g_1]} \delta_{x_1^{g_1}, y_1} \delta_{x_2^{g_2}, y_2} \delta_{x_1^{g_1 h_1}, x_2}(g_1, x_1; g_1 h_1 g_2 h_2) \\ &= (g_1, x_1; g_1 h_1)(g_2, x_2; g_2 h_2) \\ &= \Pi((x_1, g_1)\varphi(y_1, h_1))\Pi((x_2, g_2)\varphi(y_2, h_2)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi((x, g)\varphi(y, h))^* &= \Pi((y, h)^* \varphi(x, g)^*) = \Pi((y^h, h^{-1})\varphi(x^g, g^{-1})) \\ &= \delta_{x^{gh}, y^h}(h^{-1}, y^h; h^{-1}g^{-1}) = \delta_{x^g, y}(h^{-1}, x^{gh}; h^{-1}g^{-1}) \\ &= (\delta_{x^g, y}(g, x; gh))^* = (\Pi((x, g)\varphi(y, h)))^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Π preserves the algebra structure and $*$ -operation, and it follows from Theorem 2.1.7 of [15] that Π is norm-decreasing.

Again, for any $(t_i, x; g) \in C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$, we can take $(x, t_i)\varphi(x^{t_i}, t_i^{-1}g)$ in $\langle D(X; G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ such that

$$\Pi((x, t_i)\varphi(x^{t_i}, t_i^{-1}g)) = (t_i, x; g),$$

which means that Π is surjective. Combining this with the open mapping theorem, we know that Π is C^* -isomorphic. $\square$

Remark 4.1. It follows from Proposition 2.10.11 in [20] that the C^* -basic construction does not depend on the choice of conditional expectations. Combined with Theorem 4.1, we know that the crossed product C^* -algebra $C(G/H \times X) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$ does not depend on the choice of Φ .

Example 4.1.

- (1) Let $X = G$ and consider the map $\Phi: D(G) \rightarrow D(G; H)$ defined by

$$\Phi: D(G) \rightarrow D(G; H), \quad \sum_{g,h \in G} k_{g,h}(g, h) \mapsto \sum_{g \in G, h \in H} k_{g,h}(g, h),$$

where $k_{g,h} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $(g, h) \in D(G)$. Then Φ is a conditional expectation from $D(G)$ onto $D(G; H)$. Using Theorem 4.1, the C^* -basic construction $\langle D(G), \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ is the crossed product $C(G/H \times G) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$, which is the main result in [22].

- (2) Let $X = G$ and consider the map $\Phi: \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}H$ defined by

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{g \in G} \lambda_g g\right) = \sum_{h \in H} \lambda_h h,$$

where $\lambda_g \in \mathbb{C}$ for $g \in G$. Then Φ is a conditional expectation from $\mathbb{C}G$ onto $\mathbb{C}H$. And there is an inclusion $i: \mathbb{C}G \rightarrow D(G)$ given by $i(g) = \sum_{f \in G} (f, g)$, which together with Theorem 4.1 yields that the C^* -basic construction $\langle \mathbb{C}G, \varphi \rangle_{C^*}$ is the crossed product $C(G/H) \rtimes \mathbb{C}G$ in [20].

Acknowledgement. The authors are very grateful to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] *O. Bratteli, D. W. Robinson: Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics. Vol. 1. C^* - and W^* -Algebras. Symmetry Groups. Decomposition of States. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer, New York, 1987.* zbl MR doi
- [2] *T. Cao, Q. Xin, X. Wei, L. Jiang: Jones type basic construction on Hopf spin models. Mathematics 8 (2020), Article ID 1547, 9 pages.* doi
- [3] *L. Chen, F. Li: On the structure and representations of non-balanced quantum doubles. Appl. Math., Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) 27 (2012), 475–488.* zbl MR doi
- [4] *S. De, V. Kodiyalam: Note on infinite iterated crossed products of Hopf algebras and the Drinfeld double. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), 5305–5313.* zbl MR doi
- [5] *V. G. Drinfel'd: Quantum groups. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2. AMS, Providence, 1987, pp. 798–820.* zbl MR
- [6] *F. Hiai: Minimizing indices of conditional expectations onto a subfactor. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 24 (1988), 673–678.* zbl MR doi
- [7] *L. Jiang: C^* -index of observable algebras in G -spin model. Sci. China, Ser. A 48 (2005), 57–66.* zbl MR doi
- [8] *L. Jiang, G. Zhu: C^* -index in double algebra of finite group. Trans. Beijing Inst. Technol. 23 (2003), 147–148. (In Chinese.)* zbl MR
- [9] *C. Kassel: Quantum Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155. Springer, New York, 1995.* zbl MR doi
- [10] *Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo: Classification of local conformal nets. Case $c < 1$. Ann. Math. (2) 160 (2004), 493–522.* zbl MR doi

- [11] *H. Kosaki*: Extension of Jones' theory on index to arbitrary factors. *J. Funct. Anal.* *66* (1986), 123–140. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *P. H. Loi*: Sur la théorie de l'indice et les facteurs de type III. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. 305* (1987), 423–426. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *R. Longo*: Index of subfactors and statistics of quantum fields. II. Correspondences, braid group statistics and Jones polynomial. *Commun. Math. Phys.* *130* (1990), 285–309. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *S. Montgomery*: Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 82. AMS, Providence, 1993. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] *G. J. Murphy*: C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory. Academic Press, Boston, 1990. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *M. Pimsner, S. Popa*: Entropy and index for subfactors. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* *19* (1986), 57–106. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *D. E. Radford*: Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra* *157* (1993), 285–315. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *M. E. Sweedler*: Hopf Algebras. W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [19] *S. Vaes, A. Van Daele*: Hopf C^* -algebras. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., III. Ser.* *82* (2001), 337–384. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] *Y. Watatani*: Index for C^* -subalgebras. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* *424* (1990), 117 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] *Q. Xin, L. Jiang*: Symmetric structure of field algebra of G -spin models determined by a normal subgroup. *J. Math. Phys.* *55* (2014), Article ID 091703, 9 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [22] *Q. Xin, L. Jiang, T. Cao*: C^* -basic construction from the conditional expectation on the Drinfeld double. *J. Funct. Spaces* *2019* (2019), Article ID 2041079, 7 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: Qiaoling Xin (corresponding author), School of Mathematical Sciences, Tianjin Normal University, 393 Binshui West Road, Tianjin 300387, P. R. China, e-mail: xinqiaoling0923@163.com; Tianqing Cao, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tiangong University, 399 Binshui West Road, Tianjin 300387, P. R. China, e-mail: henancaotianqing@163.com.