Michal Křížek Superconvergence results for linear triangular elements

In: Jaromír Vosmanský and Miloš Zlámal (eds.): Equadiff 6, Proceedings of the International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications held in Brno, Czechoslovakia, Aug. 26 - 30, 1985. J. E. Purkyně University, Department of Mathematics, Brno, 1986. pp. [315]--320.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700132

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

SUPERCONVERGENCE RESULTS FOR LINEAR TRIANGULAR ELEMENTS

M. KŘÍŽEK

Mathematical Institute, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences 115 67 Prague 1, Czechoslovakia

The aim of the paper is to present several superconvergence phenomena which have been observed and analyzed when employing the standard linear elements to second order elliptic problems. We shall illustrate them in their simplest form solving the model problem:

$$\Delta u = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 , \tag{1}$$

where $\ensuremath{\,\Omega}$ is a convex polygonal domain and $\ensuremath{\,u}$ is supposed to be smooth enough.

Let $\{T_h\}$ be a regular family of triangu**la**tions of $\overline{\alpha}$, i.e., Zlámal's condition on the minimal angle of triangles is fulfilled. The discrete analogue of (1) will consist in finding $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$(\nabla u_{h}, \nabla v_{h})_{0,\Omega} = (f, v_{h})_{0,\Omega} \quad \forall v_{h} \in V_{h} , \qquad (2)$$

where

 $\mathbf{v}_{h} = \{ \mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathbf{H}_{0}^{1}(\boldsymbol{\Omega}) \mid \mathbf{v}_{h \mid T} \in \mathbf{P}_{1}^{-}(\mathbf{T}) \quad \forall \mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{T}_{h} \} .$

It is known [15,39] that the error estimates

$$||\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_{h}||_{0, \mathbf{p}, \Omega} \leq \left\langle \begin{array}{c} c_{\mathbf{p}}h^{2} ||\mathbf{u}||_{2, \mathbf{p}, \Omega} & \text{if } \mathbf{p} \in [2, \infty) \\ ch^{2} ||\mathbf{n}|h| & ||\mathbf{u}||_{2, \infty, \Omega} & \text{if } \mathbf{p} = \infty \end{array} \right\rangle$$
(3)

$$||\nabla u - \nabla u_h||_{0,p,\Omega} \leq Ch||u||_{2,p,\Omega}$$
 if $p \in [2,\infty]$, (4)

are optimal. Nevertheless, we can improve the order convergence (in some norm $|||\cdot|||$ which is close to $||\cdot||_{0,p,\Omega}$) by a suitable post-processing \sim , and this we call the superconvergence. The post-processing \sim should be easily computable and the norm $|||\cdot|||$ may be e.g. a discrete analogue of $||\cdot||_{0,p,\Omega}$, or $|||\cdot||| = ||\cdot||_{0,p,\Omega}$ for $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ (i.e. $\overline{\Omega_0} \subset \Omega$), or $|||\cdot||| = ||\cdot||_{0,p,\Omega}$, etc. We introduce several examples where \sim is a restriction operator to some subset of Ω , an averaging and an integral smoothing operator. Let us emphasize that many superconvergence phenomena are very sensitive to the mesh geometry (therefore, uniform, quasiuniform or piecewise uniform triangulations are mostly

employed). In this paper, we assume for brevity that each T_h is uniform, i.e., any two adjacent triangles of T_h form a parallelogram.

Let N_h be the set of nodal points of T_h . Then the use of the expansion theorem for linear elements by [32] yields (cf. (3))

$$\max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{N}_{h}} |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{u}_{h}(\mathbf{x})| \leq Ch^{4} ||\mathbf{u}||_{C^{4}(\overline{\Omega})}, \qquad (5)$$

provided $T_{\rm h}$ consists of equilateral triangles. We mention that the (stiffness) matrix arising from (2), when taking the standard Courant basis functions, is the same as for the well-known 7-point finite difference scheme (see e.g. [35], p. 91)

 $\frac{2}{3} (6u_0 - u_1 - u_2 - u_3 - u_4 - u_5 - u_6) = h^2 f_0 + h^4 \Delta f_0 / 16$ with the rate of convergence $0(h^4)$.

<u>Remark 1</u>. Using (1), (2), (5), and the affine one-to-one mapping F between any uniform triangulation \hat{T}_h and a triangulation T_h consisting of equilateral triangles, one easily obtains an analogue of (5) for $\hat{T}_h = F^{-1}(T_h)$, indeed, but for other equation. For instance, the triangulation sketched in Fig. 1 guarantees the nodal superconvergence for the equation $-\Delta \hat{u} + \partial^2 \hat{u}/\partial x \partial y = \hat{f}$.



<u>Remark 2</u>. A convenient combination of linear and bilinear elements may give the $0(h^4)$ -superconvergence at nodes for the problem (1) on triangulations consisting of right-angled triangles. Let $\{u^i\}$ and $\{v^i\}$ be the Courant piecewise linear basis functions over the triangulation of Fig. 1 and 2, respectively, and let $\{t^i\}$ be the standard basis functions for bilinear rectangular elements. Put

 $w^{i} = t^{i}/2 + u^{i}/4 + v^{i}/4$

and denote by W_h the linear hull of $\{w^i\}$ (dim $W_h = \dim V_h$). Now, the matrix arising from (2), if we replace V_h by W_h , is the same as for the 9-point difference scheme over square meshes [35], p. 90; and it is thus easy to derive the rate $0(h^4)$ at nodes employing the basis $\{w^i\}$. The next table shows the values of the maximum error over all nodes for various choices of basis functions when $u(x,y) = y(y - 1) \sin \pi x$ is the exact solution of (1) on the unit square $\Omega = (0,1) \times (0,1)$.

h ⁻¹	v ⁱ	$(v^{i}+u^{i})$	/2 t ⁱ	(t ⁱ +v ⁱ)/2 w ⁱ	
4	1.2069 H	E-2 1.2069	E-2 1.2962	E-2 6.0703	E-4 1.6832	E-4
8	3.1027 H	E-3 3.1027	E-3 3.1589	E-3 1.3156	E-4 1.0307	E-5
16	7.8126 H	E-4 7.8126	E-4 7.8478	E-4 3.5250	E-5 6.4092	E-7
32	1.9567 1	E-4 1.9567	E-4 1.9589	E-4 8.7640	E-6 4.0006	E-8

Further we present superconvergence results for the gradient of $u_h \notin V_h$. According to [1,26], the tangential component of ∇u_h is a superconvergent approximation to the tangential component of ∇u at midpoints of sides. Denoting by M_h the set of these midpoints, we may then define a recovery operator for both the components of the gradient by the relation (see [4,8,9,11,26,28,30,31,33,40])

$$\widetilde{\nabla u}_{h}(x) = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla u_{h|T_{1}} + \nabla u_{h|T_{2}}) , \quad x \in M_{h} \cap \Omega , \qquad (6)$$

where $T_1, T_2 \in T_h$ are those adjacent triangles for which $x \in T_1 \cap T_2$ (note that $\nabla u_{|T_1}$ is constant). As shown in [11,30],



 $\begin{array}{l} \max \\ x \in M_h \cap \Omega \end{array} \left| \left| \left| \forall u \left(x \right) \right| - \left| \left| \forall u \left(x \right) \right| \right| \right| \leq Ch^2 \left| \ln h \right| \left| \left| u \right| \right|_{3,\infty,\Omega} \end{array} \right|$

or even $0(h^2)$ for the discrete L^2 -norm [26] (cf. (4)). For a three-dimensional analogue of (6), see [5].

Note that the sampling at centroids of the bilinear elements leads to the superconvergence of the gradient [24]. This is not true for the linear elements. However, a weighted averaging scheme between four elements,



Here C_h is the set of centroids of all $T \in T_h$, $\Omega_0 \subset C \Omega$, and T_1, T_2 , $T_3 \in T_h$ are the triangles adjacent to that triangle $T \in T_h$ for which $x \in T$. Using (6), one can define a discontinuous piecewise linear field \widetilde{vu}_h which recovers the gradient of u even at any point of $\Omega_0 \subset C \Omega$ (see [36]). By the following averaging at nodes $x \in N_h$ we may determine a continuous piecewise linear field \widetilde{vu}_h over the whole domain $\overline{\Omega}$:



where Y is the set of vertices of $\overline{\alpha}$, T_i and T_3 form a parallelogram for every i = 0, 1, 2, and $T_1 \cap T_2 \cap T_3 = \{x\}$ when $x \in N_h \cap (\partial \Omega - Y)$ - see Fig.5. In this case the global superconvergence estimate reads [23]:

$$|\nabla u - \widetilde{\nabla u}_{h}||_{0,p,\Omega} \leq Ch^{2} |\ln h|^{1-2/p} ||u||_{3,p,\Omega}, p \in \{2,\infty\}$$
 (8)

For the generalization of the scheme (7) to elliptic systems with non-homogeneous boundary conditions of several types, we refer to [20]. If $\partial \alpha$ is smooth then a local $0 (h^{3/2})$ -superconvergence in $\alpha_0 \subset \subset \alpha$ can be achieved [20,21] in the L²-norm (T_h are not uniform near the boundary $\partial \alpha$).

Consider now triangulations as marked in Fig. 1 or 2 and the smoothing post-processing operator

$$\tilde{u}_{h}(x) = 4h^{-2}\int_{D_{h}} u_{h}(x + y) dy$$
,

where $D_h = (-h,h) \times (-h,h)$. If $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ and $\partial \Omega$ is again smooth then (see [37,38])

$$||u - \tilde{u}_{h}||_{1,\Omega_{0}} \leq Ch^{3/2}||u||_{3,\Omega}$$

which is, in fact, a superconvergent estimate for the gradient.

Another type of an integral smoothing operator which yields a superconvergent approximation for ∇u as well as for u even on irregular meshes is presented in [3]. In [19] a least squares smoothing of ∇u_h is proposed to obtain a better approximation to ∇u . Related papers with superconvergence of linear elements further include [2,6,7,12,13,17,18, 25,29,34], see also the survey papers [10,22,27].

Let us now turn to superconvergent approximations to the boundary flux $q = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega}$ (n is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$). Setting

 $\tilde{q}_h = n \cdot \tilde{v} u_h |_{\partial \Omega}$,

where \widetilde{vu}_h is given by (7), we immediately get from (8) that

 $||q - \tilde{q}_{h}||_{0,\infty,\partial\Omega} \leq Ch^{2} |\ln h| ||u||_{3,\infty,\Omega}$,

i.e., the continuous piecewise linear function $\left. \widetilde{q}_h \right|_{\partial\Omega}$ approximates q better than the piecewise constant function $\left. q_h = \left. n \cdot \nabla u_h \right|_{\partial\Omega}$.

Another continuous piecewise linear approximation $\tilde{q}_h^{}$ to the boundary flux q can be defined with the help of Green's formula

 $\int_{\partial\Omega} \widetilde{q}_h v_h ds = (\overline{v}u_h, \overline{v}v_h)_{0,\Omega} - (f, v_h)_{0,\Omega} \quad \forall v_h \in U_h,$

where

 $|\mathbf{U}_{h} = \{ \mathbf{v}_{h} \in \mathbf{H}^{1}(\Omega) \mid \mathbf{v}_{h|\mathbf{T}} \in \mathbf{P}_{1}(\mathbf{T}) \quad \forall \mathbf{T} \in \mathbf{T}_{h} \} .$

This technique suggested by [16], p.398, is based on some ideas of [14].

Numerical tests of the presented superconvergent schemes can be found in [3,6,11,19,21,23,24,26,36].

- ANDREEV, A. B.: Superconvergence of the gradient for linear trian-[1] gle elements for 'elliptic and parabolic equations. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 37 (1984), 293-296.
- ANDREEV, A. B., EL HATRI, M. and LAZAROV, R. D.: Superconvergence of the gradient in the finite element method for some elliptic and parabolic problems (Russian). Variational-Difference Methods in Math. Phys., Part 2 (Proc. Conf., Moscow, 1983), Viniti, Moscow, [2] 13-25. 1984
- □ 3] BABUŠKA, I. and MILLER, A.: The post-processing in the finite element method, Part I. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. 20 (1984), 1085-1109.
- CHEN, C. M.: Optimal points of the stresses for triangular linear [4]
- element (Chinese). Numer. Math. J. Chinese Univ. 2 (1980), 12-20. CHEN, C. M.: Optimal points of the stresses for tetrahedron linear [5]
- element (Chinese). Natur. Sci. J. Xiangtan Univ. 3 (1980), 16-24.
- [6] CHEN, C. M.: Finite Element Method and Its Analysis in Improving
- Accuracy (Chinese). Hunan Sci. and Tech. Press, Changsha, 1982. CHEN, C. M.: Superconvergence of finite element approximations to [7] nonlinear elliptic problems. (Proc. China-France Sympos. on Finite Element Methods, Beijing, 1982), Science Press, Beijing, Gordon and Breach Sci. Publishers, Inc., New York, 1983, 622-640. CHEN, C. M.: An estimate for elliptic boundary value problem and
- 87 its applications to finite element method (Chinese). Numer. Math.
- J. Chinese Univ. 5 (1983), 215-223. CHEN, C. M.: W^{1} , ∞ -interior estimates for finite element method on regular mesh. J. Comp. Math. 3 (1985), 1-7. [9]
- CHEN. C. M.: Superconvergence of finite element methods (Chinese). [10] Advances in Math. 14 (1985), 39-51.
- CHEN, C. M. and LIU, J.: Superconvergence of the gradient of trian-gular linear element in general domain. Preprint Xiangtan Univ., [11] 1985, 1-19.
- [12] CHEN, C. M. and THOMEE, V .: The lumped mass finite element method for a parabolic problem. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 26 (1985). 329-354.
- CHENG, S. J.: Superconvergence of finite element approximation for [13] Navier-Stokes equation. (Proc. Conf., Bonn, 1983), Math. Schrift. No. 158, Bonn, 1984, 31-45. DOUGLAS, J., DUPONT, T. and WHEELER, M. F.: A Galerkin procedure
- [14] for approximating the flux on the boundary for elliptic and parabolic boundary value problems. RAIRO Anal. Numér. 8 (1974), 47-59.
- FRIED, I .: On the optimality of the pointwise accuracy of the fi-[15] nite element solution. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. 15 (1980), 451-456.
- [16] GLOWINSKI, R .: Numerical Methods for Nonlinear Variational Problems. Springer Series in Comp. Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin,
- [17]
- New York, 1984. EL HATRI, M.: Superconvergence of axisymmetrical boundary-value problem. C. R. Acad. Bulgare Sci. 36 (1983), 1499-1502. EL HATRI, M.: Superconvergence in finite element method for a dege-[18] nerated boundary value problem (to appear), 1984, 1-6.
- HINTON, E. and CAMPBELL, J. S.: Local and global smoothing of dis-[19] continuous finite element functions using a least squares method. Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg. 8 (1974), 461-480.
- 20 HLAVÁČEK, I. and KŘÍŽEK, M .: On a superconvergent finite element scheme for elliptic systems, I. Dirichlet boundary conditions, II. Boundary conditions of Newton's or Neumann's type (submitted to Apl. Mat.), 1985, 1-29, 1-17.
- KŘÍŽEK, M. and NEITTAANMÄKI, P.: Superconvergence phenomenon in [21] the finite element method arising from averaging gradients. Numer.

Math. 45 (1984), 105-116.

- [22] KŘÍŽEK, M. and NEITTAANMAKI, P.: On superconvergence techniques. Preprint No. 34, Univ. of Jyväskylä, 1984, 1-43.
- [23] KŘÍŽEK, M. and NEITTAANMÄKI, P.: On a global superconvergence of the gradient of linear triangular elements. Preprint No. 85/4, Univ. Hamburg, 1985, 1-20.
- [24] LASAINT, P. and ZLÁMAL, M.: Superconvergence of the gradient of
- finite element solutions. RAIRO Anal. Numér. 13 (1979), 139-166. LEVINE, N.: Stress ampling points for linear triangles in the fi-[25] nite element method. Numer. Anal. Report 10/82, Univ. of Reading,
- 1982. [26] LEVINE, N.: Superconvergent recovery of the gradient from piecewise linear finite element approximations. Numer. Anal. Report 6/83, Univ. of Reading, 1983, 1-25.
- LIN, Q .: High accuracy from the linear elements. Proc. of the Fifth [27] Beijing Sympos. on Differential Geometry and Differential Equa-
- tions, Beijing, 1984, 1-5. LIN, Q. and LU, T.: Asymptotic expansions for finite element appro-[28] ximation of elliptic problem on polygonal domains. Comp. Methods in Appl. Sci. and Engrg. (Proc. Conf., Versailles, 1983), North-
- -Holland Publishing Company, INRIA, 1984, 317-321. LIN, Q. and LU, T.: Asymptotic expansions for finite element eigen-[29] values and finite element solution. (Proc. Conf., Bonn, 1983), Math. Schrift. No. 158, Bonn, 1984, 1-10.
- LIN, Q., LÜ, T. and SHEN, S.: Asymptotic expansion for finite ele-[30] ment approximations. Research Report IMS-11, Chengdu Branch of
- Acad. Sinica, 1983, 1-6. LIN, Q., LÜ, T. and SHEN, S.: Maximum norm estimate, extrapolation [31] and optimal point of stresses for the finite element methods on the strongly regular triangulations. J. Comput. Math. 1 (1983), 376-383.
- [32] LIN, Q. and WANG, J.: Some expansions of the finite element approximation. Research Report IMS-15, Chengdu Branch of Acad. Sinica, 1984, 1-11.
- [33] LIN, Q. and XU, J. Ch.: Linear elements with high accuracy. J. Comp. Math. 3 (1985), 115-133.
- [34]
- LIN, Q. and ZHU, Q. D.: Asymptotic expansion for the derivative of finite elements. J. Comp. Math. 2 (1984), 361-363. MICHLIN, S. G. and SMOLICKIJ, Ch. L.: Approximation Methods for Solving Differential and Integral Equations (Russian). Nauka, Mos-[35] cow, 1965.
- [36] NEITTAANMÄKI, P. and KŘÍŽEK, M.: Superconvergence of the finite element schemes arising from the use of averaged gradients. Accu-racy Estimates and Adaptive Refinements in Finite Element Computations, (Proc. Conf., Lisbon, 1984), Lisbon, 1984, 169-178.
- [37] OGANESJAN, L. A., RIVKIND, V. J. and RUCHOVEC, L. A.: Variational--Difference Methods for the Solution of Elliptic equations (Rus-sian). Part I (Proc. Sem., Issue 5, Vilnius, 1973), Inst. of Phys. and Math., Vilnius, 1973, 3-389.
- [38] OGANESJAN, L. A. and RUCHOVEC, L. A.: Variational-Difference Methods for the Solution of Elliptic Equations (Russian). Izd. Akad. Nauk Armjanskoi SSR, Jerevan, 1979.
- [39] RANNACHER, R. and SCOTT, R.: Some optimal error estimates for piecewise linear finite element approximations. Math. Comp. 38 (1982), 437-445.
- 40 ZHU, Q. D.: Natural inner superconvergence for the finite element method. (Proc. China-France Sympos. on Finite Element Methods, Beijing, 1982), Science Press, Beijing, Gordon and Breach Sci. Publishers, Inc., New York, 1983, 935-960.