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# SOME SOLVED AND UNSOLVED CANONICAL PROBLEMS OF DIFFRACTION THEORY 

E. MEISTER

Technical University Darmstadt
Schlosgartenstr. T, I) 610()-Darmstadt, West (iermany

## 1. Introduction

Mathematical diffraction theory is concerned with the following boundary value problem in case of an incoming or primary time-harmonic wave-field Rel $\left.\Phi_{p r}(\underline{x}) e^{-i \omega t}\right]$ : Given an obstacle $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^{\mathrm{n}}$; $\mathrm{n}=2$ or 3 ; with boundary $\mathrm{r}=\partial \Omega$. Find the scattered field $\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})$ in $\Omega_{a}:=R^{n}-\bar{\Omega}$, s.th.
(1.1) $\left(\Delta+k^{2}\right) \Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})=0$ for $x \in \Omega_{a}$
with a wave-number $k=k_{1}+i k_{2} \in C_{++}-\{0\}$ fulfilling a boundary condition
(1.2a) $\left.B_{1}\left[\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})\right]\right|_{\Gamma}:=\left.\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})\right|_{\Gamma}=f(\underline{x})$ of Dirichlet-type
or
$\left.(1.2 b) B_{2}\left[\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})\right]\right|_{\Gamma}:=\left.\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial n}+i p(\underline{x})\right) \Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})\right|_{\Gamma}=g(\underline{x})$
of $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Neumann }(p \equiv 0) \\ \text { Impedance }(p \not \equiv 0)\end{array}\right\}$ - type.
In the case of edges $E$ and/or vertices V C $\Gamma$ existing the "edge condition"
(1.3) $\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x}),=0(1)$ and $\nabla \Phi_{S C}(\underline{x}) \in L_{l o C}^{2}\left(\Omega_{a}\right)$
should hold. Besides this the scattered field should be "outgoing", i.e. "Sommerfeld's radiation conditions" should hold
(1.4) $\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})=\vartheta\left(e^{-k} 2^{r}\right),\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}-i . k\right) \Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})=\vartheta\left(e^{-k} 2^{r} / r \frac{n-1}{2}\right)$
as $r=|\underline{x}| \rightarrow \infty$
For smooth compact boundaries $\Gamma$ this problem has completely been solved, e.g. by the boundary integral equation method (BEM) (c.f. e.g. COLTON-KRESS (1983) [2]) or by means of Sobolev space methods (c.f. e.g. LEIS (1985) [11]). Generalizations to piecewise smoothly bounded domains were carried out by GRISVARD (1980) [6] and COSTABEL (1984) [4], e.g.
2. The Sommerfeld Half-Plane Problem

There are a number of "canonical diffraction problems" with domains whose boundaries extend to infinity and having corners and
cusps. The most famous one is the "Sommerfeld half-plane problem", the first diffraction problem having been treated in a mathematically rigorous way (1896) [15].

Applying the well-known representation formula for outgoing solutions of the Helmholtz equation (1.1) the Sommerfeld half-plane problems leads to the following integral or integro-differential equations (of the first kind) of the Wiener-Hopf type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|\right) I\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=-4 i . \Phi_{p r}(x, 0) \text { for } x \geq 0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of the Dirichlet problem and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+k^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} H_{0}^{(1)}\left(k\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|\right) Q\left(x^{\prime}\right) d x^{\prime}=4 i \frac{\partial \Phi p r}{\partial y}(x, 0) \text { for } x>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of the Neumann problem with the unknown jumps
$(2,3) \quad I\left(x^{\prime}\right):=\frac{\partial \Phi S C}{\partial y}\left(x^{\prime},+0\right)-\frac{\partial \Phi S C}{\partial y}\left(x^{\prime},-0\right) \quad$ for $x^{\prime}>0$
and
(2.4) $Q\left(x^{\prime}\right):=\Phi_{S C}\left(x^{\prime},+0\right)-\Phi_{S C}\left(x^{\prime},-0\right) \quad$ for $x^{\prime} \geq 0$,
respectively.
The theory of such equations, but of the second kind, in $L^{p}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$ or $W^{m, p}\left(\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)$-spaces for $m \in N_{0}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ has been developed by M.G. KREIN (1958/62) [9], E.Gerlach (1969) [5] and, combined with other integral operators than 1-convolutions, by G.THELEN (1985) [17].

To solve the equations (2.1) or (2.2) on the half-line, or more directly the original boundary value problem, one applies a one-dimensional Fourier transform to the scattered wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\Phi}_{S C}(\lambda, y):=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \lambda x_{\Phi}}{ }_{S C}(x, y) d x, \quad \lambda \in R, \quad y \lessgtr 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The usual, or $S^{\prime}$-distributional Fourier transform technique leads to the following "function-theoretic Wiener-Hopf equations". in the case of a damping medium, i.e. $\operatorname{Im} k=k_{2}>0$, and an incoming plane wave:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{-}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2} \hat{I}_{+}(\lambda) / \sqrt{\lambda^{2}-k^{2}}=[i(\lambda+k \cos \theta)]^{-1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(2.7) $\quad \hat{V}_{-}(\lambda)+\frac{1}{2} \hat{Q}_{+}(\lambda) \cdot \sqrt{\lambda^{2}-k^{2}}=-k \sin \theta[\lambda+k \cos \theta]^{-1}$, respectively, for the Dirichlet and Neumann case with the unknown F-transforms $\hat{E}_{-}, \hat{V}_{-}$being holomorphic for $\operatorname{Im} \lambda<k_{2}$ and $I_{+}, Q_{+}$being holomorphic for $\operatorname{Im} \lambda>-k_{2} \cos \theta$. The equations (2.6) and (2.7) are equivalent to "non-normal Riemann boundary value problems on a line" parallel to the real $\lambda$-axis.

The well-known steps of factorization of $\gamma(\lambda):=\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-k^{2}}$ into
$\gamma_{+}(\lambda) . \gamma_{-}(\lambda)$, the multiplication of (2.6) and (2.7) by $\gamma_{-}$and by $\gamma_{-}{ }^{-1}$, respectively, then additive decomposition of $\gamma_{-} .[\lambda+k \cos \theta]^{-1}$ and $\gamma_{-}^{-1} \cdot[\lambda+k \cos \theta]^{-1}$ in the $\lambda$-strip gives after rearrangement and application of Liouville's theorem the explicite solutions to eqs. (2.6) and (2.7) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{+}(\lambda)=2 \sqrt{2 k} \cos \theta / 2 \cdot \gamma_{+}(\lambda)[\lambda+k \cos \theta]^{-1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \hat{\partial}_{+}(\lambda)= & -2 i \sqrt{2 k} \sin \theta / 2 \cdot \gamma_{+}{ }^{-1}(\lambda)[\lambda+k \cos \theta]^{-1} \\
& \text { for } \operatorname{Im} \lambda>-k_{2} \cos \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions being known allow to calculate $\Phi_{S C}(x, y)$ in both cases after applying an inverse F-transform and shifting the line of integration in the complex $\lambda$-plane to get all informations relevant, i.e. the edge behaviour an the far field in the geometrically different regions.

This functiontheoretic method has been applied successfully to a big number of canonical problems in microwave theory and to other diffraction problems, e.g. for systems of parallel semi-infinite plates (A.E.Heins (1948) [7]), or cascades of such (J.F. Carlson, A.E. Heins (1946/50) [ l]), or cylindrical semi-infinite pipes (e.g. L.A. Vajnshtejn (1948) [18]).

The "canonical mixed Sommerfeld half-plane problems", where there are given different boundary conditions on the faces $\delta_{ \pm}$of the semiinfinite screen $\delta:=\left\{(x, y) \in R^{2}: y=0, x \geq 0\right\}$, may be transformed by the same Fourier technique into a $2 \times 2$-functiontheoretic system of Wiener-Hopf equations
(2.10) $\underline{\underline{\Phi}}_{-}(\lambda)=\underset{\underline{K}}{\dot{K}}(\lambda) \hat{\Phi}_{+}(\lambda)+\underset{\underline{\hat{r}}}{ }(\lambda)$ for $-k_{2} \cos \theta<\operatorname{Im} \lambda<k_{2}$
with the known $2 \times 2$-function matrix

$$
(2.11) \quad \underset{=}{K}(\lambda):=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\sqrt{(\lambda-k) /(\lambda+k)} & 1 \\
-1 & \sqrt{(\lambda+k) /(\lambda-k)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the unknown $2 \times 1$-function-vectors
(2.12) $\hat{\Phi}_{-}(\lambda):=\binom{\sqrt{\lambda-k} \cdot \hat{E}_{-}(\lambda)}{\hat{V}_{-}(\lambda) / \sqrt{\lambda-k}}$,

$$
\hat{\Phi}_{+}(\lambda):=-\frac{1}{2}\binom{\sqrt{\lambda+k} \cdot \hat{\Phi}_{+}(\lambda,-0)}{\hat{\Phi}_{+}^{\prime}(\lambda,+0) / \sqrt{\lambda+k}}
$$

The matrix $\underset{=}{K}(\lambda)$ - or a closely related one - has been factorized into $\left[\underset{K_{-}}{K_{-}}(\lambda)\right]^{-1}{\underset{=}{K}}_{+}^{(\lambda)}$ only (1982/83) by A.E.Heins [8], (1981) by A.D.Rawlins [14] and (1981/85) by the present author [12], independently by different methods. Now the solution of the mixed Sommerfeld
problem may be written down explicitely and gives full information on the behaviour of $\Phi_{S C}, ~ \nabla \Phi_{S C}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ and $r \rightarrow \infty$, respectively, which is now different at the edge compared to the one-boundary-condition-problems. The corresponding mixed boundary value problems for systems of parallel semi-infinite plates or a tube are unsolved up to now due to the lack of a known explicit factorization of the $2 \times 2$-function matrices involved (c.f. e.g. the authors paper (1984/85) [12]!).

The Sommerfeld half-plane problems have been generalized to the so called "Quarter-plane Problems of Diffraction Theory" where the half-plane, i.e. the screen $\delta \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$, is replaced by a screen $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{3}$ which is the quarter-plane $R_{++}^{2}:=\left\{(x, y, z) \in R^{3}: z=0, x \geq 0, z \geq 0\right\}$ with two semi-infinite lines as edges meeting in the corner $E$ at the origin. Like for an arbitrary plane screen $\Sigma \subset R_{x y}^{2}$ the 2-dimensional F-transform applied to the unknown scattered field $\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x}), \underline{x} \in R^{3}$, leads to the following "Two-dimensional Wiener-Hopf functional equations"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{-1}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \hat{I}_{\Sigma}^{`}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)-\hat{\Phi}_{\mathbf{R}^{2} \backslash \Sigma}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 0\right)=-\Phi_{\operatorname{pr}, \mathbf{R}^{2} \backslash \Sigma}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 0\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \hat{Q}_{\Sigma}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Phi\right) \hat{\mathbf{R}}^{2} \backslash \Sigma\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 0\right)=-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Phi p_{p r}\right) \mathbf{R}^{2} \backslash_{\Sigma}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, 0\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right):=\sqrt{\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-k^{2}}$ and the indices $\Sigma$ and $R^{2} \backslash \Sigma$ refer to the 2 D -F-transforms of the restrictions to $\Sigma$ and $\mathbf{R}^{2} \backslash \Sigma$, respectively.

Up to now there exists no explicit factorization of the multiplication operator $\gamma$ with respect to the complementary projectors $\hat{\mathrm{P}}_{\Sigma}$, $\hat{Q}_{\Sigma}:=I-\hat{P}_{\Sigma}$ in spaces $\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$ or $\mathrm{FW}^{\mathrm{S}}, \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{R}^{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{s}>0,1<\mathrm{p} \leq 2(\infty)$. But there exists now a very general theory for "general Wiener-Hopf or Toeplitz operators" of the form
(2.15) $\left.\quad P_{2} A\right|_{P_{1}} X u=v \in P_{2} Y$
for bijective continuous operators $A: X \rightarrow Y$ acting between two Banachspaces $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ with bounded projectors $\mathrm{P}_{1} \in \mathscr{L}(\mathrm{X}), \mathrm{P}_{2} \in \mathscr{S}(\mathrm{Y})$. This theory by F.-O.Speck (1983/85) [16] gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the general invertibility and Fredholm property of operators of type (2.15) in dependance on factorization properties of A w.r.t. ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ).

## 3. Canonical Transmission Problems

Another big class of canonical diffraction problems exists given by the following specification:
Given a primary time-harmonic wave-field $\operatorname{Re}\left[\Phi_{p r}(\underline{x}) e^{-i \omega t}\right]$ and a region $\Omega_{1} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}, \underset{\sim}{n}=2$ or 3 , and finitely many disjoint regions $\Omega_{2}, \ldots, \Omega_{N} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$, s. th. $\widetilde{U}_{j=1} \bar{\Omega}_{j}=R^{n}$.. Then one looks for a scattered field $\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})$, $x \in R^{n}$, s. th. $\left.\Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})\right|_{\Omega_{j}} \in C^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right) \cap C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{j} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ and
(3.1) $\left(\Delta+k_{j}^{2}\right) \Phi_{S C}(\underline{x})=0 \quad$ in $\quad \Omega_{j}, j=1, \ldots, N$,
fulfilling the "transmission conditions"
(3.2a) $\Phi_{S C, j}(\underline{x})-\Phi_{S C, t}(\underline{x})=F_{j l}(\underline{x})$
and
(3.2b)

$$
\rho_{j} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi_{S C, j}}{\partial n_{j}}(\underline{x})+\rho_{1} \cdot \frac{\partial \Phi_{S C, 1}}{\partial n_{l}}(\underline{x})=G_{j l}(\underline{x})
$$

with prescribed data $F_{j l}, G_{j l}$ from the primary field on the common boundary parts $\partial \Omega_{j} \cap \partial \Omega_{1}$.
Additionally the edge conditions $\Phi_{j}(\underline{x})=\left.\Phi(x)\right|_{\Omega_{j}}=O(1)$ and $\nabla \Phi_{j} \in$ $L_{l o c}^{2}\left(\Omega_{j}\right)$ and the radiation condition for $\Phi_{1}(x)$ as $|x|=r \rightarrow \infty$ have to hold.

Again in the case of smoothly bounded domains with compact boundaries $\partial \Omega_{j}$ this "transmission or interface problem" has been solved by the boundary integral method and in the case of two-dimensional polygonal domains by M.Costabel and E.Stephan (1985) [3].

In the special case of two different media (i.e. $N=2$ ) and a plane interface (i.e. $\partial \Omega_{1}=\partial \Omega_{2}=R x y$ or $=R_{x}^{1}$ ) the problem is elementary and gives, for a plane wave as the primary wave-function, the wellknown relations from Snellius' law and the reflection and transmission coefficients explicitely. The corresponding "two-dimensional Sommerfeld half-plane problems with two media" are unsolved up to now - as far as an explicit representation is concerned - due to the unknown matrix factors of the $2 \times 2$-Wiener-Hopf function matrices involved here having two different square roots $\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-k_{1}^{2}}$ and $\sqrt{\lambda^{2}-k_{2}^{2}}$ to be taken into account [12].

A very important canonical transmission problem is the so-called "Dielectric Wedge Problem", i.e. the case of $\Omega_{1}=R_{++}^{2}$ and $\Omega_{2}=R^{2} \backslash R_{++}^{2}$ in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ or the corresponding "Dielectric Octant Problem" in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$-space: This has been generalized to the "Four-Quadrant-Transmission-Problem" in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$ with the four quadrants filled with different media. Applying 2DFouriertransformation the restrictions of the unknown scattered field may be represented by the 1D - F - transformed Cauchy-data on the semiinfinite lines, the boundaries of the quadrants. For $\hat{\Phi}_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ one gets e.g.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\Phi}_{1}\left(\Lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)=\left[i \lambda_{2} \cdot \hat{f}_{1}^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+g_{1}^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+i \lambda_{1} \cdot \hat{f}_{2}^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)+\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
& \left.\quad+\hat{g}_{2}^{(1)}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)\right] \cdot\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-k_{1}^{2}\right)^{-1} \text { for Im } \lambda_{1}, \operatorname{Im} \lambda_{2}>-\beta_{1},-\beta_{2} \\
& \left.\quad \text { with } \beta_{1}^{2}+\beta_{2}^{2}<(J \mathrm{mk})_{1}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the transmission conditions (3.2) the total sum of all nu-
merators of the $\hat{\Phi}_{j}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ is a known function $Z\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$. Dividing by the known $N\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, k^{2}\right)$ with an appropriate $k \in C_{+}$one arrives at the "Four-part Wiener-Hopf functional equation"
(3.4) $\sum_{j=1}^{4}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}-\mathrm{k}_{j}^{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}-\mathrm{k}^{2}} \hat{P}_{j} \hat{\phi}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right.}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{N}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \mathrm{k}^{2}\right)}$
holding for a pair of strips of $C^{2}$. Here we have
(3.5) $\hat{\Phi}_{j}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right):=\hat{P}_{j} \hat{\Phi}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right):=\left(F_{2 \times Q_{j}}: F_{2}^{-1} \Phi\right)\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$

It has been shown (e.g. by N. Latz (1968) [10]) that in the case of $\operatorname{Im} k_{j}>0$ the auxiliary $k$ may be chosen in such a way that eq. (3.4) is uniquely solvable in $\mathrm{FL}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right), 1<\mathrm{p} \leq 2$, for any $\Phi_{\mathrm{pr}}(\underline{x}) \in \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2}\right)$. The present author has derived quite recently (1984) [13] a 4X4-system of integral equations for the Fourier-cosine transforms of the normal derivatives on the bounding semi-axis's of the four quadrants $Q_{j}$. This system is uniquely solvable in the case of $I m k_{j}>0$ and $\left|k_{j}-k_{\nu}\right|$ and $1 \rho_{j}-\rho_{\nu} \mid$ small by Banach's fixed point theorem in the spaces (Lq( $\left.\left.\mathbf{R}_{+}\right)\right)^{4}$ for $2 \leq q<\infty$, but the general case of four different wave numbers $k_{j}$ is still unsolved.

## References

[1] CARLSON,J.F., A.E.HEINS, The reflection of electromagnetic waves by an infinite set of plates, I: Quart.Appl. Math. 4(1946),313-329, II: 5(1947),82-88, III: 8(1950), 281-291.
[2] COLTON,D., R. KREIS, Integral Equations in Scattering Theory, J. Wiley, New York et al. 1983.
[3] COSTABEL,M., E.STEPHAN, A direct boundary integral equation method for transmission problems, Journ. Math, Appl. 106(1985), 367-413.
[4] COSTABEL,M., Starke Elliptizitat von Randintegraloperatoren erster Art., Habil.-srift =preprint Nr. 868, FB Mathematik TH Darmstadt, Dez. 1984.
$\left.{ }^{5}\right\}$ GERLACH,E., Zur Theoric einer Klasse von Integrodifferentialgleichungen, Dissert. TU Berlin 1969.
[6] GRISVARD,P., Boundary value problems in non-smooth domains, Univ. of Mary land, MD 20742 Lecture Notes 19(1980).
[7] HEINS,A.E., The radiation and transmission properties of a pair of semi-infinite parallel plates, I. Quart. Appl. Math. 6(1948), 1 57-166, II: 215-220.
[8] HEINS,A.E., The Sommerfeld'Half-Plane Problem Revusited I: The Solution of a pair of Coupled WienerHopf Integral Equations, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 4(1982), 74-90, II: 5(1983), 14-21.
[9] KREIN,M. G. Integral Equations on the Half-Plane with Kernels Depending upon the Difference of the Arguments, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. 22(1962), 163-288.
[10] LATZ,N., Untersuchungen uber ein skalares Ubergangswertproblem aus den Theorie der Beugung elektromagnetische Wellen an dielek trischen Keilen, Dissert. U Saarbrucken 1968.
[11] LEIS,R., Lectures on initial-boundary value problems in mathematical physics, B.G.Teubner-J. Wiley,
[12] MEISTER,E., Some multiple-part Wiener-Hopf problems in mathematical physics, Banach Center Public. (to appear 1985) = Preprint No. 600, FB Math. TH Darmstadt, Mai 1981.
[13] MEISTER,E., Integral equations for the Fourier transformed boundary values for the transmission problems for right angled wedges and octants, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 7.
[14] RAWLINS,A.D., The explicit Wiener-Hopf factorization of a special matrix, Z. Angew.Math.Mech. 61(1981),527-528.
[15] SOMMERFELD,A., Mathematische Theorie der Diffraktion, Math. Ann. 47(1896), 317-374.
[16] SPECK,F.-O., General Wiener-Hopf factorization methods, Res. Notes in Math., vol. 119, Pitman, Boston et al. 1985
[17] THELEN-ROSEMANN-NIEDRIG,G., Zur Fredholm theorie singularer Integro-Differentialoperatoren auf der Halbachse, Diss. TH Darmstadt 1985.

