EQUADIFF 11

Ph. Souplet A remark on the large time behavior of solutions of viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations

In: Marek Fila and Karol Mikula and Pavol Quittner (eds.): Proceedings of Equadiff 11, International Conference on Differential Equations. Czecho-Slovak series, Bratislava, July 25-29, 2005, [Part 1] Contributions of plenary speakers and minisymposia organizers. Comenius University Press, Bratislava, 2007. Presented in electronic form on the Internet. pp. 11--13.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700389

Terms of use:

© Comenius University, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae Vol. LXXVI, 1(2007), pp. 11–13 Proceedings of Equadiff 11

A REMARK ON THE LARGE TIME BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS OF VISCOUS HAMILTON-JACOBI EQUATIONS

PH. SOUPLET

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

Consider the viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation

(1)
$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u = |\nabla u|^q, & t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases}$$

where q > 0 and $u_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. It is known [6] that (1) admits a unique classical solution, global for t > 0.

The large time behavior of solutions of problem (1) has been studied recently by several authors, see [1]-[5], [7, 8] and the references therein. In particular it was shown by Gilding [5] that the large time limits

$$\underline{\omega} := \liminf_{t \to \infty} v(x, t) \leq \overline{\omega} := \limsup_{t \to \infty} v(x, t)$$

are independent of $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. One of the main results of [5] is the following.

Theorem A. Assume 0 < q < 2 and $u_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then $\underline{\omega} = \overline{\omega}$.

It was known that Theorem A fails for the linear heat equation and, moreover, Gilding observed that it fails for q = 2. The aim of this short note is to show that the assumption q < 2 in Theorem A is actually necessary.

Theorem 1. Assume $q \geq 2$. Then there exists $u_0 \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\underline{\omega} < \overline{\omega}$.

Proof. It is known (see e. g. [5, Proposition H1]) that there exists $v_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that the solution v of the heat equation

(2)
$$\begin{cases} v_t - \Delta v = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ v(0, x) = v_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$(3) \qquad \underline{\omega}^{*}:=\liminf_{t\to\infty}v(x,t)<\overline{\omega}^{*}:=\limsup_{t\to\infty}v(x,t), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^{N}.$$

Received September 16, 2005.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35K15, 35K55, 35B40.

Moreover, upon replacing v_0 by $\lambda v_0 + \mu$ for suitable constants λ, μ , one can assume that

(4)
$$\underline{\omega}^* = 0$$

and

$$\|v_0\|_{\infty} \le 1/2, \qquad \|\nabla v_0\|_{\infty} \le 1/2.$$

Now, set

(5)
$$u_0(x) := e^{v_0(x)} - 1.$$

The function $w := e^v - 1$ satisfies

(6)
$$\begin{cases} w_t - \Delta w = |\nabla w|^2, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \\ w(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Let u be the solution of (1) with initial data u_0 defined by (5). We note that

$$\|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty} \le \|\nabla v_0\|_{\infty} \|e^{v_0}\|_{\infty} \le (1/2)e^{1/2} < 1.$$

Since it is known (see e.g. [5, Lemma 2]) that $|\nabla u|$ satisfies a maximum principle, it follows that

$$|\nabla u| \le \|\nabla u_0\|_{\infty} < 1 \quad \text{in } Q := (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Due to $q \geq 2$, we deduce that

$$u_t - \Delta u = |\nabla u|^q \le |\nabla u|^2$$
 in Q

In view of (6), it follows from the comparison principle that

$$u \le w = \mathrm{e}^v - 1 \quad \text{in } Q.$$

In particular, there holds

(7)
$$\underline{\omega} \le e^{\underline{\omega}^*} - 1 = 0$$

But on the other hand, we have $u_0 \ge v_0$ due to (5). In view of (2), the maximum principle implies that $u \ge v$, hence

(8)
$$\overline{\omega} \ge \overline{\omega}^*.$$

Combining (3), (4), (7) and (8), we conclude that

$$\overline{\omega} \ge \overline{\omega}^* > \underline{\omega}^* = 0 \ge \underline{\omega}$$

and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

12

References

- Ben-Artzi M., Global properties of some nonlinear parabolic equations, Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France Seminar, XIV (Paris, 1997/1998), 57–67, Stud. Math. Appl. 31, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002.
- Ben-Artzi M., Goodman J. and Levy A., Remarks on a nonlinear parabolic equation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 731–751.
- Ben-Artzi M., Souplet Ph. and Weissler F. B., The local theory for viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations in Lebesgue spaces J. Math. Pures Appl. 81 (2002), 343–378.
- Benachour S., Karch G. and Laurençot Ph., Asymptotic profiles of solutions to viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equations, J. Math. Pures Appl., 83 (2004), 1275–1308.
- Gilding B., The Cauchy problem for ut = Δu + |∇u|^q: Large-time behaviour, J. Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005), 753-785.
- 6. Gilding B., Guedda M. and Kersner R., The Cauchy problem for $u_t = \Delta u + |\nabla u|^q$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 284 (2003), 733–755.
- Laurençot Ph. and Souplet Ph., On the growth of mass for a viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation, J. Anal. Math. 89 (2003), 367–383.
- 8. _____, Optimal growth rates for a viscous Hamilton-Jacobi equation, J. Evolution Equations 5 (2005), 123–135.

Ph. Souplet, Laboratoire Analyse Géométrie et Applications, Institut Galilée, Université Paris-Nord, 93430 Villetaneuse, France, *e-mail*: souplet@math.univ-paris13.fr