Toposym 4-B

Melvin Henriksen

Tychonoff spaces that have a compactification with countable remainder

In: Josef Novák (ed.): General topology and its relations to modern analysis and algebra IV, Proceedings of the fourth Prague topological symposium, 1976, Part B: Contributed Papers. Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, Praha, 1977. pp. [164]--167.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700638

Terms of use:

© Society of Czechoslovak Mathematicians and Physicist, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

TYCHONOFF SPACES THAT HAVE A COMPACTIFICATION WITH COUNTABLE REMAINDER

MELVIN HENRIKSEN

Claremont

In 1935, L. Zippin showed that every separable rimcompact completely metrizable space has a metrizable compactification with a countable (not necessarily infinite) remainder [Z]. A Tychonoff space X with a compactification γX such that $|\gamma X-X| \leq \omega$ is called a Zippin space and γX is called a Zippin compactification. If, in addition, $\gamma X-X$ is metrizable, X is called a Strongly Zippin space and γX a strongly Zippin compactification. In this paper, an attempt is made to characterize spaces that are Zippin or strongly Zippin.

We succeed in this goal only in small part, but we do obtain a number of conditions on a space that are either necessary or sufficient for such compactifications to exist. For the most part, proofs are omitted. A more complete version of this paper will appear elsewhere.

At the Fourth Prague Topological Symposium, T. Hoshina also presented a paper on this topic. His results and mine overlap, but are not identical.

All topological spaces considered are assumed to be Tychonoff spaces. Any such space has a maximal compactification βX , called the Stone-Cech compactification of X that maps continuously onto any compactification γX of X with a mapping that extends the identity map [GJ, Chapter 6]. If the topology of X has a base of open sets with compact boundary, then X is called rimcompact (the term semicompact is used in [Z] and semibicompact is used in [M]). Every rimcompact space has a compactification ϕX maximal among the compactifications with a zero-dimensional remainder. ϕX is called the Freudenthal compactification of X [I, pp. 109-122] [M].

If P is a property of topological spaces, then X has P at ∞ if $\beta X-X$ has P. It is noted in [HI, Sec. 3] that if P is compactness, local compactness, σ -compactness, or the Lindelöf property, then X has P at ∞ if and only if $\gamma X-X$ has P for any compactification γX of X. A space that is σ -compact at ∞ is said to be *Cech-complete* or an *absolute* G_{δ} . It is well known that a metrizable space is Cech-complete if and only if it admits a complete metric [E, p. 190]. X is Lindelöf at ∞ if and only if every compact subset K_1 of X is contained in a compact set K_2 for which there is a countable family $\{U_i\}$ of open sets containing K_2 such that any open set containing K_2 contains some U_i . In particular, every metrizable space is Lindelöf at ∞ [HI, Sec. 3]. Also, if X is Lindelöf at ∞ and has a compactification with 0-dimensional

remainder, then X is rimcompact by [I, p. 114].

It follows that every Zippin space is rimcompact and Cech-complete. (See also [RI] [R2]). As is noted in [I, p. 109]:

 ${\rm C}\ell_{\gamma X}(\gamma X-x)=(\gamma X-X)\cup R(X)$ for any compactification γX of X, where R(X) is the set of points of X that fail to have a compact neighborhood.

Thus, by [CN, Sec. 6], we have:

- 1. Proposition If X is a Zippin space then
 - (a) X is rimcompact.
 - (b) X is Cech-complete.
 - (c) $|R(X)| \leq exp exp \omega$.

If X is strongly Zippin, then, in addition:

(d) R(X) is a Lindelöf space.

The upper bound in (c) cannot be lowered. For if Q is the space of rational numbers, then βQ is a strongly Zippin compactification of $\beta Q-Q = R(\beta Q-Q)$, and $|\beta Q| = |\beta Q-Q| = \exp \exp \omega$ [GJ, Chap. 9].

Whether the conditions of Proposition 1 are sufficient to insure that a space X is a Zippin space remains an open question. Below, two kinds of sufficient conditions are obtained; those that make R(X) a "large" part of X, and those that make it in a sense "small". I begin with the former.

A space X such that every family of pairwise disjoint of open sets is countable is said to satisfy the countable chain condition (CCC). A space X is called metacompact or weakly paracompact if every open cover has a point-finite open refinement. As is well known, every paracompact, and hence every metrizable space is metacompact [E, pp. 225-228].

As in [LM], a space $\, \, X \,$ is called $\, dense \, \, separable \,$ if every dense subspace of $\, X \,$ is separable.

- 2. Theorem. Suppose X is a Zippin space such that X-R(X) is separable. Then:
 - (a) X satisfies the CCC.
 - (b) If X is metacompact or strongly Zippin, then X is a Lindelöf space.
 - (c) If X is strongly Zippin, then X is separable.
 - (d) If X-R(X) is dense separable, so is X.

- 3. Corollary. Suppose X is a metrizable space such that (X-R(X)) is separable. Then the following are equivalent.
 - (a) X is a strongly Zippin space.
 - (b) X is a Zippin space.
 - (c) X is separable, rimcompact, and Cech-complete.

Next, a characterization of a special class of strongly Zippin spaces is given. It is established by decomposing the remainder of X in its Freudenthal compactification ΦX .

4. Theorem. If R(X) is locally compact, then X is a strongly Lippin space if and only if X is rimcompact, Cech-complete, and R(X) is a Lindelöf space. Indeed, such a space has a strongly Lippin compactification with remainder homeomorphic to either a countable discrete space or its one-point compactification.

I conclude with some remarks, examples, and questions.

- \underline{A} . By modifying [LM, Example 5.3], an example can be given of a Zippin space that is not strongly Zippin. It can be shown, however, that if R(X) is Lindelöf and X is a Zippin space, then X is strongly Zippin.
- $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$. Clearly every closed subspace of a (strongly) Zippin space is (strongly) Zippin, and every open subspace of a Zippin space is rimcompact and Cech-complete by Proposition 1. The existence of open subspaces of $\beta Q-Q$ that are not Lindelöf shows that an open subspace of a strongly Zippin space need not be strongly Zippin. I do not know, however, if an open subspace of a (strongly) Zippin space has to be a Zippin space.
- C. Recall that a continuous closed surjection $f: X \to Y$ such that $f^{-1}(y)$ is compact for every $y \in Y$ is called a *perfect* map. If Y = [0,1]-Q, then the projection map of $Y \times [0,1]$ onto Y is perfect, Y is a strongly Zippin space, but $Y \times [0,1]$ is not rimcompact and hence is not a Zippin space (although it is the product of a compact space and a strongly Zippin space). I do not know, however, if a perfect image of a (strongly) Zippin space must be (strongly) Zippin.

 D. It follows easily from [GM, Example 5.3, ff.] that no connected Zippin space has
- D. It follows easily from [GM, Example 5.3, ff.] that no connected Zippin space has a countable partition into compact sets.
- \underline{E} . It is easily verified that if R(X) = X is connected, then the remainder of X in any compactification is connected, whence X cannot be a Zippin space. (See [R 1, Corollary 3]). Indeed, if X is also Lindelöf at ∞ , it cannot even be rimcompact. In particular, a countably infinite product of copies of R is not rimcompact.
- \underline{F} . It was shown by McCartney in [Mc, 3.6] that X has a maximal Zippin compactification if and only if X has a compactification with zero-dimensional remainder

and $\beta X-X$ has only countably many components. Indeed, if this latter holds, then ϕX is the maximal Zippin compactification. For a simpler proof see [D].

I am indebted to M. Rayburn for suggesting the study of Zippin spaces, and to Tom Savage and him for valuable conversations about it. Much of this material was presented at a seminar at Wesleyan University in the summer of 1976 and valuable comments were made by W. Comfort, T. Hager, L. Robertson, and T. Retta.

References

- [CN] W. Comfort and S. Negrepontis, The Theory of Ultrafilters, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [D] R. Dyckhoff, Perfect light maps as inverse limits, Quat. J. Math., Oxford(2) 25 (1974), 441-449.
- [E] R. Engelking, Outline of General Topology, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1968.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, Van Nostrand Publishing Co., New York, 1960.
- [GM] J. de Groot and R. McDowell, Locally connected spaces and their compactifications, Ill. J. Math., 11 (1967), 353-364.
- [HI] M. Henriksen and J. Isbell, Some properties of compactifications. Duke Math. J., 25 (1958), 83-105.
- [I] J. Isbell, Uniform spaces, Amer. Math. Soc. Survey no. 12, Providence, R. I., 1964.
- [LM] R. Levy and R. McDowell, Dense subsets of βX, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 50 (1975), 426-430.
- [Mc] J. McCartney, Maximum zero-dimensional compactifications, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 68 (1970), 653-661.
- [M] K. Morita, On bicompactifications of semibicompact spaces, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Diagaku, Sec. A4, no. 92 (1952), 200-207.
- [R 1] M. Rayburn, On the Stoilow-Kerékjártó compactification, J. London Math. Soc., (2) 6 (1973), 193-196.
- [R 2] M. Rayburn, On Hausdorff ompactifications, Pacific J. Math., 44 (1973), 707-714.
- [Z] L. Zippin, On semi-compact spaces, Amer. J. Math., 57 (1935), 327-341.