Christoph Bandt Some combinatorial questions related to measure theory

In: Zdeněk Frolík (ed.): Abstracta. 6th Winter School on Abstract Analysis. Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1978. pp. 13--15.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701113

Terms of use:

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz Some combinatorial questions related to measure theory Christoph Bandt

Greifswald, German Democratic Republic

In this lecture we consider a set X, an algebra A of subsets of X , a set function Y: B → [0,∞[defined on a subfamily of A and (finitely additive) measures on A, which dominate Y or are dominated by Y. We define a(y) = sup { | x | | x measure on A, µ≤Y on B }
 Let k be an integer. A finite sequence C = (A₁,...,A_m) of elements of B is called a k-fold covering (exact covering, matching, respectively) of X by elements of B, if the sum ∑ 1_{Ai} of the characteristic functions is greater than (equal to, smaller than) k 1_X (cf.[2], p.419) We define s(C,Y) = 1/k ∑ YA_i.

<u>Theorem 1</u> There are measures μ^* and ν^* with

- a) $\alpha(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}^{*} X = \inf \{ s(\ell, \varphi) | \ell \text{ is a multiple covering of } X \}$
- b) $\beta(\varphi) = \mathcal{V}X = \sup \{s(\ell, \varphi) \mid \ell \text{ is a multiple matching of } X \}$
- c) If \mathbf{y} is monotone and $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{A}$ we need only consider exact coverings in a) and b).

This fact is contained in a more special form in [4], [6], [7]. Let us emphasize that it provides a connection between measure theory and combinatorics (\mathcal{L} is just a hypergraph).

3. Theorem 1 yields a weak generalization of the well-known maximal network flow theorem of Ford and Fulkerson. Given a network G=(V,E) with source q, sink s and capacity function $c:E \longrightarrow [O, \infty]$, let X denote the set of all elementary paths [2] from q to s. To an edge e corresponds the set $B_e = \{w \in X \mid e \text{ is in } w\}$. Let $G = \{B_e \mid e \in E\}$ and

 $\mathbf{g}_{B_e}=c(e)$. Flows in the graph-theoretic sense correspond to measures on $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{X})$ dominated by \mathbf{g} on \mathbf{g} . By theorem 1, the maximal flow in G is the infimum of capacities of "multiple cuts" (k-fold cut = sequence of edges which meets every path in X at least k times). This is true for multicommodity networks and infinite networks, too. Ford-Fulkerson's theorem on "simple cuts" follows from the special structure of usual networks: every k-fold cut splits into k disjoint simple cuts.

- 4. For every φ , $\alpha(\varphi) = \alpha(\gamma)$ and $\beta(\varphi) = \beta(\psi)$, where η and ψ are the outer and inner measure on A generated by Ψ . Thus, in the following η denotes a submeasure and ψ a superadditive set function which are normalized: hX = 4X = 1. On infinite algebras \mathcal{A} there exist \mathcal{V} with $\beta(\mathcal{V}) = \infty$, and non-trivial examples of η with $\alpha(\eta)=0$ (so-called pathological submeasures) were given by Popov [6], Herer and Christensen [3] and Topsøe [7]. For $X = X_n = \{1, ..., n\}$ and $\mathbf{A} = \mathcal{O}(X_n)$, however, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \ge \frac{1}{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \le n$ clearly holds. Hence, the following numbers seem to be of interest. $\alpha_n = \inf \{ \alpha(\eta) \mid \eta \text{ normalized submeasure on } \mathcal{P}(X_n) \}$ $\beta_n = \sup \{\beta(\psi) \mid \psi \text{ normalized and superadditive on } Q(X_n)\}$ At the 3rd Winter School in Stefanova, 1975, Vašak and Preiss discussed the numbers $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n$ and raised the question: Which is the first number n with $\alpha_n \neq \frac{n}{2(n-1)}$? We think it is eleven but can only prove it lies between 6 and 11 . Asymptotic behavior of $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_n$ is easier determined.
- <u>Theorem 2</u> a) $1 \le \underline{\lim} \alpha_n \cdot \log n \le \overline{\lim} \alpha_n \cdot \log n \le 2 \cdot \log 2$ b) $\lim \beta_n : \overline{n} = 1$
- 5. The proof of theorem 2a in [1] uses the fact that for a submeasure η on $Q(X_n)$ with small $\alpha(\eta)$ there exist large sets with small η -values and small sets with large η -values. This fact also implies for an arbitrary η :

The last assertion may be considered as a contribution to the well-known question of Kaharam, wether for every continuous submeasure on a **6**-algebra of sets there is an **6**-additive measure with the same zero-sets. By theorem 2 of [3] and theorem 4 of [6], this question is equivalent to the problem, wether all pathological submeasures are discontinous. This concerns sequential continuity with respect to order-convergence, but it suffices to show that pathological submeasures are not exhaustive, that means,

4

there is a disjoint sequence $(A_i)_{i=1,2,..}$ of elements of with $\eta_{A_i} \geq \varepsilon$ for all i and a certain positive number ε . The above assertion is much weaker, of course. It only implies the existence of a disjoint sequence (A_i) with $\sum \gamma_{A_i} = \infty$.

- •
- 6. Let us present a combinatorial question. A positive answer to that question would imply the statement, that for every pathological submeasure on \mathcal{A} and every integer n there are n pairwise disjoint sets in \mathcal{A} with η -value $\geq \frac{1}{3}$. (This is near to a positive solution of Laharam's question.)

Let $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{M}_2, \ldots, \mathbb{M}_n$ be subsets of a set X. We assume that the intersection of (d+1) different \mathbb{M}_1 -s is always empty. Let \mathcal{V} be a subfamily of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ with the following property: if $A \subseteq \mathbb{M}_1$ (14i4n) then $A \in \mathcal{V}$ or $\mathbb{M}_1 - A \in \mathcal{V}$. Let $q(\mathcal{V})$ be the maximal cardinality of a disjoint family of elements of \mathcal{V} . Given n and d determine $q = \min \{q(\mathcal{V}) \mid X, \mathbb{M}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{V} \text{ as}\}$ q is not greater than $\frac{n}{d}$. Is it equal to $\frac{n}{d}$? (This is true for d=2). Does there exist a positive number \mathcal{J} with $q \geq \mathcal{I} \cdot \frac{n}{d}$?

References

- [1] C. Bandt On the permeability of submeasures on finite algebras to appear in Coll. Math. 40, No.2
- [2] C. Berge Graphs and Hypergraphs North-Holland 1973
- [3] W. Herer and J.P.R. Christensen On the existence of pathological submeasures and the construction of exotic topological groups Kath. Annalen 213 (1975), p.203-210
- [4] P.J. Huber Kapazitäten statt Wahrscheinlichkeiten?
 Gedanken zur Grundlegung der Statistik Jber.Dtsch.Math.Ver.
 78.2 (1976), p.81-92
- [5] I.L. Kelley Measures on Boolean algebras Pac.J.Math. 9 (1959), p.1165-1177
- [6] Additive and semiadditive functions on Boolean algebras
 V.A. Popov Sibirskij Kath.J. 17 (1976), p.331-339 (in Russian)
- [7] F. Topsse Some remarks concerning pathological submeasures Math. Scand. 38 (1976), p.159-166

*I*5