Bela Kis Connection theory and parameter transformations

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1987. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 16. pp. [85]--99.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701411

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

CONNECTION THEORY AND PARAMETER TRANSFORMATIONS

Bela Kis

1.1 Introduction

The classical connection theory on fiber bundles has two symmetries: a fiber symmetry and a hidden one. The fiber symmetry is related to the fiber group of the fiber bundle. Identifying the connection with its parallel translation the usual connection commutes with the action of the fiber group. This part of the connection theory is well described and has several generalizations: it includes the theory of linear and homogeneous connections as well as the theory of the principal connections [3],[1].

The hidden symmetry is related to the notion of parameter transformations of (smooth) curves. Considering the parallel translation as an operation which maps the curves of the base manifold to curves of the total space, we can see that in the classical connection theory this operation also commutes with the parameter transformations of curves. However, geometrically it is not obvious that this commutation condition must be satisfied to get a meaningful connection theory. Our goal in the present paper is to give a short description of a connection theory in which a generalized version will be used of the above condition.

In paragraph 1.2 we give a short list of the notions and notations used in this paper. The next three paragraphs of part two are devoted to build up the basic notions of our theory. These notions are worked out only for the most simple cases and we do not touch the question of the fiber symmetries, however fiber symmetry can be easily transplanted into this theory; we use vector bundles from technical reasons but the connection theory will not be linear in the "classical" way.

The third part is dealing with the first order case and contains the classical connection theory and the theory of the (over)generalized connections as special cases of our general notion.

In the last part we sketch an application from the Finsler geometry: the global description of Rund's δ -derivation [4].

1.2 Basic notions and notations

In this paragraph we collect some basic notions and notations used in the followings .

First of all we use the term "s∎ooth" as the synonym of the phrase "C[∞]-differentiable".

The triad $\xi = (E, \mathcal{T}, B)$ is a topological fiber bundle if E , B are topological spaces and $\mathcal{T}: E \longrightarrow B$ is a continuous surjection. We call spaces E and B the *total space* and the *base space* of ξ , and the map $\mathcal{T}: E \longrightarrow B$ its projection. The *fibers* are the sets $\xi_p \stackrel{*}{=} \stackrel{\mathcal{T}}{\mathcal{T}}^{-1}(p)$ ($p \in B$).

A topological fiber bundle $\xi = (A, \widehat{1}, B)$ is a *smooth* fiber bundle if E and B are smooth manifolds and $\widehat{1}$ is a smooth submersion. ξ is *locally trivial* if for every $\rho \in B$ there exists an open neighborhood \mathcal{U}_{ρ} and a continuous (smooth) map $\mathcal{Y}_{\rho}: \widehat{1}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_{\rho}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{\rho} \times F$ (F is a fixed space) for which the diagram



commutes. The total space of a locally trivial smooth fibered bundle is called *fibered manifold*. A locally trivial continuous resp. smooth bundle $\dot{\xi}$ is a continuous resp. smooth *vector bundle* if its fibers are vector spaces and the maps

$$\Psi_{p}^{4} \circ \Psi_{p} : \widehat{\pi}^{-1} (u_{p} \cap u_{p}) \longrightarrow \widehat{\pi}^{-1} (u_{p} \cap u_{p})$$

are linear on the fibers for every $p, q \in B$, $U_p \cap U_q \neq \emptyset$.

If ξ is a bundle, we will denote its total space, base space

and projection by $tl \xi$, $bs \xi$ and $pr \xi$ in order. If M is a manifold, its *tangent bundle* is denoted by TM. We also use the following notations: TM:=tlTM, S_{M} :=prTM.

We will say that the pair (\checkmark, β) is a bundle or fiber preserving map between continuous resp. smooth fiber bundles ξ and η if the diagram



is commutative and the maps \checkmark , β are continuous resp. smooth. When the base manifolds are equal and the map β is the identity we say that the bundle map (\checkmark, β) is a strong bundle map. If (\checkmark, β) is a bundle map between vector bundles and the restrictions of the map \checkmark to the fibers are linear we say that (\checkmark, β) is a vector bundle map. We also use the 'strong vector bundle map' terminology.

The map \varkappa completely determines the map β , so we will use the simpler notation \varkappa instead of the more deductive notation (\varkappa, β) .

Let $\Psi: M \longrightarrow \xi$ be a smooth map, where ξ is a bundle. We construct the *pull-back bundle* $\Psi'\xi$ of ξ by Ψ in terms of the commutative diagram



which is the so called *pull-back square* associated to Ψ and ξ . The bundle $\Psi^! \xi$ is not canonically determined: its usual representative is the bundle $(\bigcup_{\substack{p \in O_1}} \Psi^{\neg i}(p) \times \xi_p, pr^i, M)$. However, the vector bundle map $\alpha d_{\xi} \Psi$ is canonical relative to the bundle $\Psi^! \xi$, i.e. $\Psi^! \xi$ determines $\alpha d_{\xi} \Psi$ canonically.

If \mathcal{A} is a bundle map between bundles η and j where the base space of η is M, then we can construct a unique strong bundle map $\psi^{i}\mathcal{A}:\eta\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^{i}j$ for which the diagram



is commutative. We call this map the <code>pull-back factorization</code> of \measuredangle via \varPsi .

The pull-back functor preserves the following properties: continuity, smoothness and local triviality. It maps vector bundles into vector bundles again.

If ξ is a vector bundle its vertical subbundle is denoted by $V\xi$. This subbundle of $T\mathcal{U}\xi$ is cannonically isomorphic with the pull-back bundle $(pr\xi)^{l}\xi$.

2.1 Germs of Curves

Let $\mathscr{G} = \{(\alpha, b) | \alpha < 0 < b; \alpha, b \in M\}$ be the canonical system of the neighborhoods of $0 \in \mathbb{R}$. If $p \in M$ then let $\Gamma(M) = \bigcup_{p \in M} \Gamma_p(M)$, where

$$\Gamma_{p}(M) \stackrel{s}{=} \{\gamma: I \longrightarrow M \mid I \in \mathcal{T}, \quad \gamma \text{ is a smooth } 1-1 \text{ map}, \gamma(o) = p \}$$

If $\gamma: (\alpha, b) \longrightarrow M$ is an element of $\prod_{p} (M)$, then for any $s \in (\alpha, b)$ let us denote by $\mathfrak{M}_{s} \gamma$ the map $(\mathfrak{M}_{s} \gamma)(t) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \gamma(t+s),$

whose domain is the interval $(\alpha - 3, 6 - 3)$ and which is an element of $\Gamma_{p}(A) M$ - Two elements γ_{1}, γ_{2} of $\Gamma_{p}(M)$ are called germ

equivalent if there exists such an element I of $\mathscr P$ for which

and

$$\gamma_1|_{I} = \gamma_2|_{I}.$$

This relation is an equivalence relation on $\Gamma_{p}(M)$. The factor set of $\Gamma_{p}(M)$ by it is denoted by $G_{p}(M)$ and its elements are the so called curve germs. If $\gamma \in \Gamma_{p}(M)$ then its equivalence class is denoted by γ_{p} . The union $G(M)=\bigcup G(M)$ of all equivalence classes is called *the curve sheaf* of M. We can define a topology on G(M) by the system of neighborhoods \mathcal{U}_{q} of any $q \in G(M)$. If $q \in G_{p}(M)$ ($p \in M$) then the elements of \mathcal{U}_{q} are the sets $\mathcal{U}(q,\gamma,I) = \{(\mathfrak{N}_{A}\gamma)_{\gamma(A)} \mid \gamma \in I\}$

where $q = (\gamma)_p, I = dom \gamma$.

The canonical projection $\Re: G(M) \longrightarrow M$ is defined by $\Re(q) = p$ iff $q \in G_p(M)$. This map is continuous. The triad $(G(M), M, \Re)$ is a (non-locally trivial) topological fiber bundle. (We denote this bundle by G(M), too.)

If $\Psi: M \xrightarrow{} N$ is a continuous map between manifolds then the map

$$\gamma \longrightarrow \gamma_{\circ} \gamma$$

induces a continuous fiber preserving map $G(\Psi)$ between G(M) and G(N).

Two elements γ_i, γ_i of $\Gamma_p(M)$ are called rth order equivalent if the derivatives of the map $f \circ \gamma_i - f \circ \gamma_i$ vanish up to rth order for any smooth function f defined in an open neighborhood of p. The rth order equivalence is an equivalence relation on $\Gamma_p(M)$; if $\gamma \in \Gamma_p(M)$ then its rth order equivalence class is called its r-jet at p and denoted by $\int_{\Gamma}^{\Gamma}(\gamma)$. The set of all r-jets at p is denoted by $f_p^{\Gamma}(M)$; the union $\mathfrak{I}^{\Gamma}(M)$ of these sets is naturally a fibered manifold with the canonical projection $\mathfrak{I}^{\Gamma}: \mathfrak{I}^{\Gamma}(M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{I}^{\Gamma}(N)$ which is

BELA KIS

defined by the relation $\widehat{\mathfrak{l}}^{r}(z) = p$ iff $z \in \widehat{\mathfrak{f}}_{p}^{r}(M)$ (p M) (see [2]).

There exists a natural map \int^{τ} between G(M) and $\dot{\mathcal{F}}^{\tau}(M)$ for which the diagram



commutes and which is defined by the relation $\int_{\Gamma}^{r}((\gamma)_{p}) \stackrel{a}{=} \int_{\Gamma}^{r}(\gamma)$

This map is the so called rth jet expansion.

If $\Psi: M \longrightarrow N$ is a smooth map then there exists a map $\int^{\tau} (\Psi)$ between manifolds $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\tau}(M)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\tau}(N)$ for which the diagram



is commutative. The definition of this map is:

$$J^{\tau}(\varphi)(J^{\tau}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\varphi)) \stackrel{\circ}{=} J^{\tau}_{\Psi(\mathfrak{p})}(\varphi \circ \varphi)$$

2.2 Subsets of G(H) and Parameter Transforms on M

Let $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ be the real line. The set $G_{\circ}(\mathbb{R})$ is a topological group with a multiplication induced by the composition of the elements of $\int_{0}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. This group acts continuously the and

freely on G(M) on the right by an action also induced by the composition of functions. If $\mu \in G_0(\mathbb{R})$ then its action on $q \in G(\mathbb{M})$ is denoted by R_Kq.

Two elements $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G_{p}(N)$ are called graph equivalent at p if there exists such an element A of $G_0(IR)$ for which $q_2 = R_{\mu}q_4$. This equivalence is denoted by $q_1 \sim q_1$; the equivalence classes - the orbits - are called the graph germs of M at ρ and the equivalence class of $q \in G_p(M)$ is denoted by $\{q\}_p$.

<u>Definition</u>: The family $\mathcal{J} = \{H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Theta\}$ of disjoint subsets of G(M) are called a refinement of \sim_p if the following condition is satisfied:

In this case we say that g_1 and g_2 are congruent. A refinement $\mathcal{A} = \{H_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Theta\}$ of \sim_p is called a parametrization structure on M if there exists a subgroup *G of $G_o(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfies the following condition:

(b) if
$$q_{1},q_{2}\in G(M)$$
 are elements of the same subset H_{λ} ($\lambda\in\Theta$) then there exists a $\mu\in {}^{*}G$ for which $q_{2}=R_{\mu}g_{1}$.

This element \mathcal{M} is uniquely determined and we call it the parameter transformation associated to the pair (g_1,g_1) . The group *G is not unique; any subgroup of $G_{o}(\mathbb{R})$ which contains it satisfies condition (eta) . We call the smallest subgroup of G $_{o}({\sf R})$ which satisfies condition (β) the **Parameter transformation group** of Â.

There are two special types of the above defined notions .

(1) The parametrization structure $\mathcal{J} = \{H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Theta\}$ is called full if $G(M) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Theta} H_{\lambda}$. In this case \mathcal{J} induces an equivalence relation \sim on G(M) which is finer than $\sim p$; i.e. if $q_1 \sim q_2$ then $q_1 \sim q_2$. This relation is compatible with *G and in this case of is denoted by G(M) .

(2) The parametrization structure $\Re = \{H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Theta\}$ is called fat if every $G_{p}(M)$ contains exactly one element of H_{λ} .

If 🖈 is a parametrization structure the subsets of it are

also parametrization structures.

<u>Definition</u>: A parametrization structure $\mathscr{F} = \{ H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Theta \}$ is called homogeneous if the parameter transformation groups of the subsets

$$\mathbf{F} = \{ H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Theta, H_{\lambda} \subset G_{p}(M) \}$$

are equal.

In this paper we will use only homogeneous parametrization structures.

Examples: (i) Let $\Theta \stackrel{s}{=} M$ and $H_{\lambda} = G_{\lambda}(M)$. The set $\stackrel{s}{\neq} = \{H_{\lambda} | \lambda \in \Theta\}$ is a parametrization structure on M. Its parameter transformation group is the group $G_{0}(\mathbb{R})$. This parametrization structure is obviously full.

(ii) Two elements $g_1,g_1\in G(M)$ have the same orientation if the parameter transformation associated to the pair (g_1,g_2) has a representative \mathcal{K} whose first derivative is not negative on its domain. This relation is well defined and is an equivalence relation which determines a full parametrization structure \mathcal{K} on M. Every set $G_p(M)$ contains exactly two elements of \mathcal{K} because curves have exactly two orientations.

(iii) An element q of $G_{\rho}(M)$ ($\rho \in M$) is called *geometric* if it has a representative γ^{μ} whose tangent is not zero in the domain of γ^{μ} . If the set of geometric elements of $G_{\rho}(M)$ is denoted by \widetilde{G}_{ρ} then the set $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{G}=\{\widetilde{G}_{\mu} \mid \rho \in M\}$ is a full parametrization structure on M. The parameter transformation group of $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{G}$ is called the group of the allowed parameter transformations of M.

2.3 General Connections

Let ξ be a fixed smooth vector bundle.

Triads $(G(4s_5), \Re, 4s_5)$ and $(\frac{1}{2}r(4s_5), \Re, 4s_5)$ are topological (smooth) vector bundles so we can construct their pull-backs via $pr \frac{1}{5}$; these bundles are denoted by $(pr\frac{1}{5})^{1}G(4s_5)$ and $(pr\frac{1}{5})^{1}\frac{1}{2}r(4s_5)$. We can define the action of the group $G_0(\mathbb{R})$ on the total space of $(pr\frac{1}{5})^{1}G(4s_5)$ as the pull-back of its action on $G(4s_5)$; i.e. if $A \in G_0(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in ((pr\frac{1}{5})^{1}G(4s_5))_{p}$ then $\Re_{A}q \in ((pr\frac{1}{5})^{1}G(4s_5))_{p}$ is determined by identity $(ad G(4s_5), pr\frac{1}{5})(\Re_{A}q) = \Re_{A}(ad G(4s_5), pr\frac{1}{5})(q)$.

92

Definition: The continuous fiber preserving map $\Psi: (p_{\Upsilon} \xi)^! \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{b} \land \xi) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathfrak{t}(\xi)$

is called a (free) continuous connection on vector bundle ξ if it satisfies the following condition:

$$G(prf)^{\circ} \Psi = ad_{G(b,f)} prf$$

(which is the pull-back factorized version of identity

$$(pr\xi)^! G(pr\xi) \circ \varphi = id_{(pr\xi)^!G(rs\xi)}$$

Let ψ_{ij} and ψ_{ij} be parametrization structures on $\{i\}$ and b_{ij} be parametrization structures on $\{i\}$ and b_{ij}

<u>Definition</u>: (1) Continuous connection Ψ is weakly $(\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{tr}_{\xi}, \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{tr}_{\xi})$ symmetric if for any two elements q_1, q_2 of $(\rho \tau \xi)^! G(\ell_x \xi)$ the fact that $(\alpha d_{G(\ell_x \xi)} \rho r \xi)(q_1)$ and $(\alpha d_{G(\ell_x \xi)} \rho r \xi)(q_2)$ are congruent implies that $\Psi(q_A)$ and $\Psi(q_2)$ are congruent.

(2) Suppose that parametrization structures $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\ell\ell_1}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\ell_4\xi}$ have common parameter transformation group *G. A continuous connection Υ is called *G-symmetric if for any $g_{4,g_2} \in tl(pr_{\xi})^{!}G(r_{4,\xi})$ the fact that $R_{\mu_1}g_1 = g_2$

for some $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{G}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ implies that $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{M}} \, \Psi(q_{4}) = \Psi(q_{2})$.

It is obvious that if a connection is ${}^{*}G$ -symmetric then it is weakly symmetric.

Smooth functions and curves can be approximated by finite segments of their Taylor-series. From the differential geometrical point of view connections which are determined by their finite approximations are very significant. The correct definition of the phrase "finite approximation" for connection theory is the following:

<u>Definition</u>: We say that the *G -symmetric continuous connection is *rth order determined* if there exists a continuous map

for which

 $\int_{v}^{\tau} (\Psi(v,\gamma_{p})) = h(v,\int_{p}^{\tau}(\gamma))$ for every $(v,\gamma_{p}) \in \{v\} \times G_{p}(b,\xi) \subset t(pr\xi)^{t}G(b,\xi) \quad (p=(pr\xi)(v))$ and h is smooth on the set $(ad_{G}(b,\xi)pr\xi)^{-4}(\int_{t}^{\tau}(\bigcup_{t\in \mathcal{F}_{k}}H))$

This map ${\mathcal H}$ is called the *horizontal map* of ${\mathcal Y}$ The most often used type of *r*th order connection theory is the first order connection theory. The remaining part of the present paper is dealing with special first order connections.

3.1 First order connections

Let Ψ be a * G -symmetric first order determined connection on vector bundle ξ , where *G is the common parameter transformation group of parametrization structures \hat{F}_{ij} and . In this case we can identify the functor \int_{1}^{1} with functor ALLE d, i.e. for any manifold M the space $J^4(M)$ is the tangent space ΥM of M and the first jet of maps is equal to their tangent map, so we can consider the horizontal map $\, {m \kappa} \,$ of $\, {m arphi} \,$ as a fiber preserving map

h : (pr ξ) + 265 € ----> 2+1 ξ

which satisfies condition

for every

 $\begin{array}{rcl} & \underline{\operatorname{Proposition:}} \left[(\operatorname{prf})^! \operatorname{dprf} \right] \circ h = \operatorname{id}_{(\operatorname{prf})^!} \tau \iota_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{t} \\ & \underline{\operatorname{Proof:}} & \mathrm{If} & (\widetilde{r})_{U} \cong \Psi(U, \mathcal{J}_{P}) & \mathrm{then} & (U, \mathcal{J}_{P}) = \operatorname{id}_{(\operatorname{prf})^!} \mathcal{G}(\iota_{\Lambda} \mathfrak{t}) & (U, \mathcal{J}_{P}) = \\ & = \left(\left[(\operatorname{prf})^! \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{prf}) \right] \circ \Psi \right) & (U, \mathcal{J}_{P}) = \left[(\operatorname{prf})^! \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{prf}) \right] & (\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}_{V}) = (U, (\operatorname{prf})^* \mathcal{J}_{P}) &, \quad \mathrm{so} & \mathcal{J}_{P} = (\operatorname{prf})^* \mathcal{J}_{P} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$ $[((pr!)!dpr!)\circh](u,dpp) = [(pr!)!dpr!](du \Psi(u,p)) =$ = $\left[\left(\text{pr}_{\xi}\right)^{!} d\text{pr}_{\xi}\right]\left(d\text{r}_{\xi}\right) = \left(v, d\text{p}\left(\left(\text{pr}_{\xi}\right) \circ \tilde{y}\right)\right) = \left(v, d\text{p}y\right) = id_{\left(\text{pr}_{\xi}\right)^{!}}r_{bs_{\xi}}\left(v, d\text{p}y\right) \quad Q \in D.$

Lemma: $id_{rtes} - h \circ [(prs)^{t}dprs](z) \in t \{ \forall s \}$ for every vette , ze(ttlf) v

<u>**Proof:</u>** It's known that $x \in \mathcal{U} \setminus \{x\}$ if and only if $(dpr \})(x) = 0$ </u> and this is true if and only if $((pr_{\xi})! dpr_{\xi})(x) = 0$ ((pr\$) dpr\$)(idnet - h o ((pr\$) dpr\$)) = Now = (pr\$)! (dpr\$)-(((pr\$)! dpr\$) • h)((pr\$)! dpr\$) = 0 Q.E.D.

Using this lemma we can define the analogues of the notions of the classical connection theory:

<u>Definition:</u> (1) The map $\mathcal{X}_{\mu} = h \circ (pr s)^{1} dpr s$ is called the horizontal projection of $|\Psi|$

(2) The map $\mathfrak{N}_{\gamma} = \operatorname{id}_{\mathfrak{T} + \{ \xi = - \Re_{\mathfrak{H}} \}}$ is the vertical projection of Ψ.

(3) If $(pr_{\xi})^{1}_{\xi}$ and V_{ξ} are identified by the map $r_{\xi}: V_{\xi} \rightarrow (pr_{\xi})^{1}_{\xi}$

then $v = \gamma_{i} \circ \mathcal{X}_{v}$ is called the vertical map of \mathscr{V} .

(4) The map $D = (ad_{f} prf) \circ \sigma$ is called the *Dombrovski map* of \mathcal{Y} . If \mathcal{G} is a section of f and \mathcal{V} is an element of $T \delta \circ f$ then we call the expression $(D \circ d \sigma)(\mathcal{V})$ the *covariant derivative* of \mathcal{G} by \mathcal{V} , and we denote it by $\nabla_{\mathbf{v}} \mathcal{G}$.

Now we will study the interpretation of *G -symmetry in the first order case. Let us notice first that the tangent space $\vec{\mathcal{G}}_{o}^{4}(\mathbb{R})$ can be identified with \mathbb{R} . This identification gives a map $\mathcal{Y}: \mathcal{G}_{o}(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

which is a semigroup homomorphism. If *G is a subgroup of
$$G_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$$
,
its image by \mathcal{C} is denoted usually by * \mathbb{R} . The functor \mathcal{C} maps of
the action of $\mu \in G_{\rho}(\mathbb{R})$ on $q \in G_{\rho}(\mathbb{M})$ into $\mathcal{C}(\mu) d_{\rho} q$.

3.2 (Over)generalized Connections

In this paragraph we are dealing with a special kind of *G -symmetric connections. We give the definition in term of the map $+\mathcal{K}$.

<u>Definition</u>: We say that we are given an (over) generalized connection on the vector bundles if a continuous map

$$h: (prf)^{i} \mathcal{L} f \xrightarrow{} \mathcal{L} f$$

is given for which

$$((pr\xi)^{!}dpr\xi) \circ h = id_{(pr\xi)^{!}} \tau_{fat}$$

and which is smooth on the manifold of the non-zero elements of $(pr_{\xi})^{l}$ YGA k and 1-homogeneous on the fibers.

We can define the vertical and horizontal projection and vertical and Dombrovski map of the (over)generalized connection similarly as in the previous paragraph. All these maps are fiber preserving and 1-homogeneous.

In the following proposition we give a description of (over)generalized connection in terms of the notions introduced in the previous paragraphs:

BELA KIS

Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{ll_{f}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{l_{f}}$ denote the parametrization structures of tl_{f} and bAf determined by the geometric elements of $G(bA_{f})$ and $G(tl_{f})$, and denote *G its common parameter transformation group.

<u>Proposition:</u> There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the set of all G-symmetric first order connections and the set of all (over)generalized connections on ξ .

<u>Proof:</u> If Ψ is a *G -symmetric first order connection, then its horizontal map h is 1-homogeneous (because of $\Upsilon(*G) = |R - \{O\}$), smooth on the set of non-zero elements of $(p_{\tau}\xi)^{!} \mathcal{L}_{r}_{r}_{r}_{s}$ (which set coincides with $(ad_{\mathcal{L}_{r}_{s}}p_{\tau}\xi)^{*}(d(U_{r}, H))$) and satisfies condition $(p_{\tau}\xi)^{!}dp_{\tau}f \cdot h = id_{(p_{\tau}\xi)^{!}\mathcal{L}_{r}_{s}}$, so it determines an (over)generalized connection.

On the other hand, if h is an (over)generalized connection on f then we can associate to any (v, γ_p) $(v \in \mathcal{H}_{f}, \rho = (\rho \cdot f)(v))$ an element $\tilde{\gamma}_{v} \in G_{v}(\mathcal{H}_{f})$ in the following way. Let us consider the first order differential equation

$$\dot{\tilde{\gamma}} = h(\tilde{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})$$

 $(\tilde{\gamma}$ is an unknown curve in $t(\xi)$.

The map h is smooth, so this equation has a local solution $\tilde{\gamma}$ which satisfies the initial condition $\tilde{\gamma}(o) = v^{r}$. The curve germ of γ uniquely determines the curve germ of the solution. Denote this germ by $\Psi(v, \gamma)$. This map is *G -symmetric: if $\mu \in {}^{*}G$ and $\mu = (q)_{o}$, then $\tilde{\gamma} \circ q$ is the solution of the equation $\tilde{\gamma} = h(\tilde{\tau}, \frac{d}{dt}(\gamma \circ q))$

Indeed,

$$\lambda \circ \dot{\bar{r}} = \frac{1}{4t} (\bar{r} \circ g) = h(\bar{r}, \frac{1}{4t} (r \circ g)) = h(\bar{r}, \lambda \dot{r}) = \lambda h(\bar{r}, \dot{r})$$

where $\lambda = \chi(\mu)$.

We can easily check that if h corresponds to Ψ in this way, then h is the horizontal map of Ψ .

3.3 Classical Connections

We show how the notion of the "classical" connection fits into the frames of the just described theory.

Classical connection theory has several settings; we will follow the way of the splitting of the vector bundle morphism; for details one can see [5].

Definition: We say that a *classical connection* is given on the vector bundle ξ if we have a smooth 1-homogeneous map

 $h: (pr_{\xi})^{!} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}} \xrightarrow{} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}} \xrightarrow{} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}} \xrightarrow{} is satisfied.$ for which the condition ((pr_{\xi})^{!}dpr_{\xi}) \cdot h = id_{(pr_{\xi})^{!} \mathcal{V}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S}}}} \xrightarrow{} is satisfied.

Lemma: The map k is linear on the fibers.

<u>Proof:</u> By the Euler's theorems for homogeneous maps every smooth 1-homogeneous map is linear.

<u>Corollary</u>: Every classical connection is an (over)generalized connection at the same time.

If we define the horizontal and vertical projections and the vertical and Dombrovski maps as we did in the general first order case, we get back the usual objects of the classical connection theory.

Now let $\hat{H}_{i\ell_i}$ and \hat{H}_{i_i} be the parametrization structures determined by the graph germs of $G(t_i)$ and $G(t_i)$. Recall that their (common) parameter transformation group is $G_0(R)$.

<u>Proposition</u>: There is a 1-1 correspondence between the set of classical connections on ξ and the set of the $G_{(R)}$ -symmetric connections.

<u>Proof:</u> The proof is similar to the proof of the Proposition of the previous paragraph, the only difference is that the map k will be smooth on the whole bundle $(\rho r_s^1)^{1/2} K s_s^1$, so it is linear.

4.1 Global description of Rund's S-derivation

In this part we will describe a possible application of the (over)generalized connection theory.

First we give some motivation: The classical connection theory is historically based on the parallel translation of the Riemannian geometry; its prototype is the Levi-Civita connection.

In the Finsler geometry - which is a generalization of the Riemannian case - several connections are used but there is no such a canonical connection as the Levi-Civita connection. We will show in a Lemma that the conceptual difference between the Riemannian metrics and the Finslerian one is very similar to the difference between the theory of the classical connections and the (over)generalized one: it depends on the domain of smoothness of BELA KIS

some maps. After that we give a reinterpretation of Rund's δ -derivation from this point of view. (We choose this derivation because it is defined on the tangent bundle of the base manifold and not in a pull-back bundle of some vector bundles as connections in the Finsler geometry usually are).

All details are ignored here; the classical description of δ -derivation can be found in [4].

<u>Definition:</u> (1) The pair (M,K) is called a *Finsler space* if M is a smooth manifold and K:TM $\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous map which is smooth on the submanifold of the non-zero tangent vectors and satisfies the following conditions:

(A) K is homogeneous of degree 2 on the fibers of ${}^{\!\!\!\mathcal{C}}\mathsf{M}$.

(B) The quadratic form $(\partial_{ij} K) \mathcal{L}^{i} \mathcal{L}^{j}$ (where ∂_{ij} denotes the partial derivation along the fibers by indices (,j) is positive definite.

(2) The Finsler space (M,K) is called Riemannian space if K is quadratic on the fibers of $\ensuremath{\,^{\circ}}\ensuremath{\mathsf{R}}$.

Lemma: The Finsler space (M, K) is a Riemannian space iff K is smooth on the whole TM .

<u>**Proof:</u>** If (M, K) is a Riemannian space then K is quadratic, so it is smooth on the whole TM .</u>

On the other hand, if $K:TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function then by the Euler's theorems it is quadratic, so (M,K) is a Riemannian space.

Now we can give the global definition of the δ -derivation in terms of (over)generalized connections:

<u>Definition</u>: On the tangent bundle $\mathcal{V}M$ of the Finsler space (M, K) the (over)generalized connection $h: \mathcal{C}_{H}^{!} \mathcal{V}M \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}M$ is called (the Rund's) δ -derivation if (in natural coordinates) locally it has the form

$$h(x^{1},y^{1},x^{2}) = (x^{1},y^{1},x^{2},P(x^{1},x^{2})(y^{4})) \qquad x^{1},x^{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, n = \dim M$$

where the ith component $\mathcal{P}^{i}(x^{4},x^{2})(y^{4})$ of $\mathcal{P}(x^{4},x^{2})(y^{4})$ is $\mathcal{P}^{i}(x^{4},x^{2})(y^{4}) = \mathcal{P}^{i}_{\ell}(x^{4},x^{2})(y^{4})^{\ell} = \left[\gamma^{i}_{\ell j}(x^{4},x^{2}) - c^{i}_{\ell j}(x^{4},x^{2})\gamma^{4}_{\ell j}(x^{4},x^{2})(x^{2})\right](x^{2})^{j}$ with the notations

 $c_{i\ell}^{i} = \frac{4}{4} g^{ik} \partial_{ke\ell} K ; \quad f_{ij}^{i} = \frac{4}{2} g^{ik} \left(\frac{\partial g_{k\ell}}{\partial x^{i}} + \frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial x^{k}} - \frac{\partial g_{kj}}{\partial x^{k}} \right)$

98

and

$$\begin{aligned} y^{4} &= ((y^{4})^{4}, {}_{\partial \circ}, (y^{4})^{*}); \quad x^{4} &= ((x^{4})^{4}, {}_{\partial \circ}, (x^{4})^{*}); \quad x^{2} &= ((x^{2})^{4}, {}_{\partial \circ}, (x^{2})^{*}), \\ \text{where } (g^{ij}) \quad \text{is the inverse of the matrix } (g_{ij}) &= (\frac{4}{2}\partial_{ij}K) . \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily checked that \mathcal{K} is 1-homogeneous on the fibers of \mathcal{B}_{n} $\mathcal{T}M$ and the covariant derivative associated to it is the same as the δ -derivative given in [4] (p. 55, formula 3.18).

REFERENCES

[1] BARTHEL W."Nichtlineare Zusamenhänge und deren Holonomiengruppen", J. Reine. Angew. Math. 212,(1963), 120-149.
[2] DE LEON M. & RODRIGUES P. R. "Generalized Classical Mechanics and Field Theory", North Holland, 1985.
[3] KOBAYASHI S. & NOMIZU K. "The Foundations of Differential Geometry" I-II, Willey Intersc., N.Y., 1963, 1969
[4] RUND H. "The differential geometry of Finsler Spaces",Springer Verlag,1959.
[5] VILMS J. "Connections on tangent bundles", J. Diff. Geometry, 1, 235-243.

BELA KIS INSTITUTUM MATHEMATICUM UNIVERSITATIS DEBRECENIENSIS H-4010 DEBRECEN PB. 12 HUNGARY