# Jan Kubarski Some generalization of Godement's theorem on division

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1987. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 16. pp. 119--124.

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701415

## Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

#### SOME GENERALIZATION OF GODEMENT'S THEOREM ON DIVISION

### Jan Kubarski

<u>ABSTRACT</u>. Some generalization of Godement's theorem on division is found. This generalization characterizes all equivalence relation R (on a C<sup>O</sup>-manifold) such that every abstract class of R has a countable number of arcwise connected components and the family of all such components is a foliation. Using it, another proof of that classical Godement's theorem is obtained.

The classical Godement's theorem on division [3] - which characterizes regular equivalence relations R on a C<sup>00</sup>-manifold V - is well known:

THEOREM 1. (Godement [3]). Let dim V=n. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) In the set  $V_{/R}$  there exists a differential structure of an n-k-dim. C<sup>OD</sup>-manifold (with the quotient topology), such that the natural projection  $V \rightarrow V_{/R}$  is a submersion.

(2) (a)  $R \subset V \times V$  is a proper n+k-dim. C<sup>O</sup>-submanifold of  $V \times V$ ,

(b)  $pr_1: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V}$ ,  $(x, y) \longmapsto x$ , is a submersion.

The family  $\mathcal{L}$  of all abstract classes of an equivalence relation R fulfilling (1) has the following properties:

 $(1^{\circ})$  every abstract class of R has a countable number of arcwise connected components,

 $(2^{\circ})$  the family F of all arcwise connected components of all abstract classes of R is a k-dim. foliation.

Of course, here: each arcwise connected component is equal to a connected component.

Now, we give some generalization of Godement's theorem which characterizes all equivalence relations fulfilling  $(1^{\circ})$  and  $(2^{\circ})$  (in particular, all foliations).

<u>THEOREM 2</u>. Let R be any equivalence relation on a Hausdorff C<sup> $\Omega$ </sup>manifold V with a countable basis. The following conditions are equivalent:

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

(1) the family  $\mathfrak L$  of all abstract classes of R has the above properties  $(1^{\circ})$  and  $(2^{\circ})$ ,

(2) there exists a subset  $\Omega_{CR}$  such that

(i)  $\Delta \subset \Omega$  where  $\Delta = \{(x, x); x \in \mathbb{V}\},\$ 

(ii)  $\Omega$  is a proper n+k-dim. C<sup>00</sup>-submanifold of V×V,

(iii)  $pr_1:\Omega:\Omega \rightarrow V$  is a submersion,

(iv) if we denote, for  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}} := \mathbf{R} \cap (\{\mathbf{x}\}\mathbf{x}\mathbb{V}), \ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathbf{x}} := (\mathbf{pr}_{1} | \boldsymbol{\Omega})^{-1}(\mathbf{x}), \ \mathbf{D}_{(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})} : \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}, \ (\mathbf{y},\mathbf{t}) \mapsto (\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t}),$ 

then we have that the set  $D_{(x,y)}[\Omega_y] \cap \Omega_x$  is open in the man.  $\Omega_x$ , (v) the manifolds  $\tilde{R}_x$  (see lemma below) have a countable number of connected components.

LEMMA. If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  has properties (i):(iv), then, for each point  $x \in \mathbb{V}$ , there exists exactly one C<sup>O</sup>-manifold  $\tilde{R}_{v}$  with the set of points  $R_{v}$ , such that, for each  $(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}_{x}$ ,

(a)  $D_{(x,y)}[\Omega_y] \sigma \tilde{R}_x$  (i.e. is open in  $\tilde{R}_x$ ), (b)  $D_{(x,y)}[\Omega_y:\Omega_y \rightarrow D_{(x,y)}[\Omega_y] \sigma \tilde{R}_x$  is a diffeomorphism. The manifolds  $\tilde{R}_x$  have the properties:

(i)  $D_{(x,y)}: \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{y} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{x}$  is a diffeomorphism, (ii)  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{x} \longrightarrow \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}$  is an immersion, (iii)  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}}_{x}$  are Hausdorff,

(iv) if, in addition, the family F of all arcwise connected components of all abstract classes of R is a k-dim. foliation, then the mapping  $\gamma_x: L_x \longrightarrow \tilde{R}_x$ ,  $y \mapsto (x, y)$ , is a diffeomorphism for each xeV (L - the abstract class of R through x equipped with the uniquely determined differential structure of an immerse submanifold of V such that each element of F contained in L<sub>y</sub> is an open subman. of L<sub>y</sub>).

The very simple proof of this lemma is omitted.

<u>Proof of theorem 2. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let us take any nice covering</u>  $\{(\overline{U_i, q_i, \mathbb{R}^n}); i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  [2, p. 188] and denote by  $Q_x^i$  the plaque of the chart  $(U_i, \varphi_i)$  which contains x,  $x \in U_i$ . Of course, the covering  $\{U_i, V_i\}$ ieN] of V has the property:

(\*) if  $x, y \in U_i \cap U_i$  and  $y \in Q_x^i$ , then  $y \in Q_x^j$ , i, j eN.

We put

 $\Omega_{i} := \{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}; x \in \mathbb{U}_{i}, y \in \mathbb{Q}_{x}^{i} \} \text{ and } \Omega := \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega_{i}.$ 

We prove that  $\Omega$  has properties (i)+(v). (i) is evident. To prove (ii), it suffices to show that

 $1^{\circ}$ )  $\Omega_{i}$  is open in  $\Omega$  (with respect to the topology induced from vxv),

 $2^{\circ}$ )  $\Omega_{i}$  is a proper C<sup> $\infty$ </sup>-submanifold of V×V.

1°) results from the equality  $\Omega_i = \Omega \cap (U_i \times U_i)$  which is a consequence of (\*). To show  $2^{\circ}$ ), we first define, for each chart  $(U_{i}, \varphi_{i})$ ,

the mappings 
$$\varphi_{i}^{1}$$
 and  $\varphi_{i}^{2}$  in such a way that  
 $\varphi_{i} = (\varphi_{i}^{1}, \varphi_{i}^{2}) = (x \mapsto (\varphi_{i}^{1}(x), \varphi_{i}^{2}(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}).$ 

Next, we put

$$v_i: \Omega_i \to \mathbb{R}^{n+k}, (x,y) \mapsto (\varphi_i^1(x), \varphi_i^2(x), \varphi_i^1(y)).$$
  
The inverse mapping of v, is

W<sub>i</sub>:  $\mathbb{R}^{k} \mathbb{R}^{n-k} \mathbb{R}^{k} \longrightarrow \Omega_{i}$ , (a,b,c)  $\mapsto (\varphi_{i}^{-1}(a,b), \varphi_{i}^{-1}(c,b))$ . Now, it is easy to see that 2°) holds ( $v_{i}$  is a global chart on  $\Omega_{i}$ ). To show condition (iii), it is enough to consider the following commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_{i} & \stackrel{\vee_{i}}{\xrightarrow{\simeq}} \mathbb{R}^{n} x \mathbb{R}^{k} \\ pr_{1} \cdot \Omega_{i} & & \downarrow pr_{1} \\ U_{i} & \stackrel{\varphi_{i}}{\xrightarrow{\approx}} \mathbb{R}^{n} \end{array}$$

for each it N. To notice condition (iv), we write  $\Omega_x = \{x\} \times \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}_x} Q_x^1$ where  $\mathbb{N}_x := \{i \in \mathbb{N}; x \in U_i\}$ . Therefore

$$D_{(x,y)}[\Omega_{y} \cap \Omega_{x} = \bigcup_{j \in N_{y}, i \in N_{x}} (\{x\} \times (Q_{y}^{j} \cap Q_{x}^{j})) \sigma \Omega_{x}.$$
  
Condition (v) follows from property (iv) of  $\tilde{R}_{x}$  from our lemma

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1). We assume that <math>\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  fulfils  $(i) \div (v)$ . Let us take the embedding  $\hat{u}: \mathbb{V} \longrightarrow \Omega$ ,  $x \mapsto (x, x)$ . Of course,  $\hat{u}^* T^{\alpha} \Omega$  (where  $T^{\alpha} \Omega = \operatorname{Kera}_*$ ,  $\mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{pr}_1 | \Omega$ ) is a vector bundle of rank k over V. We define a strong homomorphism  $\kappa$  of vector bundles as a superposition

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\hat{u}^* T^{\alpha} \Omega & \longrightarrow T^{\alpha} \Omega & \longrightarrow T \Omega & \longrightarrow T(V \times V) & \xrightarrow{(pr_2)_{*}} TV \\
\stackrel{\psi}{V} & \stackrel{\hat{u}}{\longrightarrow} \Omega & = \Omega & \hookrightarrow V \times V & \xrightarrow{pr_2} V.
\end{array}$$

Via bijections  $\gamma_x: L_x \to \tilde{R}_x$ ,  $y \mapsto (x, y)$ ,  $x \in V$ , every abstract class of R is equipped with a differential structure of a manifold. The correctness follows from property (i) of the manifolds  $\tilde{R}_x$  (see lemma). The manifolds obtained are integral for the distribution E. Indeed, for  $x \in V$ , the inclusion  $L_x \hookrightarrow V$  is an immersion (because it is the superposition  $L_x \stackrel{\gamma_x}{\longrightarrow} \tilde{R}_x \hookrightarrow \{x \mid x \lor V\}$ , and  $T_x L_x = (j_x)_* (x, x) [T_{(x,x)} \tilde{R}_x]$  $= E_{1x}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the family of all connected components of all manifolds  $L_x$  obtained above. By the Frobenius' theorem [1, p.86],  $\mathcal{F}$  is a kdim. foliation. To conclude this theorem, we need to demonstrate that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to the family of arcwise connected components of all abstract classes of R. For the purpose, it is sufficient to show that every manifold  $L_x$  is a k-leaf of V with respect to all locally arcwise connected topological spaces, i.e. if X is such a space and  $f: X \to V$  a continuous mapping such that  $f(X) \subset L_x$ , then the induced mapping  $f: X \to L_x$  is continuous, too. Let X and f be as above; take teX and  $(U, \varphi)$  - a chart around y:=f(t) distinguished by  $\mathcal{F}, \varphi: U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ . Let Q be an arcwise connected component of  $U \cap L_x$ through y(with respect to the topology induced from V). Q contains countably many plaques of the chart  $(U, \varphi)$  since  $L_x$  has - by (v) countably many connected components, and each of them - as a connected immerse submanifold of V - has a countable basis. Thus  $pr_2[\varphi[Q]]$ is an arcwise connected and countable set in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ , so it is one-point. This states that Q is equal to one plaque of the chart  $(U, \varphi)$ . The set  $f^{-1}[U \cap L_x]$  is open in X. Let B be the arcwise connected component of the set  $f^{-1}[U \cap L_x]$ , containing x. Of course, B is open in X,  $f[B] \subset Q$  and  $f|B:B \longrightarrow V_{|Q} = L_{x|Q}$  is continuous. The free choice of teX implies the continuity of  $f:X \longrightarrow L_x$ .

<u>REMARK</u>. The connectivity of the manifolds  $\tilde{R}_{x}$  is equivalent (in the above theorem) to the fact that  $\mathcal{L}$  is a foliation(i.e. every abstract class of R is an arcwise connected set).

<u>REMARK</u>. If  $\Psi$  is the subgroupoid (of the groupoid determined by R). generated by the set  $\Omega$  fulfilling conditions (i):(iv) from theorem

- 2, then the set  $\Psi \cap (\{x\} \times V)$ ,  $x \in V$ , is an open-closed subset of  $\tilde{R}_{x}$ . <u>THEOREM 3</u>. The following conditions are equivalent:
  - (1) the family of all abstract classes of R is a k-dim. foliation,
  - (2) there exists a subset  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that
    - (i):(iv) as in theorem 2,
    - (v)  $\Omega$  generates R (as a groupoid),
    - (vi') the manifolds  $\Omega_{\mathbf{x}}$  are connected.

<u>Proof.</u> (1)  $\neq$  (2). The set  $\Omega$  constructed in the proof of theorem 2 fulfils (vi') in an evident manner. The connectedness of manifolds  $\tilde{R}_x$  implies that (v') follows from the last remark.

 $\underbrace{(2) \neq (1)}_{i}. \text{ It suffices to show that the manifolds } \tilde{\mathbb{R}}_{x} \text{ are connected.}$ Let us take any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{V}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{L}_{x_0}$ . Since  $\Omega$  generates  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exist points  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} \in \mathbb{L}_{x_0}$  such that (in the groupoid  $\mathbb{R}$ )  $(x_0, y) = = (x_{n-1}, y) \cdot \ldots \cdot (x_1, x_2) \cdot (x_0, x_1)$  where  $(x_1, x_{1+1}) \in \Omega_{x_1}, i=0, \ldots, n-1, x_n = y$ . (vi') implies the existence of curves  $c_1 : \langle 0, 1 \rangle \longrightarrow \Omega_{x_1}$  such that  $c_1(0) = (x_1, x_1), c_1(1) = (x_1, x_{1+1})$ . We define a curve  $c : \langle 0, n \rangle \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{R}}_{x_0}$  by the formula  $c(t) = D_{(x_0, x_1)}(c_1(t-1))$  for  $i \leq t \leq i+1$  to obtain a continuous curve joining  $(x_0, x_0)$  and  $(x_0, y)$ .

Theorem 2 enables us to carry out another proof of the classical Godement's theorem.

<u>Iroof of theorem 1. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) as in Godement's proof.</u>

 $(2) \neq (1)$ . Let us suppose (a) and (b). We notice that  $\Omega := \mathbb{R}$  fulfils properties (i):(v) from assertion (2) in theorem 2. Thus, theorem 2 states that the family  $\mathcal{F}$  of all connected components of all abstract classes of R is a k-dim. foliation.

Now, we prove that, for each point xeV, there exist a real number a>0 and a chart  $(U, \varphi)$  around x distinguished by  $\mathcal{F}$ , such that

(i)  $\varphi: U \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{K}(a)$  where  $\mathbb{K}(a) := \bigcap^{n-k} (-a, a)$ ,

(ii)  $\varphi(x) = (0,0)$ ,

(iii) if L is an abstract class of R and  $L \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , then  $L \cap U$  is exactly one plaque of the chart  $(U, \varphi)$ .

Let us assume to the contrary that there exists a point  $x_0 \in V$  such that, for each real number a >0 and each chart  $(U, \varphi)$  around  $x_0$  distinguished by  $\mathcal{F}$ , fulfilling (i) and (ii), we have: there is an abstract class L of R such that the set LAU contains at least two different plaques. Take any chart  $(U, \varphi)$  around  $x_0$  distinguished by  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\varphi: U \xrightarrow{\otimes} \mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  and  $\varphi(x_0) = (0, 0)$ . Let us set

$$U_{m} := q^{-1} \left[ \mathbb{R}^{k} \times \prod^{n-k} \left( -\frac{1}{m}, \frac{1}{m} \right) \right], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Of course,  $(U_m, \varphi | U_m)$  is a chart distinguished by  $\mathcal{F}$ , too. Then we find an abstract class  $\mathcal{L}_m$  such that  $\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{U}_m$  contains two plaques  $\mathcal{Q}_m^1$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_m^2$ , say  $\mathcal{Q}_m^{1:=}\varphi^{-1} [\mathbb{R}^k x \{c_m^1\}], \ \mathcal{Q}_m^{2:=}\varphi^{-1} [\mathbb{R}^k x \{c_m^2\}], \text{ for some } c_m^1 \neq c_m^2$ . Let us put  $x_m^{S:=}\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}, c_m^S), \ s=1,2$ . Of course,  $x_m^{S} \in \mathcal{L}_m$ , which means that  $(x_m^1, x_m^2) \in \mathbb{R}$ . Besides  $x_m^{S} \xrightarrow[m \to \infty]{} x_0, \ s=1,2$ . Take  $\Omega':=\{(x,y) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}; x \in \mathbb{U} \text{ and } y \in \mathbb{Q}_p\}$ 

where  $Q_x$  denotes the plaque of  $(U,\varphi)$  through x. We prove that  $\Omega'$  is open in R. First, we note (as about  $\Omega_i$  in the proof of theorem 2) that  $\Omega'$  is a proper n+k-dim. C<sup>O</sup>-submanifold of V×V. Thus  $\Omega'$  is an n+k-dim. proper submanifold of the n+k-dim. manifold R, so it is open in R. Further, since  $(x_m^1, x_m^2) \notin \Omega'$  and  $(x_m^1, x_m^2) \xrightarrow[m \to \infty]{} (x_0, x_0)$ , therefore  $(x_0, x_0) \in \Omega'$ , which leads to a contradiction because  $x_0 \in Q_{X_0}$  implies  $(x_0, x_0) \in \Omega$ .

From the above it follows that there exists a C<sup>O</sup>-atlas on V consisting of some chart  $(U, \varphi)$  distinguished by  $\mathcal{F}$  such that (i):(iii) hold for  $a=a_{\varphi}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be such an atlas. With the help of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we shall construct a C<sup>O</sup>-atlas on the topological space  $V_R$ , such that the projection  $\pi: V \longrightarrow V_R$  is a submersion. First, from the equality  $\pi^{-1}[\pi(U)] = \operatorname{pr}_2[\operatorname{pr}_1^{-1}[U]], U \subset V$ , we get the openess of the projection  $\pi$ . Next, taking a chart  $(U, \varphi) \in \mathcal{A}$ , we define  $\tilde{\varphi}: \tilde{U} \longrightarrow K(a_{\varphi})$ , where  $\tilde{U} = \pi[U]$ , in such a way that the diagram

(\*) 
$$\begin{array}{c} U \xrightarrow{\pi} U \\ \downarrow \varphi \\ R^{k} \times K(a_{\varphi}) \xrightarrow{p_{2}} K(a_{\varphi}), \quad p_{2}(x,y) = y, \end{array}$$

comutes. Of course, we must put  $\tilde{\varphi}(L) := p_2(\varphi(x))$  for  $x \in U \cap L$ ,  $L \in \tilde{U}$ . The correctness follows from the fact that  $U \cap L$  contains exactly one plaque. The continuity of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  follows from the equality  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}[A] =$  = $\pi[\varphi^{-1}[\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{A}]]$ ,  $\mathbb{A} \subset \mathbb{K}(\mathbb{a}_{\varphi})$ , whereas the openness - from  $\tilde{\varphi}[\mathbb{B}]=$ = $\mathbb{P}_2[\varphi[\pi^{-1}[\mathbb{B}] \cap \mathbb{U}]]$ ,  $\mathbb{B} \subset \tilde{\mathbb{U}}$ . The bijectivity of  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is evident. In the end, we take two charts  $(\mathbb{U}, \varphi)$  and  $(\mathbb{W}, \psi) \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbb{U}} \cap \mathbb{W} \neq \emptyset$ . We prove that  $\tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}$  is of  $\mathbb{C}^{\odot}$ -class. For the purpose, we put  $\Theta:=\pi^{-1}[\mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{W}] \subset \mathbb{V}$ . We notice that  $\Theta$  is saturated by abstract classes of R, and  $\pi[\Theta]$ = $\pi[\Theta \cap \mathbb{U}] = \pi[\Theta \cap \mathbb{W}] = \mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{W}$ . Now, we prove - auxiliarily - that  $\tilde{\varphi} | \tilde{\mathbb{U}} \cap \mathbb{W} \circ \pi[\Theta:\mathbb{V}_{10}] \longrightarrow \tilde{\varphi}[\mathbb{U} \cap \mathbb{W}] \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$  is a submersion. In order to do this, we consider the diagram

$$((\bigcirc \cap U) \times \underline{V}) \cap \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\text{sub.}} \bigcirc \cap U$$

$$(**) \qquad \text{pr}_2 \downarrow \text{sub.} \qquad \text{sub.} \downarrow \widetilde{\varphi} \circ \mathfrak{N} \bigcirc \cap U \qquad (\text{sub.} \\ = \text{submersion})$$

From (\*) we get the submersivity of  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi | \Theta \cap U = \tilde{\varphi} | \tilde{U} \cap \tilde{W} \circ \pi | \Theta \cap U$ , whereas from diagram (\*\*) - the submersivity of  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \pi | \Theta$ . Changing  $\varphi$  to  $\psi$ , we get the smoothness of  $\tilde{\psi} \circ \eta | \Theta$ . To prove that of  $\tilde{\psi} \circ \eta^{-1}$ , it is sufficient to analyse the diagram below:



#### REFERENCES

- 1. DIEUDONNE J. "Treatise on analysis", Vol.IV, Academic Press, New York and London, 1974.
- 2. HECTOR G. and HIRSCH U. "Introduction to the Geometry of Foliations", Fart A, Braunschweig 1981.
- 3. SERRE J. P. "Lie algebras and Lie groups", New York Amsterdam-Benjamin, 1965.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF ŁÓDŹ, AL. POLITECHNIKI 11, PI-90-924 ŁÓDŹ, POLAND.